

Inequalities involving arithmetic functions

Stoyan Dimitrov

Faculty of Applied Mathematics and Informatics, Technical University of Sofia

Blvd. St.Kliment Ohridski 8, Sofia 1756, Bulgaria

e-mail: `sdimitrov@tu-sofia.bg`

Department of Bioinformatics and Mathematical Modelling

Institute of Biophysics and Biomedical Engineering

Bulgarian Academy of Sciences

Acad. G. Bonchev Str. Bl. 105, Sofia 1113, Bulgaria

e-mail: `xyzstoyan@gmail.com`

Dedicated to Professor Krassimir Atanassov on the occasion of his 70th birthday.

Abstract: This paper presents a brief survey of the most important and the most remarkable inequalities involving the basic arithmetic functions.

Keywords: Arithmetic functions, Inequalities.

1 Introduction

In number theory, an arithmetic function is any function $f(n)$ whose domain is the positive integers and whose range is a subset of the complex numbers. The arithmetic functions are very important in many parts of theoretical and applied sciences, and many mathematicians have devoted great interest in this field. For example, the classical Euler totient function, and some other related functions appears almost in all major domains of mathematics or its applications. This review article is inspired by the book of Sándor and Atanassov [127]. The number of inequalities involving arithmetic functions is too large. That is why we do not claim to be exhaustive and comprehensive. I apologise to anyone whose work has been overlooked. Our main goal is to make a brief overview of the most interesting inequalities of the classical arithmetic functions. We also propose three conjectures about the prime-counting function in subsection 7.3. When presenting the mathematical results, we have tried as much as possible to observe some chronological order. Of course we have to mention the book of Sándor, Mitrinović and Crstici [117], providing many references on inequalities involving arithmetic functions. We hope that the article will be useful for students, professors and researchers working in number theory.

2 Notations, definitions and formulas

The letter p with or without subscript will always denote prime number. Let $n > 1$ be positive integer with prime factorization

$$n = p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_r^{a_r}.$$

We denote by $\omega(n)$ the number of the distinct prime factors of n . We have

$$\omega(n) = r \quad \text{and} \quad \omega(1) = 0.$$

The function $\Omega(n)$ counts the total number of prime factors of n honoring their multiplicity. We have

$$\Omega(n) = \sum_{i=1}^r a_i \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega(1) = 0.$$

We define by $d(n)$ the number of positive divisors of n . We have

$$d(n) = \prod_{i=1}^r (a_i + 1) \quad \text{and} \quad d(1) = 1.$$

The function $\sigma(n)$ denotes the sum of the positive divisors of n . We have

$$\sigma(n) = \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{p_i^{a_i+1} - 1}{p_i - 1} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma(1) = 1.$$

We denote by $\varphi(n)$ the Euler totient function which is defined as the number of positive integers not greater than n that are coprime to n . We have

$$\varphi(n) = n \prod_{i=1}^r \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(1) = 1.$$

We define the Dedekind function $\psi(n)$ by the formula

$$\psi(n) = n \prod_{i=1}^r \left(1 + \frac{1}{p_i}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(1) = 1.$$

The prime-counting function $\pi(n)$ is the function counting the number of prime numbers less than or equal to n , i.e.

$$\pi(n) = \sum_{p \leq n} 1.$$

The function $D(n)$ denotes the arithmetic derivative. We have

$$D(0) = D(1) = 0,$$

$$D(p) = 1 \quad \text{for any prime } p$$

$$D(mn) = D(m)n + mD(n) \quad \text{for any } m, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We define by H_n the n -th harmonic number which is the sum of the reciprocals of the first n natural numbers

$$H_n = 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \cdots + \frac{1}{n} = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k}.$$

The values of the sequence $H_n - \ln n$ decrease monotonically towards the limit

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (H_n - \ln n) = \gamma,$$

where $\gamma \approx 0.5772156649$ is the Euler constant.

We denote by $\mu(n)$ the Möbius function. We have

$$\mu(n) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{\omega(n)} = (-1)^{\Omega(n)} & \text{if } \omega(n) = \Omega(n), \\ 0 & \text{if } \omega(n) \neq \Omega(n). \end{cases}$$

The function $M(n)$ denotes the Mertens function. We have

$$M(n) = \sum_{k=1}^n \mu(k).$$

As usual $\text{li}(x)$ is the logarithmic integral function

$$\text{li}(x) = \int_0^x \frac{dt}{\ln t}.$$

3 The divisor function $d(n)$

It is well known that for all natural numbers n we have

$$d(n) \leq 2\sqrt{n}.$$

The proof follows from the fact that of two complementary divisors of a natural number n one is always not greater than \sqrt{n} . In 1983 Nicolas and Robin [88] established the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *The inequality*

$$\frac{\log d(n)}{\log 2} \leq 1.5379 \frac{\log n}{\log \log n}$$

holds for all $n \geq 3$.

Proof. See ([88], Theorem 1). □

In the same year Robin [104] proved that the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\log d(n)}{\log 2} &\leq \frac{\log n}{\log \log n} + 1.9349 \frac{\log n}{(\log \log n)^2}, \\ \frac{\log d(n)}{\log 2} &\leq \frac{\log n}{\log \log n} + \frac{\log n}{(\log \log n)^2} + 4.7624 \frac{\log n}{(\log \log n)^3}, \\ \frac{\log d(n)}{\log 2} &\leq \frac{\log n}{\log \log n - 1.39177} \end{aligned}$$

holds for all $n \geq 56$. In 1987 Sándor [110] deduced the results

$$\begin{aligned} (d(mn))^2 &\geq d(m^2) d(n^2) \quad \text{for all } m, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots, \\ \frac{d(m^2n) d(k^2n)}{(d(mnk))^2} &\geq \frac{d(m^2) d(k^2)}{(d(mk))^2} \quad \text{for all } m, n, k = 1, 2, 3, \dots \end{aligned}$$

Further in 2002 Sándor [115] got

$$d(mn) \leq d(m)d(n) \quad \text{for all } m, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

In 2009 Minculete and Dicu [79] obtained the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d(m)}{m} &\leq \frac{d(n)}{n} \quad \text{for all } n|m \quad \text{and } m, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots, \\ \frac{d(mn)}{mn} &\leq \frac{d(m) + d(n)}{m + n} \quad \text{for all } m, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots, \\ d(mn) &\leq \frac{m^2 d(n) + n^2 d(m)}{m + n} \quad \text{for all } m, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots, \\ d(mn) &\leq \frac{m^2 d(n) + n^2 d(m)}{m + n} \quad \text{for all } m, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots, \\ d(mn) &\leq m\sqrt{n} + n\sqrt{m} \quad \text{for all } m, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \end{aligned}$$

In the same year Sándor and Kovács [120] got that for all $n \geq 1$ we have

$$d(n) < 4\sqrt[3]{n}.$$

Other results concerning inequalities with $d(n)$ can be found in [144].

4 The sum of the positive divisors $\sigma(n)$

It is well known that

$$\sigma(n) \geq n + 1.$$

On the other hand for all composite numbers n we have

$$\sigma(n) > n + \sqrt{n}.$$

The proof follows from the fact that of two complementary divisors of a composite number n one is always not less than \sqrt{n} . In 1962 Rosser and Schoenfeld [108] proved the following theorem.

Theorem 2. *For every integer $n \geq 3$ we have*

$$\sigma(n) < e^\gamma n \log \log n + \frac{2.50637n}{\log \log n}.$$

Proof. See ([108], Theorem 15). □

In 1972 Annapurna [9] proved that for every natural number $n \neq 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 12$ we have

$$\sigma(n) < \frac{6}{\pi^2} n \sqrt{n}.$$

The next year Bruckman ([117], p. 88) showed that if $m > 1, n > 1$ are natural numbers, then

$$\sigma(mn) > \sigma(m) + \sigma(n).$$

Further in 1984 Robin [106] improved the result of Rosser and Schoenfeld in Theorem 2.

Theorem 3. *The inequality*

$$\sigma(n) < e^\gamma n \log \log n + \frac{0.6483n}{\log \log n}$$

holds for all $n \geq 3$.

Proof. See ([106], Theorem 2). □

In 2008 Sandor [118] proved that for all composite numbers n that are not the square of a prime number, we have

$$\sigma(n) > n + \sqrt{n} + \sqrt[3]{n}.$$

Afterwards in 2017 Axler [30] improved Robin's upper bound in Theorem 3.

Theorem 4. *Set*

$$\mathcal{A} = \{1; 2; 4; 6; 8; 10; 12; 16; 18; 20; 24; 30; 36; 48; 60; 72; 120; 180; 240; 360; 2520\}$$

The inequality

$$\sigma(n) < e^\gamma n \log \log n + \frac{0.1209n}{(\log \log n)^2}$$

holds for every positive integer $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \mathcal{A}$.

Proof. See ([30], Theorem 1). □

Subsequently in 2021 Aoudjit, Berkane and Dusart [28] also sharpened Robin's upper bound.

Theorem 5. *For every integer $n \geq 3$ we have*

$$\sigma(n) < e^\gamma n \log \log n + \frac{0.3741n}{(\log \log n)^2}.$$

Proof. See ([28], Theorem 1.1). □

Recently Axler [36] improved the upper bound in Theorem 4.

Theorem 6. *For every integer $n \geq 5041$ we have*

$$\sigma(n) < e^\gamma n \log \log n + \frac{\alpha_0 n}{(\log \log n)^2},$$

where $\alpha_0 = 0.0094243 e^\gamma = 0.0167853 \dots$

Proof. See ([36], Theorem 2). □

Also recently Axler and Nicolas [33] established the next theorem.

Theorem 7. *For every integer $n \geq \exp(26318064420)$ we have*

$$\frac{\sigma(n)}{n} < e^\gamma \log \log n + \frac{\alpha_0}{(\log \log n)^2} - \frac{2\sqrt{2}e^\gamma}{\sqrt{\log n}} + \frac{4.143e^\gamma}{\sqrt{\log n} \log \log n},$$

where $\alpha_0 = 0.0094243 e^\gamma = 0.0167853 \dots$

Proof. See ([33], Theorem 1.3). □

5 The Euler function $\varphi(n)$

Obviously for $n \geq 2$ we have that

$$\varphi(n) \leq n - 1.$$

It is well known that for all composite numbers n we have

$$\varphi(n) \leq n - \sqrt{n}.$$

The proof follows from the formula of $\varphi(n)$ and the fact that the least prime divisor of a composite number n is not greater than \sqrt{n} . As a direct consequence of the formula of $\varphi(n)$, we have

$$\varphi(mn) \geq \varphi(m) \varphi(n) \quad \text{for all } m, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

In 1940 Popoviciu [100] derived the result

$$(\varphi(mn))^2 \leq \varphi(m^2) \varphi(n^2) \quad \text{for all } m, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

In 1962 Rosser and Schoenfeld [108] proved the theorem.

Theorem 8. *For every integer $n \geq 3$ we have*

$$\frac{n}{\varphi(n)} < e^\gamma \log \log n + \frac{2.50637}{\log \log n}.$$

Proof. See ([108], Theorem 15). □

In 1969 Hatalová and Šalát [67] showed that

$$\varphi(n) > \frac{\log 2}{2} \frac{n}{\log n}$$

for $n \geq 3$. Recently Axler and Nicolas [33] established the result.

Theorem 9. *For every integer $n \geq \exp(12530479255.595931)$ we have*

$$\frac{n}{\varphi(n)} < e^\gamma \log \log n + \frac{\alpha_0}{(\log \log n)^2},$$

where $\alpha_0 = 0.0094243 e^\gamma = 0.0167853 \dots$

Proof. See ([33], Theorem 1.2). □

Remark 1. *Theorem 9 is not true only for one n pointed in [33].*

6 The Dedekind function $\psi(n)$

It is well known that

$$\psi(n) \geq n + 1.$$

In 2011 Solé and Planat [137] established the following theorem.

Theorem 10. *For $n > 30$ we have*

$$\psi(n) < e^\gamma n \log \log n.$$

Proof. See ([137], Corollary 2). □

Further in 2014 Mortici [83] showed that for $k \geq 2$ we have

$$(\psi(mn))^k \geq \psi(m^k) \psi(n^k) \quad \text{for all } m, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Subsequently in 2016 Sándor [123] among other results refined the above inequality, proving that for any integers $m, n \geq 1$ and $l \geq k \geq 2$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} (\psi(mn))^k &\geq (mn)^{k-2} (\psi(mn))^2 \geq \psi(m^k) \psi(n^k), \\ (\psi(mn))^k &\geq (mn)^{l-k} \psi(m^l) \psi(n^l), \\ (mn)^{k-1} \frac{\psi(mn)}{\psi(m)\psi(n)} &\leq (mn)^{k-1} \leq \frac{(\psi(mn))^k}{\psi(m)\psi(n)} \leq (\psi(m)\psi(n))^{k-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Other results concerning inequalities with $\psi(n)$ can be found in [116].

7 The prime-counting function $\pi(x)$

7.1 Chebyshev-type inequalities for primes

One of the first works on the function $\pi(x)$ is due to Chebyshev [42]. He proved that for every sufficiently large x the inequalities

$$c_1 \frac{x}{\log x} < \pi(x) < c_2 \frac{x}{\log x}$$

hold. Here $c_1 = \log \left(2^{\frac{1}{2}} 3^{\frac{1}{3}} 5^{\frac{1}{5}} 30^{-\frac{1}{30}} \right) \approx 0.921292022934$ and $c_2 = \frac{6}{5} c_1 \approx 1.10555042752$. The result of Chebyshev has been sharpened many times. In 1941 Rosser [107] proved that

$$\frac{x}{\log x + 2} < \pi(x) < \frac{x}{\log x - 4}$$

for $x \geq 55$. Subsequently in 1962 Rosser and Schoenfeld [108] showed that

$$\frac{x}{\log x} < \pi(x) < 1.25506 \frac{x}{\log x},$$

where the left inequality holds for $x \geq 17$ and the right inequality holds for $x > 1$, and

$$\frac{x}{\log x - 0.5} < \pi(x) < \frac{x}{\log x - 1.5},$$

where the left inequality holds for $x \geq 67$ and the right inequality holds for $x > e^{\frac{3}{2}}$, and

$$\frac{x}{\log x} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2 \log x} \right) < \pi(x) < \frac{x}{\log x} \left(1 + \frac{3}{2 \log x} \right),$$

where the left inequality holds for $x \geq 59$ and the right inequality holds for $x > 1$. In his 1976 book, Apostol [10] gives elementary proof of the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{6} \frac{x}{\log x} < \pi(x) < 6 \frac{x}{\log x}$$

for $x \geq 2$. In 1982 Nair [86] got

$$\pi(x) > (\log 2) \frac{x}{\log x}$$

for $x \geq 4$. Afterwards in 1999 Panaitopol [93] deduced

$$\pi(x) < \frac{x}{\log x - 1.12}$$

for $x \geq 4$. In the same year Dusart [54] proved that

$$\frac{x}{\log x} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\log x} + \frac{1.8}{\log^2 x} \right) \leq \pi(x) \leq \frac{x}{\log x} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\log x} + \frac{2.51}{\log^2 x} \right),$$

where the left inequality holds for $x \geq 32299$ and the right inequality holds for $x > 355991$. Next year Panaitopol [94] established that

$$\frac{x}{\log x - 1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\log x}}} < \pi(x) < \frac{x}{\log x - 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\log x}}},$$

where the left inequality holds for $x \geq 59$ and the right inequality holds for $x \geq 6$. In 2006 Hassani [64] showed that

$$\frac{x}{\log x - 1 + \frac{1}{4 \log x}} < \pi(x) < \frac{x}{\log x - 1 + \frac{151}{100 \log x}},$$

where the left inequality holds for $x \geq 3299$ and the right inequality holds for $x \geq 7$. Further in 2010 Dusart [55] obtained

$$\frac{x}{\log x - 1} < \pi(x) < \frac{x}{\log x - 1.1},$$

where the left inequality holds for $x \geq 5393$ and the right inequality holds for $x \geq 601841$. In 2016 Berkane and Dusart [40] proved that

$$\frac{x}{\log x} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\log x} + \frac{2}{\log^2 x} + \frac{5.2}{\log^3 x} \right) \leq \pi(x) \leq \frac{x}{\log x} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\log x} + \frac{2}{\log^2 x} + \frac{7.57}{\log^3 x} \right),$$

where the left inequality holds for $x > 3596143$ and the right inequality holds for $x > 110118914$. Subsequently Dusart [56] established that

$$\frac{x}{\log x} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\log x} \right) \leq \pi(x) \leq \frac{x}{\log x} \left(1 + \frac{1.2762}{\log x} \right),$$

where the left inequality holds for $x \geq 599$ and the right inequality holds for $x > 1$, and

$$\frac{x}{\log x} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\log x} + \frac{2}{\log^2 x} \right) \leq \pi(x) \leq \frac{x}{\log x} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\log x} + \frac{2.53816}{\log^2 x} \right),$$

where the left inequality holds for $x \geq 88789$ and the right inequality holds for $x > 1$, and

$$\pi(x) \leq \frac{x}{\log x} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\log x} + \frac{2}{\log^2 x} + \frac{7.59}{\log^3 x} \right)$$

for $x > 1$. Other results concerning inequalities with $\pi(x)$ can be found in the papers of Axler [29], [31], [32], [34]. It was shown in 2016 by Trudgian [142] that

$$|\pi(x) - \text{li}(x)| \leq 0.2795 \frac{x}{(\log x)^{3/4}} e^{-\sqrt{\frac{\log x}{6.455}}}$$

for $x \geq 229$. The result of Trudgian was sharpened by Mossinghoff and Trudgian [85] with the explicit upper bound

$$|\pi(x) - \text{li}(x)| \leq 0.2593 \frac{x}{(\log x)^{3/4}} e^{-\sqrt{\frac{\log x}{6.315}}}$$

for $x \geq 229$. Ramanujan [41] is credited with the inequality

$$\pi^2(x) < \frac{ex}{\log x} \pi\left(\frac{x}{e}\right), \quad (1)$$

which is valid for all sufficiently large values of x . The inequality (1) is called Ramanujan's prime counting inequality. A further contribution to this problem was proposed by Dudek and Platt [51] in 2015 when they proved that inequality (1) holds unconditionally for all $x \geq \exp(9658)$. The result of Dudek and Platt was then successively improved by Dudek [52] to $x \geq \exp(9394)$, by Axler [32] to $x \geq \exp(9032)$, by Platt and Trudgian [98] to $x \geq \exp(3915)$, by Cully-Hugill and Johnston [47] to $x \geq \exp(3604)$, by Johnston and Yang [69] to $x \geq \exp(3361)$ and the best result up to now belongs to Axler [35] with $x \geq \exp(3158.442)$. In his recent paper Hassani [66] studies inequalities analogous to Ramanujan's inequality (1).

7.2 The second Hardy-Littlewood conjecture

One of the most famous hypotheses in number theory is the second Hardy-Littlewood conjecture, which was published in 1923 in their paper [62].

Conjecture 1. (*Second Hardy-Littlewood conjecture*) *Let $x, y \geq 2$. Then*

$$\pi(x + y) \leq \pi(x) + \pi(y). \quad (2)$$

This is still an open problem. Inequality (2) has attracted the attention of numerous mathematicians, who presented various related results. There exist many inequalities related to (2). We mention a few of them. In 1934 Ishikawa [68] deduced

$$\pi(x) + \pi(y) \leq \pi(xy),$$

where $x, y \geq 5$. Schinzel [131] in 1961 proved that for all positive integers x, y with $\min(x, y) \leq 146$ the inequality (2) holds. Further in 1962 Rosser and Schoenfeld [108] established that

$$\frac{3x}{5 \log x} < \pi(2x) - \pi(x) < \frac{7x}{5 \log x},$$

where the left inequality holds for $x \geq \frac{41}{2}$ and the right inequality holds for $x > 1$. In the same year Segal [133] proves the inequality (2) for $x + y \leq 101081$. In 1966 Rosser and Schoenfeld [109] proved that Conjecture 1 is true when $x = y$. This is the famous inequality

$$\pi(2x) \leq 2\pi(x) \tag{3}$$

which is suggested by Landau [74]. Thanks to Karanikolov [71] we have that

$$\pi(ax) \leq a\pi(x)$$

for $a \geq e^{1/4}$ and $x \geq 364$. In 1973 Montgomery and Vaughan [82] using the large sieve obtained

$$\pi(x + y) \leq \pi(x) + 2\pi(y)$$

for $x \geq 1, y \geq 2$. Udrescu [143] in 1975 derived that if $0 < a \leq 1$ and $ax \leq y \leq x$ then the inequality (2) holds for x and y sufficiently large. Afterwards in 1979 Panaitopol [91] proved that if $a < b < c < d$ are positive numbers with $a + d = b + c$ then, if x exceeds an (unspecified) margin, we have

$$\pi(ax) + \pi(dx) < \pi(bx) + \pi(cx). \tag{4}$$

In 1998 Gordon and Rodemich [60] showed that (2) is true when $2 \leq \min(x, y) \leq 1731$. In the same year Dusart [53] obtained that the inequality (2) holds for $x \leq y \leq \frac{7}{5}x(\log x) \log \log x$, and

$$\frac{1}{2}[\pi(x) + \pi(2x)] \leq \pi(3x) \leq \pi(x) + \pi(2x)$$

for all integers $x \geq 2$, and

$$\pi(kx) \leq k\pi(x)$$

for all integers $x \geq 3, k \geq 1$, and

$$\pi(2x) - \pi(x) \leq \frac{x}{\log x}$$

for all integers $x \geq 2$. Again in the same year Panaitopol [92] proved that

$$\pi(xy) \geq \pi(x)\pi(y)$$

for $x, y \geq 2$ with the exceptions of $(x, y) = (7, 5); (7, 7)$. Further in 2000 Panaitopol [94] established that the inequality (2) holds for $ax \leq y \leq x, x > e^{9a^{-2}}, 0 < a \leq 1$, and

$$\pi(ax) < a\pi(x)$$

for $a > 1, x > e^{4(\log a)^{-2}}$, and

$$\pi(x + y) \leq 2\pi(x/2) + \pi(y)$$

for $2 \leq y \leq x$, $x \geq 6$, and

$$\pi(x+y) \leq 2[\pi(x/2) + \pi(y/2)]$$

for all integers $x, y \geq 4$. In the same year Panaitopol [95] proved that for $\alpha < 1$ there exists $n = n(\alpha)$ (explicitly computable) such that for all $x, y \geq n$ one has

$$\pi^\alpha(x+y) \leq \pi^\alpha(x) + \pi^\alpha(y).$$

Again Panaitopol [96] in 2001 got

$$\pi(x+y) \leq \pi(x) + \pi(y) + \pi(x-y),$$

where $2 \leq y \leq x$ and

$$\pi^2(x+y) \leq 2[\pi^2(x) + \pi^2(y)] \quad (5)$$

for all integers $x, y \geq 2$, and

$$2 \frac{\pi(x+y)}{x+y} \leq \frac{\pi(x)}{x} + \frac{\pi(y)}{y}$$

except the cases $(x, y) = (3, 2), (5, 2)$. In the same year Panaitopol [97] showed that the inequality (2) holds for all integers $x, y \geq 2$ that fulfill the conditions $\frac{y}{29} \leq x \leq y$. Thanks to Garunkštis [59] from 2002 we have that

$$\pi(x+y) \leq 1.11\pi\left(\frac{x}{1.11}\right) + \pi(y), \quad (6)$$

where $7 \leq y \leq x$. Subsequently in 2003 Mincu [78] improved the inequality (6) to

$$\pi(x+y) \leq 1.04\pi\left(\frac{x}{1.04}\right) + \pi(y),$$

where $17 \leq y \leq x$. Moreover Mincu [78] found an explicit margin for x from which on (4) checks up and he sharpened (3) to

$$\pi(2x + \pi(x)) \leq 2\pi(x)$$

for all integers $x \geq 37$, and also established that

$$\pi(x) + \pi(4x) < \pi(2x) + \pi(3x)$$

for all real $x \geq 284.5$. Further in 2012 Minculete [80] obtained

$$b\pi(ax) < a\pi(bx)$$

for every positive integers a, b, x with $bx \geq 5393$, $ax \geq 4$, $a \geq be^{0.12}$, and

$$(x+y)\pi(x+y) < 2[x\pi(x) + y\pi(y)]$$

for all integers $x, y \geq 67$, and

$$\pi^2(xy) < \frac{1}{4}xy\pi(x)\pi(y)$$

for all integers $x, y \geq 1525$, and

$$(1-a)\pi(x+y) < (1+a)\pi(x) + \pi(y)$$

for all integers $10544111 \leq y \leq x$ and $a \geq 0.00042$. In addition, Minculete [80] formulated the following hypothesis.

Conjecture 2. *There exists sufficiently large natural number n_0 , such that for all $x, y \geq n_0$, we have the inequality*

$$\pi^2(xy) \leq \pi(x^2)\pi(y^2).$$

Inspired by Panaitopol's result (5) in 2013 Alzer [4] proved that

$$\pi^2(x) + \pi^2(y) \leq \frac{5}{4}\pi^2(x+y)$$

holds for all integers $x, y \geq 2$. In 2022 Alzer, Kwong and Sándor [7] showed that

$$\pi(x)\pi(y) \leq \frac{9}{16}\pi^2(x+y)$$

is valid for all integers $x, y \geq 2$ with equality only for $x = 5$. The constant factor $\frac{9}{16}$ is the best possible. The special case $x = y$ leads to

$$\pi(2x) \geq \frac{4}{3}\pi(x),$$

which is a converse of the Landau inequality (3). Moreover in [7] we find the results

$$\pi(x+y) \leq 2 \left[\pi(x)^x \pi(y)^y \right]^{\frac{1}{x+y}}$$

and

$$\left(2 \frac{\pi(x+y)}{x+y} \right)^s \leq \left(\frac{\pi(x)}{x} \right)^s + \left(\frac{\pi(y)}{y} \right)^s.$$

The latter inequality holds for all integers $x, y \geq 2$ if and only if $0 < s \leq s_0 = 0.94745 \dots$. Here, s_0 is the only positive solution of the equation

$$\left(\frac{16}{7} \right)^t - \left(\frac{6}{5} \right)^t = 1.$$

Subsequently Sándor [126] deduced that for all $x, y \geq 2$ we have

$$\pi(x+y) \geq \frac{2}{3}[\pi(x) + \pi(y)]$$

and

$$\pi^2(x+y) \geq \frac{8}{7}[x\pi(x) + y\pi(y)].$$

Further Sándor [128] got

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{3\pi(x+y)} &\geq \sqrt{\pi(x)} + \sqrt{\pi(y)}, \\ \sqrt{x\pi(x)} + \sqrt{y\pi(y)} &\leq \frac{\sqrt{6}}{2} \sqrt{(x+y)\pi(x+y)} \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \geq 1$, and

$$\sqrt{\pi(x+y)} \leq \sqrt{\pi(x)} + \sqrt{\pi(y)}$$

for all $x, y \geq 2$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{2\pi(x+y)} &\geq \sqrt{\pi(x)} + \sqrt{\pi(y)}, \\ \sqrt{2\pi(x+y)} &\leq \sqrt{\pi(x)} + \sqrt{\pi(y)} \end{aligned}$$

for infinitely many (x, y) , and

$$(x + y)\sqrt{\pi(x + y)} \leq x\sqrt{2\pi(x)} + y\sqrt{2\pi(y)}$$

for all $x, y \geq 2$, and

$$\pi(x + y)\sqrt{x + y} \leq \pi(x)\sqrt{2x} + \pi(y)\sqrt{2y}$$

for any $2 \leq y \leq x$, except for the values $(x, y) = (4, 3), (10, 9)$, and

$$\pi(x^2 + y^2) \geq \frac{5}{6}[\pi(x^2) + \pi(y^2)],$$

$$\sqrt{\frac{12}{5}\pi(x^2 + y^2)} \geq \sqrt{\pi(x^2)} + \sqrt{\pi(y^2)}$$

for all $x, y \geq 2$. Very recently Sándor [130] has improved his results in [7], [126] and [128] showing that for all $x, y \geq 2$ we have

$$\pi(x + y) \geq \frac{3}{4}[\pi(x) + \pi(y)]$$

with the exceptions of $(x, y) = (7, 3); (5, 5); (7, 5); (23, 13); (19, 17)$, and

$$\pi(2x) \geq \frac{3}{2}\pi(x),$$

for any $x \neq 5, x \geq 2$, and

$$\pi(x) + \pi(y) \leq \pi(x)\pi(y),$$

for any $x, y \geq 3$, and

$$\pi(x) + \pi(y) \leq \frac{2}{3}\pi(x)\pi(y),$$

with the exceptions of $(x, y) = (3, 3); (4, 3); (4, 4)$, and

$$\pi(x + y) \leq \frac{x}{y}\pi(x) + \pi(y),$$

$$\pi(x + y) \leq 2\sqrt{\pi(x)^{x/y}\pi(y)} \leq \pi(x)^{x/y} + \pi(y)$$

for $2 \leq y \leq x$, and

$$\frac{5}{4} \leq \frac{\pi(\pi(2x))}{\pi(\pi(x))} \leq 2$$

for $x \geq 3$ except for $x = 5$ on the left side, and

$$\frac{3}{2} \leq \frac{\pi(\pi(3x))}{\pi(\pi(x))} \leq 3$$

for $x \geq 3$.

7.3 Conjectures about $\pi(x)$

Using the analogy of the Young, Hölder and Minkowski inequalities the author formulates the following three hypotheses.

Conjecture 3. *Let $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ with $p, q > 1$. There exists sufficiently large natural number n_0 , such that for all $x, y \geq n_0$ the inequality*

$$\pi(xy) \leq \frac{\pi(x^p)}{p} + \frac{\pi(x^q)}{q}$$

holds.

Conjecture 4. *Let $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ with $p, q > 1$. There exists sufficiently large natural number n_0 , such that for all $x, y \geq n_0$ the inequality*

$$\pi(xy) \leq [\pi(x^p)]^{\frac{1}{p}} [\pi(y^q)]^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

holds.

Conjecture 5. *Let $p > 1$. There exists sufficiently large natural number n_0 , such that for all $x, y \geq n_0$ the inequality*

$$[\pi((x+y)^p)]^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq [\pi(x^p)]^{\frac{1}{p}} + [\pi(y^p)]^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

holds.

8 The arithmetic derivative $D(n)$

In 1961 Barbeau [37] obtained upper and lower bounds for $D(n)$ and found that

$$D(n) \leq \frac{n \log_2 n}{2}$$

and

$$D(n) \geq \Omega(n) n^{\frac{\Omega(n)-1}{\Omega(n)}}$$

for $n \geq 1$.

Afterwards in 2011 Dahl, Olsson and Loiko [48] showed that

$$D(n) \leq \frac{n \log_p n}{p},$$

where p is the smallest prime factor of n and equality holds when n is a power of p .

9 The n -th harmonic number H_n

It is easy to see that

$$\gamma + \log n < H_n < 1 + \log n,$$

where $n > 1$ and γ is Euler's constant. Over the years, the upper and lower bounds of H_n have been improved many times. In 1971 Tims and Tyrrell [139] proved the following theorem.

Theorem 11. *For all integers $n \geq 2$ we have*

$$\gamma + \log n + \frac{1}{2(n+1)} < H_n < \gamma + \log n + \frac{1}{2(n-1)}.$$

Proof. See ([139], , Theorem 1, Corollary). □

Next step belongs to Young [145]. In 1991 he proved the next result.

Theorem 12. *For all natural numbers n we have*

$$\gamma + \log n + \frac{1}{2(n+1)} < H_n < \gamma + \log n + \frac{1}{2n}.$$

Proof. See ([145], Theorem 1). □

Subsequently Tóth [140], [141] improved the result of Young.

Theorem 13. *For all natural numbers n we have*

$$\gamma + \log n + \frac{1}{2n + \frac{2}{5}} < H_n < \gamma + \log n + \frac{1}{2n + \frac{1}{3}}.$$

Proof. See ([140], [141]). □

Further in 1993 DeTemple [49] established the following theorem.

Theorem 14. *For all natural numbers n we have*

$$\gamma + \log \left(n + \frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{24(n+1)^2} < H_n < \gamma + \log \left(n + \frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{24n^2}.$$

Proof. See ([49], Theorem 1). □

In 1995 Anderson et al. [8] derived result which sharpens the lower bound given in Theorem 12 if $n \leq 5$.

Theorem 15. *For all natural numbers n we have*

$$\gamma + \log n + \frac{1-\gamma}{n} < H_n < \gamma + \log n + \frac{1}{2n}.$$

Proof. See ([8], Theorem 3.1). □

Next step belongs to Alzer [1]. In 1998 he established the following result.

Theorem 16. *For all natural numbers n we have*

$$\gamma + \log n + \frac{1}{2n + \frac{2\gamma-1}{1-\gamma}} < H_n < \gamma + \log n + \frac{1}{2n + \frac{1}{3}}.$$

Proof. See ([1], Theorem 3). □

In 1999 Negoï [87] formulated and proved the following theorem.

Theorem 17. *For all natural numbers n we have*

$$\gamma + \log \left(n + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{24n} \right) - \frac{1}{48n^3} < H_n < \gamma + \log \left(n + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{24n} \right) - \frac{1}{48(n+1)^3}.$$

Proof. See ([87], Theorem 1). □

In 2005 Batir [38] provided remarkable upper and lower bounds for H_n .

Theorem 18. *For any integer $n \geq 1$ the following inequalities involving harmonic numbers hold.*

$$\gamma + \log \left(n + \frac{1}{2} \right) < H_n \leq \gamma + \log(n - 1 + e^{1-\gamma}),$$

$$\log \left(\frac{\pi^2}{6} \right) - \log(e^{1/(n+1)} - 1) < H_n < \gamma - \log(e^{1/(n+1)} - 1).$$

Proof. See ([38], Corollary 2.2). □

Next year Alzer [2] refines the result of Batir.

Theorem 19. *For all natural numbers n we have*

$$1 + \log(\sqrt{e} - 1) - \log(e^{1/(n+1)} - 1) \leq H_n < \gamma - \log(e^{1/(n+1)} - 1).$$

Proof. See ([2], Theorem 1). □

In 2009 Chen [43] obtained result which sharpens the upper bound given in Theorem 14.

Theorem 20. *For all natural numbers n we have*

$$H_n < \gamma + \log \left(n + \frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{24 \left(n + \frac{1}{2} \right)^2}.$$

Proof. See ([43], Remark 4). □

Further in 2010 Chen [44] established the following result.

Theorem 21. *For all natural numbers n we have*

$$\gamma + \log \left(n + \frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{24(n+a)^2} \leq H_n < \gamma + \log \left(n + \frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{24(n+b)^2}$$

with the best possible constants

$$a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{24[1 - \gamma - \log(3/2)]}} \quad \text{and} \quad b = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Proof. See ([44], Theorem 1). □

In 2011 Alzer [3] proved several interesting results.

Theorem 22. For all integers $n \geq 2$ we have

$$\alpha \frac{\log(\log n + \gamma)}{n^2} < H_n^{1/n} - H_{n+1}^{1/(n+1)} < \frac{\log(\log n + \gamma)}{n^2}$$

with the best possible constant factors

$$\alpha = \frac{6\sqrt{6} - 2\sqrt[3]{396}}{3 \log(\log 2 + \gamma)}$$

Proof. See ([3], Theorem 3.1). □

Theorem 23. For all integers $n \geq 4$ we have

$$H_n^{1/n} < \sqrt{H_{n-1}^{1/(n-1)} H_{n+1}^{1/(n+1)}}.$$

Proof. See ([3], Theorem 3.2). □

Theorem 24. For all integers $m \geq 2$ and $n \geq 2$ we have

$$2 < \frac{H_m^{1/m} + H_n^{1/n}}{H_{m+n}^{1/(m+n)}} < 1 + \frac{\sqrt{6}}{2}.$$

Proof. See ([3], Theorem 3.4). □

Theorem 25. For all integers $m \geq 2$ and $n \geq 2$ we have

$$\frac{1}{H_{m+n}^{1/(m+n)}} < \frac{4}{H_m^{1/m} + H_n^{1/n}} < \frac{1}{H_m^{1/m}} + \frac{1}{H_n^{1/n}}.$$

Proof. See ([3], Remark 3.5). □

Theorem 26. Let $k, m, n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1, 2\}$. If $m < n$, then

$$H_{m+k}^{1/(m+k)} - H_m^{1/m} < H_{n+k}^{1/(n+k)} - H_n^{1/n}.$$

Proof. See ([3], Theorem 3.6). □

Theorem 27. For all integers $n \geq 1$ we have

$$\alpha \leq \exp(H_{n+1}) - \exp(H_n) < \beta$$

with the best possible bounds

$$\alpha = e(\sqrt{e} - 1) \quad \text{and} \quad \beta = e^\gamma.$$

Proof. See ([3], Theorem 3.7). □

In the same year Batir [39] proved the following theorem.

Theorem 28. For any integer $n \geq 1$ we have

$$\gamma + \frac{1}{2} \log \left(n^2 + n + e^{2(1-\gamma)} - 2 \right) < H_n \leq \gamma + \frac{1}{2} \log \left(n^2 + n + \frac{1}{3} \right).$$

Proof. See ([39], Corollary 2.2). □

Again in 2011 Guo and Qi [61] obtained the following result.

Theorem 29. *For all natural numbers n we have*

$$\gamma + \log n + \frac{1}{2n} - \frac{1}{12n^2 + \frac{2(7-12\gamma)}{2\gamma-1}} \leq H_n < \gamma + \log n + \frac{1}{2n} - \frac{1}{12n^2 + \frac{6}{5}}.$$

Proof. See ([61], Theorem 1). □

Other results concerning inequalities with H_n can be found in [45], [58], [89], [134],

10 The Mertens function $M(n)$

In 1995 Marraki [77] obtained upper bounds for $M(n)$ and found that

$$\begin{aligned} |M(x)| &\leq \frac{12590292 \cdot x}{(\log x)^{236/75}} \quad \text{for } x > \exp(12282.3) \\ |M(x)| &\leq \frac{0.6437752 \cdot x}{\log x} \quad \text{for } x > 1. \end{aligned}$$

Other results concerning inequalities with $M(n)$ can be found in [46] and [103].

11 Prime omega functions $\omega(n)$ and $\Omega(n)$

Obviously

$$\omega(n) \leq \Omega(n).$$

In 1983 Robin [105] obtained the following results.

Theorem 30. *For $n \geq 3$ we have*

$$\omega(n) \leq 1.38402 \frac{\log n}{\log \log n}.$$

Proof. See ([105], Theorem 11). □

Theorem 31. *For $n \geq 3$ we have*

$$\omega(n) \leq \frac{\log n}{\log \log n} + 1.45743 \frac{\log n}{(\log \log n)^2}.$$

Proof. See ([105], Theorem 12). □

Theorem 32. *For $n \geq 26$ we have*

$$\omega(n) \leq \frac{\log n}{\log \log n - 1.1714}.$$

Proof. See ([105], Theorem 13). □

Theorem 33. For $n \geq 3$ we have

$$\omega(n) \leq \frac{\log n}{\log \log n} + \frac{\log n}{(\log \log n)^2} + 2.89726 \frac{\log n}{(\log \log n)^3}.$$

Proof. See ([105], Theorem 16). □

In 2022 Alzer and Kwong [6] proved the following theorem.

Theorem 34. For $n \geq 2$ we have

$$\omega(2n) \leq 2\omega(n).$$

Equality holds if and only if $n = p^k$, where p is an odd prime number and k is a positive integer.

Proof. See ([6], Lemma 2). □

12 The functions $\varphi(n)$ and $\sigma(n)$

The most famous inequality connecting $\varphi(n)$ and $\sigma(n)$ is contained in the following theorem.

Theorem 35. We have

$$\frac{6}{\pi^2} < \frac{\varphi(n) \sigma(n)}{n^2} < 1.$$

Proof. See ([63], Theorem 329). □

In 1968 Oppenheim [90] showed that

$$\varphi\left(n \left\lceil \frac{\sigma(n)}{n} \right\rceil\right) \leq n.$$

Further in 1987 Atanassov [11] and Sándor [110] established that if $n \geq 2$, then

$$\sigma(n)^{\varphi(n)} < n^n < \varphi(n)^{\sigma(n)}.$$

In 1988 Atanassov [12] proved that if n is odd, then

$$\sigma(n) \leq \varphi(n)P(n)$$

and if n is even, then

$$\sigma(n) \leq 4\varphi(n)P(n),$$

where $P(n)$ denotes the greatest prime factor of n . Further in 2008 Atanassov [20] showed that

$$\frac{6}{\pi^2} \varphi^2(n) > \sigma(n) \sqrt{n}$$

for all odd numbers except for the values $n = 3, 5, 9, 15, 27, 45, 75$, and

$$\frac{18\sqrt{2}}{\pi^2} \varphi^2(n) > \sigma(n) \sqrt{n}$$

for all even numbers $n > 6$, and

$$\varphi^3(n) > \sigma^2(n)$$

for all $n \geq 5$ except for the values $n = 6, 8, 12, 16, 18, 24$. In 2019 Sándor and Atanassov [124] deduced that if $n \geq 2$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(n) + \sigma(n) &\geq 2n, \\ \varphi(n)^{\varphi(n)} \sigma(n)^{\sigma(n)} &> n^{\varphi(n) + \sigma(n)}, \\ \varphi(n)^{\sigma(n)} \sigma(n)^{\varphi(n)} &< n^{\varphi(n) + \sigma(n)}.\end{aligned}$$

Other results concerning inequalities with $\varphi(n)$ and $\sigma(n)$ can be found in [13], [14], [15], [19].

13 The functions $\varphi(n)$ and $d(n)$

In 1967 Sivaramakrishnan [136] proved that for all natural numbers

$$\varphi(n) d(n) \geq n.$$

Further in 1972 Porubsky [101] showed that if $n \neq 4$, then

$$\varphi(n) d^2(n) \leq n^2.$$

Thanks to Pólya and Szegő [99] from 1976 we have that if $n > 30$, then

$$\varphi(n) > d(n).$$

In 1987 Sándor [110] proved the inequality

$$\varphi\left(n \left\lceil \frac{n}{d(n)} \right\rceil\right) \leq \varphi^2(n).$$

Further in 1989 Sándor [113] proved that for all natural numbers

$$\varphi(n) d(n) \geq \varphi(n) + n - 1.$$

In 2010 Sándor [121] showed that if $n \neq 4$ is composite, then

$$\varphi(n) + d(n) < n$$

and that for $n \geq 2$ we have

$$\varphi(n) + d(n) < n + 1.$$

Other results concerning inequalities with $\varphi(n)$ and $d(n)$ can be found in [125], [129].

14 The functions $d(n)$ and $\sigma(n)$

In 1965 Sivaramakrishnan and Venkataraman [135] established that for all natural numbers

$$\frac{\sigma(n)}{d(n)} \geq \sqrt{n}.$$

On the other hand it is known that for all natural numbers

$$\frac{\sigma(n)}{d(n)} \leq \frac{n+1}{2}$$

which is due to E. S. Langford [81]. In 1987 Sándor [110] proved the inequalities

$$\frac{d(mn)}{d(m)d(n)} \leq \frac{\sigma(mn)}{\sigma(m)\sigma(n)},$$

$$\frac{(d(mn))^2}{d(m^2)d(n^2)} \frac{4mn}{(mn+1)^2} \leq \frac{(\sigma(mn))^2}{\sigma(m^2)\sigma(n^2)} \leq \frac{(d(mn))^2}{d(m^2)d(n^2)}$$

for all $m, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. The next year Sándor [111] deduced that

$$\frac{d(mn)}{d(m)} \geq \frac{\sigma(mn)}{n\sigma(m)}$$

for all $m, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$.

15 The functions $\varphi(n)$ and $\psi(n)$

As a direct consequence of the formulas of $\varphi(n)$ and $\psi(n)$, we have

$$\varphi(n) \leq \psi(n).$$

In 1988 Sándor [112] proved the following inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi\left(n \left\lceil \frac{\psi(n)}{n} \right\rceil\right) &\leq n, \\ \psi(n)^{\varphi(n)} &< n^n \quad \text{for all } n \geq 2, \\ \varphi(n)^{\psi(n)} &> n^n \quad \text{if all prime factors of } n \text{ are } \geq 5, \end{aligned}$$

Further in 2008 Atanassov [20] showed that

$$\frac{6}{\pi^2} \varphi^2(n) > \psi(n) \sqrt{n}$$

for all odd numbers except for the values $n = 3, 5, 9, 15, 27, 45$, and

$$\frac{18\sqrt{2}}{\pi^2} \varphi^2(n) > \psi(n) \sqrt{n}$$

for all even numbers $n > 6$, and

$$\varphi^3(n) > \psi^2(n)$$

for all $n \geq 5$ except for the values $n = 6, 8, 12, 16, 18, 24$, and

$$\varphi^4(n) \geq \psi^3(n)$$

for all $n \geq 5$ except for the values $n = 6, 8, 9, 12, 16, 18, 24, 32, 36, 48, 54, 72, 108, 144, 162, 192, 216, 288, 324, 384, 432, 486, 576$. In 2011 Solé and Planat [137] established the following theorem.

Theorem 36. *For $n \geq 2$ we have*

$$\frac{6}{\pi^2} < \frac{\varphi(n)\psi(n)}{n^2} < 1.$$

Proof. See ([137], Proposition 5). □

In the same year Atanassov [22] proved that for all natural numbers $n \geq 2$ we have

$$\varphi(n) + \psi(n) \geq 2n.$$

Using the above inequality Atanassov [22] deduced that for all natural numbers $n \geq 2$ we have

$$\varphi(n)^{\varphi(n)}\psi(n)^{\psi(n)} > n^{2n}.$$

Further in 2013 Kannan and Srikanth [70] sharpened the above result by showing that

$$\varphi(n)^{\varphi(n)}\psi(n)^{\psi(n)} > n^{\varphi(n)+\psi(n)}$$

for $n \geq 2$. Afterwards in 2019 Sándor and Atanassov [124] among other results refined the above inequality, proving that for $n \geq 2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} n^{\varphi(n)+\psi(n)} &< \left(\frac{\varphi(n) + \psi(n)}{2}\right)^{\varphi(n)+\psi(n)} < \varphi(n)^{\varphi(n)}\psi(n)^{\psi(n)} < \left(\frac{\varphi^2(n) + \psi^2(n)}{2}\right)^{\frac{\varphi(n)+\psi(n)}{2}} \\ &< \psi(n)^{\varphi(n)+\psi(n)}, \\ \left(\frac{\varphi(n)\psi(n)(\varphi(n) + \psi(n))}{\varphi^2(n) + \psi^2(n)}\right)^{\varphi(n)+\psi(n)} &< \varphi(n)^{\psi(n)}\psi(n)^{\varphi(n)} < \left(\frac{2\varphi(n)\psi(n)}{\varphi(n) + \psi(n)}\right)^{\varphi(n)+\psi(n)} \\ &< (\varphi(n)\psi(n))^{\frac{\varphi(n)+\psi(n)}{2}} < n^{\varphi(n)+\psi(n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Subsequently in 2020 Alzer and Kwong [5] obtained that for $n \geq 2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)^{n-1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^{n+1} &\leq \left(\frac{\varphi(n)}{n}\right)^{\varphi(n)} \left(\frac{\psi(n)}{n}\right)^{\psi(n)}, \\ \left(\frac{\varphi(n)}{n}\right)^{\psi(n)} \left(\frac{\psi(n)}{n}\right)^{\varphi(n)} &\leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)^{n+1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Other results concerning inequalities with $\varphi(n)$ and $\psi(n)$ can be found in [18], [23], [114], [122].

16 The functions $\varphi(n)$ and $\pi(n)$

In 1951 Moser [84] proved that if $n \geq 90$, then

$$\pi(n) \leq \varphi(n).$$

17 The functions $\psi(n)$ and $\sigma(n)$

It is easy to see that for $n \geq 1$ we have

$$\psi(n) \leq \sigma(n).$$

In 2008 Sándor [119] showed that if $n \geq 1$, then

$$\sigma(n) < \frac{\pi^2}{6} \psi(n).$$

Further in 2019 Atanassov and Sándor [27] deduced for $n \geq 2$ the inequality

$$\sigma(n)^n < \psi(n)^{\sigma(n)}.$$

Other results concerning inequalities with $\psi(n)$ and $\sigma(n)$ can be found in Atanassov [24]

18 The functions $\pi(n)$ and H_n

In 2006 Hassani [64] showed that

$$\frac{n}{H_n - \gamma - 1 + \frac{1}{4 \log 3299}} < \pi(n) < \frac{n}{H_n - 2 - \frac{151}{100 \log 7}},$$

where the left inequality holds for $n \geq 3299$ and the right inequality holds for $n \geq 9$.

19 The functions $\pi(n)$ and $\omega(n)$

In 2020 Zhang [146] proved the following theorem.

Theorem 37. *Let $n \geq 59$ be an integer. Then*

$$2\pi(n) - \pi(2n) \geq \omega(2n).$$

Proof. See ([146], Theorem 1). □

The result of Zhang was improved by Alzer and Kwong [6].

Theorem 38. *Let $n \geq 71$ be an integer. Then*

$$2\pi(n) - \pi(2n) \geq 2\omega(n),$$

with equality if and only if $n \in \{78, 100, 102, 126\}$.

Proof. See ([6], Theorem 1). □

20 The functions $d(n)$, $\omega(n)$ and $\Omega(n)$

It is well known ([63], § 22.13) that the normal order of the divisor function satisfies

$$2^{\omega(n)} \leq d(n) \leq 2^{\Omega(n)}.$$

On the other hand using the definition of $d(n)$ and the arithmetic geometric mean inequality we deduce

$$d(n) \leq \left(1 + \frac{\Omega(n)}{\omega(n)}\right)^{\omega(n)}$$

for $n \geq 2$.

In 1987 Somasundaram [138] showed that

$$d(n) \leq \left(\log \left(n \prod_{p|n} p \right)^{\frac{1}{\omega(n)}} \right)^{\omega(n)} \prod_{p|n} \frac{1}{\log p}$$

for $n \geq 2$.

In 1989 Sándor ([117], II. 3, p. 41) proved that

$$\frac{d(n^2)}{d(n)} \geq \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{\omega(n)}.$$

Other results concerning inequalities with $d(n)$, $\omega(n)$ and $\Omega(n)$ can be found in [72], [75], [102].

21 The functions $\varphi(n)$, $d(n)$ and $\sigma(n)$

Thanks to Makowski [76] from 1964 we have that if $n > 1$, then

$$\varphi(n) d^2(n) > \sigma(n).$$

Afterwards in 1988 Sándor [112] proved that for all odd numbers

$$\varphi(n) d(n) \geq \sigma(n).$$

22 The functions $\varphi(n)$, $\psi(n)$ and $\sigma(n)$

It is well known that

$$\varphi(n) \leq \psi(n) \leq \sigma(n).$$

In 1989 Sándor [113] proved that for all natural numbers

$$\sigma(n) \leq \varphi(n) + d(n)(n - \varphi(n)).$$

Further in 2013 Atanassov [25] formulated and proved one of the most elegant inequality concerning the functions $\varphi(n)$, $\psi(n)$ and $\sigma(n)$. Using induction on $\Omega(n)$ he proved that for every natural number $n \geq 2$ we have

$$\varphi(n) \psi(n) \sigma(n) \geq n^3 + n^2 - n - 1.$$

In 2019 Atanassov and Sándor [27] derived for $n \geq 2$ the inequality

$$\psi(n)^n > \sigma(n)^{\varphi(n)}.$$

Afterwards in 2021 Sándor and Atanassov [127], Theorem 1.2.1 showed that for $n \geq 19$ we have

$$\varphi(n)^{\psi(n)} > \sigma(n)^n.$$

Recently the author [50] showed that

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi^2(n) + \psi^2(n) + \sigma^2(n) &\geq 3n^2 + 2n + 3, \\ \varphi(n)\psi(n) + \varphi(n)\sigma(n) + \sigma(n)\psi(n) &\geq 3n^2 + 2n - 1\end{aligned}$$

for every natural number $n \geq 2$. Other results concerning inequalities with $\varphi(n)$, $\psi(n)$ and $\sigma(n)$ can be found in [16], [17], [21], [122].

23 The functions $\varphi(n)$, $\psi(n)$, $\sigma(n)$, $\omega(n)$ and $\Omega(n)$

In 2014 Atanassov [26] established that for every natural number $n \geq 2$ we have

$$\frac{\Omega(n) - \omega(n)}{2^{\Omega(n) - \omega(n)}} \varphi(n) < \sigma(n) - \psi(n) < 2^{\Omega(n) - 1} \varphi(n).$$

24 The Riemann hypothesis and the arithmetic functions

The Riemann zeta function is a mathematical function of a complex variable defined as

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} = \frac{1}{1^s} + \frac{1}{2^s} + \frac{1}{3^s} + \cdots$$

for $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$. It is well known that its analytic continuation for $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 0$ is given by the formula

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} + \frac{N^{1-s}}{s-1} - \frac{1}{2} N^{-s} - s \int_N^{\infty} \frac{\psi(t)}{t^{s+1}} dt,$$

where $N \geq 1$, $\psi(t) = \{t\} - 1/2$ and $\{t\}$ denotes the fractional part of t . The Riemann zeta function has zeros at $-2, -4, -6, \dots$. These are called the trivial zeros. It is known that any non-trivial zero lies in the open strip $\{s \in \mathbb{C} : 0 < \operatorname{Re}(s) < 1\}$, which is called the critical strip. The set $\{s \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re}(s) = 1/2\}$ is called the critical line. The Riemann hypothesis asserts that all non-trivial zeros are on the critical line. Many consider it to be the most important unsolved problem in pure mathematics because its solution implies results about the distribution of prime numbers. In 1915 Ramanujan showed that under the assumption of the Riemann hypothesis, the inequality

$$\sigma(n) < e^{\gamma} n \log \log n \tag{7}$$

holds for all sufficiently large n . Afterwards in 1984 Robin [106] proved the following theorem.

Theorem 39. (*Robin's criterion*) *The Riemann hypothesis is true if and only if the inequality (7) holds for $n \geq 5041$.*

Proof. See ([106], Theorem 1). □

In 2002 Lagarias [73] showed that the Riemann hypothesis is equivalent to the following statement.

Theorem 40. *The inequality*

$$\sigma(n) \leq H_n + e^{H_n} \log H_n$$

is true for every natural number n if and only if the Riemann hypothesis is true.

Proof. See ([73], Theorem 1.1). □

The Riemann hypothesis implies a much tighter bound on the error in the estimate for $\pi(x)$ and hence to a more regular distribution of prime numbers. In this connection Schoenfeld [132] has proved the next theorem.

Theorem 41. *If the Riemann hypothesis holds then*

$$\begin{aligned} |\pi(x) - \text{li}(x)| &< \frac{\sqrt{x} \log x}{8\pi} \quad \text{for } x \geq 2657 \\ \pi(x) - \text{li}(x) &< \frac{\sqrt{x} \log x}{8\pi} \quad \text{for } x \geq 3/2. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. See ([132], Theorem 10, Corollary 1). □

In relation to Ramanujan's prime counting inequality (1) in 2012 Hassani [65] established the following theorem.

Theorem 42. *Assume that the Riemann hypothesis is true. Then the inequality (1) is valid for $x \geq 138\,766\,146\,692\,471\,228$.*

Proof. See ([65], Theorem 1.2). □

Subsequently in 2015 Dudek and Platt [51] refined Hassani's result by proving the next statement.

Theorem 43. *Assume that the Riemann hypothesis is true. Then the inequality (1) is valid for $x \geq 1.15 \cdot 10^{16}$.*

Proof. See ([51], Lemma 3.2). □

In 2018 Dusart [57] improved the result in Theorem 41.

Theorem 44. *If the Riemann hypothesis holds then*

$$|\pi(x) - \text{li}(x)| < \frac{\sqrt{x}}{8\pi} \log \left(\frac{x}{\log x} \right)$$

for $x \geq 5639$.

Proof. See ([57], Proposition 2.6). □

References

- [1] Alzer, H. (1998). Inequalities for the Gamma and Polygamma Functions. *Abh. Math. Semin. Univ. Hambg.*, 68, 363 – 372.
- [2] Alzer, H. (2006). Sharp inequalities for the harmonic numbers. *Exposit. Math.*, 24, 385 – 388.
- [3] Alzer, H. (2011). Inequalities for the harmonic numbers. *Math. Z.*, 267, 367 – 384.
- [4] Alzer, H. (2013). An inequality for the function $\pi(n)$. *Period. Math. Hungar.*, 67(2), 243 – 249.
- [5] Alzer, H., & Kwong, M. (2020). Inequalities for the arithmetical functions of Euler and Dedekind. *Czechoslovak Math. J.*, 70(3), 781 – 791.
- [6] Alzer, H., & Kwong, M. (2022). On Landau's inequality for the prime counting function. *J. Integer Seq.*, 25, Article 22.7.3.
- [7] Alzer, H., Kwong, M., & Sándor, J. (2022). Inequalities involving $\pi(x)$. *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova*, 147, 237 – 251.
- [8] Anderson, G. D., Barnard, R. W., Richards, K. C., Vamanamurthy, M. K., & Vuorinen, M. (1995). Inequalities for zero-balanced hypergeometric functions. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 347, 1713 – 1723.
- [9] Annapurna, V. (1972). Inequalities for $\sigma(n)$ and $\varphi(n)$. *Math. Mag.*, 45, 187 – 190.
- [10] Apostol, T. M. (1976). *Introduction to Analytic Number Theory*. Springer-Verlag.
- [11] Atanassov, K. (1987). New integer functions related to φ and σ functions. *Bull. Number Theory. Rel. Topics*, 11, 3 – 26.
- [12] Atanassov, K. (1988). Remarks on φ , φ , σ and other functions. *C.R. Acad. Bulgare Sci.*, 41, 41 – 44.
- [13] Atanassov, K. (1991). Inequalities for φ and σ functions. I. *Bull. Number Theory. Rel. Topics*, 15, 12 – 14.
- [14] Atanassov, K. (1991). Inequalities for φ and σ functions. II. *Bull. Number Theory. Rel. Topics*, 15, 15 – 18.
- [15] Atanassov, K. (1991). Inequalities for φ and σ functions. III. *Bull. Number Theory. Rel. Topics*, 15, 19 – 20.
- [16] Atanassov, K. (1995). Inequalities for φ , ψ and σ functions, *Octagon*, 3(2), 11 – 13.
- [17] Atanassov, K. (1996). Inequalities for φ , ψ and σ functions (II), *Octagon*, 4(2), 18 – 20.

- [18] Atanasov, K. (1996). Remark on φ and ψ functions. *Notes on Number Theory and Discrete Mathematics*, 2(1), 5 – 6.
- [19] Atanasov, K. (2006). Note on φ , ψ and σ functions. *Notes on Number Theory and Discrete Mathematics*, 12(4), 23 – 24.
- [20] Atanasov, K. (2008). Inequalities related to φ , ψ and σ -functions (III). *Notes on Number Theory and Discrete Mathematics*, 14(1), 16 – 24.
- [21] Atanasov, K. (2010). Note on φ , ψ and σ -functions. Part 2. *Notes on Number Theory and Discrete Mathematics*, 16(3), 25 – 28.
- [22] Atanasov, K. (2011). Note on φ , ψ and σ -functions. Part 3. *Notes on Number Theory and Discrete Mathematics*, 17(3), 13 – 14.
- [23] Atanasov, K. (2011). Note on φ , ψ and σ -functions. Part 4. *Notes on Number Theory and Discrete Mathematics*, 17(4), 69 – 72.
- [24] Atanasov, K. (2012). Note on φ , ψ and σ -functions. Part 5. *Notes on Number Theory and Discrete Mathematics*, 18(1), 58 – 62.
- [25] Atanasov, K. (2013). Note on φ , ψ and σ -functions. Part 6. *Notes on Number Theory and Discrete Mathematics*, 19(1), 22 – 24.
- [26] Atanasov, K. (2014). Note on φ , ψ and σ -functions. Part 7. *Notes on Number Theory and Discrete Mathematics*, 20(3), 50 – 53.
- [27] Atanasov, K., & Sándor, J. (2019). Inequalities between the arithmetic functions φ , ψ and σ . Part 1. *Notes on Number Theory and Discrete Mathematics*, 25(1), 50 – 53.
- [28] Aoudjit, S., Berkane, D., & Dusart, P. (2021). On Robin's criterion for the Riemann Hypothesis. *Notes on Number Theory and Discrete Mathematics*, 27(4), 15 – 24.
- [29] Axler, C. (2016). New bounds for the prime counting function. *Integers*, 16, # A22.
- [30] Axler, C. (2017). A new upper bound for the sum of divisors function. *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.*, 96(3), 374 – 379.
- [31] Axler, C. (2018). New estimates for some functions defined over primes. *Integers*, 18, # A52.
- [32] Axler, C. (2018). Estimates for $\pi(x)$ for large values of x and Ramanujan's prime counting inequality. *Integers*, 18, # A61.
- [33] Axler, C., & Nicolas, J. (2022). Large values of $n/\varphi(n)$ and $\sigma(n)/n$. *Acta Arith.*, DOI: 10.4064/aa220705-12-10.
- [34] Axler, C. (2022). Effective estimates for some functions defined over primes. *arXiv:2203.05917*.

- [35] Axler, C. (2022). On Ramanujan's prime counting inequality. *arXiv:2207.02486*.
- [36] Axler, C. (2023). On Robin's inequality. *Ramanujan J.*, 61, 909 – 919, (2023).
- [37] Barbeau, E. (1961). Remarks on an Arithmetic Derivative. *Canad. Math. Bull.*, 4(2), 117 – 122.
- [38] Batir, N. (2005). Some new inequalities for gamma and polygamma functions. *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.*, 6(4), Article 103.
- [39] Batir, N. (2011). Sharp bounds for the psi function and harmonic numbers. *Math. Inequal. Appl.*, 14(4), 917 – 925.
- [40] Berkane, D., & Dusart, P. (2016). On a constant related to the prime counting function. *Mediterr. J. Math.*, 13, 929 – 938.
- [41] Berndt, B. C. (2012). *Ramanujan's Notebooks, Part IV*. Springer Science & Business Media.
- [42] Chebyshev, P. L. (1852). Mémoire sur les nombres premiers. *Journal de Math. Pures et Appl.*, 17, 366 – 390.
- [43] Chen, C-P. (2009). Inequalities and monotonicity properties for some special functions. *J. Math. Inequal.*, 3, 79 – 91..
- [44] Chen, C-P. (2010). Inequalities for the Euler-Mascheroni constant. *Appl. Math. Lett.*, 23, 161 – 164.
- [45] Chen, C-P. (2012). Sharp inequalities for the harmonic numbers. *Carpathian J. Math.*, 28, 223 – 229 .
- [46] Cohen, H., Dress, F., & Marraki, M. (2007). Explicit estimates for summatory functions linked to the Möbius μ -function. *Funct. Approx. Comment. Math.*, 37(1), 51 – 63.
- [47] Cully-Hugill, M., & Johnston, D. R. (2023). On the error term in the explicit formula of Riemann–von Mangoldt. *arXiv:2111.10001*.
- [48] Dahl, N., Olsson, J., & Loiko, A. (2011). Investigation of the properties of the arithmetic derivative. *arXiv:1108.4762*.
- [49] DeTemple, D. W. (1993). A quicker convergence to Euler's constant. *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 100, 468 – 470.
- [50] Dimitrov, S. (2023). Lower bounds on expressions dependent on functions $\varphi(n)$, $\psi(n)$ and $\sigma(n)$. *Notes on Number Theory and Discrete Mathematics*, 29(4), 22 – 24.
- [51] Dudek, A. W., & Platt, D. J. (2015). On solving a curious inequality of Ramanujan. *Exp. Math.*, 24(3), 289 – 294.

- [52] Dudek, A. W. (2016). *Explicit estimates in the theory of prime numbers*. Ph.D. thesis, The Australian National University.
- [53] Dusart, P. (1998). *Autour de la fonction qui compte le nombre denombres premiers*. Ph.D. thesis, University of Limoges.
- [54] Dusart, P. (1999). Inégalités explicites pour $\psi(X)$, $\theta(X)$, $\pi(X)$ et les nombres premiers. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Soc. R. Can.*, 21(2), 53 – 59.
- [55] Dusart, P. (2010). Estimates of some functions over primes without R.H. *arXiv:1002.0442*.
- [56] Dusart, P. (2018). Explicit estimates of some functions over primes. *Ramanujan J.*, 45, 227 – 251.
- [57] Dusart, P. (2018). Estimates of the kth prime under the Riemann hypothesis. *Ramanujan J.*, 47, 141 - 154.
- [58] Elezović, N. (2015). Estimations of psi function and harmonic numbers. *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 258, 192 – 205.
- [59] Garunkštis, R. (2002). On some inequalities concerning $\pi(x)$ *Experiment. Math.*, 11, 297 – 301.
- [60] Gordon, D. M., & Rodemich, G. (1998). Dense admissible sets. *Algorithmic number theory. (3rd international symposium, Portland, June 21-25, 1998), Lect. Notes Comput. Sci. 1423 (ed. J. P. Buhler), Springer, Berlin*, 216 – 225.
- [61] Guo, B.-N., & Qi, F. (2011). Sharp bounds for harmonic numbers. *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 218, 991 – 995.
- [62] Hardy, G. H., & Littlewood, J. E. (1923). Some problems of "partitio numerorum"; III : On the expression of a number as a sum of primes. *Acta Math.*, 44, , 1 – 70.
- [63] Hardy, G. H., & Wright, E. M. (1979). *An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers*, Fifth ed., Oxford Univeristy Press.
- [64] Hassani, M. (2006). Approximation of $\pi(x)$ by $\psi(x)$. *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.*, 7(1), Article 7.
- [65] Hassani, M. (2012). On an inequality of Ramanujan concerning the prime counting function. *Ramanujan J.*, 28, 435 – 442.
- [66] Hassani, M. (2021). Remarks on Ramanujan's inequality concerning the prime counting function. *Commun. Math.*, 29(3), 473 – 482.
- [67] Hatalová, H., & Šalát, T. (1969). Remarks on two results in the elementary theory of numbers. *Acta Fac. Rer. Natur Univ. Comenian. Math.*, 20, 113 – 117.

- [68] Ishikawa, H. (1934). Über die Verteilung der Primzahlen. *Sci. Rep. Tokyo Bunrika Daigaku (A)*, 2, 27 – 40.
- [69] Johnston, D. R., & Yang, A. (2022). Some explicit estimates for the error term in the prime number theorem,. *arXiv:2204.01980*.
- [70] Kannan, V., & Srikanth, R. (2013). Note on φ and ψ functions. *Notes on Number Theory and Discrete Mathematics*, 19(1), 19 – 21.
- [71] Karanikolov, C. (1971). On some properties of the function $\pi(x)$. *Univ. Beograd Publ. Elektrotehn. Fak. Ser. Mat.*, 357 – 380.
- [72] Koninck, J.-M., & Letendre, P. (2020). New upper bounds for the number of divisors function. *Colloq. Math.*, 162, 23 – 52.
- [73] Lagarias, J. C. (2002). An elementary problem equivalent to the Riemann hypothesis. *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 109(6), 534 – 543.
- [74] Landau, E. (1909). Handbuch der Lehre von der Verteilung der Primzahlen. *Band I., Leipzig*.
- [75] Letendre, P. (2020). A hybrid inequality for the number of divisors of an integer. *arXiv:2011.10913*.
- [76] Makowski, A. (1964). Problem 538. *Math. Mag.*, 37, p. 55.
- [77] Marraki, M. (1995). Fonction sommatoire de la fonction de Möbius, 3. Majorations asymptotiques effectives fortes. *J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux*, 7, 407 – 433.
- [78] Mincu, G. (2003). A few inequalities involving $\pi(x)$. *An. Univ. Bucur. Math.*, 52, 55 – 64.
- [79] Minculete, N., & Dicu, P. (2009). Several inequalities about the number of positive divisors of a natural number m . *General Mathematics*, 17(1), 65 – 70.
- [80] Minculete, N. (2012). Two generalizations of Landau's inequality. *Math. Inequal. Appl.*, 15(3), 591 – 598.
- [81] Mitrinović, D. S., & Popadić, M. S. (1978). Inequalities in the number theory. *Niš*, p. 44.
- [82] Montgomery, H., & Vaughan, R. (1973). The large sieve. *Mathematika*, 20(2), 119 – 134.
- [83] Mortici, C. (2014) An equation involving Dedekind's arithmetical function, *Notes on Number Theory and Discrete Mathematics*, 20(4), 37 – 39.
- [84] Moser, L. (1951). On the equation $\varphi(n) = \pi(n)$. *Pi Mu Epsilon J.*, 101 – 110.
- [85] Mossinghoff, M., & Trudgian, T. (2015). Nonnegative trigonometric polynomials and a zero-free region for the Riemann zeta-function. *J. Number Theory*, 157, 329 – 349
- [86] Nair, M. (1982). On Chebyshev-type inequalities for primes. *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 89(2), 126 – 129.

- [87] Negoi, T. (1999). A faster convergence to Euler's constant. *Math. Gaz.*, 83, 487 – 489.
- [88] Nicolas, J., & Robin, G. (1983). Majorations Explicites Pour le Nombre de Diviseurs de N . *Canad. Math. Bull.*, 26(4), 485 – 492.
- [89] Niu, D-W., Zhang, Y-J., & Qi, F. (2014). A Double Inequality for the Harmonic Number in Terms of the Hyperbolic Cosine. *Turkish J. Anal. Number Theory*, 2, 223 – 225.
- [90] Oppenheim, A. (1968). Problem 5591. *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 75, 552.
- [91] Panaitopol, L. (1979). Eine eigenschaft der funktion über die verteilung der primzahlen. *Bull. Math. de la Soc. Sci. Math. de la RSR*, 23(71), 189 – 194.
- [92] Panaitopol, L. (1998). On the inequality $p_a p_b > p_{ab}$. *Bull. Math. Soc. Sc. Math. Roumanie*, 41(89), 135 – 139.
- [93] Panaitopol, L. (1999). Several approximations of $\pi(x)$. *Math. Inequal. Appl.*, 2(3), 317 – 324.
- [94] Panaitopol, L. (2000). Inequalities concerning the function $\pi(x)$: Applications. *Acta Arith.*, 94(4), 373 – 381.
- [95] Panaitopol, L. (2000). An inequality related to the Hardy-Littlewood conjecture. *An. Univ. Bucur. Math.*, 49(2), 163 – 166.
- [96] Panaitopol, L. (2001). Some generalizations for a theorem by Landau. *Math. Inequal. Appl.*, 4, 327 – 330.
- [97] Panaitopol, L. (2001). Checking the Hardy-Littlewood conjecture in special cases. *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl.*, 46, 465 – 470.
- [98] Platt, D. J., & Trudgian, T. S. (2021). The error term in the prime number theorem. *Math. Comp.*, 90(328), 871 – 881.
- [99] Pólya, G., & Szegő, G. (1976). *Problems and theorems in analysis. II*. Springer V., Part VIII, Problem 45.
- [100] Popoviciu, T. (1940). *Gaz. Mat.*, (Bucureşti), 46, p. 334.
- [101] Porubsky, S. (1972). Problem E 2351. *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 79, p. 394.
- [102] Ramanujan, S. (1915). Highly composite numbers. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* (2), 14, 347 – 409.
- [103] Ramaré, O. (2013). From explicit estimates for primes to explicit estimates for the Möbius function. *Acta Arith.*, 157(4), 365 – 379.
- [104] Robin, G. (1983). *Thèse d'état*. Université de Limoges.

- [105] Robin, G. (1983). Estimation de la fonction de Tchebychef θ sur le k -ième nombre premier et grandes valeurs de la fonction $\omega(n)$ nombre de diviseurs premiers de n . *Acta Arith.*, 42(4), 367 – 389.
- [106] Robin, G. (1984). Grandes valeurs de la fonction somme des diviseurs et hypothèse de Riemann. *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, 63, 187 – 213.
- [107] Rosser, J. B. (1941). Explicit bounds for some functions of prime numbers. *Amer. J. Math.*, 63(1), 211 – 232 .
- [108] Rosser, J., & Schoenfeld, L. (1962). Approximate formulas for some functions of prime numbers. *Illinois J. Math.*, 6(1), 64 – 94.
- [109] Rosser, J., & Schoenfeld, L. (1966). Abstracts of scientific communications. *Intern. Congr. Math. Moscow, Section 3, Theory of Numbers*.
- [110] Sándor, J. (1987). Some arithmetic inequalities. *Bull. Number Theory. Rel. Topics*, 11, 149 – 161.
- [111] Sándor, J. (1988). Corrections to: "Some arithmetic inequalities." *Bull. Number Theory. Rel. Topics*, 12, 93 – 94.
- [112] Sándor, J. (1988). On Dedekind's arithmetical function. *Seminarul de Teoria Structurilor*, 51, Univ. Timișoara, 1 – 15.
- [113] Sándor, J. (1989). Some diophantine equations for particular arithmetic functions. *Seminarul de teoria structurilor*, 53, Univ. Timișoara, 1 – 10.
- [114] Sándor, J. (1996). On certain inequalities involving Dedekind's arithmetical function. *Notes on Number Theory and Discrete Mathematics*, 2(1), 1 – 4.
- [115] Sándor, J. (2002). *Geometric Theorems, Diophantine Equations and Arithmetic Functions*. American Research Press, Rehoboth.
- [116] Sándor, J. (2005). On the composition of some arithmetic functions, II. *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.*, 6(3), Art. 73.
- [117] Sándor, J., Mitrinović, D. S., & Crstici, B. (2006). *Handbook of number theory I*. Springer.
- [118] Sándor, J. (2008). On inequalities $\sigma(n) > n + \sqrt{n}$ and $\sigma(n) > n + \sqrt{n} + \sqrt[3]{n}$. *Octagon Math. Mag.*, 16, 276 – 278.
- [119] Sándor, J. (2008). On the inequality $\sigma(n) < \frac{\pi^2}{6}\psi(n)$. *Octagon Math. Mag.*, 16, 295 – 296.
- [120] Sándor, J., & Kovács, L. (2009). An inequality for the number of divisors. *Octagon Math. Mag.*, 17(2), 746 – 749.
- [121] Sándor, J. (2010). Two arithmetic inequalities. *Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math.*, 20(2), 197 – 202.

- [122] Sándor, J. (2014). On certain inequalities for φ , ψ , σ and related functions. *Notes on Number Theory and Discrete Mathematics*, 20(2), 52 – 60.
- [123] Sándor, J. (2016). A note on Dedekind's arithmetical function. *Notes on Number Theory and Discrete Mathematics*, 22(1), 5 – 7.
- [124] Sándor, J., & Atanassov, K. (2019). Inequalities between the arithmetic functions φ , ψ and σ . Part 2. *Notes on Number Theory and Discrete Mathematics*, 25(2), 30 – 35.
- [125] Sándor, J. (2020). On the equation on $\varphi(n) + d(n) = n$ and related inequalities. *Notes on Number Theory and Discrete Mathematics*, 26(3), 1 – 4.
- [126] Sándor, J. (2021). On certain inequalities for the prime counting function. *Notes on Number Theory and Discrete Mathematics*, 27(4), 149 – 153.
- [127] Sándor, J., & Atanassov, K. T. (2021). *Arithmetic Functions*. Nova Science Publ., New York.
- [128] Sándor, J. (2022). On certain inequalities for the prime counting function - Part II. *Notes on Number Theory and Discrete Mathematics*, 28(1), 124 – 128.
- [129] Sándor, J., & Bhattacharjee, S. (2022). On certain equations and inequalities involving the arithmetical functions $\varphi(n)$ and $d(n)$. *Notes on Number Theory and Discrete Mathematics*, 28(2), 376 – 379.
- [130] Sándor, J. (2023). On certain inequalities for the prime counting function - Part III. *Notes on Number Theory and Discrete Mathematics*, 29(3), 454 – 461.
- [131] Schinzel, A. (1961). Remarks on the paper "Sur certaines hypothèses concernant les nombres premiers". *Acta Arith.*, 7, 1 – 8.
- [132] Schoenfeld, L. (1976). Sharper bounds for the Chebyshev functions $\theta(x)$ and $\psi(x)$. II. *Math. Comp.*, 30(134), 337 – 360.
- [133] Segal, S. L. (1962). On $\pi(x + y) \leq \pi(x) + \pi(y)$. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 104(3), 523 – 527.
- [134] Sîntămărian, A. (2016). Estimating a special difference of harmonic numbers. *Creat. Math. Inform.*, 25, 99 – 106.
- [135] Sivaramakrishnan, R., & Venkataraman, C. (1965). Problem 5326. *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 72, 915.
- [136] Sivaramakrishnan, R. (1967). Problem E 1962. *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 74, 198.
- [137] Solé, P., & Planat, M. (2011). Extreme values of the Dedekind Ψ function. *J. Comb. Number Theory*, 3(1), 33 – 38.

- [138] Somasundaram, D. (1987). A divisor problem of Srinavasa Ramanujan in Notebook 3, *Math. Student*, 55, 175 – 176.
- [139] Tims, S., & Tyrrell, J. (1971). Approximate evaluation of Euler’s constant. *Math. Gaz.*, 55, 65 – 67.
- [140] Tóth, L. (1991). Problem E3432, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 98(3), 264.
- [141] Tóth, L. (1992). Problem E3432 (Solution), *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 99(7), 684 – 685.
- [142] Trudgian, T. (2016). Updating the error term in the prime number theorem. *Ramanujan J.*, 39, 225 – 234.
- [143] Udrescu, V. (1975). Some remarks concerning the conjecture $\pi(x + y) < \pi(x) + \pi(y)$. *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl.*, 20, 1201 – 1208.
- [144] Urroz, J. (2022). Every integer can be written as a square plus a squarefree. *Expo. Math.*, 40(3), 665 – 678.
- [145] Young, R. M. (1991). Euler’s constant. *Math. Gaz.*, 75, 187 – 190.
- [146] Zhang, S. (2020). An improved inequality of Rosser and Schoenfeld and its application. *Integers*, 20, #A103.