

Geometry on deformations of S_1 singularities

Runa Shimada

Abstract

For a one parameter deformation of the S_1 singularity in the three space, we give a form using only isometric maps of the target. Using this form, we study differential geometric properties of S_1 singularities and the Whitney umbrellas appearing in the deformation.

1 Introduction

In recent decades, differential geometric studies of curves and surfaces with singularities have been studied by many authors. The main differential geometric invariants of regular surfaces are the Gaussian curvature and the mean curvature, and which play central roles in the differential geometric studies of surfaces. However, they usually cannot be defined if the surface has singularities. In this paper, we take the method of $SO(3)$ -normal form to study the S_1^\pm singularities. An $SO(3)$ -normal form (a *normal form* for short), is a formula for a singular point reducing coefficients as much as possible by using a diffeomorphism-germ on the source space and an isometry-germ on the target space. The S_1^\pm singularities are known as codimension one singularities of surfaces, and the codimension one singularities correspond to the appearance/disappearance of generic singularities. Therefore, it is natural to include the deformation when we study such singularities. In other words, the appearance/disappearance of the Whitney umbrella can be seen in the deformations of the S_1 singularities.

There are a lot of studies of differential geometry of the Whitney umbrella by using Bruce-West normal form [2, 19]. In [9], it is proved that some of the coefficients of the form are intrinsic invariants. Moreover the focal conic, which measures the contact to the sphere has been introduced using coefficients appearing in this normal form (c.f. [6]). The curvature parabola has been introduced in [13] and using them, the umbilic curvature is defined except for the Whitney umbrella, and the axial curvature is defined [15].

In this paper, we give a normal form for the deformations of the S_1^\pm singularities. In the generic deformation of S_1^\pm singularity, two Whitney umbrellas appear, which merge into a single singularity and then disappear. Using this normal form, we investigate the asymptotic behavior of geometric properties such as focal conic, curvature parabola and geometric invariants of the Whitney umbrellas appearing in the deformation of an S_1^\pm singularity. As a bi-product, we obtain a reasonable definition of the umbilic curvature for the Whitney umbrella which is not defined for this singularity in [13].

The *Whitney umbrella* is a map-germ \mathcal{A} -equivalent to the map-germ defined by $(u, v) \mapsto (u, uv, v^2)$ at the origin. Here two map-germs $f_1 : (\mathbf{R}^2, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}^3, 0)$ and $f_2 : (\mathbf{R}^2, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}^3, 0)$ are \mathcal{A} -equivalent if there exists a coordinate change of source space φ and a coordinate change of target space ψ such that $f_2 = \psi \circ f_1 \circ \varphi^{-1}$.

The following fact is known.

Proposition 1.1. [19] *Let $f : (\mathbf{R}^2, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}^3, 0)$ be a Whitney umbrella. Then there exist a diffeomorphism-germ $\varphi : (\mathbf{R}^2, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}^2, 0)$ and $T \in SO(3)$ such that*

$$T \circ f \circ \varphi(u, v) = \left(u, uv + O(3), \frac{1}{2}(a_{20}u^2 + 2a_{11}uv + a_{02}v^2) + O(3) \right)$$

with $a_{20}, a_{11}, a_{02} \in \mathbf{R}$. Here, $O(n)$ stands for the terms whose degrees are equal to or greater than n .

See [1, 4, 7, 8, 10, 11, 18] for other studies using the normal form. The coefficients a_{20}, a_{02}, a_{11} are the differential geometric invariants of the Whitney umbrella, and these invariants can be used to reveal the differential geometric properties of the Whitney umbrella instead of the Gaussian curvature and the mean curvature, which have lost their meanings at the Whitney umbrella.

The S_1^\pm singularities are map-germs \mathcal{A} -equivalent to the map-germ defined by $(u, v) \mapsto (u, v^2, v(u^2 \pm v^2))$ at the origin. See the center figures of Figures 1 and 2. It is known that the codimension one singularities of surfaces in 3-space is S_1^\pm singularities by Mond's classification (c.f. [14], see also [3]).

2 Deformations of S_1^\pm singularities and their normal forms

The main objective of this paper is to study geometry of deformations of singularities.

Definition 2.1. A map-germ $f : (\mathbf{R}^2 \times \mathbf{R}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}^3, 0)$ is a *deformation* of $g : (\mathbf{R}^2, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}^3, 0)$, or a *deformation of an \mathcal{A} -class* $[g]$ if it is smooth and $f(u, v, 0)$ at $(u, v) = (0, 0)$ is \mathcal{A} -equivalent to g and $f(0, 0, s) = (0, 0, 0)$.

In this definition, \mathbf{R} as the third component of the source space is called the *deformation parameter*. We define an equivalence relation between two deformations preserving the deformation parameters.

Definition 2.2. Let $f_1, f_2 : (\mathbf{R}^2 \times \mathbf{R}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}^3, 0)$ be deformations of g . Then f_1 and f_2 are *equivalent as deformations* if there exist orientation preserving diffeomorphism-germs $\varphi : (\mathbf{R}^2 \times \mathbf{R}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}^2 \times \mathbf{R}, 0)$ with the form

$$\varphi(u, v, s) = (\varphi_1(u, v, s), \varphi_2(u, v, s), \varphi_3(s)) \tag{2.1}$$

and $\psi : (\mathbf{R}^3, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}^3, 0)$ such that $\psi \circ f_1 \circ \varphi^{-1}(u, v, s) = f_2(u, v, s)$ holds.

The form (2.1), namely φ_3 is defined depending only on s , implies that change of parameter of deformation itself is allowed and prevent affecting the other parameters to the parameter of deformation. Since the third component of the source space is the deformation parameter, this definition means an \mathcal{A} -equivalence that preserves the deformation parameters. This notion is also called P - \mathcal{R} -equivalence (c.f. [12]). The following maps are typical deformations of the S_1^\pm singularities.

Let f_s^\pm be germs defined by

$$f_s^\pm : (\mathbf{R}^2 \times \mathbf{R}, 0) \ni (u, v, s) \mapsto (u, v^2, v(u^2 \pm v^2) + sv) \in (\mathbf{R}^3, 0).$$

Then $f_s^+(f_s^-)$ is a deformation of an $S_1^+(S_1^-)$ singularity. See Figure 1 and Figure 2.




Figure 1: Deformation of S_1^+ singularity (from left to right f_{-1}^+ , f_0^+ and f_1^+)




Figure 2: Deformation of S_1^- singularity (from left to right f_{-1}^- , f_0^- , and f_1^-)

Let $f : (\mathbf{R}^2 \times \mathbf{R}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}^3, 0)$ be a map-germ. A vector field η on the source space is a *null vector field* if $p \in S(f)$ then η is the generator of $\text{Ker } df_p$, where $S(f)$ is the set of singular point of f .

Theorem 2.3. *Let $f : (\mathbf{R}^2 \times \mathbf{R}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}^3, 0)$ be a deformation of an S_1^\pm singularity. Then there exist an orientation preserving diffeomorphism-germ $\varphi : (\mathbf{R}^2 \times \mathbf{R}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}^2 \times \mathbf{R}, 0)$ with the form (2.1), $T \in SO(3)$ and the functions $f_{21}, f_{31} \in C^\infty(1, 1)$, $f_{24}, f_{33}, f_{34} \in C^\infty(2, 1)$, $f_{32} \in C^\infty(3, 1)$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} f_n^s &= T \circ f \circ \varphi(u, v, s) \\ &= (u, u^2 f_{21}(u) + v^2 + us f_{24}(u, s), \\ &\quad u^2 f_{31}(u) + v^2 f_{32}(u, v, s) + v f_{33}(u, s) + us f_{34}(u, s)), \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

where $(f_{32})_v(0, 0, 0) \neq 0$, $(f_{33})_{uu}(0, 0) \neq 0$, $f_{32}(0, 0, 0) = f_{33}(0, 0) = (f_{33})_u(0, 0) = 0$. Furthermore, $f(u, v, 0)$ is a S_1^+ singularity (resp. S_1^- singularity) if and only if $(f_{32})_v(0, 0, 0)(f_{33})_{uu}(0, 0) > 0$ (resp. < 0). If $(df_{33}/ds)(0, 0) \neq 0$, then one can further reduce $f_{33}(0, s) = s$.

Proof. Let $f : (\mathbf{R}^2 \times \mathbf{R}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}^3, 0)$ be a deformation of an S_1^\pm singularity. Since $\text{rank } df = 1$, we can assume

$$f(u, v, s) = (u, f_2(u, v, s), f_3(u, v, s))$$

by a diffeomorphism-germ on the source space. The functions $f_2(u, v, s)$ and $f_3(u, v, s)$ can be written by

$$f_2(u, v, s) = f_{21}(u) + v^2 f_{22}(u, v, s) + v f_{23}(u, s) + s f_{24}(u, s),$$

$$f_3(u, v, s) = f_{31}(u) + v^2 f_{32}(u, v, s) + v f_{33}(u, s) + s f_{34}(u, s).$$

Since $f(u, v, 0)$ is an S_1^\pm singularity, by [16, Theorem 2.2], $f_{22}(0, 0, 0) \neq 0$ or $f_{32}(0, 0, 0) \neq 0$. By a rotation with respect to the first axis of \mathbf{R}^3 , we can assume $f_{22}(0, 0, 0) > 0$ and it holds that $f_{32}(0, 0, 0) = 0$. We set

$$F(u, v, s) = (u, f_2(u, v, s)).$$

Then we see ∂v is a null vector field. Let us set $\lambda = (f_2)_v$. Then $\lambda^{-1}(0) = S(F)$ holds. Since $f_{22}(0, 0, 0) \neq 0$, it holds that $\lambda_v \neq 0$ holds. By the implicit function theorem, there exists a function $\sigma(u, s)$ such that $\lambda(u, \sigma(u, s), s) = 0$ holds. Thus

$$\Phi(u, v, s) = (u, v - \sigma(u, s), s)$$

is a diffeomorphism-germ and we set $\Phi(u, v, s) = (\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}, \tilde{s})$. Since $\tilde{v} = 0$ if and only if $v - \sigma(u, s) = 0$, so $\{\tilde{v} = 0\}$ is the set of singular points of F . Since $\partial/\partial \tilde{v} = \partial/\partial v$, on $\{\tilde{v} = 0\}$, so $\partial \tilde{v}$ is a null vector field of F . By $(f_2)_{\tilde{v}}(\tilde{u}, 0, \tilde{s}) = 0$ the function f_2 satisfies $(f_2)_{\tilde{v}}(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}, \tilde{s}) = \tilde{v} g(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}, \tilde{s})$, for a function $g(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}, \tilde{s})$. Thus we can assume f has the form

$$(u, f_{21}(u) + v^2 f_{22}(u, v, s) + s f_{24}(u, s), f_{31}(u) + v^2 f_{32}(u, v, s) + v f_{33}(u, s) + s f_{34}(u, s)).$$

Since $f_{22}(0, 0, 0) > 0$, we see $\varphi(u, v, s) = (u, \sqrt{f_{22}(u, v, s)}, s)$ is a diffeomorphism-germ and by $f(0, 0, s) = (0, 0, 0)$, f has the form

$$(u, u^2 f_{21}(u) + v^2 + u s f_{24}(u, s), u^2 f_{31}(u) + v^2 f_{32}(u, v, s) + v f_{33}(u, s) + u s f_{34}(u, s)). \quad (2.3)$$

Since $0 \in S(f(u, v, 0))$, we have $f_{33}(0, 0) = 0$, and since $f(u, v, 0)$ at $(0, 0)$ is not a Whitney umbrella, $(f_{33})_u(0, 0) = 0$ holds. By [14, Theorem 2.2], we see that f of the form (2.3) with these conditions is an S_1^\pm singularity if and only if $(f_{32})_v(0, 0, 0)(f_{33})_{uu}(0, 0) > 0$ (resp. < 0). If $(df_{33}/ds)(0, 0) \neq 0$, then one can assume $f_{33}(0, s) = s$ by a change of the deformation parameter. \square

We remark that since the tangent space $T_e \mathcal{A}(f_n^0)$ of f_n^0 satisfies $T_e \mathcal{A}(f_n^0) + (0, 0, v) \mathbf{R} = C^\infty(2, 1)^3$ [14, Proposition 4.1.16], we see if $(df_{33}/ds)(0, 0) \neq 0$, then f_n^s is a generic deformation. In what follows, we assume $(df_{33}/ds)(0, 0) \neq 0$ and $f_{33}(0, s) = s$ in f_n^s . The form f_n^s is called the *normal form of the deformations of an S_1^\pm singularity*. A normal form for the S_1^\pm singularity itself is given in [5] independently, and it coincides with the $s = 0$ case of our normal form of the deformations. We see the set of singular points $S(f_n^s)$ of f_n^s is

$$S(f_n^s) = \{(u, v) \mid v = 0, f_{33}(u, s) = 0\}.$$

In Theorem 2.3, the given f and f_n^s with this condition are equivalent as deformations, and they have the same differential geometric properties. The uniqueness of the normal form holds as in the following sense.

Definition 2.4. A function $g(u, s)$ has a *finite regularity for s* if there exists an integer k such that $\partial g/\partial s(0, 0) = \dots = \partial^{k-1}g/\partial s^{k-1}(0, 0) = 0$, $\partial^k g/\partial s^k(0, 0) \neq 0$

Proposition 2.5. Let $f_n^s : (\mathbf{R}^2 \times \mathbf{R}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}^3, 0)$ be a map-germ given by the form (2.2) satisfying f_{24} or f_{34} has a finite regularity for s . If there exist orientation preserving diffeomorphism-germ $\varphi : (\mathbf{R}^2 \times \mathbf{R}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}^2 \times \mathbf{R}, 0)$ with the form of Definition 2.2 and $T \in SO(3)$ such that

$$T \circ f_n^s \circ \varphi(u, v) = f_n^s(u, v).$$

Then for any $k \in \mathbf{Z}$, it holds that $\hat{u} = u$ and $j^k \hat{v}(0) = j^k v(0)$ and $j^k \hat{s}(0) = j^k s(0)$, where $\varphi(u, v, s) = (\hat{u}, \hat{v}, \hat{s})$.

Proof. We set $f_n^s(u, v) = f(u, v)$. Since the image of $df_{(0,0,0)}$ is generated by $(1, 0, 0)$. The kernel of $df_{(0,0,0)}$ is generated by ∂_v , and $(1, 0, 0) \times f_{vv}(0, 0, 0) = (0, 0, 1)$ holds. Since the subspaces generated by $(1, 0, 0)$ and $(0, 0, 1)$ are independent of the coordinate system on the source space, it holds that T is the identity. Therefore $\hat{u} = u$ holds. Looking on the second component, $\hat{v}^2 + u\hat{s}f_{24}(u, \hat{s}) = v^2 + usf_{24}(u, s)$ holds. By looking on the terms u^2, v^2, vs , together with φ is orientation-preserving, we see \hat{v} has the form

$$\hat{v} = v + h_0(u, s) + \sum_{i=k}^r v^i h_i(u, s) + O_v(r+1) \quad (k \geq 2) \quad (2.4)$$

with $k = 2$, where $O_v(r+1)$ stands for functions $h(u, v, s)$ satisfying $h(u, 0, s) = h_v(u, 0, s) = \dots = \partial^r h/\partial v^r(u, 0, s) = 0$. We assume (2.4) holds for some k . Then by $\hat{v}^2 + u\hat{s}f_{24}(u, \hat{s}) = v^2 + usf_{24}(u, s)$,

$$\hat{v}^2 + u\hat{s}f_{24}(u, \hat{s}) = u\hat{s}f_{24}(u, \hat{s}) + h_0^2(u, s) + 2vh_0(u, s) + v^2 + 2v^{k+1}h_k(u, s) + O_v(k+2).$$

Therefore we have $h_k(u, s) = 0$. By the induction on k , the assertion for \hat{v} holds. If f_{24} has a finite regularity for s , then there exists an integer k such that

$$f_{24}(u, s) = s^k f_{240}(s) + u f_{241}(u, s), \quad f_{240}(0) \neq 0.$$

We assume

$$s = s + \sum_{i=l}^r b_i s^i \quad (l \geq 2).$$

Then by $usf_{24}(u, s) = u\hat{s}f_{24}(u, \hat{s})$ we have

$$us^{k+1}f_{240}(s) = u \left(s + \sum_{i=l}^r b_i s^i \right)^{k+1} \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} \left(s + \sum_{i=l}^r b_i s^i \right)^j f_{240j},$$

we see the term of us^{k+l} , the right hand side of $usf_{24}(u, s) = u\hat{s}f_{24}(u, \hat{s})$ is $(k+1)b_l f_{2400} + f_{240l-1}$, so we have $b_l = 0$. By the induction on l , we see the assertion for \hat{s} . If f_{34} has a finite regularity for s , since $\hat{u} = u$ and $\hat{v} = v$ holds for some finite jet. Substituting $u = v = 0$ into the third component, one can prove the assertion for \hat{s} by the similar way to the case of f_{24} . \square

Example 2.6. Let f_n^s be a deformation of S_1^+ singularity defined by

$$f(u, v, s) = (u, u^2 + v^2 - us, u^2 - uv^2 + v^3 + vs + u^2v + us).$$

Then we can observe the Whitney umbrellas appear in the deformation. See Figure 3.




Figure 3: The surfaces in Example 2.6 (from left to right $s = -1, 0, 1$)

3 Geometry on deformations of S_1^\pm singularity

In this section, we study differential geometric properties of deformations of S_1^\pm singularities. We set $f = f_n^s$ (see (2.2)). Here, we assume $f_{33}(0, s) = s$ (See the remark just after the proof of Theorem 2.3).

3.1 Geometric invariants of deformation

Here we give a criteria for Whitney umbrella.

Lemma 3.1. [20, p161, 162] *Let $f : (\mathbf{R}^2, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}^3, 0)$ be a map-germ, and let a coordinate system (u, v) satisfy $\text{Ker } df_0 = \langle \partial v \rangle$. Then f is the Whitney umbrella if and only if $\det(f_u, f_{vv}, f_{uv}) \neq 0$.*

We give a formula for singular points appearing a small perturbation of $f = f_n^s$.

Theorem 3.2. *If $(u, v) \in S(f)$ and $f_{332}(0) > 0$, then $v = 0$ holds, and u can be expanded as a function of \tilde{s} , say $u(\tilde{s})$, as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned} u(\tilde{s}) &= \frac{1}{f_{3320}} \tilde{s} + \frac{1}{2f_{3320}^2} \left(f_{331}(0) - \frac{f_{333}(0)}{f_{3320}} \right) \tilde{s}^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{4f_{3320}^7} \left(\left(-\frac{f_{331}^2(0)}{2} - 8(f_{332})_s(0) \right) f_{3320}^4 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (3f_{333}(0)f_{331}(0) + 2f_{334}(0)) f_{3320}^2 + \frac{5f_{333}^2(0)}{2} \right) \tilde{s}^3 + O(4) \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

If $u(\tilde{s}) \neq 0$, then f at $(u(\tilde{s}), 0)$ are both the Whitney umbrellas.

Proof. Since $S(f) = \{f_{33}(u, s) = 0\}$ and $f_{33}(0, 0) = (f_{33})_u(0, 0) = 0$, let

$$f_{33}(u, s) = s + usf_{331}(s) + u^2f_{332}(s) + u^3f_{333}(s) + u^4f_{334}(u, s).$$

Assuming $f_{332}(0) > 0$, we set $f_{332}(0) = f_{3320}^2$. Furthermore, since $S(f) \neq \emptyset$ is equivalent to $s \leq 0$, we set $s = -\tilde{s}^2$. Moreover let us set

$$u = \alpha_1 \tilde{s} + \alpha_2 \tilde{s}^2 + \alpha_3 \tilde{s}^3 + \alpha_4 (\tilde{s}) \tilde{s}^4.$$

Differentiating $f_{33}(\alpha_1 \tilde{s} + \alpha_2 \tilde{s}^2 + \alpha_3 \tilde{s}^3 + \alpha_4 (\tilde{s}) \tilde{s}^4, -\tilde{s}^2) = 0$ three times, we have

$$\alpha_1 = \frac{1}{f_{3320}}, \quad \alpha_2 = \frac{1}{2f_{3320}^2} \left(f_{331}(0) - \frac{f_{333}(0)}{f_{3320}} \right),$$

$$\alpha_3 = -\frac{1}{4f_{3320}^7} \left(\left(-\frac{f_{331}^2(0)}{2} - 8(f_{332})_s(0) \right) f_{3320}^4 + (3f_{333}(0)f_{331}(0) + 2f_{334}(0)) f_{3320}^2 + \frac{5f_{333}^2(0)}{2} \right).$$

Since $\text{Ker } df = \langle \partial v \rangle$ at any $(u(\tilde{s}), 0)$, by applying Lemma 3.1, we obtain the assertion that f at $(u(\tilde{s}), 0)$ are both the Whitney umbrellas. \square

Since the Whitney umbrellas appear on the deformations of the S_1^\pm singularities, we recall a formula for invariants of the Whitney umbrella. If $f_{332}(0) < 0$, the same calculation can be done by setting $f_{332}(0) = -f_{3320}^2$, and we obtain the same results.

Proposition 3.3. [9, (12, 13, 14)] *Let $f : (\mathbf{R}^2, p) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}^3, 0)$ be a Whitney umbrella. For coordinate system (u, v) satisfying $\text{Ker } df_0 = \langle \partial v \rangle$ and $|f_u, f_{uv}, f_{vv}| > 0$, the invariants a_{02}, a_{20}, a_{11} in Proposition 1.1 can be written as:*

$$a_{02} = A^{\frac{1}{2}} B^{\frac{3}{2}} C^{-2}(p), \quad a_{20} = \frac{1}{4} A^{-\frac{3}{2}} B^{\frac{1}{2}} C^{-2} D(p), \quad a_{11} = \frac{1}{2} A^{-\frac{1}{2}} C^{-2} E(p),$$

where

$$A = f_u \cdot f_u, \quad B = (f_u \times f_{vv}) \cdot (f_u \times f_{vv}), \quad C = |f_u, f_{uv}, f_{vv}|,$$

$$D = |f_u, f_{uu}, f_{vv}|^2 + 4|f_u, f_{uv}, f_{vv}| |f_u, f_{uv}, f_{uu}|,$$

$$E = 2|f_u, f_{uv}, f_{vv}| \begin{vmatrix} f_u \cdot f_u & f_u \cdot f_{uv} \\ f_{vv} \cdot f_u & f_{vv} \cdot f_{uv} \end{vmatrix} - |f_u \times f_{vv}|^2 |f_u, f_{uu}, f_{vv}|.$$

As we saw in the above, $(u(\tilde{s}), 0)$ is a singular point of $f = f_n^s$. Considering the above invariants a_{02}, a_{20}, a_{11} at $(u(\tilde{s}), 0)$, one can regard the invariants a_{02}, a_{20}, a_{11} as functions of \tilde{s} . Let $a_{20}(\tilde{s}), a_{11}(\tilde{s}), a_{02}(\tilde{s})$ denote these functions. We remark that

$$\lim_{\tilde{s} \rightarrow 0} |f_u, f_{uv}, f_{vv}|(u(\tilde{s}), 0) = 0.$$

Thus these functions generally diverge at the S_1^\pm singularities. More precisely, we obtain the following.

Theorem 3.4. *The functions $a_{20}(\tilde{s}), a_{11}(\tilde{s})$ and $a_{02}(\tilde{s})$ can be expanded as follows:*

$$a_{02}(\tilde{s}) = \frac{1}{\tilde{s}^2} \left(\frac{1}{2f_{3320}^2} + O(1) \right),$$

$$a_{20}(\tilde{s}) = \frac{1}{\tilde{s}^2} \left(\frac{f_{31}^2(0)}{2f_{3320}^2} - \frac{2f_{31}(0) \left(f_{31}(0)f_{333}(0) - f_{3320}^2((f_{31})_u(0) - f_{321}(0, 0, 0)f_{21}(0)) \right)}{f_{3320}^5} \tilde{s} + O(2) \right),$$

$$a_{11}(\tilde{s}) = \frac{1}{\tilde{s}^2} \left(\frac{f_{31}(0)}{2f_{3320}^2} - \frac{2f_{333}(0)f_{31}(0) - f_{3320}^2(3(f_{31})_u(0) - f_{321}(0, 0, 0)f_{21}(0))}{2f_{3320}^5} \tilde{s} + O(2) \right).$$

Proof. Since $f_{32}(0, 0) = 0$, the function f_{32} has the form

$$f_{32}(u, v, s) = uf_{321}(u, v, s) + vf_{322}(u, v, s) + sf_{323}(u, v, s).$$

Since the functions A, B, C, D and E depend on \tilde{s} , they can be expanded as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} A(\tilde{s}) &= A_0 + A_1\tilde{s} + A_2(\tilde{s})\tilde{s}^2, & B(\tilde{s}) &= B_0 + B_1\tilde{s} + B_2(\tilde{s})\tilde{s}^2, \\ C(\tilde{s}) &= C_1\tilde{s} + C_2\tilde{s}^2 + C_3(\tilde{s})\tilde{s}^3, & D(\tilde{s}) &= D_0 + D_1\tilde{s} + D_2(\tilde{s})\tilde{s}^2, \\ E(\tilde{s}) &= E_0 + E_1\tilde{s} + E_2(\tilde{s})\tilde{s}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} a_{02}(\tilde{s}) &= \frac{1}{\tilde{s}^2} \left(\frac{A_0^{1/2}B_0^{3/2}}{C_1^2} + O(1) \right), \\ a_{20}(\tilde{s}) &= \frac{1}{\tilde{s}^2} \left(\frac{B_0^{1/2}D_0}{4A_0^{3/2}C_1^2} + \frac{-3A_1B_0C_1D_0 + A_0(B_1C_1D_0 - 4B_0C_2D_0 + 2B_0C_1D_1)}{8A_0^{5/2}B_0^{1/2}C_1^3} \tilde{s} + O(2) \right), \\ a_{11}(\tilde{s}) &= \frac{1}{\tilde{s}^2} \left(\frac{E_0}{2A_0^{1/2}C_1^2} + \frac{-A_1C_1E_0 - 4A_0C_2E_0 + 2A_0C_1E_1}{4A_0^{3/2}C_1^3} \tilde{s} + O(2) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.1), if we have,

$$\begin{aligned} A_0 &= 1, & A_1 &= 0, & B_0 &= 4, & B_1 &= 0, & C_1 &= -4f_{3320}, & C_2 &= -\frac{4f_{333}(0)}{f_{3320}^2}, \\ D_0 &= 16f_{31}^2(0), & D_1 &= \frac{32f_{31}(0)((f_{31})_u(0) - f_{321}(0, 0, 0)f_{21}(0))}{f_{3320}}, \\ E_0 &= 16f_{31}(0), & E_1 &= \frac{16(3(f_{31})_u(0) - f_{321}(0, 0, 0)f_{21}(0))}{f_{3320}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we get the assertion. \square

We have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.5. *Taking the limit $\tilde{s} \rightarrow 0$, then the following hold. The invariant $a_{02}(\tilde{s})$ diverges to $+\infty$. If $f_{31}(0) \neq 0$, then $a_{20}(\tilde{s})$ diverges to $+\infty$, and if $f_{31}(0) = 0$, then $a_{20}(\tilde{s})$ is bounded. If $f_{31}(0) \neq 0$ and $f_{31}(0)f_{333}(0) - f_{3320}^2((f_{31})_u(0) - f_{321}(0, 0, 0)f_{21}(0)) \neq 0$, then $a_{11}(\tilde{s})$ diverges, and $f_{31}(0) = 0$ and $(f_{31})_u(0) - f_{321}(0, 0, 0)f_{21}(0) = 0$, then $a_{11}(\tilde{s})$ is bounded.*

Concrete order of divergence are given in Theorem 3.4.

We remark that there is a relationship between this corollary and singular curvature of cuspidal edges. Let $f : (\mathbf{R}^2, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}^3, 0)$ be a front, and let 0 be a non-degenerate singular point. Assume that the singular points of f consists of cuspidal edges except for 0. Then the singular curvature diverges to $-\infty$ near 0 ([17, Corollary 1.14], see [17, Section 1] for detail). We remark that Corollary 3.5 can be interpreted as a variant of this fact for S_1^\pm singularities. Geometric meaning of that $a_{20}a_{02}$ diverges to $+\infty$ will be clarified in Corollary 3.10 in Section 3.3.

Theorem 3.6. Let $f : (\mathbf{R}^2 \times \mathbf{R}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}^3, 0)$ be a deformation of an S_1^\pm singularity, and given by $f = f_n^s$ (see (2.2)). We assume $f_{31}(0) \neq 0$. For any $\theta \in (0, \pi) \cup (\pi, 2\pi)$, we set

$$R = \begin{cases} 1 & (f_{3320}^2 + 3f_{322}(0) > 0) \\ \sqrt{\frac{f_{3320}^2}{-\sin^2 \theta (f_{3220}^2 + 3f_{322}(0)) + f_{3220}^2}} & (f_{3320}^2 + 3f_{322}(0) \leq 0). \end{cases}$$

Then there exists $\tilde{s} > 0$ such that the sign of the Gaussian curvature coincides with that of the product of \tilde{s} , $\sin \theta$ and $f_{31}(0)$ on the line segment

$$\{(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta) \mid 0 < r < Ru(\tilde{s})\},$$

where $(u(\tilde{s}), 0) \in S(f_n^{-\tilde{s}^2})$.

This theorem implies that the sign of $f_{31}(0)$ gives the shape of the surface around the singular points.

Proof. Let us set

$$L = f_{uu} \cdot (f_u \times f_v), \quad M = f_{uv} \cdot (f_u \times f_v), \quad N = f_{vv} \cdot (f_u \times f_v),$$

and $K = LN - M^2$ as the functions of (u, v, s) . Then the sign of the Gaussian curvature coincides with that of K except for the set of singular points. We set $s = -\tilde{s}^2$, and $r = ku(\tilde{s})$ ($0 < k < R$). Then $K(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta, -\tilde{s}^2)$ can be calculated as

$$K = \tilde{s}^3 \tilde{K}, \quad \left(\tilde{K} = \frac{8kf_{31}(0) \sin \theta}{f_{3320}^3} (f_{3320}^2 - f_{3320}^2 k^2 \cos^2 \theta + 3k^2 f_{322}(0) \sin^2 \theta) + O_{\tilde{s}}(1) \right),$$

where $O_{\tilde{s}}(1)$ stands for the terms whose degrees with respect to \tilde{s} is greater than or equal to one. Let us set

$$g(k) = \frac{8kf_{31}(0) \sin \theta}{f_{3320}^3} \tilde{g}(k), \quad \left(\tilde{g}(k) = f_{3320}^2 - f_{3320}^2 k^2 \cos^2 \theta + 3k^2 f_{322}(0) \sin^2 \theta \right).$$

Then we have the following:

Lemma 3.7. It holds that $\tilde{g}(k) > 0$ for $0 < k < R$.

Proof. If $f_{3320}^2 + 3f_{322}(0) > 0$, namely $R = 1$, then $g(k)$ is a first-degree function of k^2 . Since $g(0) = f_{3320}^2 > 0$ and $g(1) = \sin^2 \theta (f_{3320}^2 + 3f_{322}(0)) > 0$, we see $g(k) > 0$. If $f_{3320}^2 + 3f_{322}(0) < 0$ then by the definition of R and $k < R$,

$$k^2 < \frac{f_{3320}^2}{-\sin^2 \theta (f_{3320}^2 + 3f_{322}(0)) + f_{3220}^2}$$

holds. By a direct calculation, this is equivalent to $g(k) > 0$. Thus we have $g(k) > 0$ for $0 < k < R$. \square

We back to the proof of Theorem 3.6. We fix k satisfying $0 < k < R$. Since the function \tilde{K} is continuous, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that whenever $|\tilde{s}| < \delta$, we have

$$|\tilde{K}(k, \tilde{s}) - \tilde{K}(k, 0)| < \varepsilon.$$

Since $\tilde{K}(k, \tilde{s}) - \tilde{K}(k, 0) = \tilde{K}(k, \tilde{s}) - g(k)$, and $g(k)$ is a positive constant, by taking ε smaller than $g(k)$, then whenever $|\tilde{s}| < \delta$, the sign of $\tilde{K}(k, \tilde{s})$ and that of $\tilde{K}(k, 0)$ coincide. Since the sign of $\tilde{K}(k, 0)$ coincides with that of $\sin \theta f_{31}(0)$, we have the assertion. \square

Let us set $f^\pm(u, v, s) = (u, v^2 + us, \pm u^2 + v^3 + u^2v + vs)$. Then f^+ is the case of $f_{31}(0) > 0$, and f^- is the case of $f_{31}(0) < 0$. The figures of f^\pm with $s = -1/2$ is given in Figure 4. On the both surfaces, one can observe that the Gaussian curvature changes the sign across the line connecting two singular points.




Figure 4: The surfaces f^+ (left) and f^- (right).

We remark that there also is a relationship between this corollary and the Gaussian curvature of cuspidal edges. Let $f : (\mathbf{R}^2, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}^3, 0)$ be a front, and let 0 be a non-degenerate singular point. Assume that the singular points of f consists of cuspidal edges except for 0. If the normal curvature does not vanish, then the Gaussian curvature diverges along the set of singular points, and it diverges $+\infty$ and $-\infty$ across the set of singular points [17, Corollary 3.6]. We remark that Theorem 3.6 can be interpreted as a variant of this fact for Whitney umbrella. The invariant $f_{31}(0)$ can be interpreted as the umbilic curvature and it relates with the normal curvature.

3.2 Curvature parabola

Following [13], we introduce the curvature parabola and umbilic curvature. See [13] for detail. Let $f : (\mathbf{R}^2, (u_0, v_0)) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^3$ be a map-germ with rank $df_{(u_0, v_0)} = 1$, and let (u, v) be a coordinate system. Let X be a vector field on $(\mathbf{R}^2, (u_0, v_0))$. We set

$$I(X, X) = df_{(u_0, v_0)}(X) \cdot df_{(u_0, v_0)}(X).$$

Namely, if $X = a\partial_u + b\partial_v$, then $I(X, X) = a^2E + 2abF + b^2G$, where $E = f_u \cdot f_u$, $F = f_u \cdot f_v$, $G = f_v \cdot f_v$. Let l be the line of the image of $df_{(u_0, v_0)}$, and let π be the orthonormal projection $\pi : \mathbf{R}^3 \rightarrow l^\perp$. For a vector field $X = a\partial_u + b\partial_v$, we set

$$II(X, X) = \pi(a^2 f_{uu}(u_0, v_0) + 2ab f_{uv}(u_0, v_0) + b^2 f_{vv}(u_0, v_0)).$$

Under these setting, the *curvature parabola* at (u_0, v_0) is defined by

$$C_{(u_0, v_0)} = \{II(X, X) \mid I(X, X) = 1\}.$$

By definition, the curvature parabola is located on the normal plane l^\perp . If f at (u_0, v_0) is a Whitney umbrella, then $C_{(u_0, v_0)}$ is a parabola, and if f at (u_0, v_0) is an S_1^\pm singularity, then $C_{(u_0, v_0)}$ is a half-line. In fact, let $f_w(u, v) = (u, uv, (a_{20}u^2 + 2a_{11}uv + a_{02}v^2)/2)$ be a Whitney umbrella. Then $C_{(0,0)} = \{b^2a_{02} + 2ba_{11} + a_{20} \mid b \in \mathbf{R}\}$ holds, and it is a parabola with the vertex $(-2a_{11}/a_{02}, (a_{20}a_{02} - a_{11}^2)/a_{02})$, the direction of axis $(0, 1)$ and the coefficient of the second degree term $a_{02}/4$ in the yz -plane in \mathbf{R}^3 . The axial curvature [15] κ_a is $|(a_{20}a_{02} - a_{11}^2)/a_{02}|$. The umbilic curvature is not defined for Whitney umbrella [13]. On the other hand, let $f_s = f_n^0$ be an S_1^\pm singularity. Then $C_{(0,0)} = \{2(f_{21}(0), f_{31}(0)) + 2(b^2, 0) \mid b \in \mathbf{R}\}$ holds and it is a half-line with the vertex $2(f_{21}(0), f_{31}(0))$ and the direction of axis $(1, 0)$ in the yz -plane in \mathbf{R}^3 . The umbilic curvature κ_u is $2|f_{31}(0)|$, and the axial curvature κ_a is $2|f_{21}(0)|$. We set $f = f_n^s$ in (2.2), and $u(\tilde{s})$ be the function given in (3.1). Then the curvature parabola at $(u(\pm\tilde{s}), 0)$ are parabolas when $\tilde{s} \neq 0$, and a half-line at $(0, 0)$ when $\tilde{s} = 0$. By the above observation, the umbilic curvature for a Whitney umbrella should be defined to be $2|a_{11}/a_{02}|$ as the projection of the vertex with respect to the direction of the axis. By Theorem 3.4, we have

$$\frac{2a_{11}}{a_{02}} = 2f_{31} + O(1), \quad \frac{a_{20}a_{02} - a_{11}^2}{a_{02}} = 2f_{21} + O(1).$$

This implies that the above definition of umbilic curvature is compatible with deformations of extended an S_1^\pm singularity, and the umbilic curvature and the axial curvature as functions of \tilde{s} are continuous.

3.3 Focal conic

In this section, we study how the focal set changes when an S_1^\pm singularity deforms.

Definition 3.8. Let $f : (\mathbf{R}^2, (u_0, v_0)) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^3$ be a map-germ with $\text{rank } df_{(u_0, v_0)} = 1$, and let (u, v) be a coordinate system. The *focal set* of f at (u_0, v_0) is defined by

$$\{x \in \mathbf{R}^3 \mid D_u^x(u_0, v_0) = D_v^x(u_0, v_0) = 0, D_{uu}^x(u_0, v_0)D_{vv}^x(u_0, v_0) - D_{uv}^x(u_0, v_0)^2 = 0\},$$

where D^x is the distance squared function $D^x(u, v) = \frac{1}{2}|x - f(u, v)|^2$ ($x \in \mathbf{R}^3$).

By definition, the focal set is located on the normal plane l^\perp . Since the focal set is a conic for the Whitney umbrella [6], the focal set is called the *focal conic*. The classification for the focal conic of a Whitney umbrella is known as follows:

Proposition 3.9. [6, Proposition 3.4] *Let $f : (\mathbf{R}^2, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}^3, 0)$ be a map-germ with a Whitney umbrella. Then the focal conic of f at 0 is an ellipse if and only if $a_{02}a_{20} < 0$, a hyperbola if and only if $a_{02}a_{20} > 0$, and a parabola if and only if $a_{20} = 0$.*

We have the following for focal conics appearing on deformations of an S_1^\pm singularity.

Corollary 3.10. *In the small deformations of S_1^\pm singularities with $f_{31}(0) \neq 0$, all the focal conics for sufficiently small \tilde{s} are hyperbolas.*

Proof. By Theorem 3.4 if $f_{31}(0) \neq 0$, then $a_{02}a_{20}$ diverges to $+\infty$ when $\tilde{s} \rightarrow 0$. Therefore, by Proposition 3.9, we obtain the assertion. \square

As we remarked, this fact can be interpreted as a variant of the fact about the singular curvature on cuspidal edges.

Example 3.11. Let f be a deformation of S_1^+ singularity defined by

$$f(u, v, s) = (u, v^2, -u^2 + v^3 - vs + u^2v).$$

Then the focal conic of f is a hyperbola for small $s < 0$ and two transversal lines when $s = 0$. See Figure 5.

The top figures show the deformations on \tilde{s} and the bottom figures show the deformations on $-\tilde{s}$ when $s = -\tilde{s}^2$.




Figure 5: The focal conics in Example 3.11 (from left to right $s = -1, 0, 1$)

Next we also give an example of the case $f_{31}(0) \neq 0$ whose focal conics are ellipses when \tilde{s} is not close to 0 and they are hyperbolas when \tilde{s} is close to 0.

Example 3.12. Let f be a deformation of S_1^+ singularity defined by

$$f(u, v, s) = (u, -u^2 + v^2, u^2 + v^3 + vs^2 + u^2v).$$

This case $f_{31}(0) \neq 0$ holds. The focal conic of f is an ellipse when $s < -1/4$, a parabola when $s = -1/4$, a hyperbola when $-1/4 < s < 0$ and two transversal lines when $s = 0$. See Figure 6.

Finally we give an example in the case of $f_{31}(0) = 0$. We can observe the focal conic is a parabola even if \tilde{s} is close to 0.

Example 3.13. Let f be a deformation of S_1^+ singularity defined by

$$f(u, v, s) = (u, v^2, v^3 - vs^2 + u^2v).$$

This case, $f_{31}(0) = 0$ holds. The focal conic of f is a parabola when $s < 0$ and a line when $s = 0$. See Figure 7.




Figure 6: The focal conics in Example 3.12 (from left to right $s = -1, -1/2, -1/5, 0, 1/5$)




Figure 7: The focal conics in Example 3.13 (from left to right $s = -1, 0, 1$)

3.4 Geometry on trajectory of singular points

In this section, we give a geometric meaning of the lowest order coefficients $f_{24}(0, 0)$ and $f_{34}(0, 0)$ including the deformation parameters. The trajectory of the singular points $S(f_n^{-\tilde{s}^2})$ for the deformation of the S_1^\pm singularities $f = f_n^s$ is a space curve passing through the origin. It is parameterized by

$$\gamma(\tilde{s}) := f_n^{-\tilde{s}^2}(u(\tilde{s}), 0),$$

where $u(\tilde{s})$ is given in Theorem 3.2. Then the curvature κ of γ at $\tilde{s} = 0$ is $\kappa = 2(f_{21}^2(0) + f_{31}^2(0))^{1/2}$. Moreover, if $f_{21}^2(0) + f_{31}^2(0) \neq 0$, then

$$f_{24}(0, 0) = \frac{2\tau f_{31}(0) + \frac{2\kappa' f_{3320} f_{21}(0)}{\kappa} + 6 \frac{df_{21}}{du}(0)}{6f_{3320}^2}$$

and

$$f_{34}(0, 0) = \frac{-2\tau f_{21}(0) + \frac{2\kappa' f_{3320} f_{31}(0)}{\kappa} + 6 \frac{df_{31}}{du}(0)}{6f_{3320}^2}$$

hold, where τ is the torsion of γ , and $\kappa' = d\kappa/d\tilde{s}$.

Remark 3.14. If we allow the isometry $T \in SO(3)$ depends on the deformation parameter s , then one can reduce $(f_{21}(0), f_{31}(0)) = (0, 0)$. This can be realized by

considering the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathbf{R}^2 \times \mathbf{R}, 0) & \xrightarrow{(f_1, \text{id})} & (\mathbf{R}^3 \times \mathbf{R}, 0) \\ \varphi \downarrow & & \tau \downarrow \\ (\mathbf{R}^2 \times \mathbf{R}, 0) & \xrightarrow{(f_2, \text{id})} & (\mathbf{R}^3 \times \mathbf{R}, 0) \end{array}$$

Acknowledgments

The author would like to express her deepest gratitude to Kentaro Saji for fruitful discussions and advice.

References

- [1] S. M. Barajas and Y. Kabata, *Projection of crosscap*, Internat. J. Geom. Methods Modern Phys. **16** (2019), 1950130 (18pages).
- [2] J. W. Bruce and J. M. West, *Function on a cross cap*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **123** (1998), 19–39.
- [3] X.-Y. Chen and T. Matumoto, *On generic 1-parameter families of C^∞ -maps of an n -manifold into a $(2n - 1)$ -manifold*, Hiroshima Math. J., **14** (1985), 547–550.
- [4] F. S. Dias and F. Tari, *On the geometry of the cross-cap in Minkowski 3-space and binary differential equations*, Tohoku Math. J. (2) **68** (2016), no. 2, 293–328.
- [5] T. Fukui and M. Hasegawa, *Distance squared functions on singular surfaces parameterized by smooth maps \mathcal{A} -equivalent to S_k, B_k, C_k , and F_4* , preprint.
- [6] T. Fukui and M. Hasegawa, *Fronts of Whitney umbrella - a differential geometric approach via blowing up*, J. Singul. **4** (2012), 35–67.
- [7] R. Garcia, J. Sotomayor and C. Gutierrez, *Lines of principal curvature around umbilics and Whitney umbrellas*, Tohoku Math. J. (2) **52** (2000), 163–172.
- [8] M. Hasegawa, A. Honda, K. Naokawa, K. Saji, M. Umehara and K. Yamada, *Intrinsic properties of singularities of surfaces*, Internat. J. Math. **26**, 4 (2015) 1540008 (34 pages).
- [9] M. Hasegawa, A. Honda, K. Naokawa, M. Umehara and K. Yamada, *Intrinsic invariants of cross caps*, Sel. Math. New Ser. **20** (2014), 769–785.
- [10] A. Honda, K. Naokawa, K. Saji, M. Umehara and K. Yamada, *Symmetries of cross caps*, Tohoku Math. J. (2) **75** (2023), no. 1, 131–141.
- [11] A. Honda, K. Naokawa, M. Umehara and K. Yamada, *Isometric realization of cross caps as formal power series and its applications*, Hokkaido Math. J. **48** (2019), no. 1, 1–44.

- [12] S. Izumiya, M. C. Romero Fuster, M. A. S. Ruas, F. Tari, *Differential Geometry from Singularity Theory Viewpoint*, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack (2016).
- [13] L. F. Martins and J. J. Nuño-Ballesteros, *Contact properties of surfaces in \mathbf{R}^3 with corank 1 singularities*, Tohoku Math. J. **67** (2015), 105–124.
- [14] D. Mond, *On the classification of germ of \mathbf{R}^2 to \mathbf{R}^3* , Proc. London Math. Soc. **50** (1985), 333–369.
- [15] R. Oset Sinha and K. Saji, *The axial curvature for corank 1 singular surfaces*, Tohoku Math. J. **74** (2022), 365–388.
- [16] K. Saji *Criteria for cuspidal S_k singularities and their applications*, J. Gökova Geometry Topology **4** (2010), 67–81.
- [17] K. Saji, M. Umehara and K. Yamada, *The geometry of fronts*, Ann. of Math. **169** (2009), 491–529.
- [18] F. Tari, *On pairs of geometric foliations on a cross-cap*, Tohoku Math. J. **59** (2007), 233–258.
- [19] J. West, *The differential geometry of the cross-cap*, PhD. thesis, University of Liverpool (1995).
- [20] H. Whitney, *The general type of singularity of a set of $2n - 1$ smooth functions of n variables*, Duke Math. J. **10** (1943), 161–172.

Department of Mathematics, Graduate School of Science, Kobe University, Rokko-dai 1-1, Nada, Kobe 657-8501, Japan
 E-mail address: 231s010s@stu.kobe-u.ac.jp