AN INTRODUCTION TO EXTENDED GEVREY REGULARITY

NENAD TEOFANOV, FILIP TOMIĆ, AND MILICA ŽIGIĆ

ABSTRACT. Gevrey classes are the most common choice when considering the regularities of smooth functions that are not analytic. However, in various situations, it is important to consider smoothness properties that go beyond Gevrey regularity, for example when initial value problems are ill-posed in Gevrey settings. Extended Gevrey classes provide a convenient framework for studying smooth functions that possess weaker regularity than any Gevrey function. Since the available literature on this topic is scattered, our aim is to provide an overview to extended Gevrey regularity, highlighting its most important features. Additionally, we consider related dual spaces of ultradistributions and review some results on micro-local analysis in the context of extended Gevrey regularity. We conclude the paper with a few selected applications that may motivate further study of the topic.

1. Introduction

Gevrey type regularity was introduced in the study of fundamental solutions of the heat equation in [1] and subsequently used to describe regularities stronger than smoothness (C^{∞} -regularity) and weaker than analyticity. This property turns out to be important in the general theory of linear partial differential equations, such as hypoellipticity, local solvability, and propagation of singularities, cf. [2]. In particular, the Cauchy problem for weakly hyperbolic linear partial differential equations (PDEs) can be well-posed in certain Gevrey classes, while

⁽Nenad Teofanov, Milica Żigić) Department of Mathematics and Informatics, Faculty of Sciences, University of Novi Sad, Serbia.

⁽Filip Tomić) Faculty of Technical Sciences, University of Novi Sad, Serbia.

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: nenad.teofanov@dmi.uns.ac.rs, filip.tomic@uns.ac.rs, milica.zigic@dmi.uns.ac.rs.}$

Date: April 2024.

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 46F05; 46E10; 35A18.

Key words and phrases. Ultradifferentiable functions; Gevrey classes; ultradistributions; wave-front sets.

Corresponding author: Nenad Teofanov, nenad.teofanov@dmi.uns.ac.rs.

at the same time being ill-posed in the class of analytic functions, as shown in [3, 2].

Since there is a gap between Gevrey regularity and smoothness, it is important to study classes of smooth functions that do not belong to any Gevrey class. For example, Jézéquel [4] proved that the trace formula for Anosov flows in dynamical systems holds for certain intermediate regularity classes, and Cicognani and Lorenz used a different intermediate regularity when studying the well-posedness of strictly hyperbolic equations in [5].

A systematic study of smoothness that goes beyond any Gevrey regularity was proposed in [6, 7]. This was accomplished by introducing two-parameter dependent sequences of the form $(p^{\tau p^{\sigma}})_p$, where $\tau > 0$, $\sigma > 1$. These sequences give rise to classes of ultradifferentiable functions $\mathcal{E}_{\tau,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, which differ from classical Carleman classes $C^L(\mathbb{R}^d)$ (cf. [8]), are larger than Jézéquel's classes, and which go beyond Komatsu's approach to ultradifferentiable functions as described in, for example, [9]. On one hand, these classes, called Pilipović-Teofanov-Tomić classes in [10], serve as a prominent example of the generalized matrix approach to ulradifferentiable functions. On the other hand, they provide asymptotic estimates in terms of the Lambert functions, which have proven to be useful in various contexts, as discussed in [5, 11, 12].

Different aspects of the so-called extended Gevrey regularity, i.e., the regularity of ultradifferentiable functions from $\mathcal{E}_{\tau,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, have been studied in a dozen papers published in the last decade. Our aim is to offer a self-contained introduction to the subject and illuminate its main features. We provide proofs that, in general, simplify and complement those in the existing literature. Additionally, we present some new results, such as Proposition 3.1, Proposition 3.3, and Theorem 3.1 for the Beurling case, as well as Theorem 3.3.

This survey begins with preliminary Section 2, which covers the main properties of defining sequences, the Lambert function, and the associated function to a given sequence. We emphasize the remarkable connection between the associated function and the Lambert W function (see Theorem 2.1), which provides an elegant formulation of decay properties of the (short-time) Fourier transform of $f \in \mathcal{E}_{\tau,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, as demonstrated in Proposition 3.4 and Corollary 4.1. In Section 3, we introduce the extended Gevrey classes $\mathcal{E}_{\tau,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and the corresponding spaces of ultradistributions. We then present their main properties, such as inverse closedness (Theorem 3.1) and the Paley-Wiener type theorem (Theorem 3.3).

In Section 4, we give an application of extended Gevrey regularity in micro-local analysis. More precisely, we introduce wave-front

sets, which detect singularities that are "stronger" than classical C^{∞} singularities and, at the same time, "weaker" than any Gevrey type singularity.

To provide a flavor of possible applications of extended Gevrey regularity, in Section 5, we briefly outline some results from [5] and [10]. More precisely, we present a result from [5] concerning the well-posedness of strictly hyperbolic equations in $\mathcal{E}_{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and observations from [10], where the extended Gevrey classes are referred to as Pilipović-Teofanov-Tomić classes and are considered within the extended matrix approach to ultradifferentiable classes.

We end this section by introducing some notation that will be used in the sequel.

1.1. **Notation.** We use the standard notation: \mathbb{N} , \mathbb{N}_0 , \mathbb{Z} , \mathbb{R} , \mathbb{R}_+ , \mathbb{C} , denote sets of positive integers, non-negative integers, integers, real numbers, positive real numbers and complex numbers, respectively. The length of a multi-index $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_d) \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ is denoted by $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \cdots + \alpha_d$ and $\alpha! := \alpha_1! \cdots \alpha_d!$. For $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ we denote: $|x| := (x_1^2 + \ldots + x_d^2)^{1/2}$, $x^{\alpha} := \prod_{j=1}^d x_j^{\alpha_j}$, and $D^{\alpha} = D_x^{\alpha} := D_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots D_d^{\alpha_d}$, where $D_j^{\alpha_j} := \left(-\frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\right)^{\alpha_j}$, $j = 1, \ldots, d$.

We write $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, for the Lebesgue spaces, and $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ denotes the Schwartz space of infinitely smooth $(C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d))$ functions which, together with their derivatives, decay at infinity faster than any inverse polynomial. By $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ we denote the dual of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, the space of tempered distributions, and $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is the dual of $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d) = C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, the space of compactly supported infinitely smooth functions.

We use brackets $\langle f, g \rangle$ to denote the extension of the inner product $\langle f, g \rangle = \int f(t) \overline{g(t)} dt$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ to the dual pairing between a test function space \mathcal{A} and its dual \mathcal{A}' : $\mathcal{A}' \langle \cdot, \overline{\cdot} \rangle_{\mathcal{A}} = (\cdot, \cdot)$.

The notation f = O(g) means that $|f(x)| \le C|g(x)|$ for some C > 0 and x in the intersection of domains for f and g. If f = O(g) and g = O(f), then we write $f \times g$.

The Fourier transform of $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ given by

$$\widehat{f}(\xi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x)e^{-2\pi ix\cdot\xi}dx, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

extends to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by standard approximation procedure.

The convolution between $f, g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is given by $(f * g)(t) = \int f(x)g(t-x)dx$.

Translation, modulation, and dilation operators, T, M, and D respectively, when acting on $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ are defined by

$$T_x f(\cdot) = f(\cdot - x)$$
 and $M_x f(\cdot) = e^{2\pi i x \cdot} f(\cdot)$, $D_a f(\cdot) = \frac{1}{a} f(\frac{\cdot}{a})$,

 $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, a > 0. Then for $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the following relations hold:

$$M_y T_x = e^{2\pi i x \cdot y} T_x M_y, \quad \widehat{(T_x f)} = M_{-x} \widehat{f}, \quad \widehat{(M_x f)} = T_x \widehat{f}, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

The Fourier transform, convolution, T, M, and D are extended to other spaces of functions and distributions in a natural way.

2. Preliminaries

- 2.1. **Defining sequences via Komatsu.** Komatsu's approach to the theory of ultradistributions (see [9]) is based on sequences of positive numbers $(M_p) = (M_p)_{p \in \mathbb{N}_0}$, $M_0 = 1$, which satisfy some of the following conditions:
- (M.1) (logarithmic convexity)

$$M_p^2 \le M_{p-1} M_{p+1}, \qquad p \in \mathbb{N};$$

(M.2) (stability under the action of ultradifferentiable operators / convolution)

$$(\exists A, B > 0)$$
 $M_{p+q} \le AB^{p+q}M_pM_q, \quad p, q \in \mathbb{N}_0;$

(M.2)' (stability under the action of differentiable operators)

$$(\exists A, B > 0)$$
 $M_{p+1} \le AB^p M_p, \quad p \in \mathbb{N}_0;$

(M.3) (strong non-quasi-analyticity)

$$\sum_{q=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{M_{q-1}}{M_q} \le A p \frac{M_p}{M_{p+1}}, \qquad p \in \mathbb{N};$$

(M.3)' (non-quasi-analyticity)

$$\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{M_{p-1}}{M_p} < \infty.$$

Note that $(M.2) \Rightarrow (M.2)'$, and $(M.3) \Rightarrow (M.3)'$. In addition, (M.1) implies $M_p M_q \leq M_{p+q}, \ p, q \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Let (M_p) be a positive monotone increasing sequence that satisfies (M.1). Then $(M_p/p!)^{1/p}$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$ is an almost increasing sequence if there exists C > 0 such that

$$\left(\frac{M_p}{p!}\right)^{1/p} \le C\left(\frac{M_q}{q!}\right)^{1/q}, \quad p \le q, \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{p \to \infty} M_p^{1/p} = \infty.$$

This property is related to inverse closedness in $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, see [13].

The Gevrey sequence $M_p = p!^s$, $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$, s > 1 satisfies (M.1), (M.2), and (M.3). It is also an almost increasing sequence.

If (M_p) and (N_p) satisfy (M.1), then we write $M_p \subset N_p$ if there exist constants A > 0 and B > 0 (independent on p) such that

$$M_p \le AB^p N_p, \quad p \in \mathbb{N}.$$
 (1)

If, instead, for each B > 0 there exists A > 0 such that (1) holds, then we write

$$M_p \prec N_p$$
.

Assume that (M_p) satisfies (M.1) and (M.3)'. Then $p! \prec M_p$.

Let \mathcal{R} denote the set of all sequences of positive numbers monotonically increasing to infinity. For a given sequence (M_p) and $(r_p) \in \mathcal{R}$ we consider

$$N_0 = 1, \quad N_p = M_p r_1 r_2 \dots r_p = M_p \prod_{j=1}^p r_j, \quad p \in \mathbb{N}.$$

It is easy to see that if (M_p) satisfies (M.1) and (M.3)', then (N_p) satisfies (M.1) and (M.3)' as well. In addition, one can find $(\tilde{r}_p) \in \mathcal{R}$ so that $(M_p \prod_{j=1}^p \tilde{r}_j)$ satisfies (M.2) if (M_p) does. This follows from the next lemma.

Lemma 2.1. Let $(r_p) \in \mathcal{R}$ be given. Then there exists $(\tilde{r}_p) \in \mathcal{R}$ such that $\tilde{r}_p \leq r_p, \ p \in \mathbb{N}$, and

$$\prod_{j=1}^{p+q} \tilde{r}_j \le 2^{p+q} \prod_{j=1}^{p} \tilde{r}_j \prod_{j=1}^{q} \tilde{r}_j, \qquad p, q \in \mathbb{N}.$$
 (2)

Proof. It is enough to consider the sequence (\tilde{r}_p) given by $\tilde{r}_1 = r_1$ and inductively

$$\tilde{r}_{j+1} = \min\left\{r_{j+1}, \frac{j+1}{j}\tilde{r}_j\right\}, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then $(\tilde{r}_p) \in \mathcal{R}$ and (2) holds. We refer to [14, Lemma 2.3] for details.

2.2. Defining sequences for extended Gevrey regularity. To extend the class of Gevrey type ultradifferentiable functions we consider two-parameter sequences of the form $M_p^{\tau,\sigma} = p^{\tau p^{\sigma}}, p \in \mathbb{N}, \tau > 0, \sigma > 1$.

¿From Stirling's formula, and the fact that there exists C>0 (independent of p) such that

$$sp \le C\tau p^{\sigma}, \quad p \in \mathbb{N},$$

for any $s, \sigma > 1$, and $\tau > 0$, it follows that $p!^s \leq C_1 p^{\tau p^{\sigma}}$, for a suitable constant $C_1 > 0$.

The main properties of $(M_p^{\tau,\sigma})$ are collected in the next lemma (cf. [6, Lemmas 2.2 and 3.1]). The proof is given in the Appendix.

Lemma 2.2. Let $\tau > 0$, $\sigma > 1$, $M_0^{\tau,\sigma} = 1$, and $M_p^{\tau,\sigma} = p^{\tau p^{\sigma}}$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$.

$$(M.1) \qquad (M_p^{\tau,\sigma})^2 \le M_{p-1}^{\tau,\sigma} M_{p+1}^{\tau,\sigma}, \quad p \in \mathbb{N},$$

Then the following properties hold:
$$(M.1) \quad (M_p^{\tau,\sigma})^2 \leq M_{p-1}^{\tau,\sigma} M_{p+1}^{\tau,\sigma}, \quad p \in \mathbb{N},$$

$$(M.2) \quad M_{p+q}^{\tau,\sigma} \leq C^{p^{\sigma}+q^{\sigma}} M_p^{\tau 2^{\sigma-1},\sigma} M_q^{\tau 2^{\sigma-1},\sigma}, \quad p,q \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad \text{for some constant } C \geq 1,$$

$$(\widetilde{M.2})'$$
 $M_{p+1}^{\tau,\sigma} \leq C^{p^{\sigma}} M_p^{\tau,\sigma}, \quad p \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad \text{for some constant } C \geq 1,$

$$(M.3)' \qquad \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{M_{p-1}^{\tau,\sigma}}{M_p^{\tau,\sigma}} < \infty.$$

Remark 2.1. From the proof of (M.2)' it follows that $(M_n^{\tau,\sigma})$ does not satisfy (M.2)', and therefore (M.2) as well. One might expect that instead the sequence $(M_p^{\tau,\sigma})$ satisfies

$$M_{p+q}^{\tau,\sigma} \le C^{p^{\sigma}+q^{\sigma}} M_p^{\tau,\sigma} M_q^{\tau,\sigma}, \quad p, q \in \mathbb{N}_0, \tag{3}$$

for some constant C > 0. However, if we assume that (3) holds for e.g. $\tau = 1$, then, for $p = q \neq 0$, we obtain

$$p^{(2p)^{\sigma}} \le (C_1 p)^{2p^{\sigma}}, \quad p \in \mathbb{N}, \quad with \quad C_1 = C/2^{2^{\sigma-1}}$$

which gives

$$p^{2^{\sigma-1}p} < C_1$$
, for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$,

a contradiction. Thus, (M.2) is a suitable alternative to (M.2) when considering $(M_p^{\tau,\sigma})$.

Let $M_p^{\tau,\sigma} = p^{\tau p^{\sigma}}, p \in \mathbb{N}, \tau > 0, \sigma > 1$, and $(r_p) \in \mathcal{R}$. If $(\tilde{r}_p) \in \mathcal{R}$ is chosen as in Lemma 2.1, then the sequence (N_n) given by

$$N_0 = 1, \quad N_p = M_p^{\tau,\sigma} \prod_{j=1}^p \tilde{r}_j, \quad p \in \mathbb{N},$$

satisfies (M.1), (M.2), (M.2)', and (M.3)'. We note that if $M_p^{\tau,\sigma} = p^{\tau p^{\sigma}}$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $\tau > 0$, $\sigma > 1$, then the sequence $\left(\frac{M_p^{\tau,\sigma}}{p^p}\right)^{1/p}$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$, is an almost increasing sequence since $\left(\frac{M_p^{\tau,\sigma}}{p^p}\right)^{1/p} = p^{\tau p^{\sigma-1}-1}$ and $p^{\tau p^{\sigma-1}-1} < q^{\tau q^{\sigma-1}-1}$, $\lceil (1/\tau)^{1/(\sigma-1)} \rceil .$ 2.3. The Lambert function. The Lambert W function is defined as the inverse of ze^z , $z \in \mathbb{C}$. By W(x), we denote the restriction of its principal branch to $[0, \infty)$. It is used as a convenient tool to describe asymptotic behavior in different contexts. We refer to [15] for a review of some applications of the Lambert W function in pure and applied mathematics, and to the recent monograph [16] for more details and generalizations. It is noteworthy that the Lambert function describes the precise asymptotic behavior of associated function to the sequence $(M_n^{\tau,\sigma})$. This fact was firstly observed in [17].

Some basic properties of the Lambert function W are given below: (W1) W(0) = 0, W(e) = 1, W(x) is continuous, increasing and

- (W2) $W(xe^x) = x \text{ and } x = W(x)e^{W(x)}, x \ge 0,$
- (W3) W can be represented in the form of the absolutely convergent series

$$W(x) = \ln x - \ln(\ln x) + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} c_{km} \frac{(\ln(\ln x))^m}{(\ln x)^{k+m}}, \quad x \ge x_0 > e,$$

with suitable constants c_{km} and x_0 , wherefrom the following estimates hold:

$$\ln x - \ln(\ln x) \le W(x) \le \ln x - \frac{1}{2}\ln(\ln x), \quad x \ge e. \tag{4}$$

The equality in (4) holds if and only if x = e.

Note that (W2) implies

concave on $[0, \infty)$,

$$W(x \ln x) = \ln x, \quad x > 1.$$

By using (W3) we obtain

$$W(x) \sim \ln x, \quad x \to \infty,$$

and therefore

$$W(Cx) \sim W(x), \quad x \to \infty,$$

for any C > 0. We refer to [15, 16] for more details about the Lambert W function.

2.4. Associated functions. Let (M_p) be an increasing sequence positive numbers which satisfies (M.1), and $M_0 = 1$. Then the Carleman associated function to the sequence (M_p) is defined by

$$\mu(h) = \inf_{p \in \mathbb{N}} h^{-p} M_p, \quad h > 0.$$
 (5)

This function is introduced in the study of quasi-analytic functions, see, e.g. [18]. We use the notation from [19].

In Komatsu's treatise of ultradistributions [9], the associated function to (M_p) is instead given by

$$T(h) = \sup_{p>0} \ln_{+} \frac{h^{p}}{M_{p}}, \quad h>0.$$
 (6)

Lemma 2.3. Let (M_p) be an increasing sequence positive numbers which satisfies (M.1), and $M_0 = 1$, and let the functions μ and T be given by (5) and (6) respectively. Then

$$\mu(h) = e^{-T(h)}, \quad h > 0.$$
 (7)

Proof. Clearly,

$$\begin{split} T(h) &= \sup_{p>0} \ln_+(h^p M_p^{-1}) &= \sup_{p>0} (-\ln_+(h^{-p} M_p)) \\ &= -\inf_{p>0} (\ln_+(h^{-p} M_p)) = -\ln_+(\inf_{p>0} (h^{-p} M_p)) \\ &= -\ln_+\mu(h), \quad h>0, \end{split}$$

which is (7).

When (M_p) is (equivalent to) the Gevrey sequence, $M_p = p^{sp}$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$, s > 1, an explicit calculation gives

$$T(h) = \frac{s}{e}h^{\frac{1}{s}}, \quad h > 0.$$

Thus (7) implies that there exist constants k > 0, and C > 0 such that

$$e^{-kh^{\frac{1}{s}}} < \mu(h) < Ce^{-kh^{\frac{1}{s}}}, \quad h > 0,$$

see also [19, Ch IV, 2.1].

By using (6) we define the associated function to the sequence $M_p^{\tau,\sigma} = p^{\tau p^{\sigma}}, p \in \mathbb{N}, \tau > 0, \sigma > 1$, as follows:

$$T_{\tau,\sigma}(h) = \sup_{p \in \mathbb{N}_0} \ln_+ \frac{h^p}{M_p^{\tau,\sigma}}, \quad h > 0.$$
 (8)

It is a remarkable fact that $T_{\tau,\sigma}(h)$ can be expressed via the Lambert W function.

Theorem 2.1. Let $\tau > 0$, $\sigma > 1$, $M_p^{\tau,\sigma} = p^{\tau p^{\sigma}}$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $T_{\tau,\sigma}(h)$ be given by (8). Then

$$T_{\tau,\sigma}(h) \simeq \tau^{-\frac{1}{\sigma-1}} \frac{\ln^{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1}}(h)}{W^{\frac{1}{\sigma-1}}(\ln(h))}, \quad h \text{ large enough},$$
 (9)

where the hidden constants depend on σ only.

Proof. The proof follows from [20, Proposition 2] and estimates (30) given in its proof. More precisely, it can be shown that

$$B_{\sigma} \tau^{-\frac{1}{\sigma-1}} \frac{\ln^{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1}}(h)}{W^{\frac{1}{\sigma-1}}(\ln(h))} + \widetilde{B}_{\tau,\sigma} \le T_{\tau,\sigma}(h) \le A_{\sigma} \tau^{-\frac{1}{\sigma-1}} \frac{\ln^{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1}}(h)}{W^{\frac{1}{\sigma-1}}(\ln(h))} + \widetilde{A}_{\tau,\sigma},$$
(10)

for large enough h > 0, and suitable constants $A_{\sigma}, B_{\sigma}, \widetilde{A}_{\tau,\sigma}, \widetilde{B}_{\tau,\sigma} > 0$

Since $T_{\tau,\sigma}(h)$, h>0, is an increasing function, (9) implies that there exists A > 0 such that

$$\frac{\ln^{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1}}(h)}{W^{\frac{1}{\sigma-1}}(\ln(h))} \le A \frac{\ln^{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1}}(h+a)}{W^{\frac{1}{\sigma-1}}(\ln(h+a))}, \quad a > 0, \quad h \text{ large enough.}$$

We also notice that (W3) (from subsection 2.3) implies

$$T_{\tau,\sigma}(h) \asymp \left(\frac{\ln^{\sigma}(h)}{\tau \ln(\ln(h))}\right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma-1}}$$
, for h large enough.

2.5. Associated function as a weight function. The approach to ultradifferentiable functions via defining sequences is equivalent to the Braun-Meise-Taylor approach based on weight functions, when the defining sequences satisfy conditions (M.1), (M.2) and (M.3), see [22, 21]. Since $M_p^{\tau,\sigma} = p^{\tau p^{\sigma}}$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$, does not satisfy (M.2), to compare the two approaches in [20], the authors used the technique of weighted matrices, see [23]. One of the main results from [20] can be stated as follows.

Proposition 2.1. Let $\tau > 0$, $\sigma > 1$, $M_p^{\tau,\sigma} = p^{\tau p^{\sigma}}$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $T_{\tau,\sigma}(h)$ be the associated function to the sequence $(M_p^{\tau,\sigma})$. $T_{\tau,\sigma}(h) \simeq \omega(h)$, where ω is a weight function.

Recall, a weight function is non-negative, continuous, even and increasing function defined on $\mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{0\}$, $\omega(0) = 0$, if the following conditions hold:

- (α) $\omega(2t) = O(\omega(t)), \quad t \to \infty,$
- $\omega(t) = O(t), \quad t \to \infty,$ (β)
- $\omega(t) = O(t), \quad t \to \infty,$ $\ln t = o(\omega(t)), \quad t \to \infty, \quad \text{i.e. } \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\ln t}{\omega(t)} = 0,$
- $\varphi(t) = w(e^t)$ is convex.

Some classical examples of weight functions are

$$\omega(t) = \ln_+^s |t|, \qquad \omega(t) = \frac{|t|}{\ln^{s-1}(e+|t|)}, \quad s > 1, \ t \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $\ln_+ x = \max\{0, \ln x\}, x > 0$. Moreover, $\omega(t) = |t|^s$ is a weight function if and only if $0 < s \le 1$.

We refer to [23] for the weighted matrices approach to ultradifferentiable functions. It is introduced in order to treat both Braun-Meise-Taylor and Komatsu methods in a unified way, see also subsection 5.2.

3. Extended Gevrey regularity

3.1. Extended Gevrey classes and their dual spaces. Recall that the Gevrey space $\mathcal{G}_t(\mathbb{R}^d)$, t > 1, consists of functions $\phi \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that for every compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ there are constants h > 0 and $C_K > 0$ satisfying

$$|\partial^{\alpha}\phi(x)| \le C_K h^{|\alpha|} |\alpha|!^t, \tag{11}$$

for all $x \in K$ and for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$.

In a similar fashion we introduce new classes of smooth functions by using defining sequences $M_p^{\tau,\sigma}=p^{\tau p^\sigma},\,p\in\mathbb{N},\,\tau>0,\,\sigma>1.$

Definition 3.1. Let there be given $\tau > 0$, $\sigma > 1$, and let $M_p^{\tau,\sigma} = p^{\tau p^{\sigma}}$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $M_0^{\tau,\sigma} = 1$.

The extended Gevrey class of Roumieu type $\mathcal{E}_{\{\tau,\sigma\}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is the set of all $\phi \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that for every compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ there are constants h > 0 and $C_K > 0$ satisfying

$$|\partial^{\alpha}\phi(x)| \le C_K h^{|\alpha|^{\sigma}} M_{|\alpha|}^{\tau,\sigma}, \tag{12}$$

for all $x \in K$ and for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$.

The extended Gevrey class of Beurling type $\mathcal{E}_{(\tau,\sigma)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is the set of all $\phi \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that for every compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ and for all h > 0 there is a constant $C_{K,h} > 0$ satisfying

$$|\partial^{\alpha}\phi(x)| \le C_{K,h} h^{|\alpha|^{\sigma}} M_{|\alpha|}^{\tau,\sigma}, \tag{13}$$

for all $x \in K$ and for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$.

The spaces $\mathcal{E}_{\{\tau,\sigma\}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\mathcal{E}_{(\tau,\sigma)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ are in a usual way endowed with projective and inductive limit topologies respectively, we refer to [6] for details. In particular, they are nuclear spaces, see [6, Theorem 3.1].

Note that (11), (12) and (13) imply

$$\cup_{\tau>1}\mathcal{G}_t(\mathbb{R}^d)\hookrightarrow\mathcal{E}_{(\tau,\sigma)}(\mathbb{R}^d)\hookrightarrow\mathcal{E}_{\{\tau,\sigma\}}(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

where \hookrightarrow denotes continuous and dense inclusion.

The set of functions $\phi \in \mathcal{E}_{\{\tau,\sigma\}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ $(\phi \in \mathcal{E}_{(\tau,\sigma)}(\mathbb{R}^d))$ whose support is contained in some compact set is denoted by $\mathcal{D}_{\{\tau,\sigma\}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ($\mathcal{D}_{(\tau,\sigma)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$).

We use the abbreviated notation τ, σ for $\{\tau, \sigma\}$ or (τ, σ) to denote $\mathcal{E}_{\tau,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \mathcal{E}_{\{\tau,\sigma\}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ or $\mathcal{E}_{\tau,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \mathcal{E}_{(\tau,\sigma)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and similarly for $\mathcal{D}_{\tau,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Next we give an equivalent description of extended Gevrey classes by using sequences from \mathcal{R} , see subsection 2.2. We note that such descriptions are important when dealing with integral transforms of ultradifferentiable functions and related ultradistributions, cf. [24, 25, 14]. The result follows from a lemma which is a modification of [25, Lemma 3.4], and [24, Lemma 2.2.1].

Put $\lfloor x \rfloor := \max\{m \in \mathbb{N} : m \leq x\}$ (the greatest integer part of $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$).

Lemma 3.1. Let there be given $\sigma > 1$, a sequence of positive numbers $(a_p), (r_j) \in \mathcal{R}$, and put

$$R_{0,\sigma} = 1, \quad R_{p,\sigma} := \prod_{j=1}^{\lfloor p^{\sigma} \rfloor} r_j, \quad p \in \mathbb{N}.$$
 (14)

Then the following is true.

i) There exists h > 0 such that

$$\sup\left\{\frac{a_p}{h^{p^{\sigma}}}: p \in \mathbb{N}_0\right\} < \infty,$$

if and only if

$$\sup \left\{ \frac{a_p}{R_{p,\sigma}} : p \in \mathbb{N}_0 \right\} < \infty, \quad \text{for any} \quad (r_j) \in \mathcal{R}. \tag{15}$$

ii) There exists $(r_i) \in \mathcal{R}$ such that

$$\sup \left\{ R_{p,\sigma} a_p : p \in \mathbb{N}_0 \right\} < \infty,$$

if and only if

$$\sup \left\{ h^{p^{\sigma}} a_p : p \in \mathbb{N}_0 \right\} < \infty, \quad \text{for every} \quad h > 0.$$
 (16)

The proof of Lemma 3.1 is given in the Appendix.

Note that in (12) and (13) we could put $h^{\lfloor |\alpha|^{\sigma} \rfloor}$ instead of $h^{|\alpha|^{\sigma}}$ (this follows from the simple inequality $\lfloor p^{\sigma} \rfloor \leq 2 \lfloor p^{\sigma} \rfloor, p \in \mathbb{N}$).

Proposition 3.1. Let there be given $\tau > 0$, $\sigma > 1$, and let $M_p^{\tau,\sigma} = p^{\tau p^{\sigma}}$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $M_0^{\tau,\sigma} = 1$. Then the following is true:

i) $\phi \in \mathcal{E}_{\{\tau,\sigma\}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ if and only if for every compact set $K \subset \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, and for any $(r_p) \in \mathcal{R}$ and $R_{p,\sigma}$ given by (14), there exists $C_{K,(r_p)} > 0$ such that

$$|\partial^{\alpha}\phi(x)| \leq C_{K,(r_p)} R_{\lfloor |\alpha|^{\sigma}\rfloor,\sigma} M_{|\alpha|}^{\tau,\sigma}$$

for all $x \in K$, and all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$.

ii) $\phi \in \mathcal{E}_{(\tau,\sigma)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ if and only if for every compact set $K \subset \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ there is a sequence $(r_p) \in \mathcal{R}$ and a constant $C_K > 0$ satisfying

$$|\partial^{\alpha}\phi(x)| \le C_K \frac{M_{|\alpha|}^{\tau,\sigma}}{R_{\lfloor |\alpha|^{\sigma}\rfloor,\sigma}}$$

for all $x \in K$ and for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$, where $R_{p,\sigma}$ given by (14).

Proposition 3.1 follows from Lemma 3.1.

We end this subsection by introducing spaces of ulradistributions as dual spaces of $\mathcal{E}_{\tau,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\tau > 0$, $\sigma > 1$. In subsection 3.5 we will prove a Paley-Wiener type theorem for such ultradistributions.

Definition 3.2. Let $\tau > 0$ and $\sigma > 1$, and let $\mathcal{E}_{\tau,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \mathcal{E}_{\{\tau,\sigma\}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ or $\mathcal{E}_{\tau,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \mathcal{E}_{(\tau,\sigma)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then $u \in \mathcal{E}'_{\tau,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ if there exists a compact set K in \mathbb{R}^d and constants ε , C > 0 such that

$$|(u,\varphi)| \le C \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d, x \in K} \frac{|D^{\alpha}\varphi(x)|}{\varepsilon^{|\alpha|^{\sigma}} |\alpha|^{\tau|\alpha|^{\sigma}}}, \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{E}_{\tau,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d), \tag{17}$$

and (\cdot, \cdot) denotes standard dual pairing.

In a similar way $\mathcal{D}'_{\tau,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is the dual space of $\mathcal{D}_{\tau,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

3.2. Example of a compactly supported function. The non-quasianalyticity condition (M.3)' provides the existence of nontrivial compactly supported functions in $\mathcal{E}_{\tau,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ which can be formulated as follows.

Proposition 3.2. Let $\tau > 0$ and $\sigma > 1$. For every a > 0 there exists $\phi_a \in \mathcal{E}_{\tau,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $\phi_a \geq 0$, supp $\phi_a \subset [-a,a]^d$, and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi_a(x) dx = 1$.

Of course, any compactly supported Gevrey function from $\mathcal{G}_{\tau}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ will suffice. However, the construction in Proposition 3.2, is sharp in the sense that ϕ_a does not belong to any Gevrey class, i.e. $\phi_a \notin \bigcup_{t>1} \mathcal{G}_t(\mathbb{R}^d)$. We refer to the proof of [8, Lemma 1.3.6.] for more details.

Proof. We give a proof when d = 1, and for $d \ge 2$ the proof follows by taking the tensor product.

Since $\mathcal{D}_{\tau,\sigma}(\mathbb{R})$ is closed under dilation and multiplication by a constant, it is enough to show the result for a=1, and set $\phi_1=\phi$.

From

$$\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2(p+1))^{\frac{1}{m}p^{\sigma-1}}} < \infty$$

for any $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and any given $\sigma > 1$, it follows that there exists a sequence of nonnegative integers (N_m) such that

$$\sum_{n=N_m}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2(p+1))^{\frac{1}{m}p^{\sigma-1}}} < \frac{1}{2^m}.$$

Thus the sequence $a_p, p \in \mathbb{N}_0$, given by

$$a_p := \frac{1}{(2(p+1))^{\frac{1}{m}p^{\sigma-1}}}, \quad N_m \le p < N_{m+1},$$

satisfies

$$\sum_{n=N_1}^{\infty} a_p \le 1.$$

Let $f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ be a non-negative and even function such that supp $f \in [-1, 1]$, $\int_{-1}^{1} f(x) dx = 1$, and $f_a(x) = \frac{1}{a} f\left(\frac{x}{a}\right)$. Then we define the sequence of functions (ϕ_p) by

$$\phi_p := f_{a_{N_1}} * f_{a_{N_1+1}} * \dots * f_{a_p}, \qquad p \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Note that

$$\operatorname{supp} \phi_p \subset [-1, 1], \qquad \int_{-1}^1 \phi_p(x) \, dx = 1, \qquad p \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

$$\phi_p^{(n)} = f_{a_{N_1}} * \cdots * f_{a_{N_m}} * f'_{a_{N_m+1}} * \cdots * f'_{a_{N_m+n}} * f_{a_{N_m+n+1}} * \cdots * f_{a_p},$$
and

$$||f'_{a_p}||_1 = \frac{1}{a_p} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{a_p} \left| f'\left(\frac{x}{a_p}\right) \right| dx \le \frac{c}{a_p} \le c \left(2(p+1)\right)^{\frac{1}{m}p^{\sigma-1}}, \tag{18}$$

when $p \geq N_m$

Let there be given $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\tau > 0$. Then we choose $m, p \in \mathbb{N}_0$ so that $1/m < \tau$, and $N_m + n < p$.

By using (18) and the fact that (M.2)' implies

$$M_{p+q}^{\frac{1}{m},\sigma} \le \tilde{C}^{p^{\sigma}} M_p^{\frac{1}{m},\sigma}$$

for some $\tilde{C} = \tilde{C}(q) > 0$, we obtain

$$|\phi_p^{(n)}(x)| \le c^n 2^{\frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=1}^n (N_m + k)^{\sigma - 1}} \prod_{k=1}^n (N_m + k + 1)^{\frac{1}{m} (N_m + k)^{\sigma - 1}}$$

$$\le c^n 2^{\frac{1}{m} (N_m + n)^{\sigma}} (N_m + n + 1)^{\frac{1}{m} (N_m + n + 1)^{\sigma}}$$

$$\le C^{m^{\sigma}} n^{\tau n^{\sigma}},$$

where C depends on τ .

The sequence $\{\phi_p^{(n)} \mid p = N_1, N_2, \dots\}$ is a Cauchy sequence for every $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Thus, it converges to a function ϕ that satisfies

$$|\phi^{(n)}(x)| \le C^{n^{\sigma}} n^{\tau n^{\sigma}},$$

for every $\tau > 0$. Therefore, $\phi \in \mathcal{D}_{\tau,\sigma}(\mathbb{R})$, and by the construction $\phi \geq 0$, supp $\phi \subset [-1,1]$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(x) dx = 1$, which completes the proof.

3.3. Algebra property. Since $M_p^{\tau,\sigma}$ satisfies properties (M.1) and (M.2)' we have the following.

Proposition 3.3. $\mathcal{E}_{\tau,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is closed under the pointwise multiplication of functions and under the (finite order) differentiation.

Proof. Let us prove that $\mathcal{E}_{(\tau,\sigma)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is closed under pointwise multiplication, since its closedness under the differentiation follows from (M.2)'. We refer to [6] for the Roumieu case $\mathcal{E}_{\{\tau,\sigma\}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Let $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{E}_{(\tau,\sigma)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Let K be a compact subset of \mathbb{R}^d . Then for every h, k > 0 there exist constants $C_{K,h} > 0$ and $C_{K,k} > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{x \in K} |\partial^{\alpha} \phi(x)| \le C_{K,h} h^{|\alpha|^{\sigma}} |\alpha|^{\tau |\alpha|^{\sigma}}, \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{x \in K} |\partial^{\alpha} \psi(x)| \le \tilde{C}_{K,k} k^{|\alpha|^{\sigma}} |\alpha|^{\tau |\alpha|^{\sigma}} < \infty.$$

For simplicity, assume that $\tau = 1$, and the proof for $\tau > 1$ is similar.

By the Leibniz formula and (M.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial^{\alpha}(\phi\psi)(x)| &\leq \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} |\partial^{\alpha-\beta}\phi(x)| |\partial^{\beta}\psi(x)| \\ &\leq C_{K,h} \tilde{C}_{K,k} \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} h^{|\alpha-\beta|^{\sigma}} |\alpha-\beta|^{|\alpha-\beta|^{\sigma}} k^{|\beta|^{\sigma}} |\beta|^{|\beta|^{\sigma}} \\ &\leq C_{K,h} \tilde{C}_{K,k} |\alpha|^{|\alpha|^{\sigma}} \sum_{\beta < \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} h^{|\alpha-\beta|^{\sigma}} k^{|\beta|^{\sigma}}, \quad x \in K. \end{aligned}$$

By choosing h = k we get

$$\sum_{\beta \le \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} h^{|\alpha - \beta|^{\sigma}} k^{|\beta|^{\sigma}} \le 2^{|\alpha|} h^{2^{\sigma}|\alpha|^{\sigma}} \le (2^{|\alpha|} h^{2^{\sigma}})^{|\alpha|^{\sigma}},$$

and obtain

$$|\partial^{\alpha}(\phi\psi)(x)| \le C(2^{|\alpha|}h^{2^{\sigma}})^{|\alpha|^{\sigma}}|\alpha|^{|\alpha|^{\sigma}}$$

with $C = C_{K,h} \tilde{C}_{K,h}$.

Thus, for any given $\tilde{h} > 0$ we can choose $h < (\tilde{h}/2)^{1/2^{\sigma}}$ to get

$$|\partial^{\alpha}(\phi\psi)(x)| < C\tilde{h}^{|\alpha|^{\sigma}}|\alpha|^{|\alpha|^{\sigma}},$$

where C > 0 depends on K and \tilde{h} , that is, $\phi \psi \in \mathcal{E}_{(\tau,\sigma)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

3.4. Inverse closedness and composition. We need some preparation related to the decompositions that appear when using the generalized Faà di Bruno formula.

Let there be given a multiindex $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$. We say that α is decomposed into parts $p_1, \ldots, p_s \in \mathbb{N}^d$ with multiplicities $m_1, \ldots, m_s \in \mathbb{N}$, if

$$\alpha = m_1 p_1 + m_2 p_2 + \dots + m_s p_s, \tag{19}$$

where $|p_i| \in \{1, ..., |\alpha|\}$, $m_i \in \{0, 1, ..., |\alpha|\}$, i = 1, ..., s. If $p_i = (p_{i_1}, ..., p_{i_d})$, $i \in \{1, ..., s\}$, we put $p_i < p_j$ when i < j if there exists $k \in \{1, ..., d\}$ such that $p_{i_1} = p_{j_1}, ..., p_{i_{k-1}} = p_{j_{k-1}}$ and $p_{i_k} < p_{j_k}$. Note that $s \leq |\alpha|$ and $m = m_1 + \cdots + m_s \leq |\alpha|$.

The triple (s, p, m) is called the decomposition of α and the set of all decompositions of the form (19) is denoted by π .

For smooth functions $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ and $g: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$, the generalized Faà di Bruno formula is given by

$$\partial^{\alpha}(f(g)) = \alpha! \sum_{(s,p,m)\in\pi} f^{(m)}(g) \prod_{k=1}^{s} \frac{1}{m_k!} \left(\frac{1}{p_k!} \partial^{p_k} g\right)^{m_k}.$$
 (20)

The total number card π of different decompositions of a multiindex $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$ given by (19) can be estimated as follows: card $\pi \leq (1+|\alpha|)^{d+2}$, cf. [26, Remark 2.2].

Theorem 3.1. Let $\tau > 0$, $\sigma > 1$. Then the extended Gevrey class $\mathcal{E}_{\tau,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is inverse-closed in $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Proof. The proof for $\mathcal{E}_{\{\tau,\sigma\}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is given in [26]. Here we give the proof for $\mathcal{E}_{(\tau,\sigma)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Let $\phi \in \mathcal{E}_{(\tau,\sigma)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\phi(x) \neq 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and let K be a compact set in \mathbb{R}^d .

When d=1 the proof is straightforward: Let $c \in (0,1)$ be such that $|\phi(x)| \geq c$. Then we have

$$\left| \left(\frac{1}{\phi(x)} \right)^{(\alpha)} \right| \le \frac{\alpha!}{|\phi(x)|^{\alpha+1}} |\phi^{(\alpha)}(x)| \le C_{K,h} \alpha! \left(\frac{1}{c} \right) h^{\alpha^{\sigma}} M_{\alpha}^{\tau,\sigma},$$

so for each $\tilde{h} > 0$ there is C > 0 such that

$$\left| \left(\frac{1}{\phi(x)} \right)^{(\alpha)} \right| \le C(\tilde{h})^{\alpha^{\sigma}} M_{\alpha}^{\tau,\sigma},$$

that is, $1/\phi \in \mathcal{E}_{(\tau,\sigma)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

When $d \geq 2$, we employ the Faà di Bruno formula (20), to obtain

$$\left| \partial^{\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{\phi(x)} \right) \right| \leq |\alpha|! \sum_{(s,p,m) \in \pi} \frac{m!}{m_1! \dots m_s! |\phi(x)|^{m+1}} \prod_{k=1}^s \left(\frac{|\partial^{p_k} \phi(x)|}{p_k!} \right)^{m_k},$$

for arbitrary $x \in K$. Let $c \in (0,1)$ be chosen so that $|\phi(x)| \geq c$ for $x \in K$. Then

$$\frac{m!}{m_1! \dots m_s! |\phi(x)|^{m+1}} \le \frac{s^m}{c^{m+1}} \frac{m_1! \dots m_s!}{m_1! \dots m_s!} \le C^{|\alpha|^{\sigma}+1},$$

for a suitable constant C > 0, where we used $s, m \leq |\alpha|$, and $\sigma > 1$. It remains to show that for each $\tilde{h} > 0$ there exists C > 0 such that

$$\prod_{k=1}^{s} \left(\frac{|\partial^{p_k} \phi(x)|}{p_k!} \right)^{m_k} \le C\tilde{h}^{|\alpha|^{\sigma}} M_{|\alpha|}^{\tau,\sigma}. \tag{21}$$

This can be done by induction with respect to the length of the multiindex $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$. The proof for $|\alpha| = 1$ is the same as in d = 1. Now, if (21) holds for $|\alpha| < n$, the case $|\alpha| = n$, follows from the induction step and Proposition 3.3. We omit details.

Theorem 3.2. Let $\tau > 0$, $\sigma > 1$. If $f \in \mathcal{E}_{\tau,\sigma}(\mathbb{R})$ and $g \in \mathcal{E}_{\tau,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is such that $g : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$, then $f \circ g \in \mathcal{E}_{\tau,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

The proof of Theorem 3.2 for (the Roumieu case) can be found in [7]. Theorem 3.1 is a consequence of Theorem 3.2, but, as we see, it can be proved independently.

3.5. Paley-Wiener theorems. Let $\mathcal{E}_{(\sigma)}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \bigcap_{\tau>0} \mathcal{E}_{(\tau,\sigma)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and let

 $\mathcal{D}_{(\sigma)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ denote the set of compactly supported elements from $\mathcal{E}_{(\sigma)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. A more general statement than Proposition 3.4 is given in [17, Theorem 3.1].

Proposition 3.4. Let $\sigma > 1$, and let $f \in \mathcal{D}_{(\sigma)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then \widehat{f} , the Fourier transform of f, is analytic function, and for every h > 0 there exists a constant $C_h > 0$ such that

$$|\widehat{f}(\xi)| \le C_h \exp\left\{-h\left(\ln\frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1}(|\xi|)/W^{\frac{1}{\sigma-1}}(\ln(|\xi|))\right)\right\}, \quad |\xi| \quad large \ enough,$$
(22)

where W denotes the Lambert function.

Proof. The analyticity of f follows from the classical Paley-Wiener theorem, cf. [8]. It remains to prove (22).

Let $f \in \mathcal{D}_{(\sigma)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and let K denote the support of f. Since $f \in \mathcal{D}_{\frac{\tau}{2},\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, by Definition 3.1 for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$ we get the following estimate:

$$|\xi^{\alpha}\widehat{f}(\xi)| = |\widehat{D^{\alpha}f}(\xi)| \le C \sup_{x \in K} |D^{\alpha}f(x)| \le C_1^{|\alpha|^{\sigma}+1} |\alpha|^{\frac{\tau}{2}|\alpha|^{\sigma}} \le C_2 |\alpha|^{\tau|\alpha|^{\sigma}}, \ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

for a suitable constant $C_2 > 0$. Now, the relation between the sequence $(M_p^{\tau,\sigma})$ and its associated function $T_{\tau,\sigma}$ given by (8) implies that

$$|\widehat{f}(\xi)| \le C_2 \inf_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \frac{|\alpha|^{\tau|\alpha|^{\sigma}}}{|\xi|^{|\alpha|}} \le C_3 e^{-T_{\tau,\sigma}(|\xi|)}, \qquad |\xi| \quad \text{large enough},$$

for suitable $C_3 > 0$. Then, from the left-hand side of (10) we get

$$|\widehat{f}(\xi)| \le C_4 \exp\left\{-B_{\sigma} \tau^{-\frac{1}{\sigma-1}} \left(\ln^{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1}}(|\xi|)/W^{\frac{1}{\sigma-1}}(\ln(|\xi|))\right)\right\}, \quad |\xi| \quad \text{large enough},$$

with $C_4 = C_3 e^{-\tilde{B}_{\tau,\sigma}}$. For any given h > 0 we choose $\tau = (B_{\sigma}/h)^{\sigma-1}$, to obtain (22), which proves the claim.

We proceed with the Paley-Wiener theorem for $u \in \mathcal{E}'_{(\sigma)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Theorem 3.3. Let $\sigma > 1$.

i) If $u \in \mathcal{E}'_{(\sigma)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ then there exist constants h, C > 0 such that

$$|\widehat{u}(\xi)| \le C \exp\left\{h\left(\frac{\ln^{\sigma}|\xi|}{W(\ln(|\xi|))}\right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma-1}}\right\}, \quad \text{for } |\xi| \text{ large enough.}$$

ii) If $u \in \mathcal{E}'_{(\sigma)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and if for every h > 0 there exists C > 0 such that

$$|\widehat{u}(\xi)| \le C \exp\left\{-h\left(\frac{\ln^{\sigma}(|\xi|)}{W(\ln(|\xi|))}\right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma-1}}\right\}, \quad \text{for } |\xi| \text{ large enough}, \quad (23)$$

$$then \ u \in \mathcal{E}_{(\sigma)}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Proof. i) Fix $\tau_0 > 0$ so that $u \in \mathcal{E}'_{(2\tau_0,\sigma)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. By applying (17) to $\varphi_{\xi}(x) = e^{-2\pi i x \cdot \xi} \in \mathcal{E}_{(\sigma)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we get

$$|\widehat{u}(\xi)| = |(u, e^{-2\pi i \cdot \xi})| \le C \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \sup_{x \in K} \frac{|D^{\alpha}(e^{-2\pi i x \cdot \xi})|}{\varepsilon^{|\alpha|^{\sigma}} |\alpha|^{2\tau_0|\alpha|^{\sigma}}}$$

$$\leq C \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \frac{|\xi|^{|\alpha|}}{\varepsilon^{|\alpha|^{\sigma}} |\alpha|^{2\tau_0|\alpha|^{\sigma}}} \leq C_1 \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \frac{|\xi|^{|\alpha|}}{|\alpha|^{\tau_0|\alpha|^{\sigma}}} = C_1 \exp\{T_{\tau_0,\sigma}(|\xi|)\}, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

where we have used simple inequalities $|\xi^{\alpha}| \leq |\xi|^{|\alpha|}$ and $\varepsilon^{|\alpha|^{\sigma}} |\alpha|^{2\tau_0|\alpha|^{\sigma}} \geq C'' |\alpha|^{\tau_0|\alpha|^{\sigma}}$ for suitable C' > 0. Now the statement follows from (10).

ii) It is sufficient to prove that for every $\tau > 0$ there exists constant C > 0 such that

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} |D^{\alpha} u(x)| \le C |\alpha|^{\tau |\alpha|^{\sigma}}, \qquad \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d.$$
 (24)

Notice that h > 0 large enough in (10) can be replaced by $(1 + |\xi|)$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

For arbitrary $\tau > 0$, we take A_{σ} be as in (10), and set $h = 2A_{\sigma}\tau^{-\frac{1}{\sigma-1}}$ in (23). Then the Fourier inversion formula, together with (10) and (23), implies

$$\begin{split} |D^{\alpha}u(x)| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \xi^{\alpha} \widehat{u}(\xi) e^{2\pi i x \xi} d\xi \right| \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} |\xi|^{|\alpha|} \exp\left\{ -2A_{\sigma} \tau^{-\frac{1}{\sigma-1}} \left(\frac{\ln^{\sigma}(1+|\xi|)}{W(\ln(1+|\xi|))} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma-1}} \right\} d\xi \\ &\leq C_{1} \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{d}} \left(|\xi|^{|\alpha|} \exp\left\{ -T_{\tau,\sigma}(1+|\xi|) \right\} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \exp\left\{ -A_{\sigma} \left(\frac{\ln^{\sigma}(1+|\xi|)}{\tau W(\ln(1+|\xi|))} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma-1}} \right\} d\xi \\ &\leq C_{2} \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{d}} \frac{|\xi|^{|\alpha|}}{\exp\left\{ T_{\tau,\sigma}(|\xi|) \right\}}, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, \end{split}$$

for suitable $C_2 > 0$. Then (24) follows from (8).

- 4. Wave-front sets for extended Gevrey regularity
- 4.1. Wave-front set and singular support. Wave-front sets measure different types of directional singularities. For example,

$$WF(u) \subsetneq WF_t(u) \subsetneq WF_A(u), \quad t > 1,$$
 (25)

where $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$, WF is the classical (C^{∞}) wave-front set, WF_t is the Gevrey wave-front set, and WF_A is analytic wave-front set, we refer to [27, 8, 2] for precise definitions.

In this section we introduce wave-front sets which detect singularities that are "stronger" then the classical C^{∞} singularities and "weaker" than any Gevrey singularity. Moreover, the usual properties (such as pseudo-local property), which hold for wave-front sets quoted in (25), are preserved when considering the new type of singularities.

For simplicity, here we consider wave-front sets $WF_{\{\tau,\sigma\}}(u)$ in terms of extended Gevrey regularity of Roumieu type. Results on $WF_{(\tau,\sigma)}(u)$ of Beurling type are analogous, cf. [7, Remark 3.2].

Definition 4.1. Let $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\tau > 0$, $\sigma > 1$, and $(x_0, \xi_0) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$. Then $(x_0, \xi_0) \notin WF_{\{\tau, \sigma\}}(u)$ if there exists a conic neighborhood

 Γ_0 of ξ_0 , a compact set $K \subset\subset \mathbb{R}^d$, and $\phi \in \mathcal{D}_{\{\tau,\sigma\}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, supp $\phi = K$, $\phi(x_0) \neq 0$, and such that

$$|\widehat{\phi u}(\xi)| \le C \frac{h^{N^{\sigma}} N^{\tau N^{\sigma}}}{|\xi|^{N}}, \quad N \in \mathbb{N}, \xi \in \Gamma_{0},$$
(26)

for some h > 0 and C > 0.

Definition 4.1 does not depend on the choice of the cut-off function $\phi \in \mathcal{D}_{\{\tau,\sigma\}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with given properties. We refer to [17, Theorem 4.2] for the proof of such independence, and note that the inverse closedness property of $\mathcal{E}_{\{\tau,\sigma\}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ (Theorem 3.1) is used in the proof. Thus, $(x_0,\xi_0) \notin \mathrm{WF}_{\{\tau,\sigma\}}(u)$ if (26) holds for all $\phi \in \mathcal{D}_{\{\tau,\sigma\}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, supp $\phi = K$, $\phi(x_0) \neq 0$, and sometimes it is convenient to assume that $\phi(x_0) \equiv 1$ in a neighboorhood of $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$, cf. [2].

Let $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then WF_{$\{\tau,\sigma\}$}(u) is a closed subset of $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$, and for every $\tau > 0$ and $\sigma > 1$ we have

$$WF(u) \subsetneq WF_{\{\tau,\sigma\}}(u) \subsetneq WF_t(u) \subsetneq WF_A(u).$$

The singular support of a distribution $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with respect to extended Gevrey regularity is the complement of the set of points in which u locally belongs to $\mathcal{E}_{\{\tau,\sigma\}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$:

Definition 4.2. Let $\tau > 0$, $\sigma > 1$, and $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then $x_0 \notin \operatorname{singsupp}_{\{\tau,\sigma\}}(u)$ if and only if there exists a neighborhood Ω of x_0 such that $u \in \mathcal{E}_{\{\tau,\sigma\}}(\Omega)$.

Here, $u \in \mathcal{E}_{\{\tau,\sigma\}}(\Omega)$ means that u satisfies the conditions of Definition 3.1, i.e. (12), with \mathbb{R}^d replaced by its open subset Ω at each occurrence.

The next result is a consequence of Definition 4.1 and 4.2, we refer to [7] for the proof.

Theorem 4.1. Let $\tau > 0$, $\sigma > 1$, $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and let $\pi_1 : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{R}^d$ be the standard projection given by $\pi_1(x, \xi) = x$. Then

$$\operatorname{singsupp}_{\{\tau,\sigma\}}(u) = \pi_1(\operatorname{WF}_{\{\tau,\sigma\}}(u)).$$

4.2. Characterization of wave-front sets via the STFT. For the estimates of the short-time Fourier transform it is convenient to consider the following refinement of the associated function $T_{\tau,\sigma}$ (see (8)).

The two-parameter associated function $T_{\tau,\sigma}(h,k)$ to the sequence $M_p^{\tau,\sigma} = p^{\tau p^{\sigma}}, p \in \mathbb{N}, \tau > 0, \sigma > 1$ is given by

$$T_{\tau,\sigma}(h,k) = \sup_{p \in \mathbb{N}} \ln_{+} \frac{h^{p^{\sigma}} k^{p}}{M_{p}^{\tau,\sigma}}, \quad k > 0.$$
 (27)

When h=1 we recover the associated function with the sequence $(M_n^{\tau,\sigma})$, i.e.

$$T_{\tau,\sigma}(k) = T_{\tau,\sigma}(1,k), \quad k > 0.$$

Sharp asymptotic estimates for $T_{\tau,\sigma}(h,k)$ in terms of the principal branch of the Lambert function are given in [17].

Here we recall [20, Lemma 2], a simple result which relates $T_{\tau,\sigma}$ and $T_{\tau,\sigma}(h,\cdot)$, h>0.

Lemma 4.1. Let $T_{\tau,\sigma}(h,k)$ be given by (27), and let $T_{\tau,\sigma}(k)$ be given by (8). Then for any given h > 0 and $\tau_2 > \tau > \tau_1 > 0$ there exists $A, B \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$T_{\tau_2,\sigma}(k) + A \le T_{\tau,\sigma}(h,k) \le T_{\tau_1,\sigma}(k) + B, \quad k > 0.$$

It is known that the classical wave-front set WF(u) can be described by the means of the short-time Fourier transform, see [28]. Related characterization of WF $_{\{\tau,\sigma\}}(u)$ is given in [29]. Here we provide a slightly different statement, and a more detailed proof.

Let there be given $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. The short-time Fourier transform (STFT) of f with respect to the window g is given by

$$V_g f(x,\xi) = \int e^{-2\pi i t \xi} f(t) \overline{g(t-x)} dt = \langle f, M_{\xi} T_x g \rangle, \quad x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

We observe that the definition of $V_g f$ makes sense when f and g belong to any pair of dual spaces, extending the inner product in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ as it is mentioned in section 1.1.

We first observe that if $(x_0, \xi_0) \notin WF_{\{\tau, \sigma\}}(u)$ then

$$|\widehat{\phi u}(\xi)| \le C \inf_{N \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{h^{N^{\sigma}} N^{\tau N^{\sigma}}}{|\xi|^{N}}, \qquad \xi \in \Gamma_0, \tag{28}$$

for some h > 0, C > 0, and ϕ satisfying the conditions of Definition 4.1. By (27) (and Lemma 2.3) it follows that (28) is equivalent to

$$|\widehat{\phi u}(\xi)| \le Ce^{-T_{\tau,\sigma}(\frac{1}{h},|\xi|)}, \quad \xi \in \Gamma_0.$$
(29)

Next we resolve WF_{$\{\tau,\sigma\}$}(u) of $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by considering the asymptotic behavior of its STFT.

In the sequel $\phi \in \mathcal{D}_{\{\tau,\sigma\}}^K(\mathbb{R}^d)$ means that $\phi \in \mathcal{D}_{\{\tau,\sigma\}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and supp $\phi = K$.

Theorem 4.2. Let $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and $\tau > 0$, $\sigma > 1$. Then $(x_0, \xi_0) \notin \mathrm{WF}_{\{\tau,\sigma\}}(u)$ if and only if there exists a conic neighborhood Γ_0 of ξ_0 , a compact neighborhood K of x_0 , and

$$g \in \mathcal{D}_{\{\tau,\sigma\}}^{K_0}(\mathbb{R}^d), \qquad K_{x_0} = \{ y \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid y + x_0 \in K \}, \qquad g(0) \neq 0, (30)$$

such that

$$|V_q u(x,\xi)| \le C e^{-T_{\tau,\sigma}(k,|\xi|)}, \quad x \in K, \, \xi \in \Gamma_0, \tag{31}$$

for some k > 0, and C > 0.

Proof. We follow the idea presented in [28], and give the proof to enlighten the difference between WF(u) and WF_{$\{\tau,\sigma\}$}(u).

(⇒) Assume that there is a conic neighborhood Γ of ξ_0 , a compact set K_1 in \mathbb{R}^d , so that for any $\phi \in \mathcal{D}_{\{\tau,\sigma\}}^{K_1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, such that $\phi(x_0) \neq 0$, the estimate (29) holds for some C, h > 0. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $K_1 = \overline{B_r(x_0)}$ for some r > 0.

By (29) it follows that the set

$$H_h = \{ e^{T_{\tau,\sigma}(\frac{1}{h},|\xi|)} e^{-i\xi \cdot u(\cdot)} \mid \xi \in \Gamma_0 \}$$

is weakly bounded, and weakly continuous (since $D^{K_1}_{\{\tau,\sigma\}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is barelled).

Let $K = \overline{B_{r/2}(x_0)}$, and consider the window $g \in \mathcal{D}_{\{\tau,\sigma\}}^{K_{x_0}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, such that $g \neq 0$ on a neighborhood of 0.

Then $\phi \equiv T_x \bar{g} \in \mathcal{D}^{K_1}_{\{\tau,\sigma\}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and $\phi \neq 0$ on a neighborhood of x_0 . By the equicontinuity of H_h it follows that

$$|\langle e^{T_{\tau,\sigma}(\frac{1}{\hbar},|\xi|)}e^{-i\xi\cdot}u(\cdot),T_x\bar{g}(\cdot)\rangle| \leq C_1 \sup_{|\alpha|\leq N} \sup_{t\in K_1} |D^{\alpha}g(t-x)|$$

$$= C_1 \sup_{|\alpha|\leq N} ||D^{\alpha}g||_{L^{\infty}} \leq C \quad (32)$$

From the definition of STFT it follows that

$$V_q u(x,\xi) = \widehat{uT_x \bar{g}}(x,\xi), \quad x,\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

This, together with (32) implies

$$|V_q u(x,\xi)| = |\widehat{uT_x \bar{g}}| \le Ce^{-T_{\tau,\sigma}(1/h,|\xi|)}, \quad \xi \in \Gamma$$

for all $x \in K$, and for some constants C, h > 0, which gives (31).

Notice that we actually proved that (31) holds for any g satisfying (30).

(\Leftarrow) Let the window $g \in \mathcal{D}_{\{\tau,\sigma\}}^{K_{x_0}}(\mathbb{R}^d), g \neq 0$ in a neighborhood of 0. Then $\psi = T_{x_0}\bar{g} \in \mathcal{D}_{\{\tau,\sigma\}}^K(\mathbb{R}^d), \psi(x_0) \neq 0$, and

$$|\widehat{\psi u}(\xi)| = |V_g u(x_0, \xi)| \le A e^{-T_{\tau, \sigma}(k, |\xi|)} \le C \frac{h^{N^{\sigma}} N^{\tau N^{\sigma}}}{|\xi|^N}, \quad N \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \xi \in \Gamma_0,$$

for some C > 0 and h = 1/k > 0, and the proof is complete. \square

By using Lemma 4.1 and Theorem 2.1 we can express the decay estimate (31) in terms of the Lambert function as follows.

Corollary 4.1. Let $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\tau > 0$, $\sigma > 1$, and let W denote the Lambert function. If $(x_0, \xi_0) \notin \operatorname{WF}_{\{\tau, \sigma\}}(u)$ then there exists a conic neighborhood Γ_0 of ξ_0 , a compact neighborhood K of x_0 , and g satisfying (30) such that

$$|V_g u(x,\xi)| \le C e^{-c\left(\frac{\ln^{\sigma}(|\xi|)}{\tau_2 W(\ln(|\xi|))}\right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma-1}}}, \quad x \in K, \ \xi \in \Gamma_0,$$

for some c > 0, C > 0, and any $\tau_2 > \tau$.

Conversely, if there exists a conic neighborhood Γ_0 of ξ_0 , a compact neighborhood K of x_0 , and g satisfying (30) such that

$$|V_g u(x,\xi)| \le C e^{-c\left(\frac{\ln^{\sigma}(|\xi|)}{\tau_1 W(\ln(|\xi|))}\right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma-1}}}, \quad x \in K, \, \xi \in \Gamma_0, \tag{33}$$

holds for some c > 0, C > 0, and $\tau_1 < \tau$, then $(x_0, \xi_0) \notin WF_{\{\tau, \sigma\}}(u)$.

As a combination of results from Theorem 3.3 ii) and Theorem 4.1, we can use Corollary 4.1 to characterize local regularity of $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Namely if (33) holds, then $x_0 \notin \operatorname{singsupp}_{\{\tau,\sigma\}}(u)$, so that $u \in \mathcal{E}_{\{\tau,\sigma\}}(\Omega)$ in a neighborhood Ω of x_0 (see Definition 4.2).

4.3. **Propagation of singularities.** One of the main properties of wave-front sets is microlocal hypoelipticity. We first recall the notion of the characteristic set of an operator and the main property of its principal symbol.

If $P(x,D) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} a_{\alpha}(x) D^{\alpha}$ is a differential operator of order m in \mathbb{R}^d and $a_{\alpha} \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $|\alpha| \leq m$, then its characteristic set is given by

$$\operatorname{Char}(P(x,D)) = \bigcup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \left\{ (x,\xi) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\} \mid P_m(x,\xi) = 0 \right\}.$$

Here $P_m(x,\xi) = \sum_{|\alpha|=m} a_{\alpha}(x)\xi^{\alpha} \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\})$ is the principal symbol of P(x,D). If $\operatorname{Char}(P(x,D)) = \emptyset$, then the operator P(x,D) is hypoelliptic.

Noe, for the Roumieu wave-front $WF_{\{\tau,\sigma\}}(u)$ we have the following theorem on the paopagation of singularities.

Theorem 4.3. Let $\tau > 0$, $\sigma \geq 1$, $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and let $P(x, D) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} a_{\alpha}(x) D^{\alpha}$ be partial differential operator of order m such that $a_{\alpha}(x) \in \mathcal{E}_{\{\tau,\sigma\}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $|\alpha| \leq m$. Then

$$\operatorname{WF}_{\{2^{\sigma-1}\tau,\sigma\}}(f) \subseteq \operatorname{WF}_{\{2^{\sigma-1}\tau,\sigma\}}(u) \subseteq \operatorname{WF}_{\{\tau,\sigma\}}(f) \cup \operatorname{Char}(P(x,D)),$$

where P(x, D)u = f in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$. In particular,

$$WF_{0,\sigma}(f) \subseteq WF_{0,\sigma}(u) \subseteq WF_{0,\sigma}(f) \cup Char(P(x,D)),$$
 (34)

where $WF_{0,\sigma}(u) = \bigcap_{\tau>0} WF_{\{\tau,\sigma\}}(u)$.

The proof of Theorem 4.3 uses inverse closedness (Theorem 3.1), Paley-Wiener type estimates (Theorem 3.3), and contains nontrivial modifications of the proof of [8, Theorem 8.6.1]. We refer to [7] for a detailed proof. Note that if $\sigma = 1$ and $\tau > 1$, we recover the result for propagation of singularities when the coefficients are Gevrey regular functions, and $\mathrm{WF}_{0,\sigma}(f) = \mathrm{WF}_{0,\sigma}(u)$ in (34) reveals the hypoellipticity of (P(x,D)).

5. Applications

5.1. A strictly hyperbolic partial-differential equation. Cicognani and Lorenz in [5] considered the Cauchy problem for strictly hyperbolic *m*-th order partial-differential equations (PDEs) of the form

$$D_t^m u = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} A_{m-j}(t, x, D_x) D_t^j u + f(t, x),$$

$$D_t^{k-1} u(0, x) = g_k(x), \quad (t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d, \quad k = 1, \dots, m, \quad (35)$$

where

$$A_{m-j}(t, x, D_x) = \sum_{|\gamma|+j \le m} a_{m-j,\gamma}(t, x) D_x^{\gamma},$$

where f and g_k , k = 1, ..., m, satisfy certain Sobolev type regularity conditions (cf. (SH3-W) and (SH4-W) in [5]).

and studied well-posedness when the coefficients are low-regular in time, and smooth in space. More precisely, it is assumed that the coefficients $a_{m-j,\gamma}$ satisfy conditions of the form

$$|D_x^{\beta} a_{m-j,\gamma}(t,x) - D_x^{\beta} a_{m-j,\gamma}(s,x)| \le CK_{|\beta|} \mu(|t-s|), \quad 0 \le |t-s| \le 1, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$
(36)

where μ is a modulus of continuity, and $(K_{|\beta|})$ is a defining sequence (also called a weight sequence).

The modulus of continuity μ is used to describe the (low) regularity in time, whereas $(K_{|\beta|})$ describes the regularity in space.

When μ is a weak modulus of continuity,

$$\mu(s) = s(\log \frac{1}{s} + 1) \log^{[m]}(\frac{1}{s}), \quad s > 1,$$

(Log-Log^{[m]}-Lip-continuity), a suitable weight function η which defines the solution space is chosen to be

$$\eta(s) = \log(s)(\log^{[m]}(s))^{1+\varepsilon} + c_m,$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrarily small and $c_m > 0$ such that $\eta(s) \ge 1$ for all s > 1.

We refer to [5] for a detailed analysis of (35), and note that the relation between the modulus of continuity μ and the weight function η is given by

$$\lim_{|\xi| \to \infty} \frac{\mu(\frac{1}{\langle \xi \rangle}) \langle \xi \rangle}{\eta(\langle \xi \rangle)} = 0, \qquad \langle \xi \rangle^2 = 1 + |\xi|^2, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

while the condition which links the weight sequence (K_p) to the weight function η is given by

$$\inf_{p \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{K_p}{\langle \xi \rangle^p} \le C e^{-h\eta(\langle \xi \rangle)},$$

for some h,C>0, which is essentially the relation between the Carleman associated function and the Komatsu associated function as given in Lemma 2.3.

One of the conclusions in [5] is that the Cauchy problem (35) is well-posed if $a_{m-j,\gamma}(t,x) \in \mathcal{E}_{\{1,2\}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ uniformly in x for every fixed t. In other words, the sequence $(K_{|\beta|})$ in (36) is given by $K_p = p^{p^2}$.

5.2. Generalized definition of ultradifferentiable classes. It is recently demonstrated in [10] that the extended Gevrey classes are prominent example of ultradifferentiable functions defined in the framework of generalized weighted matrices approach.

The main idea behind the weighted matrices approach as given in [30] and [23] is to establish a general framework for considering the Braun-Meise-Taylor and Komatsu approach to ultradifferentiable functions in a unified way. To include the extended Gevrey classes which are called PTT-classes in [11] and [10] (after Pilipović-Teofanov-Tomić), the so-called exponential sequences $\Phi = (\Phi_p)_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$, and the related generalized weighted matrix setting are introduced in [10]. One of the main observations in [10] is that the exponential sequences Φ (such as $(h^{p\sigma})_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$, for some h > 0) yield "ultradifferentiable classes beyond geometric growth factors", under mild regularity and growth assumptions on Φ . In such context, PTT-classes constitute a genuine examples of of ultradifferentiable functions defined by weight matrices.

This approach reveals that, apart from stability properties mentioned in Section 3, PTT-classes enjoy almost analytic extension [31], and almost harmonic extension [32]. Moreover, PTT-classes are a convenient tool for the study of Borel mappings. More precisely, the asymptotic Borel mapping, which sends a function into its series of asymptotic expansion in a sector, is known to be surjective for arbitrary openings in the framework of ultraholomorphic classes associated with sequences of rapid growth. By using the PTT-classes $\mathcal{E}_{\{\tau,\sigma\}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, given

by $M_p^{\tau,\sigma}=p^{\tau p^{\sigma}},\ p\in\mathbb{N},\ \tau>0,\ 1<\sigma<2$, Jiménez-Garrido, Lastra and Sanz, presented a constructive proof of the surjectivity of the Borel map in sectors of the complex plane for the ultraholomorphic class associated with those specific sequences. In fact, the asymptotic behavior of the associated function given in terms of the Lambert function (see Theorem 2.1) plays a prominent role in these investigations. We refer to [11] for more details.

Appendix

Proof. (proof of Lemma 2.2) (M.1) obviously holds for p=1. For $p-1 \in \mathbb{N}$ we observe that $\ln x^{\tau x^{\sigma}} = \tau x^{\sigma} \ln x$ is a convex function when x > 1, which implies

$$2\tau p^{\sigma} \ln p \le \tau (p-1)^{\sigma} \ln(p-1) + \tau (p+1)^{\sigma} \ln(p+1),$$

and (M.1) follows after taking exponential.

To show (M.2) we use $(p+q)^{\sigma} \leq 2^{\sigma-1}(p^{\sigma}+q^{\sigma})$ which implies

$$(p+q)^{\tau(p+q)^{\sigma}} \le (p+q)^{\tau 2^{\sigma-1}p^{\sigma}} (p+q)^{\tau 2^{\sigma-1}q^{\sigma}}, \quad p, q \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The logarithm of the first term on the right hand side of the inequality can be estimated as follows:

$$\tau 2^{\sigma-1} p^{\sigma} \ln(p+q) = \tau 2^{\sigma-1} p^{\sigma} \left(\ln p + \ln \left(1 + \frac{q}{p} \right) \right)$$

$$\leq \tau 2^{\sigma-1} p^{\sigma} \ln p + \tau 2^{\sigma-1} q p^{\sigma-1}$$

$$\leq \tau 2^{\sigma-1} p^{\sigma} \ln p + \tau 2^{\sigma-1} (p+q)^{\sigma}.$$

By taking exponential we obtain

$$(p+q)^{\tau 2^{\sigma-1}p^{\sigma}} \le p^{\tau 2^{\sigma-1}p^{\sigma}} e^{\tau 2^{\sigma-1}(p+q)^{\sigma}}$$

and by replacing the roles of p and q we get

$$(p+q)^{\tau 2^{\sigma-1}q^{\sigma}} \le q^{\tau 2^{\sigma-1}q^{\sigma}} e^{\tau 2^{\sigma-1}(p+q)^{\sigma}}$$

thus

$$(p+q)^{\tau(p+q)^{\sigma}} \le p^{\tau 2^{\sigma-1}p^{\sigma}} q^{\tau 2^{\sigma-1}q^{\sigma}} e^{\tau 2^{\sigma}(p+q)^{\sigma}},$$

and (M.2) is proved.

Let us show that (M.2)' holds true. Put $\sigma = n + \delta$ where $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 < \delta \le 1$. If $\sigma \notin \mathbb{N}$ then $n = \lfloor \sigma \rfloor$, $0 < \delta < 1$, while $n = \sigma - 1$, $\delta = 1$,

if $\sigma \in \mathbb{N}$. By the binomial formula we have:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} (p+1)^{\sigma} & \leq & (p+1)^{n}(p^{\delta}+1) \\ & = & p^{\sigma} + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \binom{n}{k} p^{\sigma-k} + \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} p^{n-k} \\ & = & p^{\sigma} + 2^{n} p^{\sigma-1} + 2^{n} p^{n} \\ & \leq & p^{\sigma} + 2^{n+1} p^{\sigma-\delta}, \end{array}$$

wherefrom

$$\tau(p+1)^{\sigma} \ln(1+p) \le \tau p^{\sigma} \ln(1+p) + \tau 2^{n+1} q^{\sigma} p^{\sigma-\delta} \ln(1+p). \tag{37}$$

The first term on the right hand side of the inequality (37) can be estimated by

$$\tau p^{\sigma} \ln(1+p) = \tau p^{\sigma} \ln p \left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right) = \tau p^{\sigma} \left(\ln p + \ln(1 + \frac{1}{p})\right)$$

$$\leq \tau p^{\sigma} \ln p + \tau p^{\sigma - 1} \leq \tau p^{\sigma} \ln p + \tau p^{\sigma},$$

while for the second term we use

$$\tau 2^{n+1} p^{\sigma-\delta} \ln(1+p) = \tau 2^{n+1} p^{\sigma-\delta} (\ln p + \ln(1+\frac{1}{p}))$$

$$\leq \tau 2^{n+1} p^{\sigma} C + \tau 2^{n+1} p^{\sigma} \ln 2.$$

Here we used $p^{-\delta} \ln p \le C$ for some C > 0. Thus we have

$$\tau(p+1)^{\sigma} \ln(1+p) \le \tau p^{\sigma} \ln p + \tau p^{\sigma} (1+2^{n+1}\tilde{C}),$$

with $\tilde{C} = C + \ln 2$. By taking exponential we obtain

$$(p+1)^{\tau(p+1)^{\sigma}} \le B^{p^{\sigma}} M_p^{\tau,\sigma},$$

for some B > 0, which gives (M.2)'.

To prove (M.3)' we use $2 \leq (1 + 1/p)^p$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$, which gives

$$\tau p^{\sigma-1} \ln 2 \le \tau p^{\sigma} \ln \left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right) \le \tau p^{\sigma-1}, \quad p \in \mathbb{N},$$

i.e.

$$2^{\tau p^{\sigma-1}} \le \left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right)^{\tau p^{\sigma}} \le e^{\tau p^{\sigma-1}}, \quad p \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{38}$$

The left hand side of (38) and

$$p^{\sigma} \ge (p-1)^{\sigma-1}p = (p-1)^{\sigma} + (p-1)^{\sigma-1}, \qquad p \in \mathbb{N},$$

give

$$\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{(p-1)^{\tau(p-1)^{\sigma}}}{p^{\tau p^{\sigma}}} \leq \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{(p-1)^{\tau(p-1)^{\sigma}}}{p^{\tau((p-1)^{\sigma}+(p-1)^{\sigma-1})}}$$

$$= \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \left((1 - \frac{1}{p})^{\tau(p-1)^{\sigma}} \right) \frac{1}{p^{\tau(p-1)^{\sigma-1}}}$$

$$\leq \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2p)^{\tau(p-1)^{\sigma-1}}} < \infty,$$

which is (M.3)'.

Proof. (proof of Lemma 3.1) i) (\Rightarrow) Let $a_p \leq Ch^{p^{\sigma}}$, $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$, for some C, h > 0, let (r_j) be any sequence in \mathcal{R} , and let $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}_0$ be such that $\frac{h}{r_j} \leq 1$, for all $j \geq j_0$. Then

$$a_p \le Ch^{p^{\sigma}} = C \prod_{j=1}^{j_0} h \prod_{j=j_0+1}^{p^{\sigma}} r_j \frac{h}{r_j} \le Ch^{j_0} \prod_{j=1}^{p^{\sigma}} r_j \le C_1 \prod_{j=1}^{p^{\sigma}} r_j = C_1 R_{p,\sigma},$$

for large enough $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and suitable $C_1 > 0$. This proves (15).

 (\Leftarrow) The opposite part we prove by contradiction. Assume that (15) holds for arbitrary $(r_i) \in \mathcal{R}$, and that

$$\sup \left\{ \frac{a_p}{h^{p^{\sigma}}} : p \in \mathbb{N}_0 \right\} = \infty \qquad \text{for every} \qquad h > 0.$$

Thus, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and h := n there exists $p_n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\frac{a_{p_n}}{n^{\lfloor p_n^{\sigma} \rfloor}} > n.$$

If n=1, then there exists $p_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $a_{p_1} > 1$, and obviously

$$\frac{a_{p_1}}{r_1 r_2 \dots r_{\lfloor p_1^{\sigma} \rfloor}} > 1$$

if $r_1 = r_2 = \cdots = r_{|p_1^{\sigma}|} = 1$.

Similarly, when n=2, there exists $p_2>p_1$ such that $\lfloor p_2^{\sigma}\rfloor>\lfloor p_1^{\sigma}\rfloor$, and

$$\frac{a_{p_2}}{2^{\lfloor p_2^{\sigma} \rfloor}} > 2.$$

By choosing $r_{\lfloor p_1^{\sigma} \rfloor + 1} = r_{\lfloor p_1^{\sigma} \rfloor + 2} = \cdots = r_{\lfloor p_2^{\sigma} \rfloor} = 2$ we get $\prod_{j=1}^{\lfloor p_2^{\sigma} \rfloor} r_j = 2^{\lfloor p_2^{\sigma} \rfloor - \lfloor p_1^{\sigma} \rfloor}$, wherefrom

$$\frac{a_{p_2}}{r_1 \dots r_{\lfloor p_2^{\sigma} \rfloor}} \ge \frac{a_{p_2}}{2^{\lfloor p_2^{\sigma} \rfloor}} > 2.$$

Next, we take $p_3 > p_2$ such that $\lfloor p_3^{\sigma} \rfloor > \lfloor p_2^{\sigma} \rfloor$, and

$$\frac{a_{p_3}}{3^{\lfloor p_3^{\sigma} \rfloor}} > 3,$$

so we can choose $r_{\lfloor p_2^{\sigma}\rfloor+1}=r_{\lfloor p_2^{\sigma}\rfloor+2}=\cdots=r_{\lfloor p_3^{\sigma}\rfloor}=3$ to obtain

$$\prod_{j=1}^{\lfloor p_3^\sigma\rfloor} r_j = 1^{\lfloor p_1^\sigma\rfloor} \cdot 2^{\lfloor p_2^\sigma\rfloor - \lfloor p_1^\sigma\rfloor} \cdot 3^{\lfloor p_3^\sigma\rfloor - \lfloor p_2^\sigma\rfloor} = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\lfloor p_1^\sigma\rfloor} \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{\lfloor p_2^\sigma\rfloor} 3^{\lfloor p_3^\sigma\rfloor} < 3^{\lfloor p_3^\sigma\rfloor}.$$

Thus for n=3 we get

$$\frac{a_{p_3}}{r_1 \dots r_{\lfloor p_3^{\sigma} \rfloor}} = \frac{a_{p_3}}{\prod_{j=1}^{\lfloor p_3^{\sigma} \rfloor} r_j} > \frac{a_{p_3}}{3^{\lfloor p_3^{\sigma} \rfloor}} > 3.$$

In the same fashion for any $n+1 \in \mathbb{N}$ we can find $p_{n+1} > p_n$ such that $\lfloor p_{n+1}^{\sigma} \rfloor > \lfloor p_n^{\sigma} \rfloor$, and by choosing

$$r_{\lfloor p_n^{\sigma} \rfloor + 1} = r_{\lfloor p_n^{\sigma} \rfloor + 2} = \dots = r_{\lfloor p_{n+1}^{\sigma} \rfloor} = n + 1$$

we obtain

$$\frac{a_{p_{n+1}}}{\prod_{j=1}^{\lfloor p_n^\sigma \rfloor} r_j \cdot (n+1)^{\lfloor p_{n+1}^\sigma \rfloor - \lfloor p_n^\sigma \rfloor}} > \frac{a_{p_{n+1}}}{(n+1)^{\lfloor p_{n+1}^\sigma \rfloor}} > n+1.$$

By the construction it follows that $(r_i) \in \mathcal{R}$, and for the sequence

$$R_{p,\sigma} = \prod_{j=1}^{\lfloor p_n^{\sigma} \rfloor} r_j$$

we obtain $\sup \left\{ \frac{a_p}{R_{p,\sigma}} : p \in \mathbb{N}_0 \right\} = \infty$, which contradicts (15).

(ii) (\Rightarrow) follows similarly as in (i).

 (\Leftarrow) Let (16) holds for every h > 0, and put

$$C_h := \sup \left\{ h^{p^{\sigma}} a_p : p \in N_0 \right\}, \quad \text{for} \quad h \ge 1.$$

We define

$$H_0 = 1, \qquad H_j := \sup \left\{ \frac{h^j}{C_h} : h \ge 1 \right\}, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

It is easy to see that (H_j) is a well defined sequence which satisfies (M.1), and that H_j/h^j tends to infinity as $j \to \infty$, for all $h \ge 1$.

Therefore $(r_j) \in \mathcal{R}$, where $r_j = \frac{H_j}{H_{j-1}}$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$. We note that

$$H_{p^{\sigma}}a_p = \sup\left\{\frac{h^{p^{\sigma}}}{C_h}: h \ge 1\right\}a_p \le 1,$$

and finally

$$R_{p,\sigma}a_p = \Big(\prod_{j=1}^{p^{\sigma}} r_j\Big)a_p = H_{p^{\sigma}}a_p \le 1,$$

which gives the statement.

References

- [1] Gevrey, M. Sur la nature analitique des solutions des équations aux dérivées partielle. Premier mémoire. Ann. Ec. Norm. Sup. Paris 1918, 35, 129–190.
- [2] Rodino, L. Linear Partial Differential Operators in Gevrey Spaces; World Scientific, 1993.
- [3] Chen, H.; Rodino, L. General theory of PDE and Gevrey classes in General theory of partial differential equations and microlocal analysis. *Pitman Res. Notes Math. Ser.* **1996**, *349*, 6–81.
- [4] Jézéquel, M. Global trace formula for ultra-differentiable Anosov flows. Commun. Math. Phys. 2021, 385, 1771–1834.
- [5] Cicognani, M.; Lorenz, D. Strictly hyperbolic equations with coefficients low-regular win time and smooth in space. J. Pseudo-Differ. Oper. Appl. 2018, 9, 643–675.
- [6] Pilipović, S.; Teofanov, N.; Tomić, F. On a class of ultradifferentiable functions. Novi Sad J. Math. 2015, 45 (1), 125–142.
- [7] Pilipović, S.; Teofanov, N.; Tomić, F. Beyond Gevrey regularity: Superposition and propagation of singularities. *Filomat* **2018**, *32* (8), 2763–2782.
- [8] Hörmander, L. The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators I; Springer, 1990.
- [9] Komatsu, H. Ultradistributions, I: Structure theorems and a characterization. J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, Sect. IA Math. 1973, 20, 25–105.
- [10] Jiménez-Garrido, J.; Nenning, D.N.; Schindl, G. On generalized definitions of ultradifferentiable classes. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **2023**, *526*, 127260.
- [11] Jiménez-Garrido, J.; Lastra, A.; Sanz, J. Extension Operators for Some Ultraholomorphic Classes Defined by Sequences of Rapid Growth; Constr. Approx., 2023. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00365-023-09663-z
- [12] Teofanov, N.; Tomić, F.; Tutić, S. Band-limited wavelets beyond Gevrey regularity. arXiv:2402.16426 2024
- [13] Siddiqi, J. A. Inverse-closed Carleman algebras of infinitely differentiable functions. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **1990**, *109*, 357–367.
- [14] Prangoski, B. Laplace transform in spaces of ultradistributions. *Filomat* **2013**, 27 (5), 747–760.
- [15] Corless, R.M.; Gonnet, G.H.; Hare, D.E.G.; Jeffrey, D.J.; Knuth, D.E. On the Lambert W function. Adv. Comput. Math. 1996, 5, 329–359.
- [16] Mező, I. The Lambert W Function Its Generalizations and Applications; CRC Press, Boca Raton, 2022.
- [17] Pilipović, S.; Teofanov, N.; Tomić, F. A Paley–Wiener theorem in extended Gevrey regularity. *J. Pseudo-Differ. Oper. Appl.* **2020**, *11*, 593–612.
- [18] Katznelson, Y. An Introduction to Harmonic Analysis; John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 1968.

- [19] Gelfand, I. M.; Shilov, G. E. Generalized Functions II; Academic Press, New York, 1968.
- [20] Teofanov, N.; Tomić, F. Extended Gevrey regularity via weight matrices. *Axioms* **2022**, *11* (10), 576, 6pp.
- [21] Meise, R.; Taylor, B.A. Whitney's extension theorem for ultradifferentiable functions of Beurling type. *Ark. Mat.* **1988**, *26*, 265–287.
- [22] Bonet, J.; Meise, R.; Melikhov. S. A comparison of two different ways to define classes of ultradifferentiable functions. *Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin* **2007**, *14*, 425–444.
- [23] Rainer, A.; Schindl, G. Composition in ultradifferentiable classes. Stud. Math. 2014, 224, 97–131.
- [24] Carmichael, R.; Kaminski, A.; Pilipović, S. Notes on Boundary Values in Ultradistribution Spaces; Lecture Notes Series of Seul University, 49, 1999.
- [25] Komatsu, H. Ultradistributions, III: Vector valued ultradistributions and the theory of kernels. J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, Sect. IA Math. 1982, 29, 653–718.
- [26] Teofanov, N.; Tomić, F. Inverse closedness and localization in extended Gevrey regularity. J. Pseudo-Differ. Oper. Appl. 2017, 8, 411–421.
- [27] Foland, G. B. Harmonic analysis in phase space; Princeton Univ. Press, 1989.
- [28] Pilipović, S.; Prangoski, B. On the characterizations of wave front sets via short-time Fourier transform. *Math. Notes* **2019**, *105* (1-2), 153–157.
- [29] Teofanov, N.; Tomić, F. Extended Gevrey regularity via the short-time Fourier transform. In Advances in Micro-Local and Time-Frequency Analysis; P. Boggiatto et al. Eds.; Applied Numerical and Harmonic Analysis, Birkhaüser, 2020; pp. 455–474.
- [30] Schindl, G. Exponential Laws for Classes of Denjoy-Carleman Differentiable Mappings. PhD Thesis, Universität Wien, 2013, available online at http://othes.univie.ac.at/32755/1/2014-01-26_0304518.pdf.
- [31] Fürdös, S.; Nenning, D.N.; Rainer, A.; Schindl, G. Almost analytic extensions of ultradifferentiable functions with applications to microlocal analysis. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 2020, 481 (1), 123451, 51 pp.
- [32] Debrouwere, A.; Vindas, J. Quasianalytic functionals and ultradistributions as boundary values of harmonic functions. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **2023**, *59*, 657–686.