Multifractal analysis of the power-2-decaying Gauss-like expansion

XUE-JIAO WANG^{a,*}

^aSchool of Mathematics and Statistics, Wuhan University, Wuhan 430072, China

Abstract

Each real number $x \in [0, 1]$ admits a unique power-2-decaying Gauss-like expansion (P2GLE for short) as $x = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} 2^{-(d_1(x)+d_2(x)+\dots+d_i(x))}$, where $d_i(x) \in \mathbb{N}$. For any $x \in (0, 1]$, the Khintchine exponent $\gamma(x)$ is defined by $\gamma(x) := \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} d_j(x)$ if the limit exists. We investigate the sizes of the level sets $E(\xi) := \{x \in (0, 1] : \gamma(x) = \xi\}$ for $\xi \ge 1$. Utilizing the Ruelle operator theory, we obtain the Khintchine spectrum $\xi \mapsto \dim_H E(\xi)$, where \dim_H denotes the Hausdorff dimension. We establish the remarkable fact that the Khintchine spectrum has exactly one inflection point, which was never proved for the corresponding spectrum in continued fractions. As a direct consequence, we also obtain the Lyapunov spectrum. Furthermore, we find the Hausdorff dimensions of the level sets $\{x \in (0, 1] : \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} 2^{d_j(x)} = \xi\}$ and $\{x \in (0, 1] : \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} 2^{d_j(x)} = \xi\}$.

1. Introduction and main results

Let $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, ...\}$ denote the set of positive integers. The power-2-decaying Gauss-like expansion (P2GLE for short) of a real number can be generated by the transformation $T : (0, 1] \rightarrow (0, 1]$ defined by

$$Tx := 2^{n}x - 1 \quad \text{for } x \in (1/2^{n}, 1/2^{n-1}], \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$
(1.1)

By [8, Proposition 2.1], we know that every real number x in (0, 1] can be written uniquely as the form

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2^{-(d_1(x) + d_2(x) + \dots + d_i(x))}$$
(1.2)

where $d_1(x) = \lfloor -\log_2 x \rfloor$ and $d_i(x) = d_1(T^{i-1}x)$ for $i \ge 2$. Here, we use $\lfloor x \rfloor$ to denote the smallest integer greater than or equal to x. For any $d_1, \dots, d_n \in \mathbb{N}$, the *n*th-order cylinder is defined by

$$I_n(d_1, \cdots, d_n) := \{ x \in (0, 1] : d_1(x) = d_1, \cdots, d_n(x) = d_n \}.$$

Let $\varphi : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ be a real-valued function (usually called potential). For any $n \ge 1$, denote the *n*th Birkhoff sum of φ by

$$S_n\varphi(x) := \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \varphi(T^j x), \quad x \in [0,1].$$

*corresponding author

April 29, 2024

Email address: xuejiao.wang@whu.edu.cn(XUE-JIAO WANG)

Preprint submitted to Elsevier

The Birkhoff average of φ is defined as

$$\bar{\varphi}(x) := \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} S_n \varphi(x), \quad x \in (0, 1]$$

(if the limit exists). In multifractal analysis, we are interested in the Hausdorff dimension of the level sets

$$E_{\varphi}(\xi) = \{ x \in (0,1] : \bar{\varphi}(x) = \xi \}, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}.$$
 (1.3)

The so-called Birkhoff spectrum is the function $t_{\varphi} : \mathbb{R} \to [0,1] \cup \{-\infty\}$ defined by

$$t_{\varphi}(\xi) := \dim_H E_{\varphi}(\xi)$$

where $\dim_H E_{\varphi}(\xi)$ denotes the Hausdorff dimension of $E_{\varphi}(\xi)$. By convention, $\dim_H \emptyset = 0$.

Some metric theorems of P2GLE have been obtained in [7–9]. In particular, in [9] we know that the transformation T is measure-preserving and ergodic with respect to the Lebesgue measure. In [8], the author showed that the Hausdorff dimension of the set of numbers with prescribed digits in $A \subset \mathbb{N}$ in their P2GLE is the unique real solution of $\sum_{n \in A} 2^{-ns} = 1$, and hence the set

$${x \in (0,1] : d_i(x) \text{ is bounded}}$$

has Hausdorff dimension one. It is known to [7] that

$$\dim_H \{ x \in (0,1] : \lim_{i \to \infty} d_i(x) = \infty \} = 0.$$

In this paper, we aim at finding the Birkhoff spectrum $\xi \mapsto \dim_H E_{\varphi}(\xi)$ for different choices of potential φ for P2GLE. For any $x \in (0, 1]$ with P2GLE (1.2), we define its Khintchine exponent $\gamma(x)$ and Lyapunov exponent $\lambda(x)$ respectively by

$$\gamma(x) := \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} d_j(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} d_1(T^j x),$$
$$\lambda(x) := \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log |(T^n)'(x)| = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \log |T'(T^j x)|,$$

if the limits exist. By definition, the Khintchine exponent is the Birkhoff average of the potential $x \mapsto d_1(x)$, and the Lyapunov exponent is the Birkhoff average of the potential $x \mapsto \log |T'(x)|$.

Since the transformation T is ergodic with respect to the Lebesgue measure, it follows that for Lebesgue almost all x in (0, 1],

$$\gamma(x) = \int \left[-\log_2 x \right] dx = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n 2^{-n} = 2,$$
$$\lambda(x) = \int \log |T'(x)| dx = (\log 2) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n 2^{-n} = 2\log 2.$$

Note that $\gamma(x) \ge 1$ and $\lambda(x) \ge \log 2$ for all x in (0, 1]. We study the level sets:

$$E(\xi) := \{ x \in (0, 1] : \gamma(x) = \xi \}, \quad \xi \ge 1,$$

and

$$F(\beta) := \{ x \in (0,1] : \lambda(x) = \beta \}, \quad \beta \ge \log 2$$

The Khintchine spectrum and Lyapunov spectrum are defined by

$$t(\xi) := \dim_H E(\xi), \quad \xi \ge 1,$$

$$\tilde{t}(\beta) := \dim_H F(\beta), \quad \beta \ge \log 2.$$

Fan, Liao, Wang and Wu ([2]) obtained complete graphs of the Khintchine spectrum and Lyapunov spectrum of the Gauss map associated to continued fractions. Applying the method of [2], we study the Birkhoff spectra of P2GLE. The major tool is the Ruelle operator theory. For a given potential φ , we define the pressure function by

$$P(t,q) = P_{\varphi}(t,q) := \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \sum_{\omega_1 \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{\omega_2 \in \mathbb{N}} \cdots \sum_{\omega_n \in \mathbb{N}} \exp\left(\sup_{\substack{d_i(x) = \omega_i \\ 1 \le i \le n}} S_n(-t \log \left| T'(x) \right| + q\varphi(x))\right).$$
(1.4)

We will prove (Theorem 3.1) that $\dim_H E_{\varphi}(\xi)$ is exactly the first coordinate $t(\xi)$ of the unique solution $(t(\xi), q(\xi))$ of the system

$$\begin{cases} P(t,q) = q\xi, \\ \frac{\partial P}{\partial q}(t,q) = \xi. \end{cases}$$
(1.5)

The notation $P_{\varphi}(t,q)$ and $E_{\varphi}(\xi)$ will be consistently used through this paper, and their specific forms are determined by the potential φ .

We obtain the following results of Khintchine spectrum for P2GLE.

Theorem 1.1. We have $\dim_H E(1) = 0$ and for any $\xi > 1$,

$$t(\xi) = \dim_H E(\xi) = \frac{\frac{\log(\xi - 1)}{\xi} + \log\xi - \log(\xi - 1)}{\log 2}.$$
 (1.6)

Furthermore, the Khintchine spectrum $t: \xi \mapsto \dim_H E(\xi)$ has the following properties:

(1) t(2) = 1;

(2)
$$\lim_{\xi \to 1} t(\xi) = 0$$
 and $\lim_{\xi \to \infty} t(\xi) = 0$;

(3) $t'(\xi) > 0$ for $\xi < 2$, t'(2) = 0, and $t'(\xi) < 0$ for $\xi > 2$;

(4) $\lim_{\xi \to 1} t'(\xi) = \infty$ and $\lim_{\xi \to \infty} t'(\xi) = 0$;

(5) the function $t(\xi)$ has exactly one inflection point.



Figure 1: Khintchine spectrum $(\varphi(x) = d_1(x))$

We remark that the last point of Theorem 1.1 was not proved for continued fraction expansion in [2]. The reason is that in our P2GLE case, we have an explicit formula for the pressure function P(t, q), while in the continued fraction expansion case such formula does not exist.

The Lyapunov spectrum gains in interest if we realize that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \log \left| T'(T^j x) \right| = (\log 2) \cdot \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n d_j(x).$$

Therefore, replacing ξ by $\frac{\beta}{\log 2}$ in (1.6), we obtain the Lyapunov spectrum.

Corollary 1.1. We have $\dim_H F(\log 2) = 0$ and for any $\beta > \log 2$,

$$\tilde{t}(\beta) = \dim_H F(\beta) = \frac{1}{\beta} \log\left(\frac{\beta}{\log 2} - 1\right) - \frac{1}{\log 2} \log\left(1 - \frac{\log 2}{\beta}\right).$$
(1.7)

Furthermore, the Lyapunov spectrum $\tilde{t} : \beta \mapsto \tilde{t}(\beta)$ has the following properties:

- (1) $\tilde{t}(2\log 2) = 1;$ (2) $\lim_{\beta \to \log 2} \tilde{t}(\beta) = 0$ and $\lim_{\beta \to \infty} \tilde{t}(\beta) = 0;$ (3) $\tilde{t}'(\beta) > 0$ for $\beta < 2\log 2, \ \tilde{t}'(2\log 2) = 0, \ and \ \tilde{t}'(\beta) < 0$ for $\beta > 2\log 2;$
- (4) $\lim_{\beta \to \log 2} \tilde{t}'(\beta) = \infty$ and $\lim_{\beta \to \infty} \tilde{t}'(\beta) = 0;$
- (5) the function $\tilde{t}(\beta)$ has exactly one inflection point.

We illustrate the Birkhoff spectra in Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.1 by Figures 1 and 2.

The same method also works for general potential φ . To illustrate some different Birkhoff spectra, we study the special cases of $\varphi(x) = \log(d_1(x))$ and $\varphi(x) = 2^{d_1(x)}$. From the Birkhoff ergodic theorem, we deduce that for Lebesgue almost all x in (0, 1],

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \log(d_1(T^j x)) = \xi_0 = \int \log[-\log_2 x] \, \mathrm{d}x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} \log n \approx 0.507834,$$



Figure 2: Lyapunov spectrum $(\varphi(x) = \log |T'(x)|)$

and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} 2^{d_1(T^j x)} = \int 2^{\lceil -\log_2 x \rceil} \, \mathrm{d}x = \infty.$$

Now let us state our main results for $\varphi(x) = \log(d_1(x))$ and $\varphi(x) = 2^{d_1(x)}$.

Theorem 1.2. Let $\varphi : (0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ be the potential defined by $\varphi(x) = \log(d_1(x))$, with $d_1(x) = \lceil -\log_2 x \rceil$, and $E_{\varphi}(\xi)$ be defined as in (1.3). Then, we have that the pressure function P defined in (1.4) is

$$P(t,q) = P_{\varphi}(t,q) = \log\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-nt} \cdot n^q\right),$$

and that for any $\xi > 0$, there exists a unique solution $(t(\xi), q(\xi))$ to the system (1.5). Moreover, $\dim_H E_{\varphi}(0) = 0$ and for any $\xi > 0$, $\dim_H E_{\varphi}(\xi) = t(\xi)$. Let $\xi_0 = \int_0^1 \log[-\log_2 x] dx$. The Birkhoff spectrum $t : \xi \mapsto t(\xi)$ has the following properties:

(1) $t(\xi_0) = 1;$

(2) $t'(\xi) > 0$ for $\xi < \xi_0$, $t'(\xi_0) = 0$, and $t'(\xi) < 0$ for $\xi > \xi_0$;

- (3) $\lim_{\xi \to 0} t(\xi) = 0$ and $\lim_{\xi \to \infty} t(\xi) = 0$;
- (4) $\lim_{\xi \to 0} t'(\xi) = \infty;$

(5) $t''(\xi_0) < 0$ and there exits $\xi_1 > \xi_0$ such that $t''(\xi_1) > 0$, so the function $t(\xi)$ is neither convex nor concave.

Theorem 1.3. Let $\varphi(x) = 2^{d_1(x)}$ for $x \in (0, 1]$. Then the pressure function P defined in (1.4) is

$$P(t,q) = P_{\varphi}(t,q) = \log\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-nt} e^{2^n q}\right).$$

We have that $\dim_H E_{\varphi}(2) = 0$ and for any $\xi > 2$, $\dim_H E_{\varphi}(\xi) = t(\xi)$, which is the first coordinate of the unique solution of the equation (1.5). The Birkhoff spectrum $t : \xi \mapsto t(\xi)$ has the following properties:

(1) $\dim_H E_{\varphi}(\infty) = 1;$ (2) $t'(\xi) > 0$ for $\xi > 2;$ (3) $\lim_{\xi \to 2} t(\xi) = 0$ and $\lim_{\xi \to \infty} t(\xi) = 1;$ (4) $\lim_{\xi \to 2} t'(\xi) = \infty$ and $\lim_{\xi \to \infty} t'(\xi) = 0;$

An outline of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we review the Ruelle operator theory and the method of pressure function. In Sections 3 and 4, we prove the results for Khintchine and Lyapunov spectra (Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.1). Sections 5 and 6 are devoted to proving Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 respectively.

2. Conformal iterated function systems and Ruelle operator theory

2.1. Conformal iterated function systems

From now on, X stands for a non-empty compact connected subset of \mathbb{R}^d equipped with a metric ρ . Let $S = \{\phi_i : X \to X : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be an iterated function system for which there exists 0 < s < 1 such that for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $x, y \in X$,

$$\rho(\phi_i(x), \phi_i(y)) \le s\rho(x, y). \tag{2.1}$$

We follow the notation of [2].

- $\mathbb{N}^n := \{ \omega : \omega = (\omega_1, \cdots , \omega_n), \omega_k \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \le k \le n \}.$
- $\mathbb{N}^{\infty} := \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{N}.$
- $[\omega|_n] = [\omega_1 \cdots \omega_n] = \{x \in \mathbb{N}^\infty : x_1 = \omega_1, \cdots x_n = \omega_n\}.$
- $|\omega|$ denotes the length of ω .
- $\phi_{\omega} = \phi_{\omega_1} \circ \phi_{\omega_2} \cdots \phi_{\omega_n}$ for $\omega = (\omega_1, \cdots, \omega_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$, $n \ge 1$.
- $\|\phi'_{\omega}\| := \sup_{x \in X} |\phi'_{\omega}(x)|$ for $\omega \in \bigcup_{n \ge 1} \mathbb{N}^n$.
- C(X) denotes the space of continuous functions on X.
- $\sigma: \mathbb{N}^{\infty} \to \mathbb{N}^{\infty}$ is the shift transformation.
- $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ denotes the supremum norm on C(X).

Let ∂X denote the boundary of X and IntX denote the interior of X. Our definitions agree with the classical one for conformal iterated function system. For details, see [5] and [2].

An iterated function system $S = \{\phi_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ (IFS for short) is said to be conformal if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) The open set condition is satisfied for Int(X), i.e., $\phi_i(IntX) \subset Int(X)$ for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\phi_i(IntX) \bigcap \phi_j(IntX) = \emptyset$ for each $(i, j) \in \mathbb{N}^2, i \neq j$.
- (2) There exists an open connected set V with $X \subset V \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ such that all maps ϕ_i , $i \in \mathbb{N}$, extend to C^1 conformal diffemorphisms of V into V.
- (3) There exist h, l > 0 such that for each $x \in \partial X \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, there exists an open cone $\text{Con}(x, h, l) \subset \text{Int}X$ with vertex x, central angle of Lebesgue measure h and altitude l.

(4) There exists $K \ge 1$ such that $|\phi'_{\omega}(y)| \le K |\phi'_{\omega}(x)|$ for every $\omega \in \bigcup_{n\ge 1} \mathbb{N}^n$ and every pair of points $x, y \in V$ (Bounded distortion property).

The topological pressure function for the conformal iterated function system $S = \{\phi_i : X \to X : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is defined as

$$P(t) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \sum_{\omega \in \mathbb{N}^n} \left\| \phi'_{\omega} \right\|^t.$$
(2.2)

The system S is said to be regular if there exists $t \ge 0$ such that P(t) = 0.

Let X = [0, 1]. The P2GLE dynamical system is associated with an IFS:

$$S = \{\phi_i(x) = 2^{-i}(x+1) : i \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

In fact, the functions ϕ_i are inverse branches of the transformation T defined in (1.1). The coding map $\pi: N^{\infty} \to X$ is defined by

$$\pi(\omega) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi_{\omega|_n}(X) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-(\omega_1 + \omega_2 + \cdots + \omega_n)} \text{ for any } \omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2 \cdots).$$

Notice that for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and for all $x, y \in [0, 1]$,

$$|\phi_i(x) - \phi_i(y)| \le \frac{1}{2}|x - y|$$

Lemma 2.1. The IFS S associated to P2GLE is conformal.

Proof. (1) The open set condition is satisfied for IntX = (0, 1), since

 $\phi_i({\rm Int} X)=(2^{-i},2^{-i+1})\subset {\rm Int} X\quad {\rm for \ each}\ i\in\mathbb{N},$

and

$$\phi_i(\text{Int}X) \bigcap \phi_j(\text{Int}X) = \emptyset$$
 for each $(i, j) \in \mathbb{N}^2, i \neq j$.

(2) Let V = (-1, 2). It follows that $X \subset V$ and all maps $\phi_i, i \in \mathbb{N}$, extend to C^1 conformal diffemorphisms of V into V.

(3) The third condition of conformal IFS was proved by [4, Section 2].

(4) The bounded distortion property holds, since $|\phi'_{\omega}(y)| = |\phi'_{\omega}(x)| = 2^{-(\omega_1 + \omega_2 + \dots + \omega_n)}$ for every $\omega \in \mathbb{N}^n$, $n \ge 1$ and every pair of points $x, y \in V$.

Lemma 2.2. The iterated function system S is regular.

Proof. Observe that

$$\left\|\phi'_{\omega}\right\| = \sup_{x \in X} \left|\phi'_{\omega}(x)\right| = 2^{-(\omega_1 + \omega_2 + \dots + \omega_n)}$$

By definition, the topological pressure function for S is

$$P(t) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \sum_{\omega \in \mathbb{N}^n} \|\phi'_{\omega}\|^t$$
$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \sum_{\omega_1 \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{\omega_2 \in \mathbb{N}} \cdots \sum_{\omega_n \in \mathbb{N}} 2^{-t(\omega_1 + \omega_2 + \dots + \omega_n)}$$
$$= -\log(2^t - 1).$$

It is easily seen that P(1) = 0. Hence, S is regular.

2.2. Ruelle operator theory

Let $\beta > 0$. A Hölder family of functions of order β is a family of continuous functions

$$G = \{g^{(i)} : X \to \mathbb{R}\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$$

such that

$$V_{\beta}(G) = \sup_{n \ge 1} V_n(G) < \infty,$$

where

$$V_n(G) = \sup_{\omega \in \mathbb{N}^n} \sup_{x,y \in X} \left\{ \left| g^{(\omega_1)}(\phi_{\sigma(\omega)}(x)) - g^{(\omega_1)}(\phi_{\sigma(\omega)}(y)) \right| \right\} e^{\beta(n-1)}$$

A Hölder family of functions $G = \{g^{(i)} : X \to \mathbb{R}\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is said to be strong if

$$\sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \left\| e^{g^{(i)}} \right\|_{\infty} < \infty.$$
(2.3)

The Ruelle operator on C(X) associated with G is defined by

$$\mathcal{L}_G(h)(x) := \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} e^{g^{(i)}} h(\phi_i(x)), \quad \forall h \in C(X).$$

Denote by \mathcal{L}_G^* the dual of \mathcal{L}_G .

The topological pressure of G is defined by

$$P(G) := \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \sum_{|\omega|=n} \exp\left(\sup_{x \in X} \sum_{j=1}^{n} g^{(\omega_j)} \circ \phi_{\sigma^j \omega}(x)\right).$$
(2.4)

Let $S = \{\phi_i : X \to X\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a conformal iterated function system, $\Psi = \{\psi_i : X \to \mathbb{R}, i \in \mathbb{N}\}$, and $F = \{f_i : X \to \mathbb{R}, i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be two families of real-valued Hölder functions. The amalgamated functions on \mathbb{N}^∞ associated with Ψ and F are defined as

$$\tilde{\psi}(\omega) = \psi_{\omega_1}(\pi(\sigma\omega)), \qquad \tilde{f}(\omega) = f_{\omega_1}(\pi(\sigma\omega))$$

The following theorems will be useful.

Theorem 2.1. ([3, Theorem 6.4]) Let $\Psi = \{\psi_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $F = \{f_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be two Hölder families of functions. Suppose the sets $\{i \in \mathbb{N} : \sup_x(\psi_i(x)) > 0\}$ and $\{i \in \mathbb{N} : \sup_x(f_i(x)) > 0\}$ are finite. Then the function $(t,q) \mapsto P(t,q) = P(t\Psi - qF)$ is real analytic with respect to $(t,q) \in Int(D)$, where

$$D = \left\{ (t,q) : \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \exp\left(\sup_{x} (t\psi_i(x) - qf_i(x))\right) < \infty \right\}.$$

Theorem 2.2. ([3, Section 2]) Suppose that $t\Psi - qF$ is a strong Hölder family for any $(t,q) \in D$. For each $(t,q) \in D$, there exists a unique $(t\Psi - qF)$ -conformal probability measure $\nu_{t,q}$ on X such that $\mathcal{L}_{t\Psi-qF}^*\nu_{t,q} = e^{P(t,q)}\nu_{t,q}$, and a unique shift invariant ergodic probability measure $\tilde{\mu}_{t,q}$ on \mathbb{N}^{∞} such that the measure $\mu_{t,q} := \tilde{\mu}_{t,q} \circ \pi^{-1}$ on X is equivalent to $\nu_{t,q}$ and the Gibbs property is satisfied:

$$\frac{1}{C} \le \frac{\tilde{\mu}_{t,q}([\omega|_n])}{\exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^n (t\Psi - qF)^{(\omega_j)}(\pi(\sigma^j\omega)) - nP(t,q)\right)} \le C \quad \text{for all } \omega \in \mathbb{N}^\infty$$

Theorem 2.3. ([3]) If $t\Psi - qF$ is a strong Hölder family for any $(t,q) \in D$ and

$$\int \left(\left| \tilde{\psi} \right| + \left| \tilde{f} \right| \right) \mathrm{d}\tilde{\mu}_{t,q} < \infty,$$

then

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial t} = \int \tilde{\psi} \, \mathrm{d}\tilde{\mu}_{t,q} \quad and \quad \frac{\partial P}{\partial q} = -\int \tilde{f} \, \mathrm{d}\tilde{\mu}_{t,q}. \tag{2.5}$$

If $t\tilde{\psi} - q\tilde{f}$ is not cohomologous to a constant with respect to the transformation T, i.e., there is no function $u \in C(X)$ such that

$$t\tilde{\psi} - q\tilde{f} = u - u \circ T + C,$$

where C is a constant, then P(t,q) is strictly convex and the matrix

$$H(t,q) := \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial t^2} & \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial t \partial q} \\ \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial t \partial q} & \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial q^2} \end{bmatrix}$$

is positive definite.

Consider the level sets

$$E_{\varphi}(\xi) = \{ x \in (0,1] : \overline{\varphi}(x) = \xi \}, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Let P(t,q) be the corresponding pressure function of the potential $t\Psi - qF$ and D be the analytic area of P(t,q). By [2, Lemma 4.7], we know that if $\tilde{\psi}(\omega) = -\log|T'(\pi(\omega))|$ and $\tilde{f}(\omega) = -\varphi(\pi(\omega))$. Then

$$-\int \log |T'(x)| \,\mathrm{d}\mu_{t,q} = \int \tilde{\psi} \,\mathrm{d}\tilde{\mu}_{t,q} \quad \text{and} \quad \int \varphi(x) \,\mathrm{d}\mu_{t,q} = -\int \tilde{f} \,\mathrm{d}\tilde{\mu}_{t,q}, \tag{2.6}$$

and $t\tilde{\psi} - q\tilde{f}$ is not cohomologous to a constant.

Applying Theorem 2.3, we arrive at the following proposition.

Proposition 2.1. ([2, Proposition 4.8]) The following statements hold on D.

(1) P(t,q) is analytic and strictly convex.

(2) P(t,q) is strictly decreasing and strictly convex with respect to t, i.e., $(\partial p/\partial t)(t,q) < 0$ and $(\partial^2 p/\partial t^2)(t,q) > 0$. Furthermore,

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial t}(t,q) = -\int \log |T'(x)| \,\mathrm{d}\mu_{t,q}.$$
(2.7)

(3) P(t,q) is strictly increasing and strictly convex with respect to q, i.e., $(\partial p/\partial q)(t,q) > 0$ and $(\partial^2 p/\partial q^2)(t,q) > 0$. Furthermore,

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial q}(t,q) = \int \varphi(x) \,\mathrm{d}\mu_{t,q}.$$
(2.8)

(4) The matrix

$$H(t,q) := \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial t^2} & \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial t \partial q} \\ \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial t \partial q} & \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial q^2} \end{bmatrix}$$

is positive definite.

3. Khintchine spectrum

Recall that the transformation T on X = (0, 1] associated to P2GLE is defined as

 $Tx = 2^n x - 1$ for $x \in (1/2^n, 1/2^{n-1}], n \in \mathbb{N}$

and the corresponding conformal iterated function system on X is

$$S = \left\{ \phi_i(x) = 2^{-i}(x+1) : i \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

Now we will calculate the Hausdorff dimensions of the level sets

$$E(\xi) = \left\{ x \in (0,1] : \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} d_j(x) = \xi \right\} = \left\{ x \in (0,1] : \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left[-\log_2(T^j(x)) \right] = \xi \right\}, \quad \xi \ge 1.$$

Let $\Psi = \{ \log |\phi'_i| : i \in \mathbb{N} \} = \{ -i \log 2 : i \in \mathbb{N} \}$ and $F = \{ -i : i \in \mathbb{N} \}$. It can be checked that Ψ and F are two families of real-valued Hölder functions.

Proposition 3.1. Let $D := \{(t,q) : q - t \log 2 < 0\}$. For any $(t,q) \in D$, we have

- (1) the family $t\Psi qF$ is Hölder and strong;
- (2) the topological pressure P of the potential $t\Psi qF$ is

$$P(t,q) = \log \frac{e^{-t\log 2+q}}{1 - e^{-t\log 2+q}}.$$
(3.1)

Proof. (1) We first show that the family $t\Psi - qF$ is Hölder. Since

$$(t\Psi - qF)^{(i)} := t \log |\phi_i'| + qi = i(-t \log 2 + q),$$

we have $V_n(t\Psi - qF) = 0$. By definition, $t\Psi - qF$ is Hölder.

For $(t,q) \in D$, replacing $g^{(i)}$ by $i(-t \log 2 + q)$ in (2.3), we obtain that

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \left\| e^{i(-t \log 2 + q)} \right\|_{\infty} < \infty.$$

Thus $t\Psi - qF$ is strong.

(2) It suffices to notice that $(t\Psi - qF)^{(i)}$ is a constant function for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus

$$\sup_{x \in X} \sum_{j=1}^n (t\Psi - qF)^{(\omega_j)} \circ \phi_{\sigma^j \omega}(x) = (-t\log 2 + q) \sum_{j=1}^n \omega_j.$$

By definition,

$$P(t,q) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \sum_{\omega_1, \omega_2 \cdots \omega_n \in \mathbb{N}} \exp\left((-t \log 2 + q) \sum_{j=1}^n \omega_j \right)$$
$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \left(\frac{e^{-t \log 2 + q}}{1 - e^{-t \log 2 + q}} \right)^n$$
$$= \log \frac{e^{-t \log 2 + q}}{1 - e^{-t \log 2 + q}}.$$

3.1. Proof of (1.6) in Theorem 1.1

Let us find the solution of the system (1.5).

Proposition 3.2. For any $\xi \in (1, \infty)$, the unique solution of the system

$$\begin{cases} P(t,q) = q\xi, \\ \frac{\partial P}{\partial q}(t,q) = \xi \end{cases}$$
(3.2)

is

$$\begin{cases} t(\xi) = \frac{\frac{\log(\xi-1)}{\xi} + \log\xi - \log(\xi-1)}{\log 2}, \\ q(\xi) = \frac{\log(\xi-1)}{\xi}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Since

$$P(t,q) = \log \frac{e^{-t \log 2 + q}}{1 - e^{-t \log 2 + q}},$$
(3.3)

we have

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial q}(t,q) = \frac{1}{1 - e^{-t\log 2 + q}}.$$
(3.4)

Substituting (3.3) and (3.4) into the above system yields

$$e^{-t\log 2+q} = \frac{e^{q\xi}}{1+e^{q\xi}} = \frac{\xi-1}{\xi}.$$

Hence, $q(\xi) = \frac{\log(\xi-1)}{\xi}$ and $t(\xi) = \frac{\frac{\log(\xi-1)}{\xi} + \log\xi - \log(\xi-1)}{\log 2}.$

Lemma 3.1. For any $\xi > 1$, let $(t(\xi), q(\xi))$ be the solution of (3.2). Then the measure $\mu_{t(\xi),q(\xi)}$ obtained by Theorem 2.2 is supported on $E(\xi)$, i.e., $\mu_{t(\xi),q(\xi)}(E(\xi)) > 0$.

Proof. By (2.8),

$$\int \left[-\log_2 x \right] \mathrm{d}\mu_{t,q} = \frac{\partial P}{\partial q}(t,q).$$

Take $(t,q) = (t(\xi), q(\xi))$. By the ergodicity of $\mu_{t(\xi),q(\xi)}$ and the second equation in (3.2), we have that for $\mu_{t(\xi),q(\xi)}$ -almost every x,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left[-\log_2(T^j(x)) \right] = \int \left[-\log_2 x \right] d\mu_{t(\xi),q(\xi)} = \frac{\partial P}{\partial q} \left(t(\xi), q(\xi) \right) = \xi.$$

Therefore, $\mu_{t(\xi),q(\xi)}(E(\xi)) = 1 > 0.$

The following lemma is a consequence of Theorem 2.2. We use the notation $f \simeq g$ to mean that $\frac{1}{C}f(x) \leq g(x) \leq Cf(x)$ for some constant C > 0 and for all $x \in X$.

Lemma 3.2. For $x \in X$, let $I_n(x) := \{y \in X : d_i(y) = d_i(x), 1 \le i \le n\}$ and $\omega = \pi^{-1}(x)$. Then

$$\mu_{t,q}(I_n(x)) \asymp \exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^n (t\Psi - qF)^{(\omega_j)}(\pi(\sigma^j\omega)) - nP(t,q)\right).$$
(3.5)

Proof. Notice that $\mu_{t,q}(I_n(x)) = \tilde{\mu}_{t,q}([\omega|_n])$. Then $\mu_{t,q}$ is a Gibbs measure on X, i.e., there exists C > 0, such that

$$\frac{1}{C} \le \frac{\mu_{t,q}(I_n(x))}{\exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^n (t\Psi - qF)^{(\omega_j)}(\pi(\sigma^j\omega)) - nP(t,q)\right)} \le C.$$

Theorem 3.1. *For any* $\xi > 1$ *, we have*

$$\dim_H E(\xi) = t(\xi) = \frac{\frac{\log(\xi - 1)}{\xi} + \log \xi - \log(\xi - 1)}{\log 2}.$$
(3.6)

Proof. Let $(t,q) \in D$. Since

$$(t\Psi - qF)^{(i)} = i(-t\log 2 + q),$$

we have

$$\exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} (t\Psi - qF)^{(\omega_j)}(\pi(\sigma^j\omega)) - nP(t,q)\right) = \exp\left((-t\log 2 + q)\sum_{j=1}^{n} \omega_j - nP(t,q)\right).$$

By Lemma 3.5,

$$\mu_{t,q}(I_n(x)) \asymp \exp\left((-t\log 2 + q)\sum_{j=1}^n d_j - nP(t,q)\right).$$
(3.7)

Fix $\xi > 1$. For any $x \in E(\xi)$, we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n d_j(x) = \xi.$$

Observe that

$$|I_n(x)| = 2^{-d_1(x) + d_2(x) + \dots + d_n(x)}.$$

Take $(t,q) = (t(\xi),q(\xi))$. Then $P(t,q) = q\xi$, and

$$d_{\mu}(x) = \liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log \mu_{t,q}(I_n(x))}{\log |I_n(x)|} \\ = \liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{(-t \log 2 + q) \sum_{j=1}^n d_j - nP(t,q)}{-(\sum_{j=1}^n d_j) \log 2} \\ = \frac{-t\xi \log 2 + q\xi - q\xi}{-\xi \log 2} \\ = t.$$

By Billingsley's lemma (see [1, Lemma 1.4.1]), for $\xi > 1$, we have dim_H $E(\xi) = t(\xi)$.

Summarizing, we have proved that the unique solution $t(\xi)$ of (3.2) is the Khintchine spectrum for $\xi > 1$. Now let us discuss the properties of the function $\xi \mapsto t(\xi)$.

3.2. Proofs of Theorem 1.1 (1)-(4)

Recall that the level set

$$E(2) = \{x \in (0,1] : \gamma(x) = 2\}$$

has Lebesgue measure one. This implies $\dim_H E(2) = 1$.

By Theorem 3.1,

$$\dim_H E(\xi) = t(\xi) = \frac{\frac{\log(\xi - 1)}{\xi} + \log \xi - \log(\xi - 1)}{\log 2}, \quad \text{for all } \xi > 1.$$

Letting $\xi \to 1$ and $\xi \to \infty$ respectively, we have

$$\lim_{\xi \to 1} t(\xi) = 0, \qquad \lim_{\xi \to \infty} t(\xi) = 0$$

This is assertion (2) of Theorem 1.1.

By differentiating the equation in (3.6) with respect to ξ , we arrive at the following proposition.

Proposition 3.3. For $\xi > 1$,

$$t'(\xi) = \frac{\log(\xi - 1)}{-\xi^2 \log 2}.$$
(3.8)

By (3.8), we see immediately that $t'(\xi) > 0$ for $\xi < 2$, t'(2) = 0, and $t'(\xi) < 0$ for $\xi > 2$. Furthermore,

$$\lim_{\xi \to 1} t'(\xi) = \infty, \qquad \lim_{\xi \to \infty} t'(\xi) = 0.$$

We are now in a position to show the boundary case of Theorem 1.1.

Proposition 3.4. *For* $\xi = 1$, dim_{*H*} E(1) = 0.

Proof. It is sufficient to show that

$$\dim_H E(1) \le t \log 2, \qquad \text{for all } t > 0. \tag{3.9}$$

For any t > 0, from $\lim_{\xi \to 1} t(\xi) = 0$ and $q(\xi) = \frac{\log(\xi - 1)}{\xi}$, it follows that there exists $\xi > 1$ such that $0 < t(\xi) < t$ and $q(\xi) < 0$. Since P(t,q) is strictly decreasing with respect to t, we can choose $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that $P(t,q(\xi)) = P(t(\xi),q(\xi))$

$$\frac{P(t,q(\xi)) - P(t(\xi),q(\xi))}{q(\xi)} > \varepsilon_0.$$

For $n \ge 1$, set

$$E_0^n(\varepsilon_0) := \left\{ x \in [0,1) : \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n d_j(x) < \frac{1}{\log 2} (\xi + \varepsilon_0) \right\}$$

Since $\frac{1}{\log 2}(\xi + \varepsilon_0) > 1$,

$$E(1) \subset \bigcup_{N=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{n=N}^{\infty} E_0^n(\varepsilon_0)$$

Let $\mathcal{I}(n,\xi,\varepsilon_0)$ denote the family of all *n*th-order cylinders $I_n(d_1,\cdots,d_n)$ such that

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^n d_j(x) < \frac{1}{\log 2}(\xi + \varepsilon_0).$$

Then

$$E_0^n(\varepsilon_0) = \bigcup_{J \in \mathcal{I}(n,\xi,\varepsilon_0)} J.$$

Hence, $\{J : J \in \mathcal{I}(n, \xi, \varepsilon_0), n \ge 1\}$ is a cover of E(1). It remains to prove that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{J \in \mathcal{I}(n,\xi,\varepsilon_0)} |J|^{t \log 2} < \infty.$$

By (3.7) and the fact that $|I_n(x)| = 2^{-(d_1(x) + \dots + d_n(x))}$, we have

$$\mu_{t,q}(I_n(x)) \asymp \exp(-nP(t,q)) 2^{q\sum_{j=1}^n d_j} |I_n(x)|^{t \log 2}$$

Thus,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{J \in \mathcal{I}(n,\xi,\varepsilon_0)} |J|^{t \log 2} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \ j \neq (\xi+\varepsilon_0)n \log_2 e}} \frac{e^{nP(t,q(\xi))}}{2^{q(\xi)\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} d_j}} \frac{|J|^{t \log 2} 2^{q(\xi)\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} d_j}}{e^{nP(t,q(\xi))}}$$
(3.10)

$$\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{nP(t,q(\xi)) - (\xi + \varepsilon_0)q(\xi)} \cdot \sum_{J \in \mathcal{I}(n,\xi,\varepsilon_0)} \mu_{t,q(\xi)}(J) < \infty,$$
(3.11)

where C is a constant. Hence, we obtain (3.9).

3.3. Proof of Theorem 1.1 (5)

The following proposition proves the last assertion of Theorem 1.1, i.e., $t(\xi)$ has only one inflection point.

Proposition 3.5. We have

$$t''(\xi) = \frac{2(\xi - 1)\log(\xi - 1) - \xi}{\xi^3(\xi - 1)\log 2}, \quad \forall \xi > 1.$$
(3.12)

Further, there exists a point $\tilde{\xi}$ such that $t''(\tilde{\xi}) = 0$ and $t''(\xi) < 0$ for $\xi < \tilde{\xi}$, $t''(\xi) > 0$ for $\xi > \tilde{\xi}$.

Proof. For $\xi > 1$, we have $\xi^3(\xi - 1) \log 2 > 0$. Define a function f by $f(\xi) = 2(\xi - 1) \log(\xi - 1) - \xi$ for all $\xi > 1$. Notice that

$$\lim_{\xi \to 1} f(\xi) = -1 < 0, \qquad \lim_{\xi \to \infty} f(\xi) = \infty$$

Taking the derivative of f gives $f'(\xi) = 2\log(\xi - 1) + 1$. We then deduce that f is strictly decreasing on $(1, 1 + e^{-1/2})$ and increasing on $(1 + e^{-1/2}, \infty)$. Observe that f(3) < 0, and f(1 + e) > 0. Therefore, there exists exactly one point $\tilde{\xi} \in (3, 1 + e)$ such that $t''(\tilde{\xi}) = 0$. The rest of the statements are evident.

4. Birkhoff spectrum for $\varphi: x \mapsto \log(d_1(x))$

Let $\varphi(x) = \log(d_1(x)) = \log(\lceil -\log_2 x \rceil)$. We consider the Hausdorff dimensions of the sets

$$E_{\varphi}(\xi) = \left\{ x \in (0,1] : \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \log(d_1(T^j x)) = \xi \right\}, \quad \xi \ge 0.$$

Recall that, for Lebesgue almost all x in (0, 1],

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \log (d_1(T^j x)) = \xi_0 = \int_0^1 \log [-\log_2 x] \, \mathrm{d}x$$

Thus $\dim_H E_{\varphi}(\xi_0) = 1.$

Let
$$\Psi = \{ \log |\phi'_i| : i \in \mathbb{N} \} = \{ -i \log 2 : i \in \mathbb{N} \}$$
 and $F = \{ -\log i : i \in \mathbb{N} \}.$

Proposition 4.1. Let $D := \{(t,q) : t > 0, \text{ or } t = 0, q < -1\}$. For any $(t,q) \in D$:

(1) the family $t\Psi - qF$ is Hölder and strong;

(2) the topological pressure P(t,q) of the potential $t\Psi - qF$ is given by

$$P(t,q) = \log\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-nt} \cdot n^q\right).$$
(4.1)

Proof. (1) Since $(t\Psi - qF)^{(i)} = -ti \log 2 + q \log i$, we have $V_n(t\Psi - qF) = 0$. By definition, the family $t\Psi - qF$ is Hölder.

For $(t,q) \in D$, it can be checked that

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} e^{-ti \log 2 + q \log i} < \infty.$$

Thus $t\Psi - qF$ is strong.

(2) Observe that

$$\sup_{x \in X} \sum_{j=1}^n (t\Psi - qF)^{(\omega_j)} \circ \phi_{\sigma^j \omega}(x) = -t \log 2 \sum_{j=1}^n \omega_j + q \sum_{j=1}^n \log \omega_j.$$

By definition,

$$P(t,q) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \sum_{\omega_1, \omega_2 \cdots \omega_n \in \mathbb{N}} \exp\left(-t(\log 2) \sum_{j=1}^n \omega_j + q \sum_{j=1}^n \log \omega_j\right)$$
$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log\left(\sum_{\omega=1}^\infty \exp(-t\omega \log 2 + q \log \omega)\right)^n$$
$$= \log\left(\sum_{n=1}^\infty 2^{-nt} \cdot n^q\right).$$

By Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 4.1, we know that D is the analytic area of the pressure P(t,q). From Mayer [6] (see also Pollicott and Weiss [10, Proposition 4]), we know that $\mu_{1,0}$ is the Lebesgue measure on [0, 1]. By (2.8), we obtain

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial q}(1,0) = \int \log[-\log_2 x] \, \mathrm{d}x = \xi_0. \tag{4.2}$$

4.1. Further sutdy on P(t,q)

Now we show some properties of P(t,q) and $\partial P/\partial q$.

Proposition 4.2. For fixed t > 0,

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial q}(t,q) = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-nt} (\log n) n^q}{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-nt} n^q}, \quad \forall q \in \mathbb{R}.$$
(4.3)

Moreover, we have

$$P(t,0) = -\log(2^t - 1), \tag{4.4}$$

and

$$P(0,q) = \log \zeta(-q), \tag{4.5}$$

where ζ is the Riemann zeta function, defined by

$$\zeta(s) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s}, \quad \forall s > 1.$$

 $\lim_{q \to -\infty} P(0,q) = 0;$

 $\lim_{q \to \infty} P(t, q) = \infty;$

Consequently:

(1) P(1,0) = 0, and

(2) for fixed t > 0,

(3) for fixed $t \ge 0$,

$$\lim_{q \to -\infty} \frac{\partial P}{\partial q}(t,q) = 0; \tag{4.6}$$

(*4*) we have

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{\partial P}{\partial q}(t,0) = \infty.$$
(4.7)

Proof. Fix t > 0 and $q_0 > 0$. Notice that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $q \leq q_0$,

$$2^{-nt}(\log n)n^q \le 2^{-nt}(\log n)n^{q_0}$$

Since the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-nt} (\log n) n^{q_0}$ is convergent, we arrive at (4.3). Since

$$P(t,0) = \log\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-nt}\right) = -\log(2^t - 1),$$

we have P(1,0) = 0. Notice that

$$P(0,q) = \log\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^q\right) = \log\zeta(-q),$$

and

$$\lim_{q\to -\infty}\zeta(-q)=1$$

Then, we have $P(0,q) \rightarrow 0 \ (q \rightarrow -\infty)$. We have thus proved (4.4), (4.5) and part (1).

Next, let $q \to \infty$. We note that $n^q \to \infty$ for all n > 1, hence $P(t,q) \to \infty$. This proves part (2).

Now, let $q \to -\infty$. Since $n^q \to 0$, we have

$$\lim_{q \to -\infty} \frac{\partial P}{\partial q}(t,q) = \lim_{q \to -\infty} \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-nt} (\log n) n^q}{2^{-t} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} 2^{-nt} n^q} = 0,$$

which is the assertion of part (3).

For the final part, let q = 0. By (4.3), we have

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial q}(t,0) = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-nt}(\log n)}{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-nt}}.$$

Fix M > 0. Then there extsts $N \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\log n > M$ for any $n \ge N$. Hence,

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial q}(t,0) > \frac{(\log 2)\sum_{n=2}^{k-1} 2^{-nt} + M\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} 2^{-nt}}{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-nt}} = 2^{-2t} (\log 2)(1 - 2^{-(k-2)t}) + M2^{-kt}.$$

Notice that

$$\lim_{t \to 0} 2^{-2t} (\log 2) (1 - 2^{-(k-2)t}) + M 2^{-kt} = M.$$

Thus,

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{\partial P}{\partial q}(t,0) \ge M.$$

Hence, letting $M \to \infty$, we obtain (4.7).

Recall that $\xi_0 = (\partial P / \partial q)(1, 0)$. Let $\tilde{D} = \{(t, q) \in D : 0 \le t \le 1\}$.

Proposition 4.3 ([2]). *For any* $\xi \in (0, \infty)$ *, the system*

$$\begin{cases} P(t,q) = q\xi, \\ \frac{\partial P}{\partial q}(t,q) = \xi \end{cases}$$
(4.8)

admits a unique solution $(t(\xi), q(\xi)) \in \tilde{D}$. For $\xi = \xi_0$, the unique solution is $(t(\xi_0), q(\xi_0)) = (1, 0)$. Further, the functions $t(\xi)$ and $q(\xi)$ are analytic.

By differentiating the first equation in system (4.8) with respect to ξ and applying the second equation in (4.8), we obtain the first derivative of function t with respect to ξ , i.e.,

$$t'(\xi) = \frac{q(\xi)}{(\partial P/\partial t)(t(\xi), q(\xi))}, \quad \forall \xi > 0.$$
(4.9)

_		

4.2. Proof of Theorem 1.2

As is widely known, if f is a convex continuously differentiable function on an interval I, then f' is increasing and

$$f'(x) \le \frac{f(y) - f(x)}{y - x} \le f'(y) \quad \forall x, y \in I, x < y.$$

Using this fact, we next present some properties on $t(\xi)$.

The proof of the following proposition is similar to that of [2, Proposition 4.14].

Proposition 4.4. $q(\xi) < 0$ for $\xi < \xi_0$; $q(\xi_0) = 0$; $q(\xi) > 0$ for $\xi > \xi_0$.

Proof. By the convexity of the function P(1,q) with respect to q, and the fact P(1,0) = 0, we have

$$\frac{P(1,q)-0}{q-0} \ge \frac{\partial P}{\partial q}(1,0) = \xi_0 \quad (q>0); \quad \frac{0-P(1,q)}{0-q} \le \frac{\partial P}{\partial q}(1,0) = \xi_0 \quad (q<0).$$

Thus

$$P(1,q) \ge \xi_0 q, \quad \forall q \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Proposition 4.3 shows that $t(\xi) = 1$ if and only if $\xi = \xi_0$. Suppose $t \in (0, 1)$. For $\xi > \xi_0$, if $q(\xi) \le 0$, then

$$P(t,q) > P(1,0) \ge q\xi_0 \ge q\xi$$

which contradicts to the first equation in (4.8). Thus

$$q(\xi) > 0 \quad (\xi > \xi_0).$$

The rest of the proof runs as before.

Let us use Proposition 4.4 and (4.9) to deduce some further properties of $t(\xi)$.

Proposition 4.5. We have $t'(\xi) > 0$ for $\xi < \xi_0$, $t'(\xi_0) = 0$, and $t'(\xi) < 0$ for $\xi > \xi_0$. Furthermore,

$$t(\xi) \to 0 \qquad (\xi \to 0), \tag{4.10}$$

$$t(\xi) \to 0 \qquad (\xi \to \infty).$$
 (4.11)

Proof. By Proposition 2.1(2), $\partial P/\partial t < 0$. Hence, according to Proposition 4.4 and (4.9), $t(\xi)$ is strictly increasing on $(0, \xi_0)$ and decreasing on (ξ_0, ∞) . Then by the analyticity of $t(\xi)$, we can obtain two analytic inverse functions on the two intervals respectively. For the first inverse function, write $\xi_1 = \xi_1(t)$. Then $\xi_1 \in (0, \xi_0)$ and $\xi'_1(t) > 0$. Considering equations (4.8) as equations on t, we have

$$\xi_1(t) = \frac{P(t, q(t))}{q(t)} = \frac{\partial P}{\partial q}(t, q(t)).$$

Since $\xi_1(t) \in (0, \xi_0)$, we conclude that $q(\xi_1(t)) < 0$, and in consequence, $P(t, q(\xi_1(t))) < 0$. By Proposition 4.2(2), $\lim_{q\to\infty} P(t,q) = \infty$. Thus there exits $q_0(t)$ such that $q_0(t) > q(t)$ and $P(t,q_0(t)) = 0$. From $\partial^2 p/\partial q^2 > 0$, we see that

$$\xi_1(t) = \frac{\partial P}{\partial q}(t, q(t)) < \frac{\partial P}{\partial q}(t, q_0(t)).$$

Since $P(0,q) = \log \zeta(-q)$, then $\lim_{t\to 0} q_0(t) = -\infty$. Consequently,

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{\partial P}{\partial q}(t, q_0(t)) = \lim_{q \to -\infty} \frac{\partial P}{\partial q}(0, q) = 0.$$

From the above, it follows that $\lim_{t\to 0} \xi_1(t) = 0$, and so $\lim_{\xi\to 0} t(\xi) = 0$.

For the second inverse function, write $\xi_2 = \xi_2(t)$. Then $\xi_2 \in (\xi_0, \infty)$ and $\xi'_2(t) < 0$. An analysis similar to that in the proof of the first inverse function shows that

$$\xi_2(t) = \frac{\partial P}{\partial q}(t,q(t)) > \frac{\partial P}{\partial q}(t,0).$$

From (4.7), we deduce that $\lim_{\xi \to \infty} t(\xi) = 0$.

As in the proof of [2, Proposition 5.1], we obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 4.6. We have

$$\lim_{\xi \to 0} q(\xi) = -\infty. \tag{4.12}$$

Proposition 4.7. We have the following two properties of $\partial P/\partial t$. (1) For t > 0,

$$\lim_{q \to -\infty} \frac{\partial P}{\partial t}(t,q) = -\log 2$$

(2) For q = 0,

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{\partial P}{\partial t}(t,0) = -\infty$$

Proof. For t > 0 and q < -2,

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial t}(t,q) = \frac{(-\log 2)\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-nt} n^{q+1}}{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-nt} n^{q}}.$$
(4.13)

Therefore,

$$\lim_{q \to -\infty} \frac{\partial P}{\partial t}(t,q) = -\log 2$$

For $q = 0, P(t, 0) = -\log(2^t - 1)$. Therefore,

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial t}(t,0) = \frac{-2^t \log 2}{2^t - 1}, \qquad \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{\partial P}{\partial t}(t,0) = -\infty.$$

Combining Propositions 4.6 and 4.7 yields

$$\lim_{\xi \to 0} t'(\xi) = \infty.$$

We have thus proved (1)-(4) of Theorem 1.2.

Our next goal is to calculate the value of $\dim_H E_{\varphi}(0)$.

Theorem 4.1. For $\xi = 0$, dim_{*H*} $E_{\varphi}(0) = 0$.

Proof. For any t > 0, since $\lim_{\xi \to 0} t(\xi) = 0$, there exists $0 < \xi < \xi_0$ such that $0 < t(\xi) < t$ and $q(\xi) < 0$. Then we can choose $\varepsilon_0 > 0$, such that

$$\frac{P(t,q(\xi)) - P(t(\xi),q(\xi))}{q(\xi)} > \varepsilon_0.$$

Let $\mathcal{I}(n,\xi,\varepsilon_0)$ be the family of all *n*th-order cylinders $I_n(d_1,\cdots,d_n)$ such that

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^n \log(d_j(x)) < \xi + \varepsilon_0.$$

Then $\{J : J \in \mathcal{I}(n,\xi,\varepsilon_0), n \ge 1\}$ is a cover of $E_{\varphi}(0)$. Since $(t\Psi - qF)^{(i)} = -ti \log 2 + q \log i$, by Lemma 3.5,

$$\mu_{t,q}(I_n(x)) \asymp \exp(-nP(t,q))|I_n(x)|^t (d_1 \cdots d_n)^q.$$

A calculation similar to that in the proof of Proposition 3.4 shows that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{J \in \mathcal{I}(n,\xi,\varepsilon_0)} |J|^t = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{(d_1 \cdots d_n) < e^{n(\xi+\varepsilon_0)}} \frac{e^{nP(t,q(\xi))}}{(d_1 \cdots d_n)^{q(\xi)}} \frac{|J|^t (d_1 \cdots d_n)^{q(\xi)}}{e^{nP(t,q(\xi))}}$$
(4.14)

$$\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{nP(t,q(\xi)) - (\xi + \varepsilon_0)q(\xi)} \cdot \sum_{J \in \mathcal{I}(n,\xi,\varepsilon_0)} \mu_{t,q(\xi)}(J) < \infty.$$
(4.15)

Thus $\dim_H E_{\varphi}(0) \leq t$. By the arbitrariness of t, $\dim_H E_{\varphi}(0) = 0$.

For a rigorous proof of the last assertion of Theorem 1.2, we refer the reader to [2, p.103]. A point that should be stressed is that the number of inflection points is not clear yet.

5. Birkhoff spectrum for $\varphi : x \mapsto 2^{d_1(x)}$

Consider the level sets

$$E_{\varphi}(\xi) = \left\{ x \in (0,1] : \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} 2^{d_1(T^j x)} = \xi \right\}, \quad \xi \ge 2$$

with $\varphi(x) = 2^{d_1(x)}$.

Let $\Psi = \{ \log |\phi'_i| : i \in \mathbb{N} \} = \{ -i \log 2 : i \in \mathbb{N} \}$ and $F = \{ -2^i : i \in \mathbb{N} \}$. It can be checked that Ψ and F are two families of real-valued Hölder functions.

Proposition 5.1. Let $D := \{(t,q) : t > 0, q = 0 \text{ or } q < 0\}$. For $(t,q) \in D :$

(1) the family $t\Psi - qF$ is Hölder and strong;

(2) the topological pressure P of the potential $t\Psi - qF$ is

$$P(t,q) = \log\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-nt} e^{2^n q}\right).$$
20
(5.1)

Proof. (1) Since $(t\Psi - qF)^{(i)} = -ti \log 2 + q2^i$, $V_n(t\Psi - qF) = 0$. By definition, $t\Psi - qF$ is Hölder. For $(t,q) \in D$, we have

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} e^{-ti \log 2 + q2^i} = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} 2^{-it} e^{2^i q} < \infty.$$

Thus $t\Psi - qF$ is strong.

(2) Observe that

$$\sup_{x \in X} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (t\Psi - qF)^{(\omega_j)} \circ \phi_{\sigma^j \omega}(x) = -t \log 2 \sum_{j=1}^{n} \omega_j + q \sum_{j=1}^{n} 2^{\omega_j}.$$

By definition,

$$P(t,q) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \sum_{\omega_1, \omega_2 \cdots \omega_n \in \mathbb{N}} \exp\left(-t \log 2 \sum_{j=1}^n \omega_j + q \sum_{j=1}^n 2^{\omega_j}\right)$$
$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log\left(\sum_{\omega=1}^\infty 2^{-\omega t} e^{2^\omega q}\right)^n$$
$$= \log\left(\sum_{n=1}^\infty 2^{-nt} e^{2^n q}\right).$$

Let us investigate some properties of P(t,q). Let $D_1 = \{(t,q) : t \ge 0, q < 0\}$. **Proposition 5.2.** For $(t,q) \in D_1$,

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial q}(t,q) = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n(t-1)} e^{2^n q}}{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-nt} e^{2^n q}}.$$
(5.2)

Consequently,

(1) for fixed $0 < t \le 1$,

$$\lim_{q \to 0} \frac{\partial P}{\partial q}(t, q) = \infty; \tag{5.3}$$

(2) we have

$$\lim_{(t,q)\to(0,0)}\frac{\partial P}{\partial q}(t,q) = \infty;$$
(5.4)

(3) for fixed $t \ge 0$ and q < 0, we have $(\partial P/\partial q)(t,q) > 2$, and

$$\lim_{q \to -\infty} \frac{\partial P}{\partial q}(t,q) = 2.$$
(5.5)

Proof. Fix $t \ge 0$ and $q_0 < 0$. Then for any $q \le q_0$, we have

 $2^{-n(t-1)}e^{2^nq} \le 2^{-n(t-1)}e^{2^nq_0}.$

Notice that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sqrt[n]{2^{-n(t-1)}} e^{2^n q_0} = 0 < 1.$$

By Weierstrass M-test, we have that the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n(t-1)} e^{2^n q}$ is uniformly convergent on the set $\{q : q < q_0\}$. Hence, we obtain (5.2).

Fix $0 < t \le 1$. Since $e^{2^n q} \to 1 \ (q \to 0)$, we have

$$\lim_{q \to 0} \frac{\partial P}{\partial q}(t,q) = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n(t-1)}}{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-nt}}.$$

Notice that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n(t-1)} = \infty, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-nt} = \frac{1}{2^t - 1}$$

Hence, (5.3) is proved.

In order to prove (2), we need to show

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n(t-1)}}{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-nt}} = \infty.$$

This is analogous to that in (4.7) and is left to the reader.

Observe that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n(t-1)} e^{2^n q} > 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-nt} e^{2^n q}.$$

As a result,

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial q}(t,q) > 2$$

~ ~

This proves the first part of (3).

Since $\lim_{q\to -\infty} e^{(2^n-2)q} = 0$ for $n \ge 2$, it follows that

$$\lim_{q \to -\infty} \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n(t-1)} e^{2^n q}}{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-nt} e^{2^n q}} = \lim_{q \to -\infty} \frac{2^{-(t-1)} e^{2q} (1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} 2^{-(n-1)(t-1)} e^{(2^n-2)q})}{2^{-t} e^{2q} (1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} 2^{-(n-1)t} e^{(2^n-2)q})} = 2,$$

which completes the proof.

Proposition 5.3. For $(t,q) \in D_1$,

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial t}(t,q) = \frac{(-\log 2) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n2^{-nt} e^{2^n q}}{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-nt} e^{2^n q}}.$$
(5.6)

Consequently,

(1) for fixed $t \ge 0$,

$$\lim_{q \to -\infty} \frac{\partial P}{\partial t}(t,q) = -\log 2; \tag{5.7}$$

(2) for t = 1,

$$\lim_{q \to 0} \frac{\partial P}{\partial t}(1,q) = -\frac{\log 2}{2}.$$
(5.8)

Proof. By Abel's test, it follows that the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n2^{-nt}e^{2^nq}$ converges uniformly with respect to t on the set D_1 . Hence, we get (5.6).

Fix $t \ge 0$. Write

$$\frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n2^{-nt} e^{2^n q}}{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-nt} e^{2^n q}} = \frac{e^{2q} (2^{-t} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n2^{-nt} e^{(2^n - 2)q})}{e^{2q} (2^{-t} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} 2^{-nt} e^{(2^n - 2)q})} = \frac{2^{-t} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n2^{-nt} e^{(2^n - 2)q}}{2^{-t} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} 2^{-nt} e^{(2^n - 2)q}}$$

Notice that for any $n \geq 2$,

$$\lim_{q \to -\infty} e^{(2^n - 2)q} = 0.$$

From the above, it follows that

$$\lim_{q \to -\infty} \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n 2^{-nt} e^{2^n q}}{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-nt} e^{2^n q}} = 1,$$

which proves (5.7).

By (5.6), we have

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial t}(1,q) = \frac{(-\log 2)\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n2^{-n}e^{2^nq}}{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n}e^{2^nq}}.$$

Since $e^{2^n q} \to 1 \ (q \to 0)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} = 1$, we have

$$\lim_{q \to 0} \frac{\partial P}{\partial t}(1,q) = (-\log 2) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n 2^{-n} = -\frac{\log 2}{2}.$$
(5.9)

Proposition 5.4. Let $\tilde{D} = \{(t,q) \in D : 0 \le t \le 1\}$. For any $\xi \in (2,\infty)$, the system

$$\begin{cases} P(t,q) = q\xi, \\ \frac{\partial P}{\partial q}(t,q) = \xi \end{cases}$$
(5.10)

admits a unique solution $(t(\xi), q(\xi)) \in \tilde{D}$. Further, the functions $t(\xi)$ and $q(\xi)$ are analytic.

5.1. Proofs of Theorem 1.3 (1) and (2)

It follows from the Birkhoff ergodic theorem that for Lebesgue almost all x in (0, 1],

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} 2^{d_j(x)} = \int 2^{\lceil -\log_2 x \rceil} \, \mathrm{d}x = \infty.$$

Hence,

$$\dim_H E_{\varphi}(\infty) = \dim_H \{ x \in (0,1] : \overline{\varphi}(x) = \infty \} = 1.$$

Proposition 5.5. The function $t(\xi)$ is strictly increasing on $(2, \infty)$.

Proof. From the analytic area of P(t,q), we know that $q(\xi) \leq 0$. Suppose there exists $\xi_1 < \infty$ such that $q(\xi_1) = 0$. Then $P(t(\xi_1), 0) = 0$ by Proposition 5.4. Hence, we have $t(\xi_1) = 1$ and $(\partial P/\partial q)(1, 0) = \xi_1$. However, by (5.3), we have

$$\lim_{(t,q)\to(1,0)}\frac{\partial P}{\partial q}(t,q) = \infty$$

This contradicts to the hypothesis that $\xi_1 < \infty$. Thus $t'(\xi) > 0$.

5.2. Proofs of Theorem 1.3 (3) and (4)

Proposition 5.6. We have

$$\lim_{\xi \to 2} t(\xi) = 0, \qquad \lim_{\xi \to 2} q(\xi) = -\infty.$$
(5.11)

Furthermore,

$$\lim_{\xi \to 2} t'(\xi) = \infty$$

Proof. To show the first formula in (5.11), we use the same method as in the proof of Proposition 4.5. Since $\xi \mapsto t(\xi)$ is increasing and analytic on $(2, \infty)$, we can write its inverse function $\xi = \xi(t)$. Then $\xi'(t) > 0$ and

$$\xi(t) = \frac{P(t, q(t))}{q(t)} = \frac{\partial P}{\partial q}(t, q(t))$$

We conclude from (5.5) that

$$\lim_{q \to -\infty} \frac{\partial P}{\partial q}(0,q) = 2,$$

hence that $\lim_{t\to 0} \xi(t) = 2$, and finally that $\lim_{\xi\to 2} t(\xi) = 0$.

Now suppose that there exists a subsequence $\{\xi_{n_k}\}_{k\geq 1}$, such that

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \xi_{n_k} = 2, \qquad \lim_{k \to \infty} q(\xi_{n_k}) = M > -\infty.$$

Since $\lim_{\xi \to 2} t(\xi) = 0$, it follows that $\lim_{k \to \infty} t(\xi_{n_k}) = 0$. By Proposition 5.4,

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{\partial P}{\partial q}(t(\xi_{n_k}), q(\xi_{n_k})) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \xi_{n_k} = 2.$$
(5.12)

If M = 0, then by (5.4), we have

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{\partial P}{\partial q}(t(\xi_{n_k}), q(\xi_{n_k})) = \lim_{(t,q) \to (0,0)} \frac{\partial P}{\partial q}(t,q) = \infty,$$

which contradicts to (5.12). If M < 0, then by Proposition 5.2 (3), we have

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{\partial P}{\partial q}(t(\xi_{n_k}), q(\xi_{n_k})) = \frac{\partial P}{\partial q}(0, M) > 2,$$

which is also a contradiction to (5.12). Hence, $q(\xi) \to -\infty$ $(\xi \to 2)$.

Applying the second formula in (5.11) and combining (4.9) and (5.7), we conclude that

$$\lim_{\xi \to 2} t'(\xi) = \infty.$$

Proposition 5.7. We have

$$\lim_{\xi \to \infty} t(\xi) = 1, \quad \lim_{\xi \to \infty} q(\xi) = 0.$$
(5.13)

Furthermore,

$$\lim_{\xi \to \infty} t'(\xi) = 0.$$

Proof. Recall that for any $\xi > 2$,

$$\begin{cases} P(t(\xi), q(\xi)) = q(\xi)\xi, \\ \frac{\partial P}{\partial q}(t(\xi), q(\xi)) = \xi. \end{cases}$$

Suppose $(t(\xi), q(\xi)) \to (t_0, q_0)$ as $\xi \to \infty$. The analyticity of $t(\xi)$ and $q(\xi)$ implies that $0 < t_0 \le 1$ and $q_0 \le 0$. Suppose $q_0 < 0$. Then by (5.2) and (5.5), we have

$$\lim_{(t,q)\to(t_0,q_0)}\frac{\partial P}{\partial q}(t,q)<\infty,$$

which is a contradiction to

$$\lim_{\xi \to \infty} \frac{\partial P}{\partial q}(t,q) = \lim_{\xi \to \infty} \xi = \infty.$$

Thus $q_0 = 0$. For any $\xi > 2$, since $q(\xi)\xi < 0$ and $P(t_0, 0) \ge 0$, we have

$$P(t_0, 0) = \lim_{\xi \to \infty} q(\xi)\xi = 0.$$

Since P(1,0) = 0 and $(\partial P/\partial q)(t,q) \to \infty$ as $(t,q) \to (1,0)$, we have $(t_0,q_0) = (1,0)$, which completes the proof of (5.13).

By (5.8) and (4.9), we have

$$\lim_{\xi \to \infty} t'(\xi) = 0.$$

Applying similar arguments to the proof of Theorem 4.1 yields

$$\dim_H E_{\varphi}(2) = 0.$$

References

- C. J. Bishop and Y. Peres. *Fractals in Probability and Analysis*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, 2016.
- [2] A.H. Fan, L.M. Liao, B.W. Wang, and J. Wu. On Khintchine exponents and Lyapunov exponents of continued fractions. *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems*, 29(1):73–109, 2009.
- [3] P. Hanus, R. D. Mauldin, and M. Urbaïski. Thermodynamic formalism and multifractal analysis of conformal infinite iterated function systems. *Acta Mathematica Hungarica*, 96(1-2):27–98, 2002.
- [4] R. D. Mauldin and M. Urbański. Dimensions and Measures in Infinite Iterated Function Systems. Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society, s3-73(1):105–154, 1996.
- [5] R. D. Mauldin and M. Urbański. Graph Directed Markov Systems: Geometry and Dynamics of Limit Sets. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, 2003.
- [6] D. Mayer. On the thermodynamic formalism for the Gauss map. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 130(2):311–333, 1990.
- [7] J. Neunhäuserer. On the dimension of certain sets araising in the base two expansion. arXiv:2201.09641.
- [8] J. Neunhäuserer. On the Hausdorff dimension of fractals given by certain expansions of real numbers. Archiv der Mathematik, 97(5):459–466, 2011.
- [9] J. Neunhäuserer. On the universality of Somos' constant. Elemente der Mathematik, 77, 05 2021.
- [10] M. Pollicott and H. Weiss. Multifractal analysis of Lyapunov exponent for continued fraction and Manneville–Pomeau transformations and applications to Diophantine approximation. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 207:145–171, 1999.