LARGE VALUES OF NEWFORM DEDEKIND SUMS

GEORGIA CORBETT AND MATTHEW P. YOUNG

ABSTRACT. We study a generalized Dedekind sum $S_{\chi_1,\chi_2}(a,c)$ attached to newform Eisenstein series $E_{\chi_1,\chi_2}(z,s)$. Our work shows the Dedekind sum is rarely substantially larger than $\log^3 c$. The method of proof first relates the size of the Dedekind sum to continued fractions. A result of Hensley from 1991 then controls the average size of the maximal partial quotient in the continued fraction expansion of a/c.

We complement this result by computing approximate values of the Dedekind sum in some special cases, which in particular produces examples of large values of the Dedekind sum.

1. Introduction

1.1. **Background.** Let h and k be coprime integers with k > 0. The classical Dedekind sum is defined as

$$s(h,k) = \sum_{n \pmod{k}} B_1\left(\frac{n}{c}\right) B_1\left(\frac{hn}{k}\right),$$

where B_1 denotes the first Bernoulli function defined by

$$B_1(x) = \begin{cases} x - \lfloor x \rfloor - \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{R} \backslash \mathbb{Z} \\ 0, & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{Z}. \end{cases}$$

In this paper, we focus on a generalization of the classical Dedekind sum associated to the newform Eisenstein series introduced by Stucker, Vennos, and Young in [SVY20].

Definition 1.1. Let χ_1, χ_2 be primitive nontrivial Dirichlet characters modulo q_1, q_2 respectively with $\chi_1\chi_2(-1) = 1$. Let $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(q_1q_2)$ with $c \geq 1$. Then the newform Dedekind sum is defined by

(1)
$$S_{\chi_1,\chi_2}(\gamma) = \sum_{j \pmod{c}} \sum_{n \pmod{q_1}} \overline{\chi_2}(j) \,\overline{\chi_1}(n) B_1\left(\frac{j}{c}\right) B_1\left(\frac{n}{q_1} + \frac{aj}{c}\right).$$

The value distribution of the classical Dedekind sum has been studied extensively; for instance, see [Hic77, Mye88, CFKS96] for some results in this vein. In contrast, many basic questions about the distribution of values of the newform Dedekind sums remain open.

Dillon and Gaston [DG20] proved an approximate formula for its second moment:

(2)
$$\sum_{\substack{a \pmod c \\ (a,c)=1}} |S_{\chi_1,\chi_2}(a,c)|^2 = q_1 c^{2+o(1)}.$$

This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under agreement No. DMS-2302210 (M.Y.). Any opinions, findings and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation.

This result shows the newform Dedekind sum has square-root cancellation on average, noting that the trivial bound applied to (1) yields

$$(3) |S_{\chi_1,\chi_2}(a,c)| \le q_1 c.$$

In this paper, we are most interested in investigating the behavior as a function of c, so we largely consider q_1, q_2 as fixed. A natural question is if (3) can be improved substantially. One might guess that $S_{\chi_1,\chi_2}(a,c) \ll c^{1/2+o(1)}$, based on the square-root heuristic consistent with (2). Proposition 3.8 of [DHKL20] indeed gives a bound of this quality for a cuspidal variant of the newform Dedekind sum. Perhaps surprisingly, no improvement of (3) is possible as De Leon and McCormick [LM23] showed that $S_{\chi_1,\chi_2}(a,c)$ can have size proportional to c:

Theorem 1.2 (De Leon, McCormick). Let χ be the Legendre symbol mod p, and $k, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $k \geq 1$. Then

(4)
$$S_{\chi,\chi}(1 + \ell k p, k p^2) = \chi(-\ell) \frac{k(p^2 - 1)}{12}.$$

1.2. **Results.** Our first main result controls the frequency at which the newform Dedekind sum may take on large values. For χ_1 and χ_2 fixed, let

(5)
$$F(\alpha, C) := \#\{(a, c) : 1 \le a < c \le C, (a, c) = 1, q_1q_2|c, |S_{\chi_1,\chi_2}(a, c)| > \alpha \log^3 C\}.$$

Theorem 1.3. For C large and $\alpha > 1$, then

(6)
$$F(\alpha, C) \ll_{\chi_1, \chi_2} \frac{C^2}{\alpha} + C^2 \frac{\log \log C}{\log C}.$$

Theorem 1.3 shows that the Dedekind sum is rarely much larger than $\log^3 C$. We note that for large values of α , say $\alpha > q_1 C$, then this upper bound is worse than the trivial bound (3). Also, for comparison we note that a simple application of (2) implies $F(\alpha, C) \ll \alpha^{-2} C^{3+o(1)}$, which is stronger than (6) only for $\alpha \gg C^{1/2+o(1)}$.

As a key intermediate step in the proof of Theorem 1.3, we have the following result.

Theorem 1.4. Let $c' = \frac{c}{q_2}$ and gcd(a, c) = 1. Let D(a, c') be the largest partial quotient of the continued fraction expansion of $\frac{a}{c'}$. There exists a constant $Q = Q(\chi_1, \chi_2)$ such that

$$|S_{\chi_1,\chi_2}(a,c)| \le Q \cdot D(a,c') \log^2 c'.$$

Theorem 1.4 relates newform Dedekind sums to the subject of continued fractions which has a rich literature. In [Hen91], Hensley proved a formula for the frequency of values for which $D(a, c') \ll \log c'$ (see Theorem 2.1 below for the precise statement). Hensley's result is key in our proof of Theorem 1.3.

Theorem 1.4 shows that the Dedekind sum may take a large value only when a/c' has a large partial quotient. In light of this apparent obstruction, it is natural to study the Dedekind sum at such pairs (a,c). The most obvious choices to consider are of the form a=1, 1+c', 2+c', etc. Note that in the notation from Theorem 1.2, $\frac{a}{c'} = \frac{1+\ell kp}{kp} = \ell + \frac{1}{kp}$, so D(a,c')=kp in this case, which is consistent with Theorem 1.4 since the implied constant therein is allowed to depend on p. As a partial generalization of Theorem 1.2, we have the following result which produces large values of the Dedekind sum for more general pairs of characters (with a light technical restriction).

Theorem 1.5. Suppose a = 1 + nc' and d = 1 - mc', with $ad \equiv 1 \pmod{c}$. Then

$$S_{\chi_1,\chi_2}(a,c) = \beta(\chi_1,\chi_2,m,n)c' + O(\log c'),$$

where

(7)
$$\beta(\chi_1, \chi_2, m, n) = \frac{\tau(\overline{\chi_1})\tau(\overline{\chi_2})}{4\pi^2 i} L(2, \chi_1 \chi_2) \Big((1+i)\chi_2(n) - (1-i)\chi_2(m)\overline{\chi_2}(d) \Big).$$

For "typical" values of n, we should expect that $\beta \neq 0$, in which case $|S_{\chi_1,\chi_2}(a,c)| \gg c'$. For example, suppose that $q_1 = q_2 = q$. In this case, $(c')^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{c}$, since $(c')^2 = c\frac{c}{q^2}$, and $q^2|c$. Therefore, $m \equiv n \pmod{q}$, and $\chi_2(d) = 1$. Hence, in this case,

(8)
$$\beta(\chi_1, \chi_2, n, n) = \chi_2(n) \frac{\tau(\overline{\chi_1})\tau(\overline{\chi_2})}{2\pi^2} L(2, \chi_1\chi_2).$$

Suppose in addition that $\chi_2 = \overline{\chi_1}$; then $\tau(\overline{\chi_1})\tau(\overline{\chi_2}) = q\chi_2(-1)$, and $L(2,\chi_1\chi_2) = \zeta(2)\prod_{p|q}(1-p^{-2})$. Therefore

(9)
$$\beta(\overline{\chi_2}, \chi_2, n, n) = \chi_2(-n) \frac{q}{12} \prod_{p|q} (1 - p^{-2}).$$

Hence letting $r = \prod_{p|q} p^2$ we deduce

(10)
$$S_{\overline{\chi_2},\chi_2}(1+n\frac{c}{q},c) = \chi_2(-n)\frac{c}{12r} \prod_{p|q} (p^2-1) + O(\log c).$$

If p > 3, then $p^2 - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{12}$, so this constant is an integer multiple of $\chi_2(n)$ provided q has a prime factor coprime to 6.

Also, as a safety check, when q = p prime and $\chi_1 = \chi_2$ is the Legendre symbol, we recover a result consistent with Theorem 1.2 (which is stronger of course since it has no error term).

Another comment is that in case m = n = 0 then $\beta(\chi_1, \chi_2, 0, 0) = 0$. This is consistent with [NRY21, Corollary 2.7] which says $S_{\chi_1,\chi_2}(1,c) = 0$.

1.3. Connections and future directions. Up to a normalizing factor, the newform Dedekind sum can be realized as the modular symbol associated to a weight 2 Eisenstein series. Specifically, let

(11)
$$E_{\chi_1,\chi_2}(z) = 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{1/2} \lambda_{\chi_1,\chi_2}(n) e(nz), \quad \lambda_{\chi_1,\chi_2}(n) = \sum_{ab=n} \chi_1(a) \overline{\chi_2}(b) \left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{1/2},$$

which is a holomorphic weight 2 Eisenstein series on $\Gamma_0(q_1q_2)$ with central character $\chi_1\overline{\chi_2}$. For $\gamma \in \Gamma_0(q_1q_2)$,

(12)
$$\int_{i\infty}^{\gamma(\infty)} E_{\chi_1,\chi_2}(z) dz = \tau(\overline{\chi_1}) S_{\chi_1,\chi_2}(\gamma),$$

which indeed is a modular symbol. See [SVY20, Section 5] for more details.

There are a large number of works on the distribution of values of modular symbols. For instance, see [PR18, DHKL20, Nor21, BD22, Cow22, LS23] for some recent advances in the subject, which largely (if not entirely) restrict attention to modular symbols for cusp forms. The case of Eisenstein series considered in this article has some familiar extra difficulties due to the lack of vanishing at all cusps. It could be valuable to investigate possible extensions of these methods and results to the case of Eisenstein series.

2. Continued Fractions

We introduce a discussion on properties of continued fractions as they are a crucial part of the proofs of our results. Let $\frac{c}{d}$ be a rational number with $d \ge 1$ and $\gcd(c, d) = 1$. The simple finite continued fraction expansion of $\frac{c}{d}$ is

$$\frac{c}{d} = a_0 + \frac{1}{a_1 + \frac{1}{a_2 + \frac{1}{a_n}}}$$

where $a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ for $i \geq 1$, and $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. It is traditional to write this more compactly as $[a_0; a_1, \ldots, a_n]$. The partial quotients are the $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ where $1 \leq i \leq n$. Each rational number has precisely two continued fraction expansions, via

$$[a_0; a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}, a_k, 1] = [a_0; a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}, a_k + 1].$$

Note that exactly one of these two expansions has an even number of terms. The maximal partial quotient is defined by $D(a,b) = \max\{a_i : 1 \le i \le n\}$, where in light of the potential ambiguity arising from (13) we assume that $a_n \ge 2$. Following [Hen91], for $C \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $\alpha > 0$, define

$$\Phi(\alpha, C) = \#\{(a, c) : 1 < a < c \le C, \gcd(a, c) = 1, D(a, c) \le \alpha \log C\}.$$

Theorem 2.1 (Hensley). We have

(14)
$$\Phi(\alpha, C) = \frac{3}{\pi^2} C^2 \exp\left(\frac{-12}{\alpha \pi^2}\right) \left(1 + O\left(\left(\alpha^{-2} + 1\right) \exp\left(\frac{24}{\alpha \pi^2}\right) \frac{\log \log C}{\log C}\right)\right),$$

uniformly in $\alpha > \frac{4}{\log \log C}$ as $C \to \infty$.

Hensley's result gives the frequency of small partial quotients in the continued fraction expansion of rationals in the set $\{(a,c): 1 < a < c \leq C, \gcd(a,c) = 1\}$. We can re-frame this result to bound the frequency of large partial quotients instead. Let

(15)
$$G(\alpha, C) = \#\{(a, c) : 1 < a < c \le C, \gcd(a, c) = 1, D(a, c) > \alpha \log C\}.$$

Corollary 2.2. We have

(16)
$$G(\alpha, C) \ll \frac{C^2}{\alpha} + C^2 \frac{\log \log C}{\log C}$$

uniformly in $\alpha > 1$ as $C \to \infty$.

Proof. Note that $G(\alpha, C) + \Phi(\alpha, C) = \sum_{c \leq C} \varphi(c) = \frac{3}{\pi^2} C^2 + O(C \log C)$. Then

$$G(\alpha, C) = \frac{3}{\pi^2} C^2 - \Phi(\alpha, C) + O(C \log C)$$
$$= \frac{3}{\pi^2} C^2 \left(1 - \exp\left(\frac{-12}{\alpha \pi^2}\right) \right) + O\left(C^2 \frac{\log \log C}{\log C}\right).$$

The proof is completed with a Taylor expansion.

For later purposes, we also need the following result on continued fractions.

Lemma 2.3. Suppose that $\binom{a \ b}{c \ d} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ with 0 < a < c and 0 < d < c. Say the continued fraction expansion of a/c takes the form $[0; a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n]$ with n odd. Then the continued fraction expansion of d/c equals $[0; a_n, \ldots, a_1]$. In particular, $D(a, c) = D(d, c) + \delta$ for some $|\delta| \leq 1$.

Proof. We begin by drawing some material from [vdP86]. The continued fraction expansion $a/c = [a_0; a_1, \ldots, a_n]$ corresponds to the matrix factorization

(17)
$$\begin{pmatrix} a & B \\ c & D \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \dots \begin{pmatrix} a_n & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}),$$

with $B/D = [a_0; a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}]$. To be precise, one of the two continued fraction expansions of a/c gives rise to this factorization, and the ambiguity is resolved by comparing determinants of both sides, leading to the restriction that n is odd. We first claim that B = b and D = d. To see this, note that 0 < D < c since B/D is a prior convergent of the fraction a/c. Comparing determinants, we have $d \equiv D \pmod{c}$. Since 0 < d, D < c then D = d, and hence B = b as well.

Van der Poorten argues that by taking the transpose of both sides of (17) we have

(18)
$$[a_n; a_{n-1}, \dots, a_0] = \frac{a}{b}, \quad \text{and} \quad [a_n; a_{n-1}, \dots, a_1] = \frac{c}{d}.$$

Taking the reciprocal of the latter equation implies $\frac{d}{c} = [0; a_n, a_{n-1}, \dots, a_1]$, as desired.

For the final sentence, we need to observe that D(a,c) was defined using the representation of the continued fraction with final element $a_n \geq 2$, which may differ from the stated form (with n odd). The same feature can occur in the reversed continued fraction. Some thought shows that D(a,c) and D(d,c) may differ by at most 1.

Corollary 2.4. Suppose $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(q_1q_2)$ and set $c' = c/q_2$. Then $D(a, c') = D(d, c') + \delta$, where $|\delta| \leq 1$.

Proof. Note that $\gamma' = \binom{a \ q_2b}{c' \ d} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. We can multiply γ' on the left or right by matrices of the form $T^j = \binom{1 \ j}{0 \ 1}$ without changing the largest partial quotient of a/c' or d/c'. By appropriate choices we obtain $\gamma' = T^j \binom{a' \ *}{c' \ d'} T^k$, with $a' \equiv a \pmod{c'}$, 0 < a' < c', $d' \equiv d \pmod{c'}$, and 0 < d' < c'. Then $D(a,c') = D(a',c') = D(d',c') + \delta = D(d,c') + \delta$, where the middle equation uses Lemma 2.3.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.4

3.1. Alternative form of $S_{\chi_1,\chi_2}(a,c)$. We introduce an alternative formulation of (1) which will be used for the remainder of the paper. Define for $z \in \mathbb{H}$

(19)
$$f_{\chi_1,\chi_2}(z) = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi_1(l)\overline{\chi_2}(k)}{l} e(klz),$$

where $e(klz)=e^{2\pi iklz}$. To help orient the reader, we mention that f is an Eichler integral of the holomorphic weight 2 Eisenstein series attached to the pair of characters χ_1 , χ_2 . It can alternatively be realized as the holomorphic part of the constant term at s=1 of $E_{\chi_1,\chi_2}(z,s)$. These facts will not be used directly anywhere in this article.

Then define

(20)
$$\phi_{\chi_1,\chi_2}(\gamma,z) = f_{\chi_1,\chi_2}(\gamma z) - \psi(\gamma) f_{\chi_1,\chi_2}(z),$$

where $\psi = \chi_1 \overline{\chi_2}$. Lemma 2.1 in [SVY20] gives a straightforward argument showing that ϕ is independent of z. In [SVY20], the authors introduced an alternative formulation of (1):

Definition 3.1 (SVY2020). Let χ_1, χ_2 be primitive nontrivial Dirichlet characters modulo q_1, q_2 respectively with $\chi_1\chi_2(-1) = 1$. Let $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(q_1q_2)$ with $c \geq 1$. Then

(21)
$$S_{\chi_1,\chi_2}(a,c) = \frac{\tau(\overline{\chi_1})}{\pi i} \phi_{\chi_1,\chi_2}(\gamma)$$

where $\tau(\overline{\chi_1})$ is the Gauss sum defined by $\tau(\overline{\chi_1}) = \sum_{n \pmod{q_1}} \overline{\chi_1}(n) e_{q_1}(n)$.

A further discussion of the relationship between (1) and (21) is found in [SVY20]. In fact, (21) was the original definition in [SVY20], and (1) was deduced only with some work.

It is well-known that $|\tau(\overline{\chi_1})| = \sqrt{q_1}$, and therefore $|S_{\chi_1,\chi_2}(a,c)| = \frac{\sqrt{q_1}}{\pi} |\phi_{\chi_1,\chi_2}(\gamma)|$. Hence our investigation on large values focuses on ϕ_{χ_1,χ_2} .

The idea in estimating ϕ comes from choosing $z \in \mathbb{H}$ so that the minimum of the imaginary parts of z and γz is maximized. By a little computation, this is attained when $z = -\frac{d}{c} + \frac{i}{c}$, in which case $\gamma z = \frac{a}{c} + \frac{i}{c}$.

3.2. Simplified expression of f_{χ_1,χ_2} . Here we derive an expression for f_{χ_1,χ_2} by evaluating a geometric series.

Lemma 3.2. Let
$$c' = \frac{c}{q_2}$$
, and set $\theta(c', a, l) = e\left(\frac{l(a+i)}{c'}\right)$. We have

(22)
$$f_{\chi_1,\chi_2}\left(\frac{a}{c} + \frac{i}{c}\right) = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi_1(l)}{l\left(1 - \theta(c', a, l)\right)} \sum_{k_0=1}^{q_2} \overline{\chi_2}(k_0) e\left(\frac{k_0 l(a+i)}{c}\right).$$

Proof. Note

(23)
$$f_{\chi_1,\chi_2}\left(\frac{a}{c} + \frac{i}{c}\right) = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi_1(l)}{l} \overline{\chi_2}(k) e\left(\frac{kl(a+i)}{c}\right).$$

Write $k = k_0 + mq_2$ where $1 \le k_0 \le q_2$ and $m \ge 0$. Then

(24)
$$f_{\chi_1,\chi_2}\left(\frac{a}{c} + \frac{i}{c}\right) = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k_0=1}^{q_2} \frac{\chi_1(l)}{l} \overline{\chi_2}(k_0) e\left(\frac{k_0 l(a+i)}{c}\right) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \theta(c',a,l)^m.$$

Notice $|\theta(c', a, l)| < 1$ so the sum over m evaluates in closed form, giving the result.

3.3. Bounding f_{χ_1,χ_2} . Here we produce a bound on f using Lemma 3.2. As a first step, we have the following.

Lemma 3.3. Let $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(q_1q_2)$ with $c \geq 1$ and let $c' = \frac{c}{q_2}$. Then

(25)
$$f_{\chi_1,\chi_2}\left(\frac{a}{c} + \frac{i}{c}\right) = \sum_{l=1}^{c'-1} \frac{\chi_1(l)}{l\left(1 - \theta(c', a, l)\right)} \sum_{k_0=1}^{q_2} \overline{\chi_2}(k_0) e\left(\frac{k_0 l(a+i)}{c}\right) + O_{q_2}(1).$$

Proof. For this, we need to estimate the tail, i.e., the sum over $l \geq c'$ in (22). Note that $|\theta(c', a, l)| = \exp(-2\pi l/c') < 1/2$ for $l \geq c'$. Hence $|1 - \theta(c', a, l)|^{-1} \leq 2$. In addition, we have $\max_{k_0} |\overline{\chi_2}(k_0)e(k_0la/c)\exp(-2\pi k_0l/c)| \leq \exp(-2\pi l/c)$. Hence the contribution of these terms into (22) is bounded in absolute value by

(26)
$$\sum_{l>c'} \frac{2}{l} q_2 \exp(-2\pi l/c) \le 2q_2 \int_{c'}^{\infty} \frac{1}{t} \exp\left(-\frac{2\pi t}{q_2 c'}\right) dt \le 2q_2 \log(eq_2).$$

To go further with Lemma 3.3, we will need [Kor92, Lemma 3]:

Lemma 3.4. Let q be a positive integer, $1 \le a < q$, and gcd(a, q) = 1. Then

(27)
$$\sum_{1 \le l \le q-1} \frac{1}{\|la/q\|} \le 2q \log q,$$

and

(28)
$$\sum_{1 \le l \le q-1} \frac{1}{l ||la/q||} \le 18D(a,q) \log^2 q.$$

Now we are equipped to prove the following bound on f.

Proposition 3.5. Let $c' = \frac{c}{q_2}$. Then

$$\left| f_{\chi_1,\chi_2} \left(\frac{a}{c} + \frac{i}{c} \right) \right| \ll_{q_1,q_2} D(a,c') \cdot \log^2 c'.$$

Proof. From Lemma 3.3 and the triangle inequality, we deduce

(29)
$$\left| f_{\chi_1,\chi_2} \left(\frac{a}{c} + \frac{i}{c} \right) \right| \le q_2 \sum_{1 \le l \le c'-1} \frac{|\chi_1(l)|}{l |1 - \theta(c', a, l)|} + O(1).$$

Further, it holds that $|1 - e^{i\phi}| \le 2|1 - re^{i\phi}|$ for all $0 \le r \le 1$ and $\phi \in \mathbb{R}$. This can be proved using elementary calculus or trigonometry techniques and is left as an exercise for the reader. Similarly, it is an exercise to check that $|1 - e(x)|^{-1} \le 4^{-1}||x||^{-1}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$|1 - \theta(c', a, l)|^{-1} \le 2 \cdot |1 - e(la/c')|^{-1} \le 2^{-1} ||la/c'||^{-1}.$$

Substituting, this shows

(30)
$$\left| f_{\chi_1,\chi_2} \left(\frac{a}{c} + \frac{i}{c} \right) \right| \le \frac{q_2}{2} \sum_{1 \le l \le c'-1} \frac{1}{l \|al/c'\|} + O(1).$$

Applying (28) completes the proof.

3.4. **Proof of Theorem 1.4.** Proposition 3.5 showed $\left|f_{\chi_1,\chi_2}\left(\frac{a}{c}+\frac{i}{c}\right)\right| \ll D(a,c')\log^2 c'$. By symmetry, we have $\left|f_{\chi_1,\chi_2}\left(\frac{-d}{c}+\frac{i}{c}\right)\right| \ll D(d,c')\log^2 c'$. Using Corollary 2.4, (20) and (21) completes the proof.

4. Proof of Theorem 1.3

Proof. Recall Q is a constant such that $|S_{\chi_1,\chi_2}(a,c)| \leq Q \cdot D(a,c') \log^2 c'$, as in Theorem 1.4. Also recall the definitions (5) and (15). Then we have

$$F(Q\alpha, C) = \#\{(a, c) : 1 \le a < c \le C, \ q_1q_2|c, \ (a, c) = 1, \ |S_{\chi_1, \chi_2}(a, c)| \ge Q\alpha \log^3 C\}$$

$$\le \#\{(a, c) : 1 \le a < c \le C, \ q_1q_2|c, \ (a, c) = 1, \ D(a, c') \ge \alpha \log C\}$$

$$\le q_2 \cdot \#\{(a, c') : 1 \le a < c' \le \frac{C}{q_2}, \ q_1|c', \ (a, c') = 1, \ D(a, c') \ge \alpha \log C\}$$

$$\le q_2 \cdot G\left(\alpha, \frac{C}{q_2}\right),$$

where in the third line we used that a runs over q_2 intervals modulo c', and in the fourth line we dropped the condition $q_1|c'$ by overcounting. The proof is completed using Corollary 2.2, and by replacing α by α/Q .

5. Proof of Theorem 1.5

Our proof rests on the following approximation.

Proposition 5.1. Let $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(q_1q_2)$, $c' = \frac{c}{q_2}$, and suppose $a = \delta + nc'$, for $\delta \in \{\pm 1\}$. Then

(31)
$$f_{\chi_1,\chi_2}\left(\frac{a}{c} + \frac{i}{c}\right) = c' \frac{1 + \delta i}{4\pi} \tau(\overline{\chi_2}) L(2,\chi_1\chi_2) \chi_2(n) + O_{q_1,q_2}(\log c').$$

Proof. We continue from Lemma 3.3, and begin by substituting $a = \delta + nc'$, giving that

(32)
$$f_{\chi_1,\chi_2}\left(\frac{a}{c} + \frac{i}{c}\right) = \sum_{l=1}^{c'-1} \frac{\chi_1(l)}{l(1 - e(\frac{l(\delta+i)}{c'}))} \sum_{k_0=1}^{q_2} \overline{\chi_2}(k_0) e\left(\frac{k_0 l n}{q_2}\right) e\left(\frac{k_0 l (\delta+i)}{c}\right) + O(1).$$

By a Taylor series, we have for $l \leq c'$ that

(33)
$$\left(1 - e\left(\frac{l(\delta + i)}{c'}\right)\right)^{-1} = \frac{1 + i\delta}{4\pi} \frac{c'}{l} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{l}{c'}\right)\right).$$

Similarly,

(34)
$$e\left(\frac{k_0 l(\delta+i)}{c}\right) = 1 + O\left(\frac{l}{c'}\right).$$

Hence the inner sum over k_0 approximates to a Gauss sum (where recall χ_2 is primitive modulo q_2), so we have

(35)
$$\sum_{k_0=1}^{q_2} \overline{\chi_2}(k_0) e\left(\frac{k_0 l n}{q_2}\right) e\left(\frac{k_0 l (\delta+i)}{c}\right) = \chi_2(l n) \tau(\overline{\chi_2}) + O_{q_2}(l/c').$$

Therefore,

(36)
$$f_{\chi_1,\chi_2}\left(\frac{a}{c} + \frac{i}{c}\right) = c' \frac{1 + \delta i}{4\pi} \tau(\overline{\chi_2}) \chi_2(n) \sum_{l \le c'} \frac{\chi_1(l) \chi_2(l)}{l^2} + O(\log c').$$

Extending the sum to l > c' incurs an error term of size O(1). Assembling everything now completes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Suppose $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(q_1q_2)$, and a = 1 + nc'. Then $ad \equiv 1 \pmod{c}$, which by reduction modulo c' implies d = 1 - mc' for some m. Then, of course, -d = -1 + mc'. By applying Proposition 5.1 with $\frac{-d}{c}$, we obtain

(37)
$$f_{\chi_1,\chi_2}\left(\frac{-d}{c} + \frac{i}{c}\right) = c'\frac{1-i}{4\pi}\tau(\overline{\chi_2})L(2,\chi_1\chi_2)\chi_2(m) + O_{q_1,q_2}(\log c').$$

Hence by (20) we have

(38)
$$\phi_{\chi_1,\chi_2}(\gamma) = c' \frac{\tau(\overline{\chi_2})}{4\pi} L(2,\chi_1\chi_2) \Big((1+i)\chi_2(n) - (1-i)\chi_2(m)(\chi_1\overline{\chi_2})(d) \Big) + O(\log c').$$

Note $\chi_1(d) = 1$ since $q_1|c'$ which implies $d \equiv 1 \pmod{q_1}$. Substituting this into (21) implies Theorem 1.5.

References

- [BD22] Sandro Bettin and Sary Drappeau. Limit laws for rational continued fractions and value distribution of quantum modular forms. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* (3), 125(6):1377–1425, 2022.
- [CFKS96] J. B. Conrey, Eric Fransen, Robert Klein, and Clayton Scott. Mean values of Dedekind sums. J. Number Theory, 56(2):214–226, 1996.
- [Cow22] Alex Cowan. Non-random behavior in sums of modular symbols. *Int. J. Number Theory*, 18(4):879–903, 2022.
- [DG20] Travis Dillon and Stephanie Gaston. An average of generalized Dedekind sums. *J. Number Theory*, 212:323–338, 2020.
- [DHKL20] Nikolaos Diamantis, Jeffrey Hoffstein, Eren Mehmet Kıral, and Min Lee. Additive twists and a conjecture by Mazur, Rubin and Stein. J. Number Theory, 209:1–36, 2020.
- [Hen91] Douglas Hensley. The largest digit in the continued fraction expansion of a rational number. Pacific J. Math., 151(2):237–255, 1991.
- [Hic77] Dean Hickerson. Continued fractions and density results for Dedekind sums. J. Reine Angew. Math., 290:113–116, 1977.
- [Kor92] N. M. Korobov. Exponential sums and their applications, volume 80 of Mathematics and its Applications (Soviet Series). Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1992. Translated from the 1989 Russian original by Yu. N. Shakhov.
- [LM23] E. De Leon and W. McCormick. Image of newform Dedekind sums attached to quadratic characters, 2023.
- [LS23] Jungwon Lee and Hae-Sang Sun. Dynamics of continued fractions and distribution of modular symbols, 2023.
- [Mye88] Gerald Myerson. Dedekind sums and uniform distribution. J. Number Theory, 28(3):233–239, 1988.
- [Nor21] Asbjørn Christian Nordentoft. Central values of additive twists of cuspidal *L*-functions. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 776:255–293, 2021.
- [NRY21] Evuilynn Nguyen, Juan J. Ramirez, and Matthew P. Young. The kernel of newform Dedekind sums. J. Number Theory, 223:53–63, 2021.
- [PR18] Yiannis N. Petridis and Morten S. Risager. Arithmetic statistics of modular symbols. *Invent. Math.*, 212(3):997–1053, 2018.
- [SVY20] T. Stucker, A. Vennos, and M. P. Young. Dedekind sums arising from newform eisenstein series. *International Journal of Number Theory*, 16(10):2129–2139, aug 2020.
- [vdP86] A. J. van der Poorten. An introduction to continued fractions. In *Diophantine analysis (Kensington, 1985)*, volume 109 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 99–138. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1986.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BUCKNELL UNIVERSITY, OLIN SCIENCE BUILDING, LEWISBURG, PA 17837, USA

Email address: gsfc001@bucknell.edu

Department of Mathematics, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843-3368, U.S.A.

Email address: myoung@math.tamu.edu