

# ON THE PRE-COMMUTATIVE ENVELOPES OF COMMUTATIVE ALGEBRAS

H. ALHUSSEIN<sup>1),2),3)</sup> AND P. KOLESNIKOV<sup>4)</sup>

**ABSTRACT.** We prove that every nilpotent commutative algebra can be embedded into a pre-commutative (Zinbiel) algebra with respect to the anti-commutator operation. For finite-dimensional algebras, the nilpotency condition is necessary for a commutative algebra to have a pre-commutative envelope.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The classical Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt Theorem (PBW-Theorem) for Lie algebras gave rise to a series of generalizations to various multiplication changing functors between varieties of algebras. Namely, suppose  $V$  and  $W$  are two (linear) operads governing the varieties of algebras called  $V$ -algebras and  $W$ -algebras, respectively. A morphism of operads  $\omega : W \rightarrow V$  induces a functor from the variety of  $V$ -algebras to the variety of  $W$ -algebras:  $B \mapsto B^{(\omega)}$ ,  $B \in V$ . Such functors are called multiplication changing ones (see, e.g., [1]). One of the most common examples is given by the morphism of operads  $(-): \text{Lie} \rightarrow \text{As}$  such that  $x_1 x_2 \mapsto x_1 x_2 - x_2 x_1$ . The corresponding functor between varieties  $\text{As} \rightarrow \text{Lie}$  transforms an associative algebra  $A$  into its commutator Lie algebra  $A^{(-)}$ .

Hereinafter we do not distinguish notations for multilinear varieties of algebras and their governing operads. For an operad  $V$  and a nonempty set  $X$ , denote by  $V\langle X \rangle$  the free  $V$ -algebra generated by  $X$ .

Every multiplication changing functor has left adjoint functor which sends an arbitrary  $W$ -algebra  $A$  to its universal enveloping  $V$ -algebra  $U_\omega(A)$ . If  $A$  is a  $W$ -algebra generated by a set  $X$  relative to defining relations  $R \subset W\langle X \rangle$  then  $U_\omega(A)$  is the  $V$ -algebra generated by the same set  $X$  relative to the relations  $\omega(R) \subset V\langle X \rangle$ .

The canonical homomorphism  $i : A \rightarrow U_\omega(A)$  may not be injective in general. Moreover,  $U_\omega(A)$  carries a natural ascending filtration relative to degrees in  $i(A)$ , and its associated graded algebra  $\text{gr } U_\omega(A)$  is also a  $V$ -algebra. As it was proposed in [1], let us say the triple  $(V, W, \omega)$  to have the PBW-property if  $i$  is injective and

$$\text{gr } U_\omega(A) \simeq U_\omega(A^{(0)})$$

as  $V$ -algebras, where  $A^{(0)}$  stands for the  $W$ -algebra on the space  $A$  with trivial (zero) operations.

Many combinatorial and homological properties of  $V$ - and  $W$ -algebras are closely related if  $(V, W, \omega)$  has the PBW-property, see, e.g. [1, 2].

A series of operation-transforming functors are related with so called dendriform splitting of varieties. The term “dendriform algebra” was introduced by J.-L. Loday [3] in the associative context, but it can be defined for an arbitrary variety (see, e.g., [4, 5]). Namely, for every multilinear variety  $V$  of algebras there is a variety denoted  $\text{pre } V$ . The defining identities of  $\text{pre } V$  can be calculated by means of a routine procedure (called *splitting*) described in [4] or [5], see also [6].

In particular, for every  $\text{pre } V$ -algebra  $A$  with operations  $>$  and  $<$  the same space  $A$  relative to the operation

$$ab = a > b + a < b, \quad a, b \in A, \tag{1.1}$$

is a  $V$ -algebra. Thus we have a morphism of operads  $\varepsilon : V \rightarrow \text{pre } V$  which maps  $x_1 x_2$  to  $x_1 > x_2 + x_1 < x_2$ . The corresponding operation-changing functor between varieties of algebras is also denoted  $\varepsilon$ , so that if  $A \in \text{pre } V$  then  $A^{(\varepsilon)} \in V$ .

The corresponding left adjoint functor was previously studied for  $V = \text{Lie}$  [7] and  $V = \text{As}$  [8]. In both cases, the triple  $(\text{pre } V, V, \varepsilon)$  has the PBW-property.

In this paper, we consider the triple  $(\text{pre } \text{Com}, \text{Com}, \varepsilon)$ , where  $\text{Com}$  is the variety of associative and commutative algebras. In this case, the operad  $\text{pre } \text{Com}$  corresponds to the variety of *Zinbiel* algebras [3], linear spaces with one bilinear multiplication satisfying the identity

$$x(yz) = (xy)z + (yx)z. \quad (1.2)$$

(This operad is Koszul dual to the operad governing the class of Leibniz algebras, the term “Zinbiel” is motivated by this observation.)

The functor  $\varepsilon$  mentioned above is natural to denote  $(+)$  is this particular case: every  $\text{pre } \text{Com}$ -algebra  $Z$  turns into a commutative algebra relative to the operation

$$a * b = ab + ba, \quad a, b \in Z.$$

It turns out that the case  $V = \text{Com}$  essentially differs from the cases  $V = \text{Lie}$  or  $\text{As}$ . It is not hard to see that not every commutative algebra  $A$  embeds into its universal enveloping  $U_{(+)}(A)$ , so there is no hope for the PBW-property to hold for the triple  $(\text{pre } \text{Com}, \text{Com}, (+))$ .

It was shown in [9, 10] that a finite-dimensional pre-commutative algebra is nilpotent. On the other hand, it is easy to see that if a finite-dimensional commutative algebra  $A$  embeds into a pre-commutative algebra then  $A$  must be nilpotent. Our results, in particular, show the converse: every nilpotent commutative algebra  $A$  embeds into an appropriate pre-commutative algebra.

For a trivial algebra  $A$  (with zero multiplication) we compute the Gröbner–Shirshov basis of its universal enveloping Zinbiel algebra which may be considered as a “pre-algebra analogue” of the symmetric algebra of a linear space.

## 2. DENDRIFORM SPLITTING AND ZINBIEL ALGEBRAS

Let  $V$  be a class of all algebras satisfying a given set  $\Sigma$  of multilinear identities (i.e.,  $V$  is a variety defined by  $\Sigma$ ). Each identity  $f \in \Sigma$  is an element from the free non-associative (magmaic) algebra  $M\langle x_1, x_2, \dots \rangle$  which is homogeneous of degree  $n = \deg f$  and multilinear in the variables  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ . (For simplicity, we consider algebras with one binary product.)

For example, the class  $\text{Perm}$  is defined by two identities

$$(x_1 x_2) x_3 - x_1 (x_2 x_3), \quad x_1 (x_2 x_3) - x_2 (x_1 x_3),$$

these are left-commutative associative algebras also known as  $\text{Perm}$ -algebras [11].

Construct a class of algebras  $\text{pre } V$  with two binary products as follows [6]. A linear space  $A$  equipped with two operations denoted  $<$  and  $>$  belongs to  $\text{pre } V$  if and only if for every  $\text{Perm}$ -algebra  $P$  the space  $P \otimes A$  equipped with multiplication

$$(p \otimes a)(q \otimes b) = pq \otimes (a > b) + qp \otimes (a < b), \quad p, q \in P, \quad a, b \in A,$$

belongs to the class  $V$ .

In particular, if  $P = \mathbb{k}$  then it follows immediately from the definition that a  $\text{pre } V$ -algebra  $A$  relative to the operation  $(a, b) \mapsto ab$  given by (1.1) is an algebra from  $V$ .

The passage from a variety  $V$  to  $\text{pre } V$  described above is equivalent to the procedure of *splitting* described in [4] in terms of Manin products for operads. The equivalence of these two approaches [6] was proved by means of the notion of a Rota–Baxter operator. This notion is also essential for our study.

**Definition 2.1** (see, e.g., [12]). A linear operator  $R$  defined on an algebra  $A$  over a field  $\mathbb{k}$  is called a Rota-Baxter operator (RB-operator) of weight zero if it satisfies the relation

$$R(x)R(y) = R(R(x)y + xR(y)), \quad x, y \in A.$$

An algebra  $A$  with a Rota-Baxter operator is called a Rota-Baxter algebra (RB-algebra).

A Rota-Baxter operator is a formalization of integration. For example, if  $A$  is an arbitrary algebra over a field of characteristic zero, and  $A[[t]]$  is the algebra of formal power series over  $A$  then the linear map

$$R : A[[t]] \rightarrow A[[t]],$$

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n t^n \mapsto \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{a_n}{n+1} t^{n+1},$$

is a Rota-Baxter operator. Similarly, if we restrict to the subalgebra  $tA[[t]]$  of all series without free term then

$$R : \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n t^n \mapsto \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{a_n}{n} t^{n+1}$$

is also a Rota-Baxter operator.

**Proposition 2.2** ([4]). *Let  $A$  be an algebra from a variety  $V$  equipped with a Rota-Baxter operator  $R : A \rightarrow A$ . Then the same space  $A$  with new operations*

$$a > b = R(a)b, \quad a < b = aR(b),$$

*for  $a, b \in A$ , is a pre  $V$ -algebra denoted  $A_R$ .*

**Example 2.3.** Let  $V = \text{Lie}$  be the class of Lie algebras. Then for every  $(A, <, >) \in \text{pre Lie}$  the skew-symmetry of Lie algebras implies  $a > b = -b < a$  for all  $a, b \in A$ . Hence, one operation is enough to describe the structure of a pre-Lie algebra. It follows from the Jacobi identity that the operation  $>$  satisfies the identity

$$(x_1 > x_2) > x_3 - (x_2 > x_1) > x_3 - x_1 > (x_2 > x_3) + x_2 > (x_1 > x_3)$$

i.e., is left-symmetric, and the operation  $<$  satisfies the opposite right-symmetric identity.

**Example 2.4.** Let  $V = \text{Com}$  be the class of associative and commutative algebras. Then for every  $(Z, <, >) \in \text{pre Com}$  the commutativity implies  $a > b = b < a$  for all  $a, b \in Z$ . Again, one operation is enough to describe the structure of a pre-commutative algebra. It follows from the associativity of  $P \otimes Z$ ,  $P \in \text{Perm}$  that the operation  $>$  satisfies the identity (1.2):

$$(x_1 > x_2) > x_3 + (x_2 > x_1) > x_3 - x_1 > (x_2 > x_3),$$

and the operation  $<$  satisfies the opposite one.

**Definition 2.5** ([3]). An algebra  $Z$  with one binary operation is said to be a Zinbiel algebra if

$$a(bc) = (ab)c + (ba)c.$$

for all  $a, b, c \in Z$ .

Hence, a Zinbiel algebra is the same as a pre-commutative algebra in terms of the operation  $>$ . Similarly, the class of all pre-associative algebras coincides with the variety of dendri-form algebras defined in [3].

As it was mentioned above, every pre-associative algebra  $Z$  turns into an associative and commutative algebra  $Z^{(+)}$  with respect to anti-commutator.

**Example 2.6.** Let  $X$  be a nonempty set,  $X^*$  be the set of all (associative) words in the alphabet  $X$  (excluding the empty word), and let  $F = \mathbb{k}X^*$  be the formal linear span of  $X^*$  (this is the semigroup algebra of the free semigroup generated by  $X$ ). Define a product on  $F$  as follows:

$$(x_1 \dots x_n)(y_1 \dots y_{m+1}) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_{n,m}} \sigma(x_1 \dots x_n y_1 \dots y_m) y_{m+1}, \quad x_i, y_j \in X,$$

where  $S_{n,m} \subset S_{n+m}$  is the set of all  $(n, m)$ -shuffle permutations from the symmetric group  $S_{n+m}$ , and  $\sigma(u)$ ,  $u \in X^{n+m}$ , stands for the word obtained by corresponding permutation of letters.

Then  $F$  is a pre-commutative algebra, the corresponding  $F^{(+)}$  is the well-known *shuffle algebra* structure on the tensor algebra of the space  $\mathbb{k}X$ .

The algebra from Example 2.6 is the free pre-commutative algebra generated by a set  $X$  [3], its basis consists of right-normed monomials

$$(\dots((x_1 x_2) x_3) \dots x_n) x_{n+1}, \quad x_i \in X, \quad i \geq 0. \quad (2.1)$$

The purpose of this paper was to determine if the nilpotence of a commutative algebra  $A$  is sufficient for  $A$  to be embeddable into an appropriate pre-commutative algebra. As a result, we obtain a more general sufficient condition, but start with the trivial case when  $A$  has zero multiplication. In this case, it is possible to compute an analogue of the PBW-basis of  $U_{(+)}(A)$  by means of the Gröbner–Shirshov bases technique for non-associative algebras.

### 3. COMPOSITION–DIAMOND LEMMA FOR NON-ASSOCIATIVE ALGEBRAS

The Gröbner–Shirshov bases method for nonassociative algebras goes back to the paper by A. Kurosh [13], it is closely related with the general Knuth–Bendix algorithm. An essential advance in this technique for Lie algebras was obtained by A. Shirshov [14], for associative and commutative algebras the Gröbner bases technique is widely used after [15].

In this section, we recall the basics of the Gröbner–Shirshov bases method for non-associative algebras according to [16, Section 5].

Let  $\mathbb{k}$  be a field,  $X$  be a nonempty set, and let  $M\langle X \rangle$  stand for the free non-associative algebra generated by  $X$ . Suppose the set  $X$  is equipped with a well order  $\leq$ , and let  $X^{**}$  denote the set of all non-associative words in the alphabet  $X$  (excluding the empty word). The set  $X^{**}$  is a linear basis of  $M\langle X \rangle$ , it inherits the order  $\leq$  on  $X$  in a way described below.

For any  $u \in X^{**}$ , denote by  $|u|$  the length of  $u$ . Define the *weight*  $\text{wt}(u)$  of  $u \in X^{**}$  as follows: for  $u = x \in X$  put  $\text{wt}(u) = (1, x) \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \times X$ , for  $u = (u_1 u_2)$ , put  $\text{wt}(u) = (|u|, u_2, u_1) \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \times X^{**} \times X^{**}$ . Extend the initial order  $\leq$  on  $X$  to the order on  $X^{**}$  by induction on the length:

$$u \leq v \iff \text{wt}(u) \leq \text{wt}(v) \quad (3.1)$$

lexicographically. That is, if  $|u| < |v|$  then  $u < v$ , if  $|u| = |v| = 1$  then this is just the order on  $X$ , if  $|u| = |v| = l > 1$  then we present both  $u = (u_1 u_2)$ ,  $v = (v_1 v_2)$ , where  $|u_i|, |v_i| < l$ , and then compare the factors, for which the order is already defined by induction. This is a monomial order, i.e.,

$$u \leq v \implies wu \leq wv, \quad uw \leq vw,$$

for all  $u, v, w \in X^{**}$ .

Every  $0 \neq f \in M\langle X \rangle$  may be presented as  $f = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i u_i$ , where each  $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{k}$ ,  $\alpha_i \neq 0$ ,  $u_i \in X^{**}$ , and  $u_1 > u_2 > \dots > u_n$ . The leading monomial  $\bar{f}$  of  $f \neq 0$  is then  $u_1$ . If  $\alpha_1 = 1$ , then  $f$  is said to be a monic polynomial.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $f, g \in M\langle X \rangle$  be monic polynomials. Assume there exists a word  $u \in (X \cup \{\star\})^{**}$  (where  $\star$  is a formal new letter not in  $X$ ) such that  $w = \bar{f}$  is obtained from  $u$  by replacing  $\star$  with  $\bar{g}$ , i.e.,  $\bar{f} = u|_{\star=\bar{g}}$ . Then the polynomial  $(f, g)_u = f - u|_{\star=\bar{g}}$  is called

a *composition of inclusion* of  $f$  and  $g$  with respect to  $w$ . The word  $w$  as above is called an ambiguity.

Let  $S \subseteq M\langle X \rangle$  be a nonempty set of monic polynomials relative to a monomial order  $\leq$  on  $X^{**}$ . A polynomial  $h \in M\langle X \rangle$  is said to be *trivial modulo*  $(S, w)$ , where  $w \in X^{**}$  is a fixed word, if there exist a finite number of  $u_i \in (X \cup \{\star\})^{**}$  such that

$$h = \sum_i \alpha_i u_i|_{\star=s_i}, \quad s_i \in S, \alpha_i \in \mathbb{K},$$

where  $u_i|_{\star=s_i} < w$  for all  $i$ . We denote this property of  $h$  as

$$h \equiv 0 \pmod{(S, w)}.$$

**Definition 3.2.** [14, 16, 17] A set  $S$  of monic polynomials from  $M\langle X \rangle$  is called a *Gröbner–Shirshov basis* (GSB) if for every  $f, g \in S$  we have  $(f, g)_u \equiv 0 \pmod{(S, \bar{f})}$  provided that such a composition exists. In other words, all compositions of elements from  $S$  are *trivial*.

**Theorem 3.3** (Composition–Diamond Lemma for non-associative algebras, [16]). *Let  $X^{**}$  be equipped with a well monomial order  $\leq$ . For a set  $S \subseteq M\langle X \rangle$  of monic polynomials, the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i)  $S$  is a Gröbner–Shirshov basis in  $M\langle X \rangle$ .
- (ii) If  $f \neq 0$ , belongs to the ideal  $I(S)$  of  $M\langle X \rangle$  generated by  $S$  then  $\bar{f} = u|_{\star=\bar{s}}$  for some  $s \in S$ ,  $u \in (X \cap \{\star\})^{**}$ .
- (iii) The set

$$\text{Irr}(S) = \{a \in X^{**} | a \neq u|_{\star=\bar{s}}, \text{ for neither } s \in S, u \in (X \cap \{\star\})^{**}\}$$

is a linear basis of the algebra  $M\langle X | S \rangle := M\langle X \rangle / I(S)$ .

If a subset  $S$  of  $M\langle X \rangle$  is not a Gröbner–Shirshov basis, then we can add to  $S$  all nontrivial compositions of polynomials from  $S$ , and by continuing this process (maybe infinitely) many times, we eventually obtain a Gröbner–Shirshov basis  $S^{\text{comp}}$ . Such a process is called the Shirshov algorithm.

Let  $X$  be a nonempty set equipped with a well order  $\leq$ . Let us extend this order to a monomial order on  $X^{**}$  as described by (3.1). Then the free pre-commutative algebra  $F = F(X)$  is defined by the following family of relations:

$$a(bc) - (ab)c - (ba)c; \quad a, b, c \in X^{**}. \quad (3.2)$$

The leading monomial is  $a(bc)$  since  $|bc| > |c|$ .

**Theorem 3.4.** *The set of all polynomials (3.2) is a Gröbner–Shirshov basis.*

Hence, the set  $\text{Irr}(S)$  which consists of all right-normed monomials (2.1) is indeed a linear basis of the free pre-commutative algebra  $F = M\langle X | S \rangle$ .

#### 4. UNIVERSAL PRE-COMMUTATIVE ENVELOPES OF COMMUTATIVE ALGEBRAS

Let  $A$  be an associative and commutative algebra. Denote by  $*$  the multiplication in  $A$ . If  $A$  contains a non-zero idempotent  $e = e * e$  then  $A$  cannot be embedded into an algebra of the form  $Z^{(+)}$ , where  $Z$  is a pre-commutative (Zinbiel) algebra. Indeed, if  $\varphi : A \rightarrow Z^{(+)}$  is such an embedding and  $x = \varphi(e)$ , then  $x = \varphi(e) = \varphi(e * e) = 2xx$ . The identity (1.2) implies

$$x(xx) = 2(xx)x = xx,$$

so  $xx = 2xx$  and  $x = 0$ , a contradiction.

Hence, the universal pre-commutative envelope  $U = U_{(+)}(A)$  of a commutative algebra  $A$  does not necessarily contain  $A$  as a subalgebra of  $U^{(+)}$ .

Let us consider the simplest case when  $A$  is an algebra with trivial (zero) multiplication. Even in this case, finding the structure of  $U_{(+)}(A)$  requires certain computations. We will find here an analogue of the Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt basis for the pre-commutative envelope of an algebra  $A$  such that  $A * A = 0$  by means of the GSB method.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $X$  be a basis of an algebra  $A$  such that  $A^2 = 0$ , and let  $\leq$  be a well order on  $X$ . Then the following polynomials form a GSB of the universal enveloping pre-commutative algebra  $U_{(+)}(A)$ :*

- (R1)  $f_{abc} = a(bc) - (ab)c - (ba)c$ ,  $a, b, c \in X^{**}$ ;
- (R2)  $g_{xy} = xy + yx$ ,  $x, y \in X$ ,  $x < y$ ;
- (R2')  $u_x = xx$ ,  $x \in X$ ;
- (R3)  $t_{axy} = (ax)y + (ay)x$ ,  $x, y \in X$ ,  $a \in X^{**}$ ,  $x < y$ , the length of  $a$  is even;
- (R3')  $t_{axx} = (ax)x$ ,  $x \in X$ ,  $a \in X^{**}$ , the length of  $a$  is even.

*Proof.* All compositions among the relations of type (R1) are trivial: they were considered in Theorem 3.4. Hence, we may consider other relations from  $S$  only with words of the form

$$a = [z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m] \in X^{**}, \quad z_i \in X,$$

where  $[\dots]$  denotes left-normed bracketing:  $[z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m] = (((z_1 z_2) z_3) \dots) z_m$ .

First, let us prove that (R3) and (R3') follow from the defining relations (R1), (R2), (R2') of  $U_{(+)}(A)$ . Suppose  $a = [z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m]$  as above, and  $m$  is even. Proceed by induction on  $m \geq 2$ . If  $m = 2$  then

$$\begin{aligned} ag_{xy} &= (z_1 z_2)(xy) + (z_1 z_2)(yx) \\ &= [z_1, z_2, x, y] + [z_1, x, z_2, y] + [x, z_1, z_2, y] + [z_1, z_2, y, x] + [z_1, y, z_2, x] + [y, z_1, z_2, x] \\ &= (ax)y + (ay)x + (g_{z_1 x z_2})y + (g_{z_1 y z_2})x, \end{aligned}$$

so  $(ax)y + (ay)x$  follows from (R1), (R2), and (R2'). Suppose

$$ag_{xy} = [z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m](xy) + [z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m](yx),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} [z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m](xy) &\equiv [x, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m, y] + [z_1, x, z_2, \dots, z_m, y] \\ &\quad + \dots + [z_1, z_2, \dots, x, z_m, y] + [z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m, x, y] \end{aligned}$$

modulo the relations (R1). All terms except the last one form the pairs like

$$[z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{2l}, x, z_{2l+1}, \dots, z_m, y] + [z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{2l}, z_{2l+1}, x, \dots, z_m, y], \quad l = 1, \dots, (m-2)/2,$$

each of them is a corollary of (R1), (R2), and (R2') by induction. Hence,  $a(xy) + a(yx)$  and  $(ax)y + (ay)x$  both belong to the ideal generated by (R1), (R2), and (R2').

Relations of the form (R3') are proved similarly.

Now denote by  $S$  the set of polynomials in the statement and prove that all their compositions are trivial.

The only potentially nontrivial compositions  $(f, g)_u$  of inclusion are the following:

- (C1–2)  $f = f_{axy}$ ,  $g = g_{xy}$ ,  $u = (a\star)$ ,  $a \in X^{**}$  is left-normed;
- (C1–2')  $f = f_{axx}$ ,  $g = u_x$ ,  $u = (a\star)$ ,  $a \in X^{**}$  is left-normed;
- (C1–3)  $f = f_{a(bx)y}$ ,  $g = t_{bxy}$ ,  $u = (a\star)$ ,  $a, b \in X^{**}$  are left-normed;
- (C1–3')  $f = f_{a(bx)x}$ ,  $g = t_{bxx}$ ,  $u = (a\star)$ ,  $a, b \in X^{**}$  are left-normed.

Consider the composition (C1–2):

$$\begin{aligned}(f, g)_u &= f_{axy} - ag_{xy} = a(xy) - (ax)y - (xa)y - a(xy) - a(yx) \\ &= -(ax)y - (xa)y - (ay)x - (ya)x.\end{aligned}$$

Here  $a = [z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m]$ .

Assume  $m$  is an even number. Then

$$\begin{aligned}f_{axy} - ag_{xy} &= -(xa)y - (ya)x - t_{axy} = -(x[z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m])y - (y[z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m])x - t_{axy} \\ &\equiv - \sum_{\sigma \in S_{1, m-1}} (\sigma([x, z_1, \dots, z_{m-1}, z_m])y + \sigma([y, z_1, \dots, z_{m-1}, z_m])x) \\ &= -[g_{x, z_1}, z_2, \dots, z_m, y] - \sum_{l=1}^{\frac{m-2}{2}} [z_1, \dots, z_{2l}, x, z_{2l+1}, \dots, z_m, y] - [z_1, \dots, z_{2l}, z_{2l+1}, x, z_{2l+2}, \dots, z_m, y] \\ &\quad - [g_{y, z_1}, z_2, \dots, z_m, y] - \sum_{l=1}^{\frac{m-2}{2}} [z_1, \dots, z_{2l}, y, z_{2l+1}, \dots, z_m, x] - [z_1, \dots, z_{2l}, z_{2l+1}, y, z_{2l+2}, \dots, z_m, x] \\ &\equiv - \sum_{l=1}^{\frac{m-2}{2}} [((a_{2l}x)z_{2l+1} + (a_{2l}z_{2l+1})x), z_{2l+2}, \dots, z_m, y] - \sum_{l=1}^{\frac{m-2}{2}} [((a_{2l}y)z_{2l+1} + (a_{2l}z_{2l+1})y), z_{2l+2}, \dots, z_m, x] \\ &= - \sum_{l=1}^{\frac{m-2}{2}} [t_{a_{2l}x, z_{2l+1}}, z_{2l+2}, \dots, z_m, y] - \sum_{l=1}^{\frac{m-2}{2}} [t_{a_{2l}y, z_{2l+1}}, z_{2l+2}, \dots, z_m, x] \equiv 0 \pmod{S, a(xy)}.\end{aligned}$$

Here  $a_{2l} = [z_1, \dots, z_{2l}]$  is a left-normed word of even length.

Now assume  $m$  is an odd number. Then, similarly, rewrite the composition into left-normed form to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}f_{axy} - ag_{xy} &= -[z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m, x, y] - [z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m, y, x] - (x[z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m])y - (y[z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m])x \\ &\equiv -[z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m, x, y] - [x, z_1, \dots, z_m, y] - \sum_{l=1}^{m-1} [a_l, x, z_{l+1}, \dots, z_m, y] \\ &\quad - [z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m, y, x] - [y, z_1, \dots, z_m, x] - \sum_{l=1}^{m-1} [a_l, y, z_{l+1}, \dots, z_m, x] \\ &\equiv - \sum_{l=2}^m [a_l, x, z_{l+1}, \dots, z_m, y] - \sum_{l=2}^m [a_l, y, z_{l+1}, \dots, z_m, x] \\ &= - \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{m-1}{2}} [a_{2i}, x, z_{2i+1}, \dots, z_m, y] - [a_{2i}, z_{2i+1}, x, z_{2i+2}, \dots, z_m, y] \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{m-1}{2}} [a_{2i}, y, z_{2i+1}, \dots, z_m, x] - [a_{2i}, z_{2i+1}, y, z_{2i+2}, \dots, z_m, x] \\ &= - \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{m-1}{2}} ([t_{a_{2i}x, z_{2i+1}}, z_{2i+2}, \dots, z_m, y] + [t_{a_{2i}y, z_{2i+1}}, z_{2i+2}, \dots, z_m, x]) \equiv 0 \pmod{S, a(xy)}.\end{aligned}$$

Here, as above,  $a_l = [z_1, \dots, z_l]$ .

Therefore, all compositions of type (C1–2) are trivial. For (C1–2'), the same computations show triviality of such compositions.

To complete the proof, we need the following

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $a = [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m]$ ,  $b = [y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k] \in X^{**}$ ,  $m \geq 1$  is odd and  $k \geq 2$  is even. Then there exist  $u_i \in X^{**}$ ,  $s_i \in S$ ,  $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{k}$  such that*

$$ab = \sum_i \alpha_i u_i|_{\star=s_i},$$

where  $u_i|_{\star=s_i} \leq ab$  for all  $i$ .

We will say  $ab$  is trivial if such a presentation exists.

*Proof.* For  $m = 1$ ,  $k = 2$  we have

$$ab = x_1(y_1y_2) = f_{x_1y_1y_2} + g_{x_1y_1}y_2.$$

Assume  $k > 2$  and the statement is true for  $m = 1$  and for all words shorter than  $k$ . Then present  $b = [b_{k-2}, y_{k-1}, y_k]$  and write

$$\begin{aligned} ab &= x_1((b_{k-2}y_{k-1})y_k) \equiv [x_1, b_{k-2}, y_{k-1}, y_k] + [b_{k-2}, x_1, y_{k-1}, y_k] + [b_{k-2}, y_{k-1}, x_1, y_k] \\ &= [(x_1b_{k-2}), y_{k-1}, y_k] + ((b_{k-2}x_1)y_{k-1} - (b_{k-2}y_{k-1})x_1)y_k. \end{aligned}$$

Hereinafter  $\equiv$  means the reduction by means of the relations  $f_{abc}$ . The first summand in the right-hand side is trivial by induction, the second one is equal to  $t_{b_{k-2}x_1y_{k-1}}y_k$ , so it is also trivial.

Next, assume  $m > 1$  and  $k = 2$ . Then present  $a = [a_{m-2}, x_{m-1}, x_m]$ ,  $a_{m-2}$  is of odd length, and write

$$\begin{aligned} ab &= [a_{m-2}, x_{m-1}, x_m](y_1y_2) \\ &\equiv [(a_{m-2}x_{m-1}), x_m, y_1, y_2] + [(a_{m-2}x_{m-1}), y_1, x_m, y_2] + [y_1, (a_{m-2}x_{m-1}), x_m, y_2] \\ &= t_{(a_{m-2}x_{m-1}), x_m, y_1} + [(y_1(a_{m-2}x_{m-1})), x_m, y_2] \end{aligned}$$

The second summand is trivial by induction (the case  $m = 1$ ), hence, the entire expression is trivial.

Finally, assume  $m > 1$ ,  $k > 2$ , and the lemma is true for all words  $a$ ,  $b$  such that either  $a$  shorter than  $m$  or for  $b$  shorter than  $k$ . Then present  $a = a_{m-1}x_m$ , where  $a_{m-1}$  is of even length,  $b = [y_1, \dots, y_k]$ , calculate  $(a_{m-1}x_m)[y_1, \dots, y_k]$ , and re-arrange the summands to get

$$\begin{aligned} ab &= (a_{m-1}x_m)[y_1, \dots, y_k] \equiv \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{i=j}^k [y_1, \dots, y_{j-1}, a_{m-1}, y_j, \dots, y_{i-1}, x_m, y_i, \dots, y_k] \\ &= \sum_{p=0}^{k/2-1} \sum_{i=2p+1}^k [y_1, \dots, y_{2p}, a_{m-1}, y_{2p+1}, \dots, y_{i-1}, x_m, y_i, \dots, y_k] \\ &\quad + \sum_{p=0}^{k/2-1} \sum_{i=2p+2}^k [y_1, \dots, y_{2p}, y_{2p+1}, a_{m-1}, y_{2p+2}, \dots, y_{i-1}, x_m, y_i, \dots, y_k]. \quad (4.1) \end{aligned}$$



The first group of summands in the right-hand side of (4.1) may be presented as

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{p=0}^{k/2-1} [u_{p,2p}, x_m, y_{2p+1}, \dots, y_k] + [u_{p,2p}, y_{2p+1}, x_m, y_{2p+2}, \dots, y_k] \\
& \quad + [u_{p,2p+2}, x_m, y_{2p+3}, \dots, y_k] + [u_{p,2p+2}, y_{2p+3}, x_m, y_{2p+4}, \dots, y_k] + \dots \\
& \quad + \dots + [u_{p,k-2}, x_m, y_{k-1}, y_k] + [u_{p,k-2}, y_{k-1}, x_m, y_k] \\
& = [t_{[u_{p,2p}, x_m, y_{2p+1}, y_{2p+2}, \dots, y_k]} + t_{[u_{p,2p+2}, x_m, y_{2p+3}, y_{2p+4}, \dots, y_k]} + \dots + t_{[u_{p,k-2}, x_m, y_{k-1}, y_k]}] \equiv 0 \pmod{S, ab},
\end{aligned}$$

where  $u_{p,i} = [y_1, \dots, y_{2p}, a_{m-1}, y_{2p+1}, \dots, y_i]$ . All summands in the second group contain factors of the form

$$[y_1, \dots, y_{2p+1}]a_{m-1}$$

which are trivial by induction (the length of  $a_{m-1}$  is even).  $\square$

Proceed to the compositions of type (C1–3). Consider  $f_{a(bx)y}, t_{bxy} \in S$ , the length of  $b$  is even. Then for  $w = (a\star)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(f_{a(bx)y}, t_{bxy})_w &= f_{a(bx)y} - at_{bxy} = a((bx)y) - (a(bx))y - ((bx)a)y - a((bx)y) - a((by)x) \\
&= -((ab)x)y - ((ba)x)y - ((bx)a)y - ((ab)y)x - ((ba)y)x - ((by)a)x
\end{aligned}$$

Suppose  $m$  is even. Then both  $ab, ba$  are linear combinations of words which have even length. If  $ab + ba = \sum_{j \geq 0} \alpha_j u_j$ ,  $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{k}$ , then

$$((ab)x)y + ((ba)x)y + ((ab)y)x + ((ba)y)x \equiv \sum_{j \geq 0} \alpha_j t_{u_j, xy} \equiv 0 \pmod{S, a((bx)y)}.$$

The remaining terms in the composition are  $((by)a)x + ((bx)a)y$ . They both contain factors  $(bx)a$  or  $(by)a$  that are trivial by Lemma 4.2.

Suppose  $m$  is an odd number. Then, modulo Lemma 4.2, the remaining terms of the composition are

$$h = [b, a, x, y] + [b, x, a, y] + [b, a, y, x] + [b, y, a, x].$$

Let us rewrite  $h$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
& [b, a, x, y] + [b, x, a, y] + [x, b, a, y] + [b, a, y, x] + [b, y, a, x] + [y, b, a, x] - [x, b, a, y] - [y, b, a, x] \\
& \equiv (ba)(xy) + (ba)(yx) - [(xb, a, y] - [(yb), a, x] = (ba)u_{xy} - [(xb, a, y] - [(yb), a, x].
\end{aligned}$$

All summands are trivial by Lemma 4.2, and all monomials here are smaller than  $a((bx)y)$  since  $b$  is a non-empty word.

In a similar way, the composition (C1–3') is also trivial.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.3.** *If  $A$  is a linear space with an ordered basis  $X$  then the set*

$$\{[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n] \mid x_i \in X, n \geq 1, x_1 > x_2, x_3 > x_4, \dots\}$$

*(with no restrictions on  $x_n$  if  $n$  is odd) is a linear basis of the algebra  $U_{(+)}(A)$  if  $A$  is considered as an algebra with zero multiplication.*

In other words, the universal pre-commutative envelope of a trivial algebra  $A$  is isomorphic as a linear space to

$$T(A \wedge A) \otimes (A \oplus A \wedge A),$$

where  $T(A \wedge A)$  is the tensor algebra of the space  $A \wedge A$ .

The following example shows that structure of  $U_{(+)}(A)$  essentially depends on the multiplication in  $A$  even if  $A^n = 0$  for some  $n \geq 3$ .

**Example 4.4.** Suppose  $A$  is a commutative nilpotent algebra with a multiplication  $*$ , and let  $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$  be a basis of  $A$  such that  $x_i * x_j = x_{i+j}$  or zero, if  $i + j > n$ . Namely,  $A \simeq t\mathbb{k}[t]/(t^{n+1})$ ,  $x_i = t^i + (t^{n+1})$ . Then the defining relations of  $U_{(+)}(A)$  are

- (1)  $a(bc) = (ab)c + (ba)c$ ,  $a, b, c \in X^{**}$ ;
- (2)  $x_i x_j + x_j x_i = x_{i+j}$ ,  $i + j \leq n$ ,  $x_i, x_j \in X$ ;
- (3)  $x_i x_j + x_j x_i = 0$ ,  $i + j > n$ ,  $x_i, x_j \in X$ .

In order to get a GSB, we have to add the following nonassociative polynomials:

$$x_i x_j = \frac{j}{i+j} x_{i+j}, \quad i + j \leq n, \quad x_i, x_j \in X;$$

$$x_i x_j = 0, \quad i + j > n, \quad x_i, x_j \in X.$$

Indeed, since  $x_i(x_1 x_1) = \frac{1}{2} x_i x_2$  and  $x_i(x_1 x_1) = (x_i x_1 + x_1 x_i) x_1 = x_{i+1} x_1$ , we have  $x_i x_2 = 2x_{i+1} x_1$ . By induction on  $j \geq 2$ , assume that  $x_i x_j = j x_{i+j-1} x_1$  for all  $i$ , then

$$x_i x_{j+1} = x_i(x_j x_1 + x_1 x_j) = x_{i+j} x_1 + x_{i+1} x_j = x_{i+j} x_1 + j x_{i+j} x_1 = (j+1) x_{i+j} x_1.$$

Next,

$$x_{i+j} = x_i x_j + x_j x_i = j x_{i+j-1} x_1 + i x_{i+j-1} x_1 = (i+j) x_{i+j-1} x_1.$$

Therefore,  $x_i x_j = j x_{i+j-1} x_1 = \frac{j}{i+j} x_{i+j}$  for all  $i, j$  such that  $i + j \leq n$ .

Finally, suppose  $i + j > n$  and  $j + 1 \leq n$ , then

$$x_i(x_j x_1) = \frac{1}{j+1} x_i x_{j+1}, \quad x_i(x_j x_1) = (x_i x_j + x_j x_i) x_1 = 0$$

Hence,  $x_i x_{j+1} = x_{j+1} x_i = 0$ . Similarly, it can be obtained that  $x_i x_n = x_n x_i = 0$  for  $i + 1 < n$ .

Therefore, in this particular case we have  $U_{(+)}(A)^{(+)} \simeq A$  in contrast to the case when  $A$  has zero multiplication.

## 5. EMBEDDING OF NILPOTENT ALGEBRAS INTO ZINBIEL ALGEBRAS

The main purpose of this section is to prove that a nilpotent commutative algebra embeds into its universal enveloping Zinbiel algebra although there is no PBW-property.

Let us say that an algebra  $A$  has a positive filtration if there is a descending chain of subspaces

$$A = F^1 A \supset F^2 A \supset \dots \supset F^n A \supset F^{n+1} A \supset \dots$$

such that  $F^i A \cdot F^j A \subseteq F^{i+j} A$  and  $\bigcap_{n \geq 1} F^n A = 0$ .

For example, if  $A$  is a nilpotent algebra then such a filtration exists:  $F^i A = A^i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$

For every algebra with a positive filtration one may construct its associated graded algebra in the ordinary way:

$$\text{gr } A = \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} F^n A / F^{n+1} A, \quad (a + F^{i+1} A)(b + F^{j+1} A) = ab + F^{i+j+1} A,$$

for  $a \in F^i A$ ,  $b \in F^j A$ . The linear space  $A$  is naturally isomorphic to the space  $\text{gr } A$ . If the isomorphism preserves multiplication then we say the filtered algebra  $A$  is graded.

**Theorem 5.1.** *For every commutative algebra  $A$  with a positive filtration there exists a Zinbiel algebra  $B$  such that  $A$  is a subalgebra of  $B^{(+)}$ .*

*Proof.* First, choose a basis  $X$  of the space  $A$  agreed with the filtration, i.e.,

$$X = X_1 \cup X_2 \cup \dots,$$

where  $\bigcup_{i \geq k} X_i$  is a basis of  $F^k A$  for  $k \geq 1$ . Denote by  $*$  the multiplication in  $A$ . If  $x \in X_k$  and  $y \in X_m$  then  $x * y$  belongs to the linear span of  $X_{k+m} \cup X_{k+m+1} \cup \dots$ , so there is a unique (finite) presentation

$$x * y = (x * y)_{k+m} + (x * y)_{k+m+1} + \dots,$$

where  $(x * y)_i$  is in the linear span of  $X_i$ .

Next, consider the set

$$\hat{X} = \{x_i^{(k)} \mid x \in X_k, k \geq 1, i \geq k\}$$

and construct the polynomial algebra  $\mathbb{k}[\hat{X}]$ . This algebra is graded: the degree function of a monomial is given by

$$\deg x_{i_1}^{(k_1)} x_{i_2}^{(k_2)} \dots x_{i_m}^{(k_m)} = i_1 + \dots + i_m. \quad (5.1)$$

Consider the set  $\hat{S}$  of the following elements in  $\mathbb{k}[\hat{X}]$ :

$$s_l(x, y) = \sum_{i+j=l} x_i^{(k)} y_j^{(m)} - \sum_{p=m+k}^l (x * y)_l^{(p)}$$

where  $x \in X_k, y \in X_m, k, m \geq 1, l \geq k + m$ .

Since all polynomials in  $\hat{S}$  are homogeneous relative to the degree function (5.1), the algebra  $\hat{A} = \mathbb{k}[\hat{X}]/(\hat{S})$  inherits the grading:

$$\hat{A} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \hat{A}_n,$$

where  $\hat{A}_n$  is spanned by the images of all monomials  $u$  such that  $\deg u = n$ .

It was shown in [18] that, in noncommutative setting, the set  $\hat{S}$  is a Gröbner basis in  $\mathbb{k}[\hat{X}]$  relative to a certain ordering of monomials. In the commutative case, the same statement remains valid. In particular, every linear linear form (a nontrivial linear combination of elements from  $X$ ) is nonzero in  $\hat{A}$ .

Finally, consider the algebra of formal power series (without constant terms)  $t\hat{A}[[t]]$  equipped with the following Rota–Baxter operator:

$$R : \sum_{n \geq 1} f_n t^n \mapsto \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n} f_n t^n, \quad f_n \in \hat{A}. \quad (5.2)$$

Define the linear mapping

$$\varphi : A \rightarrow t\hat{A}[[t]]$$

as follows: for  $x \in X_k$ , let

$$\varphi(x) = \sum_{i \geq k} i x_i^{(k)} t^i.$$

This is an injective map since the set  $\hat{X}$  is linearly independent in  $\hat{A}$ .

The commutative algebra  $t\hat{A}[[t]]$  equipped with the Rota–Baxter operator (5.2) is a Zinbiel algebra:  $B = t\hat{A}[[t]]_R$ . It is straightforward to check that  $\varphi$  is a homomorphism of algebras. Indeed, let  $x \in X_k, y \in X_m$ , then

$$R(\varphi(x))\varphi(y) = \sum_{i \geq k} x_i^{(k)} t^i \sum_{j \geq m} j y_j^{(m)} t^j = \sum_{l \geq k+m} \sum_{i+j=l} j x_i^{(k)} y_j^{(m)} t^l,$$

and, similarly,

$$\varphi(x)R(\varphi(y)) = \sum_{l \geq k+m} \sum_{i+j=l} i x_i^{(k)} y_j^{(m)} t^l,$$

so

$$R(\varphi(x))\varphi(y) + \varphi(x)R(\varphi(y)) = \sum_{l \geq k+m} \sum_{i+j=l} l x_i^{(k)} y_j^{(m)} t^l = \sum_{l \geq k+m} l \left( \sum_{p=k+m}^l (x * y)_l^{(p)} \right) t^l.$$

On the other hand,

$$\varphi(x * y) = \sum_{p \geq k+m} \varphi((x * y)^{(p)}) = \sum_{p \geq k+m} \sum_{l \geq p} l(x * y)_l^{(p)} t^l = \sum_{l \geq k+m} \sum_{p=k+m}^l l(x * y)_l^{(p)} t^l.$$

Hence,  $R(\varphi(x))\varphi(y) + \varphi(x)R(\varphi(y)) = \varphi(x * y)$  as required.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.2.** *Every commutative algebra with positive filtration embeds into its universal enveloping Zinbiel algebra.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $i : A \rightarrow U_{(+)}(A)$  is the canonical homomorphism from  $A$  to its universal enveloping Zinbiel algebra. Then for every Zinbiel algebra  $B$  and for every homomorphism  $\varphi : A \rightarrow B^{(+)}$  there exists a unique homomorphism  $\psi : U_{(+)}(A) \rightarrow B$  of Zinbiel algebras such that  $\psi(i(a)) = \varphi(a)$  for every  $a \in A$ . If  $i$  was not injective then so is  $\varphi$ , but at least one injective  $\varphi$  exists by Theorem 5.1.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.3.** *Suppose  $A$  is a finite-dimensional commutative algebra. Then algebra  $A$  is embedded into a Zinbiel algebra if and only if  $A$  is nilpotent.*

*Proof.* If  $A$  is nilpotent then use Theorem 5.1 applied to the standard positive filtration. Conversely, if  $A$  is not nilpotent then it contains a non-zero idempotent, e.g., lifted from the identity element of  $A/\text{rad}(A)$ . The presence of an idempotent prevents an embedding of  $A$  into a pre-commutative algebra.  $\square$

**Acknowledgments.** The study was supported by a grant from the Russian Science Foundation No. 23-71-10005, <https://rscf.ru/project/23-71-10005/>. The authors are grateful to V. Yu. Gubarev for discussions and useful comments. The second author acknowledges the hospitality of UAEU where an essential part of the work was done.

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. A. Mikhalev, I. P. Shestakov, PBW-pairs of varieties of linear algebras, *Comm. Algebra*, 42(2), 667–687 (2014).
- [2] V. Dotsenko, P. Tamaroff, Endofunctors and Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt theorems, *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, no. 16, 12670–12690 (2021).
- [3] J.-L. Loday, Dialgebras, In: Loday J.-L., Frabetti A., Chapoton F., Goichot F. (Eds), *Dialgebras and related operads*, Springer-Verl., Berlin, 7–66 (2001). (Lectures Notes in Math., vol. 1763).
- [4] C. Bai, O. Bellier, L. Guo, X. Ni, Splitting of operations, Manin products, and Rota–Baxter operators, *Int. Math. Res. Notices*, no. 3, 485–524 (2013).
- [5] V. Gubarev, P. Kolesnikov, Embedding of dendriform algebras into Rota–Baxter algebras, *Cent. Eur. J. Math.*, 11(2), 226–245 (2013).
- [6] V. Gubarev, P. Kolesnikov, Operads of decorated trees and their duals, *Comm. Math. Universitatis Carolinae*, 55(4), 421–445 (2014).
- [7] L. A. Bokut, Y. Chen, Y. Li, Gröbner–Shirshov bases for Vinberg–Koszul–Gerstenhaber right symmetric algebras, *J. Math. Sci. (N. Y.)*, 166(5), 603–612 (2010).
- [8] P. Kolesnikov, Gröbner–Shirshov bases for pre-associative algebras, *Comm. Algebra*, 45(12), 5283–5296 (2017).
- [9] A. Dzhamalidaev, K. Tulenbaev, Nilpotency of Zinbiel algebras, *Journal of Dynamical and Control Systems*, 11(2), 195–213 (2005).
- [10] D. Towers, Zinbiel algebras are nilpotent, *J. Algebra Appl.* 22(8), Paper No. 2350166 (2023).
- [11] F. Chapoton, Un endofoncteur de la catégorie des opérades, In: Loday J.-L., Frabetti A., Chapoton F., Goichot F. (Eds), *Dialgebras and related operads*, Springer-Verl., Berlin, 105–110 (2001). (Lectures Notes in Math., vol. 1763).
- [12] G. Baxter, An analytic problem whose solution follows from a simple algebraic identity, *Pacific J. Math.*, 10, 731–742 (1960).
- [13] A. G. Kurosh, Nonassociative free algebras and free products of algebras, *Mat. Sb., New. Ser.* 20(62), 239–262 (1947).

- [14] A. I. Shirshov, Some algorithmic problem for Lie algebras, *Sibirsk. Mat. Z.*, 3 (1962) 292-296 (in Russian).  
English translation: *SIGSAM Bull.*, 33 (2)(1999), 3-6.
- [15] B. Buchberger, An algorithmical criteria for the solvability of algebraic systems of equations, *Aequat. Math.*, 4, 374–383 (1970).
- [16] L. A. Bokut, Y. Chen, Gröbner–Shirshov bases and their calculation *Bull. Math. Sci.*, 4, 325–395 (2014).
- [17] L. A. Bokut, Imbeddings into simple associative algebras, *Algebra Logika*, 15, 117–142 (1976).
- [18] G. M. Bergman, D. J. Britten, F. W. Lemire, Embedding rings in completed graded rings 3. Algebras over general  $k$ , *Journal of Algebra*, 84(1), 42–61 (1983).

<sup>1)</sup>NOVOSIBIRSK STATE UNIVERSITY, NOVOSIBIRSK, RUSSIA.

<sup>2)</sup>SIBERIAN STATE UNIVERSITY OF TELECOMMUNICATION AND INFORMATICS, NOVOSIBIRSK, RUSSIA.

<sup>3)</sup>NOVOSIBIRSK STATE UNIVERSITY OF ECONOMICS AND MANAGEMENT, NOVOSIBIRSK, RUSSIA.

<sup>4)</sup>SOBOLEV INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, NOVOSIBIRSK, RUSSIA.