# IMPROVE CROSS-MODALITY SEGMENTATION BY TREATING MRI IMAGES AS INVERTED CT SCANS

A PREPRINT

Hartmut Häntze<sup>1,2</sup>, Lina Xu<sup>1</sup>, Leonhard Donle<sup>1</sup>, Felix J. Dorfner<sup>1,3</sup>, Alessa Hering<sup>2</sup>, Lisa C. Adams<sup>4</sup>, and Keno K. Bressem<sup>‡4,5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Radiology, Charité - Universitätsmedizin Berlin corporate member of Freie Universität Berlin and Humboldt Universität zu Berlin, Hindenburgdamm 30, 12203 Berlin, Germany

<sup>2</sup>Diagnostic Image Analysis Group, Radboud University Medical Center, Geert Grooteplein Zuid 10, 6525 GA Nijmegen, the Netherlands

<sup>3</sup>Athinoula A. Martinos Center for Biomedical Imaging, Massachusetts General Hospital and Harvard Medical School, 149 Thirteenth St, Charlestown, MA 02129, USA

<sup>4</sup>Department of Radiology, Klinikum rechts der Isar, Technical University Munich, Ismaninger Str. 22, 81675 Munich <sup>5</sup>German Heart Center Munich, Technical University Munich, Lazarethstr. 36, 80636, Munich

#### 04.05.2024

<sup>†</sup>Corresponding author: bressem@dhm.mhn.de

#### ABSTRACT

Computed tomography (CT) segmentation models frequently include classes that are not currently supported by magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) segmentation models. In this study, we show that a simple image inversion technique can significantly improve the segmentation quality of CT segmentation models on MRI data, by using the TotalSegmentator model, applied to T1-weighted MRI images, as example. Image inversion is straightforward to implement and does not require dedicated graphics processing units (GPUs), thus providing a quick alternative to complex deep modality-transfer models for generating segmentation masks for MRI data.

Keywords MRI, CT, Segmentation, Cross-Modality, Radiology

## 1 Introduction

Segmentation of medical images plays a vital role in many automatic image analysis tools. While segmentation has been well established for computed tomography (CT) scans, with multiple open source models available [1, 2], multi-class segmentation of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), especially outside the brain, is lacking behind. The main reason for this challenge is that training segmentation models requires a large number of annotated images, and the more classes involved, the greater the annotation effort needed. While this problem can be partially alleviated by using augmented CT scans with existing labels for retraining a model [3], implementing and training an augmented model is resource-intensive, time-consuming, and technically challenging. In this short paper, we demonstrate that image augmentation, specifically inversion, can be sufficient to bridge the gap between MRI and CT segmentation performance and CT segmentation model can be used to generate masks for MR images. One key difference between MRI and CT images is that dense tissue, such as bones, appears bright (hyperdense) in CT scans but dark (hypointense) in MRI images. We attempt to minimize this difference by using negatives of MRI images and analyze whether it has an effect on the semantic segmentation performance of models trained solely on CT data.



Figure 1: (a) Unprocessed T1 image, (b) inverted image, (c) inverted + black background

#### 2 Methods

This study was approved by the local ethics committee (EA4/062/20). Due to the retrospective nature of the study, patient consent was waived. We utilized an in-house dataset consisting of 90 T1-weighted and 62 T2-weighted MRI sequences. All scans depict the abdominal region. As preprocessing we clip all intensities to a value range between 0 and 3000 and create negatives within their original intensity range. Then, we set all intensities within the first percentile to zero (Equation 1). This step ensures that the surrounding area around the patient remains black (Figure 1). Although this process may produce some artifacts in the air-filled lungs, it proved to be very stable within the abdominal region. We then run the TotalSegmentator model [2] on both the original MRI images and their inverted versions. To evaluate the results, a radiologist (LX) manually refined 20 labels to create a groundtruth. We compare the model's output to these ground truth labels and calculate the Dice Similarity Coefficient (DSC). Since there is no training step involved in this study, we evaluate the model's performance on the entire dataset.

$$INV(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x \in percentile_1(X) \\ max(X) - x + min(X) & x \text{ else} \end{cases}$$
(1)

#### **3** Results

| Table 1: Averag | ge Dice Similarity C | Coefficient and 95% | Confidence Interval over 20 Classes |
|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Sequence        | Unprocessed          | Inverted            | Inverted (black background)         |
| T1              | 0.06 (0.02, 0.10)    | 0.29 (0.23, 0.35)   | 0.61 (0.53, 0.68)                   |
| T2              | 0.43 (0.32, 0.53)    | 0.03 (0.01, 0.05)   | 0.08 (0.04, 0.12)                   |

Without preprocessing, TotalSegmentator fails to detect any classes in the T1-weighted sequences, with the exception of the colon (DSC=0.40). For T2-weighted sequences, TotalSegmentator can partially segment large organs but struggles to segment blood vessels and muscles. Inverting both T1 and T2 sequences leads to improved segmentation quality for T1-weighted images but decreases the performance for T2-weighted images (Table 1). Setting the background intensity to zero significantly enhances the segmentation quality for T1-weighted sequences. Improvements are observed across all classes, including small vessels and organs such as the adrenal glands (Figure 2).

## 4 Discussion

We demonstrate that image inversion can substantially improve the segmentation quality of models trained on CT data for T1-weighted MRI images. This approach can be used to quickly obtain initial segmentations that can then be refined. Given sufficient MRI sequences it may even be enough to train an nnUnet without any new or little annotation effort [4]. The results emphasize the importance of color gradients for the TotalSegmentator model. In particular, the contrast between the patient and the background appears to be crucial. Consistently setting the background in an inverted image to black improved the segmentation of all classes, even for organs located in the center of the body. Although the results were promising for T1-weighted images, we could not demonstrate improvements for T2-weighted images. This is likely due to the increased intensity of water in T2 images, which causes most organs to appear brighter than surrounding tissue. Extending the preprocessing pipeline with other image augmentations, such as histogram equalization, might make MRI images appear more similar to CT scans and further improve segmentation results.



Figure 2: (a) Mean Dice of segmentation with TotalSegmentator for original and inverted T1-weighted MRI images. Segmentation before (b) and after (c) inversion.

More sophisticated modality-transfer methods could potentially increase generalizability, however, implementing these complex models can be challenging and may be disproportionate for small-scale projects. For certain sequences, image inversion alone can be sufficient to achieve satisfactory results.

### Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the Scientific Computing of the IT Division at the Charité - Universitätsmedizin Berlin for providing computational resources that have contributed to the research results reported in this paper (https://www.charite.de/en/research/research\_support\_services/research\_infrastructure/science\_it/#c30646061). We acknowledge financial support from the Wilhelm Sander Foundation.

## References

- [1] Johannes Hofmanninger, Florian Prayer, Jeanny Pan, Sebastian Röhrich, Helmut Prosch, and Georg Langs. Automatic lung segmentation in routine imaging is primarily a data diversity problem, not a methodology problem. *European Radiology Experimental*, 2020. doi:10.1186/s41747-020-00173-2. URL https://doi.org/10.1186/ s41747-020-00173-2.
- [2] Jakob Wasserthal, Manfred Meyer, Hanns-Christian Breit, Joshy Cyriac, Shan Yang, and Martin Segeroth. Totalsegmentator: robust segmentation of 104 anatomical structures in CT images. *Radiology: Artificial Intelligence*, 2023. doi:10.1148/ryai.230024. URL https://doi.org/10.1148/ryai.230024.
- [3] Kai Geißler, Daniel Mensing, Markus Wenzel, Jochen G. Hirsch, and Stefan Heldmann. Towards TotalSegmentator for MRI data leveraging GIN data augmentation. In Olivier Colliot and Jhimli Mitra, editors, *Medical Imaging 2024: Image Processing*, page 1292604. International Society for Optics and Photonics, SPIE, 2024. doi:10.1117/12.3006351. URL https://doi.org/10.1117/12.3006351.
- [4] Lena Philipp, Maarten de Rooij, John Hermans, Matthieu Rutten, Horst Karl Hahn, Bram van Ginneken, and Alessa Hering. Annotation-efficient strategy for segmentation of 3D body composition. In *Submitted to Medical Imaging with Deep Learning*, 2024. URL https://openreview.net/forum?id=0zhonBbVAn.