

# Holographic Dual of Crosscap Conformal Field Theory

Zixia Wei

*Jefferson Physical Laboratory, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA*

## Abstract

We propose a holographic dual for 2D CFT defined on closed non-orientable manifolds, such as the real projective plane  $\mathbb{RP}^2$  and the Klein bottle  $\mathbb{K}^2$ . Such CFT can be constructed by introducing antipodally identified cuttings, i.e. crosscaps, to a sphere and hence called crosscap CFT (XCFT). The gravity dual is  $\text{AdS}_3$  spacetime with  $\text{dS}_2$  end-of-the-world branes. In particular, the Lorentzian spacetime with a global  $\text{dS}_2$  brane is dual to the unitary time evolution of a crosscap state in CFT, post-selected on the CFT ground state. We compute the holographic  $\mathbb{RP}^2$  partition function (or the  $p$ -function), one-point function, and  $\mathbb{K}^2$  partition function, and see that they successfully reproduce the XCFT results. We also show a holographic  $p$ -theorem as an application.

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>General Construction and Gravity Dual of <math>\mathbb{RP}^2</math> XCFT</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Partition Function, Crosscap Entropy and One-point Function</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Lorentzian Signature from Wick Rotation</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Holographic XCFT on <math>\mathbb{K}^2</math></b>	<b>11</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Holographic <math>p</math>-theorem</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>Conclusions and Discussions</b>	<b>14</b>

## 1 Introduction

As the most well-studied example of the holographic principle [1, 2], the AdS/CFT correspondence has played a central role in our understanding of AdS quantum gravity in a non-perturbative way [3]. In this paper, we construct a minimal model for describing the  $\text{AdS}_3$  dual of 2D CFT defined on non-orientable manifolds and find that a structure of  $\text{dS}_2$  vacuum naturally appears in this construction. Being a standard holographic CFT, the boundary theory is unitary and local and has a standard Hilbert space and a canonical time evolution.

The most simple 2D non-orientable manifold is the real projective plane  $\mathbb{RP}^2$ , which is defined by identifying the antipodal points on a sphere  $S^2$ . Topologically, this is equivalent to starting from a hemisphere or a disk and then identifying the antipodal points on the boundary as sketched in Fig.1. The structure obtained by identifying the antipodal points on the boundary is called a crosscap. Since any 2D non-orientable manifold can be constructed by introducing crosscaps to an orientable manifold, we may call the CFT defined on such manifolds the crosscap CFT (XCFT). Historically, XCFT has been extensively studied in the context of string world sheet theory with orientifolds [4–6]. We will be mainly focusing on holographic XCFT defined on  $\mathbb{RP}^2$  and the Klein bottle  $\mathbb{K}^2$ , which are topologically equivalent to inserting one and two crosscaps into a sphere, respectively.




Figure 1: The real projective plane  $\mathbb{RP}^2$  is defined by imposing an antipodal identification to a sphere  $S^2$ . This is equivalent to identifying the antipodal points on the boundary of a hemisphere, i.e. inserting a crosscap denoted as  $\otimes$ . The state introduced by the crosscap is denoted as  $|C\rangle$ .

In our construction of holographic duals of  $\text{XCFT}_2$ , a dynamical end-of-the-world brane which is locally  $\text{dS}_2$  is introduced and plays the most central role throughout the results presented in this paper. This makes a major distinction from previous discussions on the holographic dual of crosscaps and non-orientable CFTs including [7–17].

In the following, we start by presenting the holographic dual of  $\text{XCFT}$  defined on  $\mathbb{RP}^2$  and writing down the action of our minimal construction. We will compute the holographic partition function and 1-point functions and see their matching with standard  $\mathbb{RP}^2$   $\text{XCFT}$  results. We then move on to the Lorentzian counterpart and explain how a  $\text{dS}_2$  vacuum is described by a conformal crosscap state under unitary time evolution and post-selected on the CFT ground state. We will also point out an intriguing relation between the conformal crosscap state and the Type  $\text{II}_1$  von Neumann algebra. As a slightly more complicated example, we will analyze the holographic dual of  $\mathbb{K}^2$  and show that the holographic partition function matches known universal results in the  $\text{XCFT}$  and shows a first order phase transition analogous to the Hawking-Page transition. We will end up with an application of our  $\text{AdS/XCFT}$  correspondence by showing a holographic  $p$ -theorem, which states that there exists a holographic  $p$ -function which monotonically decreases under the holographic renormalization group (RG) flow.

## 2 General Construction and Gravity Dual of $\mathbb{RP}^2$ XCFT

Let us start by considering a holographic CFT on a unit  $S^2$  parameterized by  $\theta \in [-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}]$  and  $\phi \in [0, 2\pi)$  with  $\phi \sim \phi + 2\pi$ . The gravity dual is given by the global  $\text{AdS}_3$  with metric

$$ds^2 = d\eta^2 + \sinh^2\eta (d\theta^2 + \cos^2\theta d\phi^2). \quad (1)$$

For simplicity, we set the AdS radius to 1 throughout this paper. Let us then impose the antipodal identification  $(\theta, \phi) \sim (-\theta, \phi + \pi)$  to squash the boundary manifold  $S^2$  into an  $\mathbb{RP}^2$ . If we were to directly extend this identification into the bulk, then a fixed point would appear at  $\eta = 0$  and turn out to be a singularity. Although this bulk geometry can recover certain features of XCFT on  $\mathbb{RP}^2$  [10, 12, 14], it is globally not a solution of the bulk Einstein equation and hence incompatible with the standard dictionary of AdS/CFT [18–21].

In order to resolve this singularity, we propose a minimal model by introducing an end-of-the-world brane  $Q$  in the bulk  $\mathcal{M}$ . For a holographic CFT defined on a closed manifold  $\Sigma$ , we consider the dual bulk (Euclidean) action given by

$$I = -\frac{1}{16\pi G_N} \int_{\mathcal{M}} \sqrt{g} (R - 2\Lambda) - \frac{1}{8\pi G_N} \int_Q \sqrt{h} (K - T) - \frac{1}{8\pi G_N} \int_{\Sigma} \sqrt{\gamma} B, \quad (2)$$

where the three terms are the Einstein-Hilbert term in  $\mathcal{M}$ , the Gibbons-Hawking term on the brane  $Q$ , and the Gibbons-Hawking term on the asymptotic boundary  $\Sigma$ , respectively.  $G_N$ ,  $g_{\mu\nu}$ ,  $R$  and  $\Lambda$  are the Newton constant, the metric, the scalar curvature and the cosmological constant in  $\mathcal{M}$ .  $h_{ab}$ ,  $K_{ab}$ ,  $T$  are the induced metric, the extrinsic curvature and the tension of  $Q$ .  $\gamma_{ij}$  and  $B_{ij}$  are the induced metric and the extrinsic curvature on the asymptotic boundary  $\Sigma$ . Note that we have defined the extrinsic curvatures of  $\Sigma$  and  $Q$  such that the normal vectors are pointing outwards from the bulk  $\mathcal{M}$ . While imposing the standard Dirichlet boundary condition on  $\Sigma$ , we choose the Neumann boundary condition

$$K_{ab} - K h_{ab} = -T h_{ab}, \quad (3)$$

on the brane  $Q$  to make it dynamical. In order to get a sensible solution, we restrict the tension to  $T < -1$ .

Solving the Einstein equation in  $\mathcal{M}$  with the asymptotic boundary given by  $\mathbb{RP}^2$ , we get a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  quotient of global  $\text{AdS}_3$  obtained by  $(\theta, \phi) \sim (-\theta, \phi + \pi)$ , with an end-of-the-world brane  $Q$  located at

$$\eta = \text{arccoth}(-T) \equiv \eta_*. \quad (4)$$

The geometry of  $Q$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  quotient of  $S^2$  (or Euclidean  $dS_2$ ). The bulk  $\mathcal{M}$  is defined at  $\eta \geq \eta_*$  and the  $\eta < \eta_*$  region is excluded by introducing the brane  $Q$ . Therefore, there is no singularity in the bulk any more. See Fig.2 for a sketch.




Figure 2: (Left) The holographic dual of XCFT on  $\mathbb{RP}^2$  is given by the  $\eta \geq \eta_*$  region of the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  quotient  $(\theta, \phi) \sim (-\theta, \phi + \pi)$  of  $AdS_3$  with a Euclidean  $dS_2/\mathbb{Z}_2$  end-of-the-world brane at  $\eta = \eta_*$ . If  $Q$  were not introduced, there would be a problematic singularity at  $\eta = 0$ . (Right) The  $(\rho, \tau, \phi)$ -coordinate is useful for considering holographic XCFT defined on a half-cylinder with a crosscap.

Let us check how the symmetries match between the XCFT side and the gravity side in terms of the global conformal group. On the XCFT side, realizing the  $\mathbb{RP}^2$  by identifying the antipodal points on the Riemann sphere  $S^2$ , it is easy to see that the isometry group of  $\mathbb{RP}^2$  is  $PO(3) = PSO(3) \cong SO(3)$ . This  $SO(3)$  is inherited from the  $SO(3)$  isometry of  $S^2$ , which is a subgroup of its conformal group  $SO(3,1)$ . On the other hand, the other half of the conformal symmetries are broken, since they do not map great circles to great circles on  $S^2$  and hence break antipodal relations. This  $SO(3)$  symmetry manifests in the Euclidean gravity dual. The existence of the dS end-of-the-world brane, which essentially introduce a center in the bulk spacetime, breaks the three translational symmetries but preserve three rotational symmetries, which form the  $SO(3)$ .

It is useful to introduce another coordinate system by performing

$$\cos \theta = \frac{\sinh \rho}{\sinh \eta}, \quad \sin \theta = \frac{\tanh \tau}{\tanh \eta}. \quad (5)$$

In the new coordinate system, the metric is

$$ds^2 = d\rho^2 + \cosh^2 \rho \, d\tau^2 + \sinh^2 \rho \, d\phi^2, \quad (6)$$

the identification is  $(\tau, \phi) \sim (-\tau, \phi + \pi)$ , and the brane profile is

$$T^2 = \frac{\cosh^2 \rho}{\sinh^2 \rho + \tanh^2 \tau}, \quad (7)$$

as shown in Fig. 2. If we introduce a cutoff at  $\rho = \rho_\infty$ , then the corresponding XCFT is defined on a half-infinite cylinder with a crosscap inserted at  $\tau = 0$ .

Before proceeding, we would like to note that there are two possible ways to interpret the brane term introduced in the action Eq.(2). One is to introduce the brane only when there are crosscaps on the CFT side. This way of thinking is similar to that in the AdS/BCFT constructions [22, 23], where AdS end-of-the-world branes are introduced only when there are boundaries on the CFT side. Another way of thinking is to include the brane term regardless of whether there are crosscaps on the CFT side or not, and allow all the solutions in the gravitational path integral including brane nucleations. Both ways of thinking give the same results as long as we only look at the most dominating bulk configurations. However, it would be an interesting future question to discuss their differences when subdominating contributions are included. Especially, the second way of thinking may mediate a Coleman-de Luccia instability [24] in the bulk.

### 3 Partition Function, Crosscap Entropy and One-point Function

The partition function of an XCFT defined on a unit  $\mathbb{RP}^2$  can be written as the inner product between the CFT ground state  $|0\rangle$  and a crosscap state  $|C\rangle$

$$Z_{\mathbb{RP}^2} = \langle 0|C \rangle, \quad (8)$$

and is sometimes called the  $p$ -function.<sup>1</sup> One natural way to see this is to consider the fundamental domain  $\theta \in [-\frac{\pi}{2}, 0]$  of the  $\mathbb{RP}^2$ . Then the path integral over  $\theta \in [-\frac{\pi}{2}, 0]$  gives

---

<sup>1</sup>Here we adopt the terminology introduced in [16] where  $p$  stands for “parity”.

the CFT ground state  $|0\rangle$  and the crosscap state  $|C\rangle$  is the “boundary” condition imposed on  $\theta = 0$ . (Refer to Fig.1.) In order for the energy-momentum tensor to match between identified antipodal points,  $|C\rangle$  must satisfy the following crosscap condition [25],

$$(L_n - (-1)^n \bar{L}_{-n})|C\rangle = 0, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (9)$$

where  $L_n$ ’s ( $\bar{L}_n$ ’s) are the chiral (anti-chiral) Virasoro generators. Note that the crosscap condition Eq.(9) preserves exactly a half of the Virasoro symmetry. Besides, the crosscap entropy<sup>2</sup> defined as

$$S_{\text{xc}} = \log |\langle 0|C\rangle|, \quad (10)$$

is a quantity closely related to the  $p$ -function. The  $p$ -function and the crosscap entropy in XCFT are analogous to the  $g$ -function and the boundary entropy in BCFT [27], respectively.

In our AdS/XCFT for  $\mathbb{RP}^2$ , we have

$$R = -6, \quad \Lambda = -1, \quad \sqrt{g} = \sinh^2 \eta |\cos \theta|, \quad (11)$$

$$K = -2 \frac{\cosh \eta_*}{\sinh \eta_*}, \quad T = -\frac{\cosh \eta_*}{\sinh \eta_*}, \quad \sqrt{h} = \sinh^2 \eta_* |\cos \theta|. \quad (12)$$

Plugging these into the action Eq.(2), integrating over the bulk dual and subtracting divergent terms, we obtain the holographic  $p$ -function

$$p \equiv Z_{\mathbb{RP}^2} = \exp(-I^{(\text{ren})}) = \exp\left(-\frac{\eta_*}{4G_N}\right) = \exp\left(-\frac{c}{6} \text{arccoth}(-T)\right), \quad (13)$$

where  $I^{(\text{ren})}$  is the renormalized bulk action and  $c$  is the central charge of the XCFT. Note that we have used Eq.(4) and the Brown-Henneaux relation  $c = \frac{3}{2G_N}$  [28] in the last line. Accordingly, the crosscap entropy is

$$S_{\text{xc}} = \log |p| = -\frac{\eta_*}{4G_N} = -\frac{\text{arccoth}(-T)}{4G_N} = -\frac{c}{6} \text{arccoth}(-T). \quad (14)$$

Given the similarity between the  $p$ -function in XCFT and the  $g$ -function in BCFT, we may expect that there is a “ $p$ -theorem” stating that the  $p$ -function monotonically decreases under some RG flow. While how to formulate such a “ $p$ -theorem” is not yet known in XCFT, we will later come back to the holographic  $p$ -function and show a holographic  $p$ -theorem.

---

<sup>2</sup>The crosscap entropy is also called the Klein bottle entropy in some literature [26] since it appears in a limit of the Klein bottle partition function as we will also see later. Here we adopt the more general name crosscap entropy.

It is also straightforward to check that holographic 1-point functions for scalar primaries match the XCFT result. Consider an XCFT defined on  $\mathbb{RP}^2$  with radius  $r$  and insert a scalar primary  $\mathcal{O}$  with scaling dimension  $\Delta$  at  $(\theta, \phi)$ . The 1-point function is determined by the symmetries except for the coefficient, and has the form

$$\langle \mathcal{O}(\theta, \phi) \rangle_{\mathbb{RP}^2} = \frac{a_{\mathcal{O}}}{(2r)^\Delta}. \quad (15)$$

On the gravity side, the most simple regime is given by  $\Delta$  that is  $O(c^0)$  but at the same time large  $\Delta \gg 1$ . In this regime, the primary operator corresponds to a free scalar field with mass  $m = \sqrt{\Delta(\Delta - 2)}$ . With the presence of the brane  $Q$ , the holographic 1-point function can be approximated by  $\lambda e^{-\Delta L}$ , where  $L$  is the distance between the boundary operator insertion and the brane  $Q$  (with proper renormalization performed) and  $\lambda$  is a constant depending on the details of the boundary condition imposed on the brane  $Q$  for the scalar field [23, 29]. Since we are considering a holographic CFT defined on  $\mathbb{RP}^2$  with radius  $r$ , we can regard our XCFT defined at  $\eta = \log(2r/\epsilon)$  where  $\epsilon$  is a UV cutoff corresponding to the lattice distance. The distance between  $(\log(2r/\epsilon), \theta, \phi)$  and the brane  $Q$ , after subtracting the divergent term is  $L = \log 2r - \eta_*$ . Accordingly, the holographic 1-point function is given by  $\lambda e^{\eta_* \Delta} / (2r)^\Delta$ , which has the same form as the XCFT result Eq.(15). It is straightforward (though a little bit more complicated) to check the holographic 1-point functions for scalar primaries with  $\Delta \sim 1$  and  $\Delta = O(c)$  also have the form Eq.(15), following the same strategy as in [23, 29, 30].

## 4 Lorentzian Signature from Wick Rotation

Let us then consider the Wick rotation to the Lorentzian signature in the setups discussed above. First, if we take  $\theta \rightarrow i\theta_L$  in Eq.(1), the metric turns into

$$ds^2 = d\eta^2 + \sinh^2 \eta \left( -d\theta_L^2 + \cosh^2 \theta_L d\phi^2 \right), \quad (16)$$

with identification  $(\theta_L, \phi) \sim (-\theta_L, \phi + \pi)$ . The brane  $Q$  is still at  $\eta = \eta_*$ . The bulk geometry is manifestly a warped product between  $dS_2/\mathbb{Z}_2$  and a half infinite line  $\eta \geq \eta_*$ . The boundary geometry is also  $dS_2/\mathbb{Z}_2$ . See Fig.3 for a sketch.

To track the boundary time evolution in the CFT Hilbert space, it is more convenient to perform  $\tau \rightarrow it$  in Eq.(6) and Eq.(7). The metric turns into

$$ds^2 = d\rho^2 - \cosh^2 \rho dt^2 + \sinh^2 \rho d\phi^2, \quad (17)$$



with identification  $(t, \phi) \sim (-t, \phi + \pi)$ , and the brane profile is

$$T^2 = \frac{\cosh^2 \rho}{\sinh^2 \rho - \tan^2 t}. \quad (18)$$

Note that the  $(\eta, \theta_L, \phi)$  coordinate only covers the  $t \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$  region while the  $(\rho, t, \phi)$  coordinate can be extended to  $t \in (-\infty, \infty)$  as shown in Fig.3. To satisfy  $(t, \phi) \sim (2n\pi - t, \phi + \pi)$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , it is necessary and sufficient to impose  $t \sim t + 2\pi$ . As a result, the maximally extended geometry can be regarded as a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  quotient of the global  $\text{AdS}_3$  (but not its universal cover) with  $\text{dS}_2$  end-of-the-world branes.

Considering the symmetries, let us focus on the  $t \in [0, \pi/2]$  region in the following. It is straightforward to see that at  $t = \pi/2$ , the  $\text{dS}$  brane approaches the asymptotic boundary  $\rho \rightarrow \infty$ , as sketched in Fig.3.




Figure 3: The analytic continuations to the Lorentzian signature of the configurations in Fig.2. The end-of-the-world brane  $Q$  hits the asymptotic boundary at  $t = \pi/2$ , reflecting the features of the boundary state  $|B\rangle = e^{-i\pi H/2} |C\rangle$ .

This behavior can be understood as a consequence of the unitary time evolution in the dual CFT Hilbert space defined on a circle with length  $2\pi$  as follows. At  $t = 0$ , the CFT state is given by the transition matrix

$$\mathcal{T}_{t=0} \propto |C\rangle\langle 0|, \quad (19)$$

prepared by the Euclidean path integral over  $\mathbb{RP}^2$ . Since  $|C\rangle$  satisfies the crosscap condition Eq.(9), the transition matrix  $\mathcal{T}_{t=0}$  is invariant under the following three global symmetry generators:  $L_0 - \bar{L}_0, L_1 + \bar{L}_{-1}, L_{-1} + \bar{L}_1$  which satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} [L_0 - \bar{L}_0, L_1 + \bar{L}_{-1}] &= -(L_1 + \bar{L}_{-1}), \\ [L_0 - \bar{L}_0, L_{-1} + \bar{L}_1] &= (L_{-1} + \bar{L}_1), \\ [L_1 + \bar{L}_{-1}, L_{-1} + \bar{L}_1] &= 2(L_0 + \bar{L}_0), \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

and hence form an  $SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \cong SO(2, 1)$ . On the gravity side, the domain of dependence of the  $t = 0$  time slice has two boost symmetries and one rotation symmetry, which generate an  $SO(2, 1)$ . On the other hand, the three translations (two spacelike and one timelike) are broken. This is also precisely the isometry group of  $dS_2$ .

On the other hand, at  $t = \pi/2$ , the CFT state is given by

$$\mathcal{T}_{t=\frac{\pi}{2}} \propto e^{-i\pi H/2} |C\rangle \langle 0| e^{i\pi H/2} \propto |B\rangle \langle 0|, \tag{21}$$

where  $H = L_0 + \bar{L}_0 - \frac{c}{12}$  is the CFT Hamiltonian, and  $|B\rangle \equiv e^{-i\pi H/2} |C\rangle$  satisfies

$$(L_n - \bar{L}_{-n}) |B\rangle = 0, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{22}$$

This can be shown by noticing that

$$L_n H^k = (n + H)^k L_n, \tag{23}$$

and hence

$$L_n e^{itH} = e^{it(n+H)} L_n. \tag{24}$$

Accordingly,

$$(L_n - \bar{L}_{-n}) e^{-i(\frac{1}{2}+k)\pi H} |C\rangle = 0, \tag{25}$$

$$(L_n - (-1)^n \bar{L}_{-n}) e^{-ik\pi H} |C\rangle = 0. \tag{26}$$

Eq.(22) is nothing but the condition satisfied by conformal boundary states [25, 31], which guarantees there is no energy flux across the boundary. Since a conformal boundary state  $|B\rangle$  does not contain real space entanglement [32], the transition matrix  $\mathcal{T}_{t=\frac{\pi}{2}} \propto |B\rangle \langle 0|$  has vanishing entanglement pseudo entropy [33] and is expected to be dual to a trivial spacetime. This expectation is indeed realized in the proposed gravity dual, where the entire  $t = \pi/2$  time

slice and its causal diamond are nothing but void. From the point of view of symmetries,  $|B\rangle$  is invariant under the following three global symmetry generators  $L_0 - \bar{L}_0, L_1 - \bar{L}_{-1}, L_{-1} - \bar{L}_1$ , which again form an  $SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \cong SO(2, 1)$ . This is, however, a different  $SO(2, 1)$  from the one appearing in the crosscap state Eq.(20). The void bulk time slice at  $t = \pi/2$  clearly satisfies the two spatial translation symmetries and the rotation symmetry, which again forms an  $SO(2, 1)$ . On the other hand, it is not invariant under the time translation and the two boosts. This matching between the CFT transition matrix  $|B\rangle\langle 0|$  and the void spacetime at  $t = \pi/2$  provides another strong and independent consistency check of our AdS/XCFT construction with dS branes.

As a summary, we have established a correspondence between a bouncing dS<sub>2</sub> brane in AdS<sub>3</sub>/Z<sub>2</sub> and the unitary time evolution of the crosscap state, post-selected on the CFT ground state. This suggests that XCFT may be used to describe dS gravity. As a hint from an alternative point of view, it is intriguing to note that the crosscap state  $|C\rangle$  is profoundly related to the Type II<sub>1</sub> von Neumann algebra, which is believed to describe the observables on the static patch of the dS spacetime [34], in the following way. Consider a chain of  $2N$  spins aligned in a circle, and then produce EPR pairs between antipodal points, one gets

$$|\Psi\rangle = \frac{1}{2^{N/2}} \bigotimes_{n=1}^N (|\uparrow\rangle_i \otimes |\uparrow\rangle_{i+N} + |\downarrow\rangle_i \otimes |\downarrow\rangle_{i+N}) . \quad (27)$$

While it is hard to write down the crosscap state  $|C\rangle$  explicitly in general, it is known that  $|\Psi\rangle$  serves as a generalization of crosscap states in a class of integrable spin chains [16], in the sense that it satisfies the matching between the energy-momentum tensor at the antipodal points and preserves infinitely many conserved charges.<sup>3</sup> We note that, at  $N \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $|\Psi\rangle$  can be regarded as the cyclic separating vector used to construct a Type II<sub>1</sub> von Neumann algebra in [36–39]. The Type II<sub>1</sub> von Neumann algebra is supported on exactly a half of the whole system, which indeed corresponds to a static patch in our setup.

---

<sup>3</sup>Another interesting property of  $|\Psi\rangle$  is that, when restricted to subsystem  $A$  which contains the first  $N$  spins,  $|\Psi\rangle$  is indistinguishable from the canonical Gibbs state with infinite temperature in any 1D spin system [35].

## 5 Holographic XCFT on $\mathbb{K}^2$




Figure 4: The standard definition of a Klein bottle (leftmost) and how it is related to  $\langle C|e^{-\beta H/2}|C\rangle$  (rightmost) by sewing and gluing.

As a slightly more complicated example, let us consider a holographic XCFT defined on a Klein bottle  $\mathbb{K}^2$ . A standard definition of the Klein bottle and how it is equivalent to a cylinder with two crosscaps on the two ends is sketched in Fig.4. Let us consider the Klein bottle in Fig.4. Its partition function computes the inner product between two regulated crosscap states defined on the Hilbert space associated to a circle with length  $2\pi$ ,

$$Z_{\mathbb{K}^2} = \langle C|e^{-\beta H/2}|C\rangle. \quad (28)$$

It is also convenient to notice that such a Klein bottle can be obtained by starting from a torus  $T^2$  parameterized by  $\tau \in [-\beta/2, \beta/2)$ ,  $\phi \in [0, 2\pi)$  and then imposing the identification  $(\tau, \phi) \sim (\beta/2 - \tau, \phi + \pi)$ . Let us restrict to the fundamental domain  $\tau \in [-\beta/4, \beta/4]$ .

It is straightforward to find that there exist two bulk solutions. One has the metric

$$ds^2 = d\rho^2 + \cosh^2 \rho \, d\tau^2 + \sinh^2 \rho \, d\phi^2, \quad (29)$$

and two disconnected pieces of branes located at

$$T^2 = \frac{\cosh^2 \rho}{\sinh^2 \rho + \tanh^2 \left( \tau \pm \frac{\beta}{4} \right)}, \quad (30)$$

respectively, with the identification  $\phi \sim \phi + \pi$  at  $\tau = \pm \frac{\beta}{4}$ . We call this the brane configuration.

The bulk action  $I^{(\text{brane})}$  computed from the brane configuration is

$$I^{(\text{brane})} = -\frac{\beta}{16G_N} + \frac{\text{arccoth}(-T)}{2G_N} = -\frac{c\beta}{24} - 2 \log Z_{\mathbb{RP}^2} = -\frac{c\beta}{24} - 2S_{\text{xc}}, \quad (31)$$

where we have used Eq.(13)(14). The second term is due to the existence of the two disconnected pieces of the end-of-the-world branes.

Another solution has the metric

$$ds^2 = d\rho^2 + \cosh^2 \rho d\phi^2 + \sinh^2 \rho d\tau^2, \quad (32)$$

with the identification  $\phi \sim \phi + \pi$  at  $\tau = \pm \frac{\beta}{4}$  and no branes. There are no singularities in the bulk. This is the well-known Euclidean BTZ geon geometry. The bulk action  $I^{(\text{geon})}$  computed from the geon configuration is

$$I^{(\text{geon})} = -\frac{\pi^2}{4G_N\beta} = -\frac{\pi^2 c}{6\beta}. \quad (33)$$

Note that  $S_{\text{xc}} = Z_{\mathbb{RP}^2}$  does not appear since there are no branes in the bulk.

The holographic  $\mathbb{K}^2$  partition function is given by

$$Z_{\mathbb{K}^2} = \exp \left( -\min \{ I^{(\text{brane})}, I^{(\text{geon})} \} \right), \quad (34)$$

and shows a first order phase transition at

$$\beta = \sqrt{\left( \frac{24}{c} S_{\text{xc}} \right)^2 + 4\pi^2} - \frac{24}{c} S_{\text{xc}} \equiv \beta_*. \quad (35)$$

The high temperature phase at  $\beta < \beta_*$  is dominated by the geon geometry, and the low temperature phase at  $\beta > \beta_*$  is dominated by the brane geometry.

Similarly to the Cardy formula for the torus partition function, the Klein bottle partition function has a universal behavior at the high temperature limit  $\beta \rightarrow 0$  and the low temperature limit  $\beta \rightarrow \infty$  [10, 15, 26]. The holographic partition functions computed from Eq.(31) and (33) precisely reproduce the universal behavior. While the  $\beta$ -dependent part is easy to recover just by taking a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  quotient of the thermal AdS geometry and the BTZ geometry, the end-of-the-world brane  $Q$  plays an essential role in reproducing the  $Z_{\mathbb{RP}^2}$ -dependent part.

## 6 Holographic $p$ -theorem

So far we have established a correspondence between XCFT<sub>2</sub> and AdS<sub>3</sub> with dS<sub>2</sub> end-of-the-world branes, and checked that holographic computations match universal results in CFT. Let us then see an application of this AdS/XCFT correspondence.

In 2D CFT, the central charge  $c$  plays a central role and characterizes the degrees of freedom. It is known as the  $c$ -theorem that there exists a function (often called a  $c$ -function)

which monotonically decreases under the RG flow and coincides the central charge  $c$  at the critical points [40]. Similarly, in 2D BCFT, the disk partition function (also called the  $g$ -function) characterizes the degrees of freedom of the boundary [27] and monotonically decreases under the boundary RG flow, known as the  $g$ -theorem [41]. Given the similarity between the XCFT and the BCFT, it is natural to conjecture that there exists a  $p$ -function which monotonically decreases under some RG flow and coincides Eq.(8) at critical points. However, there is no proof of this “ $p$ -conjecture” so far. In fact, different from the boundary, since the crosscap is not a local concept, it is not even clear where the RG flow should be implemented. Here, we will show that, in AdS/XCFT, there is a natural choice of the holographic RG flow. We prove a holographic  $p$ -theorem, following a similar strategy to the proof of the holographic  $g$ -theorem [22, 23]. We expect that this holographic  $p$ -theorem should provide valuable hints to the  $p$ -conjecture on the XCFT side.

Let us start by considering a holographic XCFT on  $\mathbb{RP}^2$ . Instead of its Euclidean gravity dual shown in Fig.2, let us consider its Lorentzian continuation shown in Fig.3. It turns out to be useful to consider a Poincaré coordinate  $(t_P, x_P, z_P)$  whose  $t_P = 0$  time slice is identified with the global  $t = \frac{\pi}{2}$  time slice. Performing the coordinate transformation

$$\sqrt{1 + \sinh^2 \rho} \cos \left( t - \frac{\pi}{2} \right) = \frac{z_P}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{1 - t_P^2 + x_P^2}{z_P^2} \right), \quad (36)$$

$$\sqrt{1 + \sinh^2 \rho} \sin \left( t - \frac{\pi}{2} \right) = \frac{t_P}{z_P}, \quad (37)$$

we get the standard Poincaré metric

$$ds^2 = \frac{(dz_P)^2 - (dt_P)^2 + (dx_P)^2}{(z_P)^2}. \quad (38)$$

Let us again focus on the  $0 < t \leq \pi/2$  region, which is partially covered by  $t_P \leq 0$ . The brane profile in the Poincaré coordinate is given by

$$t_P = -\sqrt{\frac{T^2}{T^2 - 1}} z_P. \quad (39)$$

Let us consider the holographic RG flow on the  $z_P$  direction. To probe this RG flow without changing the bulk gravity (and hence without changing the central charge  $c$ ), let us put a brane-localized matter field on the brane  $Q$  and consider the case where the matter configuration is translational invariant on the  $x_P$  direction. In order for the brane-localized matter field to be sensible, we impose the null energy condition (NEC) on it:

$$T_{ab}^Q u^a u^b \geq 0, \quad (40)$$

where  $T_{ab}^Q$  is the energy-stress tensor on the brane and  $u^a$  can be any null vector. Due to the back reaction, the brane now has a new profile and let us denote it as

$$t_P = f(z_P). \quad (41)$$

We propose a  $p$ -function as

$$p(z_P) = \exp \left( - \frac{\operatorname{arccoth} \left( - \frac{f'(z_P)}{\sqrt{(f'(z_P))^2 - 1}} \right)}{4G_N} \right). \quad (42)$$

First of all, this matches Eq.(13) when there is no brane-localized matter and the  $\text{AdS}_3$  gravity describes a holographic XCFT. Secondly, if we choose  $(u^t, u^x, u^z) = (f', \sqrt{(f')^2 - 1}, 1)$ , then one can derive that  $f''(z_P) \leq 0$  from Eq.(40), and this further leads to  $p'(z_P) \leq 0$ . Another quicker but less straightforward way to see this is by noticing that when the brane-localized matter satisfies NEC, two points on the brane that are connected by a casual curve on the brane should be always connected by a causal geodesic in the bulk [42, 43]. Then  $f''(z_P) \leq 0$  must be satisfied otherwise one would find counterexamples to the statement above.

As a summary, we have constructed  $p(z_P)$  which monotonically decreases under the holographic RG flow on the  $z_P$  direction. Based on the arguments above, we have derived a holographic  $p$ -theorem.

## 7 Conclusions and Discussions

We have presented a minimal construction of gravity duals for 2D XCFTs, which is  $\text{AdS}_3$  with  $\text{dS}_2$  end-of-the-world branes.<sup>4</sup> The construction is free from singularities thanks to the branes. We first investigated 2D XCFT on  $\mathbb{RP}^2$ , computed the partition function (or equivalently  $p$ -function or crosscap entropy) and 1-point functions, saw the relation between the boundary state and the crosscap state  $|B\rangle = e^{-i\frac{\pi}{2}H} |C\rangle$  naturally appears from the Wick rotation to the Lorentzian signature, and investigated 2D XCFT on  $\mathbb{K}^2$ . We have also proven a holographic  $p$ -theorem as an application of our  $\text{AdS}/\text{XCFT}$  construction. From an alternative point of view, the searching of CFT dual to  $\text{AdS}_3$  with negative tension  $\text{dS}_2$  branes was initiated

---

<sup>4</sup>The bulk geometries we constructed inherit the non-orientability from the boundary. It has been recently argued that non-orientable bulk saddle points should be taken into account even when the boundary CFT is orientable [44–46].

in [47] and further explored in [48–50]. It was proposed in [47–50] that the dual should be a Lorentzian CFT with spacelike boundaries which is however not well-defined due to the non-normalizability of the boundary state. We conclude that a natural dual is a 2D XCFT, where a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  quotient is taken compared to the setup in [47–50], and it is indeed related to the boundary state via  $|B\rangle = e^{-i\frac{\pi}{2}H} |C\rangle$ .

Another interesting point we have made is that a  $dS_2$  structure naturally appears in the bulk  $AdS_3$ , which is a warped product between  $dS_2$  and a half-infinite line. Besides, the conformal crosscap state  $|C\rangle$  can be naturally related to a Type  $II_1$  von Neumann algebra, which is believed to describe observables in a static patch of  $dS$  [34]. It would be interesting to explore the possibility of using  $AdS/XCFT$  to describe  $dS$  holography, in the light of double holography [51]. We would like to emphasize that the UV-complete description here is the XCFT, which is local and unitary, and has a standard Hilbert space and time direction by construction. At least one of these features is often missed in  $dS$  holography proposals [52–60]. One may worry that, different from the standard double holography with positive tension  $AdS$  branes, there is no locally localized graviton on our negative tension  $dS$  branes via the standard Karch-Randall mechanism [61–63] in the higher dimensional analogy of our setup. This problem may be got around by introducing a holographic CFT on the end-of-the-world brane, in a similar manner to [64].

## Acknowledgements

I would like to in particular thank Andy Strominger and Tadashi Takayanagi for extensive discussions and insightful comments. I am also grateful to Levent Alpöge, Stefano Antonini, Raphael Bousso, Xujia Chen, Katie Ebner-Landy, Matthew Heydeman, Daniel Jafferis, Yikun Jiang, Alex May, Jake McNamara, Hidetoshi Omiya, Haoyu Sun, Marija Tomašević, Herman Verlinde, Diandian Wang and Yasushi Yoneta for stimulating conversations. Finally, I would like to thank Shan-Ming Ruan, Tadashi Takayanagi and Diandian Wang for helpful comments on a draft of this paper. I am supported by the Society of Fellows at Harvard University.



## References

- [1] G. 't Hooft, *Dimensional reduction in quantum gravity*, *Conf. Proc.* **C930308** (1993) 284 [[gr-qc/9310026](#)].
- [2] L. Susskind, *The World as a hologram*, *J. Math. Phys.* **36** (1995) 6377 [[hep-th/9409089](#)].
- [3] J. M. Maldacena, *The Large  $N$  limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity*, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **38** (1999) 1113 [[hep-th/9711200](#)].
- [4] D. Fioravanti, G. Pradisi and A. Sagnotti, *Sewing constraints and nonorientable open strings*, *Phys. Lett. B* **321** (1994) 349 [[hep-th/9311183](#)].
- [5] G. Pradisi, A. Sagnotti and Y. S. Stanev, *The Open descendants of nondiagonal  $SU(2)$  WZW models*, *Phys. Lett. B* **356** (1995) 230 [[hep-th/9506014](#)].
- [6] R. Blumenhagen and E. Plauschinn, *Introduction to Conformal Field Theory: With Applications to String Theory*, Lecture Notes in Physics. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2009.
- [7] M. Miyaji, T. Numasawa, N. Shiba, T. Takayanagi and K. Watanabe, *Continuous Multiscale Entanglement Renormalization Ansatz as Holographic Surface-State Correspondence*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115** (2015) 171602 [[1506.01353](#)].
- [8] H. Verlinde, *Poking Holes in AdS/CFT: Bulk Fields from Boundary States*, [1505.05069](#).
- [9] Y. Nakayama and H. Ooguri, *Bulk Locality and Boundary Creating Operators*, *JHEP* **10** (2015) 114 [[1507.04130](#)].
- [10] A. Maloney and S. F. Ross, *Holography on Non-Orientable Surfaces*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **33** (2016) 185006 [[1603.04426](#)].
- [11] K. Goto, M. Miyaji and T. Takayanagi, *Causal Evolutions of Bulk Local Excitations from CFT*, *JHEP* **09** (2016) 130 [[1605.02835](#)].
- [12] Y. Nakayama and H. Ooguri, *Bulk Local States and Crosscaps in Holographic CFT*, *JHEP* **10** (2016) 085 [[1605.00334](#)].

- [13] A. Lewkowycz, G. J. Turiaci and H. Verlinde, *A CFT Perspective on Gravitational Dressing and Bulk Locality*, *JHEP* **01** (2017) 004 [[1608.08977](#)].
- [14] S. Giombi, H. Khanchandani and X. Zhou, *Aspects of CFTs on Real Projective Space*, *J. Phys. A* **54** (2021) 024003 [[2009.03290](#)].
- [15] I. Tsiaras, *Universal Dynamics in Non-Orientable CFT<sub>2</sub>*, [2011.09250](#).
- [16] J. Caetano and S. Komatsu, *Crosscap States in Integrable Field Theories and Spin Chains*, *J. Statist. Phys.* **187** (2022) 30 [[2111.09901](#)].
- [17] J. Caetano and L. Rastelli, *Holography for  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  on  $\mathbb{RP}^4$* , *JHEP* **02** (2023) 106 [[2206.06375](#)].
- [18] S. S. Gubser, I. R. Klebanov and A. M. Polyakov, *Gauge theory correlators from noncritical string theory*, *Phys. Lett.* **B428** (1998) 105 [[hep-th/9802109](#)].
- [19] E. Witten, *Anti-de Sitter space and holography*, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **2** (1998) 253 [[hep-th/9802150](#)].
- [20] E. Witten, *Three-Dimensional Gravity Revisited*, [0706.3359](#).
- [21] A. Maloney and E. Witten, *Quantum Gravity Partition Functions in Three Dimensions*, *JHEP* **02** (2010) 029 [[0712.0155](#)].
- [22] T. Takayanagi, *Holographic Dual of BCFT*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107** (2011) 101602 [[1105.5165](#)].
- [23] M. Fujita, T. Takayanagi and E. Tonni, *Aspects of AdS/BCFT*, *JHEP* **11** (2011) 043 [[1108.5152](#)].
- [24] S. R. Coleman and F. De Luccia, *Gravitational Effects on and of Vacuum Decay*, *Phys. Rev. D* **21** (1980) 3305.
- [25] N. Ishibashi, *The Boundary and Crosscap States in Conformal Field Theories*, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A4** (1989) 251.
- [26] H.-H. Tu, *Universal entropy of conformal critical theories on a Klein bottle*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119** (2017) 261603 [[1707.05812](#)].

- [27] I. Affleck and A. W. Ludwig, *Universal noninteger “ground-state degeneracy” in critical quantum systems*, *Physical Review Letters* **67** (1991) 161.
- [28] J. D. Brown and M. Henneaux, *Central Charges in the Canonical Realization of Asymptotic Symmetries: An Example from Three-Dimensional Gravity*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **104** (1986) 207.
- [29] J. Kastikainen and S. Shashi, *Structure of holographic BCFT correlators from geodesics*, *Phys. Rev. D* **105** (2022) 046007 [[2109.00079](#)].
- [30] Y. Kusuki and Z. Wei, *AdS/BCFT from conformal bootstrap: construction of gravity with branes and particles*, *JHEP* **01** (2023) 108 [[2210.03107](#)].
- [31] J. L. Cardy, *Boundary Conditions, Fusion Rules and the Verlinde Formula*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **324** (1989) 581.
- [32] M. Miyaji, S. Ryu, T. Takayanagi and X. Wen, *Boundary States as Holographic Duals of Trivial Spacetimes*, *JHEP* **05** (2015) 152 [[1412.6226](#)].
- [33] Y. Nakata, T. Takayanagi, Y. Taki, K. Tamaoka and Z. Wei, *New holographic generalization of entanglement entropy*, *Phys. Rev. D* **103** (2021) 026005 [[2005.13801](#)].
- [34] V. Chandrasekaran, R. Longo, G. Penington and E. Witten, *An algebra of observables for de Sitter space*, *JHEP* **02** (2023) 082 [[2206.10780](#)].
- [35] Y. Chiba and Y. Yoneta, *Exact thermal eigenstates of nonintegrable spin chains at infinite temperature*, [2403.12330](#).
- [36] J. von Neumann, *On infinite direct products*, *Compositio Mathematica* **6** (1939) 1.
- [37] R. T. Powers, *Representations of uniformly hyperfinite algebras and their associated von neumann rings*, *Annals of Mathematics* (1967) 138.
- [38] H. Araki and E. J. Woods, *A classification of factors*, *Publications of the Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences* **4** (1968) 51.
- [39] E. Witten, *Why Does Quantum Field Theory In Curved Spacetime Make Sense? And What Happens To The Algebra of Observables In The Thermodynamic Limit?*, [2112.11614](#).

- [40] A. B. Zamolodchikov, *Irreversibility of the Flux of the Renormalization Group in a 2D Field Theory*, *JETP Lett.* **43** (1986) 730.
- [41] D. Friedan and A. Konechny, *On the boundary entropy of one-dimensional quantum systems at low temperature*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93** (2004) 030402 [[hep-th/0312197](#)].
- [42] H. Ishihara, *Causality of the brane universe*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **86** (2001) 381 [[gr-qc/0007070](#)].
- [43] H. Omiya and Z. Wei, *Causal structures and nonlocality in double holography*, *JHEP* **07** (2022) 128 [[2107.01219](#)].
- [44] C. Yan, *Crosscap contribution to late-time two-point correlators*, *JHEP* **12** (2023) 051 [[2203.14436](#)].
- [45] C. Yan, *More on torus wormholes in 3d gravity*, *JHEP* **11** (2023) 039 [[2305.10494](#)].
- [46] D. Harlow and T. Numasawa, *Gauging spacetime inversions in quantum gravity*, [2311.09978](#).
- [47] I. Akal, Y. Kusuki, T. Takayanagi and Z. Wei, *Codimension two holography for wedges*, *Phys. Rev. D* **102** (2020) 126007 [[2007.06800](#)].
- [48] I. Akal, T. Kawamoto, S.-M. Ruan, T. Takayanagi and Z. Wei, *Page curve under final state projection*, *Phys. Rev. D* **105** (2022) 126026 [[2112.08433](#)].
- [49] I. Akal, T. Kawamoto, S.-M. Ruan, T. Takayanagi and Z. Wei, *Zoo of holographic moving mirrors*, *JHEP* **08** (2022) 296 [[2205.02663](#)].
- [50] T. Kawamoto, S.-M. Ruan, Y.-k. Suzuki and T. Takayanagi, *A half de Sitter holography*, *JHEP* **10** (2023) 137 [[2306.07575](#)].
- [51] A. Almheiri, R. Mahajan, J. Maldacena and Y. Zhao, *The Page curve of Hawking radiation from semiclassical geometry*, *JHEP* **03** (2020) 149 [[1908.10996](#)].
- [52] A. Strominger, *The dS / CFT correspondence*, *JHEP* **10** (2001) 034 [[hep-th/0106113](#)].
- [53] E. Witten, *Quantum gravity in de Sitter space*, in *Strings 2001: International Conference*, 6, 2001, [hep-th/0106109](#).

- [54] J. M. Maldacena, *Non-Gaussian features of primordial fluctuations in single field inflationary models*, *JHEP* **05** (2003) 013 [[astro-ph/0210603](#)].
- [55] M. Alishahiha, A. Karch, E. Silverstein and D. Tong, *The dS/dS correspondence*, *AIP Conf. Proc.* **743** (2004) 393 [[hep-th/0407125](#)].
- [56] D. Anninos, T. Hartman and A. Strominger, *Higher Spin Realization of the dS/CFT Correspondence*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **34** (2017) 015009 [[1108.5735](#)].
- [57] X. Dong, E. Silverstein and G. Torroba, *De Sitter Holography and Entanglement Entropy*, *JHEP* **07** (2018) 050 [[1804.08623](#)].
- [58] L. Susskind, *Black Holes Hint towards De Sitter Matrix Theory*, *Universe* **9** (2023) 368 [[2109.01322](#)].
- [59] L. Susskind, *Entanglement and Chaos in De Sitter Space Holography: An SYK Example*, *JHAP* **1** (2021) 1 [[2109.14104](#)].
- [60] Y. Hikida, T. Nishioka, T. Takayanagi and Y. Taki, *Holography in de Sitter Space via Chern-Simons Gauge Theory*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **129** (2022) 041601 [[2110.03197](#)].
- [61] A. Karch and L. Randall, *Locally localized gravity*, *JHEP* **05** (2001) 008 [[hep-th/0011156](#)].
- [62] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, *A Large mass hierarchy from a small extra dimension*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83** (1999) 3370 [[hep-ph/9905221](#)].
- [63] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, *An Alternative to compactification*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83** (1999) 4690 [[hep-th/9906064](#)].
- [64] J. Maldacena, *Vacuum decay into Anti de Sitter space*, [1012.0274](#).