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#### Abstract

In the article, the authors establish the monotonicity of the ratios $$
\frac{B_{2 n-1}(t)}{B_{2 n+1}(t)}, \quad \frac{B_{2 n}(t)}{B_{2 n+1}(t)}, \quad \frac{B_{2 m}(t)}{B_{2 n}(t)}, \quad \frac{B_{2 n}(t)}{B_{2 n-1}(t)}
$$ and derive some known and new inequalities of the Bernoulli polynomials $B_{n}(t)$, the Bernoulli numbers $B_{2 n}$, and their ratios such as $\frac{B_{2 n+2}}{B_{2 n}}$.
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## 1. Motivations

Throughout this paper, we adopt the notations

$$
\mathbb{N}=\{1,2, \ldots\} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathbb{N}_{0}=\{0,1,2, \ldots\}
$$

for the sets of all positive integers and all nonnegative integers, respectively.
It is common knowledge [19, p. 3] that the classical Bernoulli polynomials $B_{n}(t)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ are generated by

$$
\frac{z \mathrm{e}^{t z}}{\mathrm{e}^{z}-1}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{n}(t) \frac{z^{n}}{n!}, \quad|z|<2 \pi
$$

and $B_{n}(0)=B_{n}$ are called the Bernoulli numbers satisfying

$$
B_{0}=1, \quad B_{1}=-\frac{1}{2}, \quad B_{2 n} \neq 0, \quad B_{2 n+1}=0
$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

[^0]At the site https://mathoverflow.net/q/461427, Qi proposed a problem about the monotonicity of the ratio $\frac{B_{2 n+1}(t)}{B_{2 n+3}(t)}$ between two Bernoulli polynomials $B_{2 n+1}(t)$ and $B_{2 n+3}(t)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$. We recite and quote Qi's problem as follows.
Problem 1. The ratio $\left|\frac{B_{2 n+1}(t)}{B_{2 n+3}(t)}\right|$ for $n \in\{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$ is decreasing in $t \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ and increasing in $t \in\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$.

One day later, Qi's Problem 1 was positively confirmed by a nice proof of Proposition 1 in the original version [28].
Theorem 1 ([28, Proposition 1]). The ratio $\frac{B_{2 n-1}(t)}{B_{2 n+1}(t)}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is increasing in $t \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ and decreasing in $t \in\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$.

One day later than the proof of [28, Proposition 1], Iosif Pinelis (Michigan Technological University, USA) provided an alternative solution to Qi's Problem 1 and a bit more at the site https://mathoverflow.net/a/461546.

In Section 3 below, we will supply the third proof of Theorem 1. In Section 4, we will establish the monotonicity of the ratios $\frac{B_{2 n}(t)}{B_{2 n+1}(t)}, \frac{B_{2 m}(t)}{B_{2 n}(t)}$, and $\frac{B_{2 n}(t)}{B_{2 n-1}(t)}$. In Section 5 , we will derive some known and new inequalities for the Bernoulli polynomials $B_{n}(t)$, the Bernoulli numbers $B_{2 n}$, and their ratios such as $\frac{B_{2 n+2}}{B_{2 n}}$.

## 2. Preliminaries and lemmas

For reaching our aims, we recall the following properties and lemmas.
The Bernoulli polynomials $B_{n}(t)$ have the following properties.
(1) For $0<t<\frac{1}{2}$, the positivity

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-1)^{n+1} B_{2 n+1}(t)>0, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_{0} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-1)^{n+1} B_{2 n}>0, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

hold. See [1, p. 805, Entry 23.1.14] and [11, p. 588, Entry 24.2.2].
(2) For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the recursive relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{n}^{\prime}(t)=n B_{n-1}(t) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is valid. See [11, p. 590, Entry 24.4.34].
(3) For $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{n}(1-t)=(-1)^{n} B_{n}(t) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

is valid. See [1, p. 804, Entry 23.1.8].
(4) For $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{n}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)=-\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{n-1}}\right) B_{n} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds. See [11, p. 590, Entry 24.4.27].
For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{n}\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)=(-1)^{n} B_{n}\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)=-\frac{1-2^{1-n}}{2^{n}} B_{n}-\frac{n}{4^{n}} E_{n-1} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

is valid, where $E_{n}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ denotes the Euler numbers generated by

$$
\frac{2 \mathrm{e}^{z}}{\mathrm{e}^{2 z}+1}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{n} \frac{z^{n}}{n!}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{2 n} \frac{z^{2 n}}{(2 n)!}, \quad|z|<\frac{\pi}{2}
$$

See [1, p. 806, Entry 23.1.22], [8, p. 534], and [11, p. 590, Entry 24.4.31].
(5) As $n \rightarrow \infty$, the asymptotic approximations

$$
(-1)^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor-1} \frac{(2 \pi)^{n}}{2(n!)} B_{n}(t) \sim \begin{cases}\cos (2 \pi t), & n=2 k  \tag{7}\\ \sin (2 \pi t), & n=2 k-1\end{cases}
$$

are valid uniformly for $t$ on compact subsets of $\mathbb{C}$, where $\lfloor x\rfloor$ denotes the floor function whose value is the largest integer less than or equal to $x$. See [11, p. 594, Entry 24.11.5].
(6) Let $\zeta(z)$ denote the Riemann zeta function which can be defined by the series $\zeta(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{z}}$ under the condition $\Re(z)>1$ and by analytic continuation elsewhere. The Bernoulli numbers $B_{2 n}$ and the Riemmann zeta function $\zeta(z)$ have the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{2 n}=\frac{(-1)^{n+1} 2(2 n)!}{(2 \pi)^{2 n}} \zeta(2 n), \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

See [1, pp. 807-808, Section 23.2] and [19, p. 5, (1.14)].
Lemma 1 ([12]). The Bernoulli polynomial $B_{2 n}(t)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ has one real zero, denoted by $r_{2 n}$, in the interval $\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$. The sequence $r_{2 n}$ is increasing in $n$ and tends to $\frac{1}{4}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
Remark 1. In [10], the bound $\frac{1}{6}<r_{2 n}<\frac{1}{4}$ was established for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In [8, p. 534], a more precise estimate $\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{2^{2 n+1} \pi}<r_{2 n}<\frac{1}{4}$ was presented for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. See also [11, p. 594, Section 24.12(i)].

Lemma 2 ([3, pp. 10-11, Theorem 1.25]). For $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\alpha<\beta$, let $q(t)$ and $p(t)$ be continuous on $[\alpha, \beta]$, differentiable on $(\alpha, \beta)$, and $p^{\prime}(t) \neq 0$ on $(\alpha, \beta)$. If the ratio $\frac{q^{\prime}(t)}{p^{\prime}(t)}$ increases in $t \in(\alpha, \beta)$, then both $\frac{q(t)-q(\alpha)}{p(t)-p(\alpha)}$ and $\frac{q(t)-q(\beta)}{p(t)-p(\beta)}$ increase in $t \in(\alpha, \beta)$.

## 3. The third proof of Qi's Problem

In this section, we supply the third proof of Qi's Problem 1, which has been confirmed by Theorem 1, as follows.

The third proof of Theorem 1. Define the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{f, g}(t)=\frac{f^{\prime}(t)}{g^{\prime}(t)} g(t)-f(t), \quad t \in(a, b) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f(t)$ and $g(t)$ are two differentiable functions on $(a, b)$ such that $g^{\prime}(t) \neq 0$ on $(a, b)$. If $f(t)$ and $g(t)$ are twice differentiable on $(a, b)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\frac{f(t)}{g(t)}\right]^{\prime}=\frac{g^{\prime}(t)}{g^{2}(t)}\left[\frac{f^{\prime}(t)}{g^{\prime}(t)} g(t)-f(t)\right]=\frac{g^{\prime}(t)}{g^{2}(t)} H_{f, g}(t) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
H_{f, g}^{\prime}(t)=\left[\frac{f^{\prime}(t)}{g^{\prime}(t)}\right]^{\prime} g(t)
$$

The function $H_{f, g}(t)$ in (9) was first introduced in the preprint [23], it was called Yang's $H$-function in [20], and it has been applied in [2, 17, 21, 24, 26] and [25, Theorem 2.1], for example.

We write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{B_{2 n-1}(t)}{B_{2 n+1}(t)}=-\frac{(-1)^{n} B_{2 n-1}(t)}{(-1)^{n+1} B_{2 n+1}(t)}=-\frac{\phi_{n}(t)}{\phi_{n+1}(t)}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, by the positivity in (1),

$$
\phi_{n}(t)=(-1)^{n} B_{2 n-1}(t)>0, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

is clearly valid in $t \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$.
By the formula (3), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{n}^{\prime}(t)=(-1)^{n} B_{2 n-1}^{\prime}(t)=(-1)^{n}(2 n-1) B_{2 n-2}(t), \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi_{n}^{\prime \prime}(t) & =(-1)^{n} B_{2 n-1}^{\prime \prime}(t) \\
& =(-1)^{n}(2 n-1)(2 n-2) B_{2 n-3}(t)  \tag{13}\\
& =-(2 n-1)(2 n-2) \phi_{n-1}(t), \quad n \geq 2
\end{align*}
$$

Accordingly, the second derivative $\phi_{n}^{\prime \prime}(t)$ for $n \geq 2$ is negative in $t \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$, the first derivative $\phi_{n}^{\prime}(t)$ for $n \geq 2$ is decreasing in $t \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$, and the function $\phi_{n}(t)$ for $n \geq 2$ is concave in $t \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$.

From the identity (5), it follows that

$$
\phi_{n}^{\prime}(0)=(-1)^{n}(2 n-1) B_{2 n-2}(0)=(-1)^{n}(2 n-1) B_{2 n-2}>0
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi_{n}^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) & =(-1)^{n}(2 n-1) B_{2 n-2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \\
& =(-1)^{n+1}\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{2 n-3}}\right)(2 n-1) B_{2 n-2} \\
& <0
\end{aligned}
$$

for $n \geq 2$, where we used the positivity in (2). As a result, the first derivative $\phi_{n}^{\prime}(t)$ has a unique zero $t_{n, 0} \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ for $n \geq 2$.

As done in the proof of [28, Proposition 1], the ratios $\frac{B_{1}(t)}{B_{3}(t)}$ and $\frac{B_{3}(t)}{B_{5}(t)}$ are both increasing in $t \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$. Equivalently, the ratios $\frac{\phi_{1}(t)}{\phi_{2}(t)}$ and $\frac{\phi_{2}(t)}{\phi_{3}(t)}$ are both decreasing in $t \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$.

Assume that, for some $n \geq 3$, the ratio $\frac{\phi_{n}(t)}{\phi_{n+1}(t)}$ is decreasing in $t \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$. By (13), this inductive hypothesis is equivalent to that the ratio

$$
\frac{\phi_{n+1}^{\prime \prime}(t)}{\phi_{n+2}^{\prime \prime}(t)}=\frac{(2 n+1)(2 n)}{(2 n+1)(2 n+2)} \frac{\phi_{n}(t)}{\phi_{n+1}(t)}, \quad n \geq 3
$$

is decreasing in $t \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$, that is,

$$
\left[\frac{\phi_{n+1}^{\prime \prime}(t)}{\phi_{n+2}^{\prime \prime}(t)}\right]^{\prime}<0, \quad n \geq 3, \quad t \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)
$$

This means that

$$
H_{\phi_{n+1}^{\prime}(t), \phi_{n+2}^{\prime}(t)}^{\prime}(t)=\left[\frac{\phi_{n+1}^{\prime \prime}(t)}{\phi_{n+2}^{\prime \prime}(t)}\right]^{\prime} \phi_{n+2}^{\prime}(t), \quad n \geq 3
$$

has a unique zero $t_{n+2,0} \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ and that

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{\phi_{n+1}^{\prime}(t), \phi_{n+2}^{\prime}(t)}(t) & \geq H_{\phi_{n+1}^{\prime}(t), \phi_{n+2}^{\prime}(t)}\left(t_{n+2,0}\right) \\
& =\frac{\phi_{n+1}^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{n+2,0}\right)}{\phi_{n+2}^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{n+2,0}\right)} \phi_{n+2}^{\prime}\left(t_{n+2,0}\right)-\phi_{n+1}^{\prime}\left(t_{n+2,0}\right) \\
& =-\phi_{n+1}^{\prime}\left(t_{n+2,0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for some $n \geq 3$ and $t \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$.
From the equation (12) and Lemma 1, we see that the zero $t_{n+2,0}$ is just the zero of the Bernoulli polynomial $B_{2 n+2}(t)$, that is, $t_{n+2,0}=r_{2 n+2}$, for some $n \geq 3$. Similarly, the function $\phi_{n+1}^{\prime}(t)$ has a unique zero $r_{2 n}=t_{n+1,0} \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ for some $n \geq 3$. From Lemma 1, it follows that

$$
r_{2 n}=t_{n+1,0}<t_{n+2,0}=r_{2 n+2}
$$

for some $n \geq 3$. Since the first derivative $\phi_{n}^{\prime}(t)$ for $n \geq 2$ is decreasing in $t \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$, we acquire that

$$
\phi_{n+1}^{\prime}\left(t_{n+2,0}\right)<\phi_{n+1}^{\prime}\left(t_{n+1,0}\right)=0, \quad n \geq 2
$$

Consequently, we conclude that $H_{\phi_{n+1}^{\prime}(t), \phi_{n+2}^{\prime}(t)}(t)>0$ for some $n \geq 3$ and $t \in$ ( $0, \frac{1}{2}$ ). This conclusion means that

$$
\left[\frac{\phi_{n+1}^{\prime}(t)}{\phi_{n+2}^{\prime}(t)}\right]^{\prime}=\frac{\phi_{n+2}^{\prime \prime}(t)}{\left[\phi_{n+2}^{\prime}(t)\right]^{2}} H_{\phi_{n+1}^{\prime}(t), \phi_{n+2}^{\prime}(t)}(t)<0
$$

for some $n \geq 3$ and $t \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right) \backslash\left\{t_{n+2,0}\right\}$, where we used the negativity $\phi_{n}^{\prime \prime}(t)<0$ for $n \geq 2$ and $t \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$. Thus, the ratio $\frac{\phi_{n+1}^{\prime}(t)}{\phi_{n+2}^{\prime}(t)}$ for some $n \geq 3$ is decreasing on the intervals $\left(0, r_{2 n+2}\right)$ and $\left(r_{2 n+2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$.

Since

$$
\phi_{n}(0)=(-1)^{n} B_{2 n-1}(0)=(-1)^{n} B_{2 n-1}=0
$$

for $n \geq 2$, by virtue of Lemma 2 and the decreasing property of the ratio $\frac{\phi_{n+1}^{\prime}(t)}{\phi_{n+2}^{\prime}(t)}$ for some $n \geq 3$ on the interval $\left(0, r_{2 n+2}\right)$, we reveals that the ratio

$$
\frac{\phi_{n+1}(t)}{\phi_{n+2}(t)}=\frac{\phi_{n+1}(t)-\phi_{n+1}(0)}{\phi_{n+2}(t)-\phi_{n+2}(0)}
$$

for some $n \geq 3$ is decreasing in $t \in\left(0, r_{2 n+2}\right)$. By the identity (4), it follows that

$$
\phi_{n}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)=(-1)^{n} B_{2 n-1}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)=0
$$

for $n \geq 2$. In view of Lemma 2 and the decreasing property of the ratio $\frac{\phi_{n+1}^{\prime}(t)}{\phi_{n+2}^{\prime}(t)}$ for some $n \geq 3$ on the interval $\left(r_{2 n+2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$, we arrive at that the ratio

$$
\frac{\phi_{n+1}(t)}{\phi_{n+2}(t)}=\frac{\phi_{n+1}(t)-\phi_{n+1}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\phi_{n+2}(t)-\phi_{n+2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}
$$

for some $n \geq 3$ is decreasing in $\left(r_{2 n+2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$. Since the ratio $\frac{\phi_{n+1}(t)}{\phi_{n+2}(t)}$ is continuous at the point $r_{2 n+2}=t_{n+2,0}$, which is the zero of $\phi_{n+2}^{\prime}(t)$ in $\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$, we conclude that the ratio $\frac{\phi_{n+1}(t)}{\phi_{n+2}(t)}$ is decreasing in $t \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ for some $n \geq 3$.

By mathematical induction, the ratio $\frac{\phi_{n}(t)}{\phi_{n+1}(t)}$ is decreasing in $t \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Consequently, from the relation (11), the ratio $\frac{B_{2 n-1}(t)}{B_{2 n+1}(t)}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is increasing in $t \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$.

By the identity (4), we obtain that

$$
\frac{B_{2 n-1}(t)}{B_{2 n+1}(t)}=\frac{B_{2 n-1}(1-t)}{B_{2 n+1}(1-t)}
$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Consequently, from the increasing property of the ratio $\frac{B_{2 n-1}(t)}{B_{2 n+1}(t)}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ in $t \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$, we derive that the ratio $\frac{B_{2 n-1}(t)}{B_{2 n+1}(t)}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is decreasing in $t \in\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$. The third proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

Corollary 1. For $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m<n$, the ratio $(-1)^{n-m} \frac{B_{2 m-1}(t)}{B_{2 n-1}(t)}$ is positive and decreasing in $t \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$, while it is positive and increasing in $t \in\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$.

Proof. From (1) and (4), it follows that the ratio $\frac{B_{2 n-1}(t)}{B_{2 n+1}(t)}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is negative in $t \in(0,1)$. Hence, making use of Theorem 1 , the function $-\frac{B_{2 n-1}(t)}{B_{2 n+1}(t)}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is positive and decreasing in $t \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$, while it is positive and increasing in $t \in\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$. Consequently, the ratio

$$
(-1)^{n-m} \frac{B_{2 m-1}(t)}{B_{2 n-1}(t)}=\prod_{\ell=m}^{n-1}\left[-\frac{B_{2 \ell-1}(t)}{B_{2 \ell+1}(t)}\right]
$$

is positive and decreasing in $t \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$, while it is positive and increasing in $t \in$ $\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$. The required proof is complete.

Corollary 2. For $n>m \in \mathbb{N}$, the functions

$$
(-1)^{n-m} \frac{B_{2 m}(t)-B_{2 m}}{B_{2 n}(t)-B_{2 n}} \quad \text { and } \quad(-1)^{n-m} \frac{B_{2 m}(t)-B_{2 m}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{B_{2 n}(t)-B_{2 n}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}
$$

are both decreasing on $\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ and are both increasing on $\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$.
Proof. This follows from applying Lemma 2, the identity (4), and Corollary 1.

## 4. Monotonicity of the ratios of two Bernoulli polynomials

In this section, we consider the ratios

$$
\frac{B_{2 n}(t)}{B_{2 n+1}(t)}, \quad \frac{B_{2 m}(t)}{B_{2 n}(t)}, \quad \frac{B_{2 n}(t)}{B_{2 n-1}(t)}
$$

and, among other things, their monotonicity on $\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ and $\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$, respectively.
Theorem 2. The ratio $\frac{B_{2 n}(t)}{B_{2 n+1}(t)}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ is decreasing both in $t \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ and in $t \in\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$.

For fixed $t \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ (or for fixed $t \in\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$, respectively), the sequence $\frac{(2 n+1) B_{2 n}(t)}{B_{2 n+1}(t)}$ is increasing (or decreasing, respectively) in $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, with the limit

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(2 n+1) B_{2 n}(t)}{B_{2 n+1}(t)}=2 \pi \cot (2 \pi t), \quad t \in(0,1) \backslash\left\{\frac{1}{2}\right\} . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{2 n}(t)=(-1)^{n+1} \frac{B_{2 n}(t)}{(2 n)!} \quad \text { and } \quad f_{2 n+1}(t)=(-1)^{n+1} \frac{B_{2 n+1}(t)}{(2 n+1)!} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$. Employing the recursive relation (3), we derive

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{2 n+1}^{\prime}(t)=f_{2 n}(t) \quad \text { and } \quad f_{2 n}^{\prime}(t)=-f_{2 n-1}(t) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (1), we see that the function $f_{2 n+1}(t)$ is positive for $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ and $0<t<\frac{1}{2}$. Utilizing the relations in (16) and differentiating yield

$$
\left[\frac{f_{2 n}(t)}{f_{2 n+1}(t)}\right]^{\prime}=\frac{-f_{2 n-1}(t) f_{2 n+1}(t)-f_{2 n}^{2}(t)}{f_{2 n+1}^{2}(t)}<0
$$

for $t \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Accordingly, the ratio

$$
\frac{f_{2 n}(t)}{f_{2 n+1}(t)}=\frac{(2 n+1) B_{2 n}(t)}{B_{2 n+1}(t)}
$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is decreasing in $t \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$. In particular, the ratio

$$
\frac{f_{0}(t)}{f_{1}(t)}=\frac{B_{0}(t)}{B_{1}(t)}=\frac{2}{2 t-1}
$$

is obviously decreasing in $t \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$. In conclusion, the ratio $\frac{B_{2 n}(t)}{B_{2 n+1}(t)}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ is decreasing in $t \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$.

Making use of the identity (4) gives

$$
\frac{B_{2 n}(t)}{B_{2 n+1}(t)}=-\frac{B_{2 n}(1-t)}{B_{2 n+1}(1-t)}
$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ and $t \in\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$. As a result, the ratio $\frac{B_{2 n}(t)}{B_{2 n+1}(t)}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ is also decreasing in $t \in\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$.

For $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ with $m<n$, it is easy to see that

$$
\frac{f_{2 m}(t)}{f_{2 m+1}(t)}-\frac{f_{2 n}(t)}{f_{2 n+1}(t)}=\frac{f_{2 n+1}(t)}{f_{2 m+1}(t)}\left[\frac{f_{2 m+1}(t)}{f_{2 n+1}(t)}\right]^{\prime}
$$

From Corollary 1, it follows that the ratio

$$
\frac{f_{2 m+1}(t)}{f_{2 n+1}(t)}=(-1)^{n-m} \frac{(2 n+1)!}{(2 m+1)!} \frac{B_{2 m+1}(t)}{B_{2 n+1}(t)}
$$

is positive and decreasing in $t \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$, and is positive and increasing in $t \in\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$. Accordingly, we obtain

$$
\left[\frac{f_{2 m+1}(t)}{f_{2 n+1}(t)}\right]^{\prime} \begin{cases}<0, & t \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)  \tag{17}\\ >0, & t \in\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)\end{cases}
$$

for $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ with $m<n$. Consequently, for fixed $t \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ (or for fixed $t \in\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$, respectively), the sequence $\frac{(2 n+1) B_{2 n}(t)}{B_{2 n+1}(t)}$ is increasing (or decreasing, respectively) in $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$.

By virtue the asymptotic approximations in (7), we obtain

$$
\frac{(2 n+1) B_{2 n}(t)}{B_{2 n+1}(t)} \sim \frac{(2 n+1) \cos (2 \pi t)}{(-1)^{\lfloor n\rfloor-1} \frac{(2 \pi)^{2 n}}{2(2 n)!}} \frac{(-1)^{\lfloor n+1 / 2\rfloor-1} \frac{(2 \pi)^{2 n+1}}{2(2 n+1)!}}{\sin (2 \pi t)}=2 \pi \cot (2 \pi t)
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for $t \in(0,1) \backslash\left\{\frac{1}{2}\right\}$. The required proof is complete.
Corollary 3. For $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, the absolute $\left|B_{2 n+1}(t)\right|$ is logarithmically concave both in $t \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ and in $t \in\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$.
Proof. On the interval $\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$, we have

$$
\left[\ln \left|B_{2 n+1}(t)\right|\right]^{\prime}=\left(\ln \left[(-1)^{n+1} B_{2 n+1}(t)\right]\right)^{\prime}=\frac{B_{2 n+1}^{\prime}(t)}{B_{2 n+1}(t)}=\frac{(2 n+1) B_{2 n}(t)}{B_{2 n+1}(t)}
$$

where we used the equality (3), which, by the first paragraph of Theorem 2 , is decreasing in $t \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$. As a result, the absolute $\left|B_{2 n+1}(t)\right|$ is logarithmically concave in $t \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$.

On the interval $\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$, by virtue of the identity (4) and (3) in sequence, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\ln \left|B_{2 n+1}(t)\right|\right]^{\prime}=\left(\ln \left|(-1)^{n+1} B_{2 n+1}(1-t)\right|\right)^{\prime} } \\
= & \left(\ln \left[(-1)^{n+1} B_{2 n+1}(1-t)\right]\right)^{\prime}=\frac{(-1)^{n+2} B_{2 n+1}^{\prime}(1-t)}{(-1)^{n+1} B_{2 n+1}(1-t)} \\
= & \frac{(-1)^{n+2}(2 n+1) B_{2 n}(1-t)}{(-1)^{n+1} B_{2 n+1}(1-t)}=-\frac{(2 n+1) B_{2 n}(1-t)}{B_{2 n+1}(1-t)}
\end{aligned}
$$

in $t \in\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, which, by the first paragraph of Theorem 2, is decreasing in $t \in\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$. Consequently, the absolute $\left|B_{2 n+1}(t)\right|$ is also logarithmically concave in $t \in\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$. The required proof is complete.

Theorem 3. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $r_{2 n}$ be the unique zero of the Bernoulli polynomial $B_{2 n}(t)$ on $\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$. For $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ such that $m<n$, the ratio $(-1)^{n-m} \frac{B_{2 m}(t)}{B_{2 n}(t)}$ is decreasing both in $t \in\left(0, r_{2 n}\right)$ and in $t \in\left(r_{2 n}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$, while it is increasing both in $t \in\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1-r_{2 n}\right)$ and in $t \in\left(1-r_{2 n}, 1\right)$.
Proof. In view of the notations in (15) and with the help of the relations in (16), we arrive at

$$
H_{f_{2 m}, f_{2 n}}(t)=\frac{f_{2 m-1}(t)}{f_{2 n-1}(t)} f_{2 n}(t)-f_{2 m}(t)
$$

where $H_{f, g}(t)$ is defined by (9).
Using the second relations in (16) and (15) in sequence and employing (1), we obtain

$$
f_{2 n}^{\prime}(t)=(-1)^{n+1} \frac{B_{2 n-1}(t)}{(2 n-1)!}<0
$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0<t<\frac{1}{2}$. Hence, the function $f_{2 n}(t)$ is decreasing in $t \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ and, by virtue of Lemma $1, f_{2 n}\left(r_{2 n}\right)=0$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Making use of this and the conclusions in (17), we acquire

$$
\left[H_{f_{2 m}, f_{2 n}}(t)\right]^{\prime}=\left[\frac{f_{2 m-1}(t)}{f_{2 n-1}(t)}\right]^{\prime} f_{2 n}(t) \begin{cases}<0, & t \in\left(0, r_{2 n}\right) \\ >0, & t \in\left(r_{2 n}, \frac{1}{2}\right)\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
H_{f_{2 m}, f_{2 n}}\left(r_{2 n}\right)=\frac{f_{2 m-1}\left(r_{2 n}\right)}{f_{2 n-1}\left(r_{2 n}\right)} f_{2 n}\left(r_{2 n}\right)-f_{2 m}\left(r_{2 n}\right)=-f_{2 m}\left(r_{2 n}\right)
$$

for $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m<n$. Since $r_{2 n}>r_{2 m}$ for $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m<n$, then $f_{2 m}\left(r_{2 n}\right)<f_{2 m}\left(r_{2 m}\right)=0$ for $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m<n$. Thus, we deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{f_{2 m}, f_{2 n}}(t) \geq H_{f_{2 m}, f_{2 n}}\left(r_{2 n}\right)=-f_{2 m}\left(r_{2 n}\right)>0 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m<n$ and $0<t<\frac{1}{2}$. Consequently, by (10), we conclude that

$$
\left[\frac{f_{2 m}(t)}{f_{2 n}(t)}\right]^{\prime}=-\frac{f_{2 n-1}(t)}{f_{2 n}^{2}(t)} H_{f_{2 m}, f_{2 n}}(t)<0
$$

for $t \in\left(0, r_{2 n}\right)$ and $t \in\left(r_{2 n}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ for $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m<n$, where we utilized the fact that the function $f_{2 n+1}(t)$ is positive for $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ and $0<t<\frac{1}{2}$, which was derived in the proof of Theorem 2. This means that the ratio

$$
\frac{f_{2 m}(t)}{f_{2 n}(t)}=(-1)^{n-m} \frac{B_{2 m}(t)}{B_{2 n}(t)} \frac{(2 n)!}{(2 m)!}
$$

for $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m<n$ is decreasing in $t \in\left(0, r_{2 n}\right)$ and $t \in\left(r_{2 n}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$.
When $m=0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the ratio

$$
(-1)^{n-m} \frac{B_{2 m}(t)}{B_{2 n}(t)}=\frac{1}{(-1)^{n} B_{2 n}(t)}=-\frac{1}{(2 n)!} \frac{1}{f_{2 n}(t)}
$$

is clearly also decreasing in $t \in\left(0, r_{2 n}\right)$ and $t \in\left(r_{2 n}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$.
The rest can be proved by considering the symmetry expressed in (4).
Theorem 4. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the ratio $\frac{B_{2 n}(t)}{B_{2 n-1}(t)}$ is increasing both in $t \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ and in $t \in\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$.

For fixed $t \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ (or for fixed $t \in\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$, respectively), the sequence $\frac{B_{2 n}(t)}{n B_{2 n-1}(t)}$ is decreasing (or increasing, respectively) in $n \in \mathbb{N}$, with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{B_{2 n}(t)}{n B_{2 n-1}(t)}=-\frac{\cot (2 \pi t)}{\pi} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. In view of the notations in (15) and with the help of the relations in (16), directly differentiating yields

$$
\left[\frac{f_{2 n}(t)}{f_{2 n-1}(t)}\right]^{\prime}=\frac{-f_{2 n-1}^{2}(t)-f_{2 n}(t) f_{2 n-2}(t)}{f_{2 n-1}^{2}(t)} \triangleq \frac{g_{n}(t)}{f_{2 n-1}^{2}(t)}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{n}^{\prime}(t) & =-f_{2 n-1}(t) f_{2 n-2}(t)+f_{2 n}(t) f_{2 n-3}(t) \\
& =-f_{2 n}^{2}(t) \frac{f_{2 n}(t) f_{2 n-2}^{\prime}(t)-f_{2 n}^{\prime}(t) f_{2 n-2}(t)}{f_{2 n}^{2}(t)} \\
& =-f_{2 n}^{2}(t)\left[\frac{f_{2 n-2}(t)}{f_{2 n}(t)}\right]^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $t \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right) \backslash\left\{r_{2 n}\right\}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $r_{2 n}$ is the unique zero of $B_{2 n}(t)$ in $t \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$.

By virtue of Theorem 3, we see that the ratio $\frac{f_{2 n-2}(t)}{f_{2 n}(t)}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is decreasing on $\left(0, r_{2 n}\right)$ and $\left(r_{2 n}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$. This implies that $g_{n}^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $t \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right) \backslash\left\{r_{2 n}\right\}$. Due to the continuity of $g_{n}^{\prime}(t)$ on $\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$, we acquire $g_{n}^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $t \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$, and then

$$
g_{n}(t)<g_{n}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)=-f_{2 n}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) f_{2 n-2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)<0
$$

for $t \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$, which indicates that the function

$$
\frac{f_{2 n}(t)}{f_{2 n-1}(t)}=-\frac{B_{2 n}(t)}{2 n B_{2 n-1}(t)}
$$

is decreasing in $t \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Equivalently speaking, the ratio $\frac{B_{2 n}(t)}{B_{2 n-1}(t)}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is increasing in $t \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$.

Theorem 3 implies that, for $n>m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\frac{f_{2 n}^{2}(t)}{f_{2 n-1}(t) f_{2 m-1}(t)}\left[\frac{f_{2 m}(t)}{f_{2 n}(t)}\right]^{\prime}=\frac{f_{2 m}(t)}{f_{2 m-1}(t)}-\frac{f_{2 n}(t)}{f_{2 n-1}(t)}<0
$$

in $t \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right) \backslash\left\{r_{2 n}\right\}$, which means that the sequence $\frac{f_{2 n}(t)}{f_{2 n-1}(t)}$ is increasing in $n \in \mathbb{N}$ for fixed $t \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$.

The limit (19) follows from (7).
The rest follows from applying the identity (4). The proof is complete.

## 5. Inequalities of the Bernoulli polynomials and their ratios

In this section, applying the monotonicity results established in Sections 3 and 4, we present some new inequalities for the Bernoulli polynomials $B_{n}(t)$, the Bernoulli numbers $B_{2 n}$, and their ratios such as $\frac{B_{2 n+2}}{B_{2 n}}$.
Proposition 1. For $n \geq 2$, the function $\frac{\left|B_{2 n+1}(t)\right|}{t(1 / 2-t)(1-t)}$ is increasing in $t \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ and decreasing in $t \in\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$. Consequently, for $n \geq 2$, the double inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
2(2 n+1)\left|B_{2 n}\right|<\frac{\left|B_{2 n+1}(t)\right|}{t\left(\frac{1}{2}-t\right)(1-t)}<4\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{2 n-1}}\right)(2 n+1)\left|B_{2 n}\right| \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds in $t \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ and reverses in $t \in\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$.
For $n \geq 2$, the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|B_{2 n+1}(t)\right|<\frac{\sqrt{3}}{9}\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{2 n-1}}\right)(2 n+1)\left|B_{2 n}\right| \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

is valid for $t \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$.
Proof. It is straightforward that

$$
B_{3}(t)=t\left(\frac{1}{2}-t\right)(1-t)
$$

From Corollary 1, we see that the ratio

$$
(-1)^{n-2} \frac{B_{3}(t)}{B_{2 n-1}(t)}=(-1)^{n} \frac{t\left(\frac{1}{2}-t\right)(1-t)}{B_{2 n-1}(t)}, \quad n>2
$$

is positive and decreasing in $t \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$, and is positive and increasing in $t \in\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$. The monotonicity in Proposition 1 is thus proved.

By virtue of the L'Hôpital rule and the formulas (3) and (5), we acquire

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{B_{2 n+1}(t)}{t\left(\frac{1}{2}-t\right)(1-t)}=2 \lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}}(2 n+1) B_{2 n}(t)=2(2 n+1) B_{2 n}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}} \frac{B_{2 n+1}(t)}{t\left(\frac{1}{2}-t\right)(1-t)}=4 \lim _{t \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}}(2 n+1) B_{2 n}(t) \\
=-4(2 n+1) B_{2 n}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)=4(2 n+1)\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{2 n-1}}\right) B_{2 n}
\end{gathered}
$$

for $n \geq 2$. Combining these two limits with the above monotonicity yields the double inequality (20).

Since the polynomial $B_{3}(t)$ reaches the maximum value $\frac{\sqrt{3}}{36}$ at $t=\frac{3-\sqrt{3}}{6}$ on $\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$, the right-hand side of the double inequality (20) becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|B_{2 n+1}(t)\right| & <4\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{2 n-1}}\right)(2 n+1)\left|B_{2 n}\right| t\left(\frac{1}{2}-t\right)(1-t) \\
& \leq 4\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{2 n-1}}\right)(2 n+1)\left|B_{2 n}\right| \frac{\sqrt{3}}{36} \\
& =\frac{\sqrt{3}}{9}\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{2 n-1}}\right)(2 n+1)\left|B_{2 n}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

for $n \geq 2$ and $t \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$. The inequality (21) is thus proved.
Proposition 2. For $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, the double inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{2 n-1}}\right) \frac{2 n+1}{2 \pi}\left|B_{2 n}\right| \sin (2 \pi t)<\left|B_{2 n+1}(t)\right|<\frac{2 n+1}{2 \pi}\left|B_{2 n}\right| \sin (2 \pi t) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds in $t \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ and reverses in $t \in\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$.
Proof. By Corollary 1, we see that the double inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-1)^{n-m} \lim _{t \rightarrow\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{-}} \frac{B_{2 m-1}(t)}{B_{2 n-1}(t)}<(-1)^{n-m} \frac{B_{2 m-1}(t)}{B_{2 n-1}(t)}<(-1)^{n-m} \lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{B_{2 m-1}(t)}{B_{2 n-1}(t)} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds on $\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ for $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m<n$. Since, by the L'Hôpital rule,

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}} \frac{B_{2 m-1}(t)}{B_{2 n-1}(t)}=\lim _{t \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}} \frac{(2 m-1) B_{2 m-2}(t)}{(2 n-1) B_{2 n-2}(t)}=\frac{(2 m-1) B_{2 m-2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{(2 n-1) B_{2 n-2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}
$$

and

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{B_{2 m-1}(t)}{B_{2 n-1}(t)}=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{(2 m-1) B_{2 m-2}(t)}{(2 n-1) B_{2 n-2}(t)}=\frac{(2 m-1) B_{2 m-2}}{(2 n-1) B_{2 n-2}}
$$

for $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m<n$, the double inequality (23) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{align*}
& (-1)^{m}(2 m-1) B_{2 m-2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{B_{2 n-1}(t)}{(2 n-1) B_{2 n-2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}<\left|B_{2 m-1}(t)\right| \\
& <(-1)^{m}(2 m-1) B_{2 m-2} \frac{B_{2 n-1}(t)}{(2 n-1) B_{2 n-2}} \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

on $\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ for $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m<n$.

When taking $n \rightarrow \infty$, by asymptotic approximations in (7), we obtain

$$
\frac{B_{2 n-1}(t)}{(2 n-1) B_{2 n-2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)} \sim \frac{(-1)^{\lfloor n-1 / 2\rfloor-1} \frac{2(2 n-1)!}{(2 \pi)^{2 n-1}} \sin (2 \pi t)}{(2 n-1)(-1)^{\lfloor n-1\rfloor-1} \frac{2(2 n-2)!}{(2 \pi)^{2 n-2}} \cos \pi}=-\frac{\sin (2 \pi t)}{2 \pi}
$$

and

$$
\frac{B_{2 n-1}(t)}{(2 n-1) B_{2 n-2}} \sim \frac{(-1)^{\lfloor n-1 / 2\rfloor-1} \frac{2(2 n-1)!}{(2 \pi)^{2 n-1}} \sin (2 \pi t)}{(2 n-1)(-1)^{\lfloor n-1\rfloor-1} \frac{2(2 n-2)!}{(2 \pi)^{2 n-2}} \cos 0}=\frac{\sin (2 \pi t)}{2 \pi}
$$

on ( $0, \frac{1}{2}$ ). Applying these two results to the double inequality (24) and utilizing (5) yield

$$
\begin{align*}
& (-1)^{m+1}(2 m-1) B_{2 m-2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{\sin (2 \pi t)}{2 \pi} \\
& =(-1)^{m}(2 m-1)\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{2 m-3}}\right) B_{2 m-2} \frac{\sin (2 \pi t)}{2 \pi} \\
& \quad<\left|B_{2 m-1}(t)\right|<(-1)^{m}(2 m-1) B_{2 m-2} \frac{\sin (2 \pi t)}{2 \pi} \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

for $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Replacing $m$ by $n+1$ in (25) leads to the double inequality (22).
By the same argument as above and in view of the identity (4), we can derive the revised version of $(22)$ on $\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$. The required proof is complete.

Remark 2. Proposition 4.3 in [7] reads that, for every positive integer $n$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x \in[0,1]}\left|B_{2 n+1}(t)\right|<\frac{2 n+1}{2 \pi}\left|B_{2 n}\right| \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|B_{2 n+1}\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)\right| \geq\left(1-\frac{4}{2^{2 n}}\right) \frac{2 n+1}{2 \pi}\left|B_{2 n}\right| \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Proposition 2, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|B_{2 n+1}(t)\right| & \leq \max \left\{\frac{2 n+1}{2 \pi}\left|B_{2 n}\right| \sin (2 \pi t),\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{2 n-1}}\right) \frac{2 n+1}{2 \pi}\left|B_{2 n}\right| \sin (2 \pi t)\right\} \\
& \leq \frac{2 n+1}{2 \pi}\left|B_{2 n}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

for $t \in(0,1)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$. This means that Proposition 2 improves the inequality (26).

Taking $t=\frac{1}{4}$ in (22) gives

$$
\left(1-\frac{2}{2^{2 n}}\right) \frac{2 n+1}{2 \pi}\left|B_{2 n}\right|<\left|B_{2 n+1}\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)\right|<\frac{2 n+1}{2 \pi}\left|B_{2 n}\right|, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}
$$

This means that Proposition 2 improves the inequality (27).
In conclusion, Proposition 2 in this paper is better than [7, Proposition 4.3].
Proposition 3. For $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-1)^{n+1} B_{2 n}(t)<\left|B_{2 n}\right| \cos (2 \pi t), \quad t \in\left(0, \frac{1}{4}\right) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-1)^{n+1} B_{2 n}(t)<\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{2 n-1}}\right)\left|B_{2 n}\right| \cos (2 \pi t), \quad t \in\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}\right) \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. From Theorem 3, it follows that

$$
(-1)^{n-m} \frac{B_{2 m}(t)}{B_{2 n}(t)}<(-1)^{n-m} \frac{B_{2 m}}{B_{2 n}}, \quad t \in\left(0, r_{2 n}\right)
$$

and

$$
(-1)^{n-m} \frac{B_{2 m}(t)}{B_{2 n}(t)}>(-1)^{n-m} \frac{B_{2 m}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{B_{2 n}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}, \quad t \in\left(r_{2 n}, \frac{1}{2}\right)
$$

for $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ such that $m<n$. They can be reformulated as

$$
(-1)^{m+1} B_{2 m}(t)<\frac{B_{2 n}(t)}{B_{2 n}}\left|B_{2 m}\right|, \quad t \in\left(0, r_{2 n}\right)
$$

and

$$
(-1)^{m+1} B_{2 m}(t)<\frac{B_{2 n}(t)}{B_{2 n}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}(-1)^{m+1} B_{2 m}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right), \quad t \in\left(r_{2 n}, \frac{1}{2}\right)
$$

for $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ such that $m<n$, where we used the fact that the function $f_{2 n}(t)$ is decreasing in $t \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ and $f_{2 n}\left(r_{2 n}\right)=0$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Making use of asymptotic approximations in (7) gives, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{B_{2 n}(t)}{B_{2 n}} \sim \frac{(-1)^{\lfloor n\rfloor-1} \frac{2(2 n)!}{(2 \pi)^{2 n}} \cos (2 \pi t)}{(-1)^{\lfloor n\rfloor-1} \frac{2(2 n)!}{(2 \pi)^{2 n}} \cos 0}=\cos (2 \pi t) \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{B_{2 n}(t)}{B_{2 n}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)} \sim \frac{(-1)^{\lfloor n\rfloor-1} \frac{2(2 n)!}{(2 \pi)^{2 n}} \cos (2 \pi t)}{(-1)^{\lfloor n\rfloor-1} \frac{2(2 n)!}{(2 \pi)^{2 n}} \cos \pi}=-\cos (2 \pi t) \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, due to $r_{2 n} \rightarrow \frac{1}{4}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
(-1)^{m+1} B_{2 m}(t)<\left|B_{2 m}\right| \cos (2 \pi t), \quad t \in\left(0, \frac{1}{4}\right)
$$

and

$$
(-1)^{m+1} B_{2 m}(t)<\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{2 m-1}}\right)\left|B_{2 m}\right| \cos (2 \pi t), \quad t \in\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}\right)
$$

The required proof is complete.
Proposition 4. For $t \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right) \cup\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
n(2 n-1)\left|B_{2 n-2}\right|<(-1)^{n} \frac{B_{2 n}(t)-B_{2 n}}{t^{2}(1-t)^{2}}<32\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{2 n}}\right)\left|B_{2 n}\right|, \quad n \geq 3 \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
8\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{2 n}}\right)\left|B_{2 n}\right|<(-1)^{n+1} & \frac{B_{2 n}(t)-B_{2 n}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\left(t-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}} \\
& \quad<n(2 n-1)\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{2 n-3}}\right)\left|B_{2 n-2}\right|, \quad n \geq 2 \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. It is straightforward that

$$
B_{4}(t)-B_{4}=t^{2}(t-1)^{2}
$$

and

$$
B_{2}(t)-B_{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)=\left(t-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}
$$

By Corollary 2, the functions

$$
\frac{(-1)^{n}\left[B_{2 n}(t)-B_{2 n}\right]}{t^{2}(t-1)^{2}}, \quad n \geq 3
$$

and

$$
\frac{(-1)^{n+1}\left[B_{2 n}(t)-B_{2 n}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right]}{\left(t-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}}, \quad n \geq 2
$$

are increasing in $t \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ and decreasing in $t \in\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$. With the help of the L'Hôpital rule and by virtue of the formulas (3) and (5), we arrive at the limits

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}} \frac{(-1)^{n}\left[B_{2 n}(t)-B_{2 n}\right]}{t^{2}(t-1)^{2}} & =32\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{2 n}}\right)\left|B_{2 n}\right|, \\
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}\left[B_{2 n}(t)-B_{2 n}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right]}{\left(t-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}} & =8\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{2 n}}\right)\left|B_{2 n}\right| \\
& =\lim _{t \rightarrow 1^{-}} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}\left[B_{2 n}(t)-B_{2 n}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right]}{\left(t-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}}, \\
\lim _{t \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}\left[B_{2 n}(t)-B_{2 n}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right]}{\left(t-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}} & =n(2 n-1)\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{2 n-3}}\right)\left|B_{2 n-2}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and the limits

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{(-1)^{n}\left[B_{2 n}(t)-B_{2 n}\right]}{t^{2}(t-1)^{2}} & =n(2 n-1)\left|B_{2 n-2}\right| \\
& =\lim _{t \rightarrow 1^{-}} \frac{(-1)^{n}\left[B_{2 n}(t)-B_{2 n}\right]}{t^{2}(t-1)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $n \geq 2$, where we excluded the case $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} B_{1}(t)=B_{1}=-\frac{1}{2} \neq 0$. Therefore, the double inequalities (32) and (33) are proved. The proof of Proposition 4 is complete.

Remark 3. Since $t^{2}(1-t)^{2}<\frac{1}{16}$ for $t \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right) \cup\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$, the right-hand side of the inequality (32) can be weaken to

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-1)^{n}\left[B_{2 n}(t)-B_{2 n}\right]<2\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{2 n}}\right)\left|B_{2 n}\right|, \quad n \geq 3 \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $t \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right) \cup\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$. Employing the fact that the function $f_{2 n}(t)=(-1)^{n+1} \frac{B_{2 n}(t)}{(2 n)!}$ is decreasing in $t \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, which was proved in the proof of Theorem 3, considering the equality (4), and concretely computing the cases $n=1,2$ and the cases $t=0, \frac{1}{2}, 1$, we can reformulate the inequality (34) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|B_{2 n}(t)-B_{2 n}\right| \leq\left(2-\frac{1}{2^{2 n-1}}\right)\left|B_{2 n}\right|, \quad 1 \geq t \geq 0, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

We emphasize that the inequality (35) appeared in [11, p. 593, Entry 24.9.2].
Remark 4. We can rewrite the right-hand side inequality of (32) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-1)^{n+1} B_{2 n}(t)>\left[1-32\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{2 n}}\right) t^{2}(1-t)^{2}\right]\left|B_{2 n}\right|, \quad n \geq 3 \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $t \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right) \cup\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$. Utilizing the identity (5), we can also rewrite the left-hand side of the double inequality (33) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-1)^{n+1} B_{2 n}(t)>\left[8\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{2 n}}\right)\left(t-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}-\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{2 n-1}}\right)\right]\left|B_{2 n}\right|, \quad n \geq 2 \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $t \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right) \cup\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$. The lower bound in (36) is bigger than the corresponding one in (37) for $t \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right) \cup\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Remark 5. On the left-hand side of the double inequality (32), taking $t \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}$ leads to

$$
\frac{n(2 n-1)}{16}\left|B_{2 n-2}\right| \leq(-1)^{n}\left[B_{2 n}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)-B_{2 n}\right], \quad n \geq 3
$$

On the right-hand side of the double inequality (33), letting $t \rightarrow 0^{+}$results in

$$
(-1)^{n+1}\left[B_{2 n}-B_{2 n}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right] \leq \frac{n(2 n-1)}{4}\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{2 n-3}}\right)\left|B_{2 n-2}\right|, \quad n \geq 2
$$

Further utilizing the identity (5) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2^{2 n+2}}{2^{2 n+2}-1} \frac{(n+1)(2 n+1)}{32} \leq\left|\frac{B_{2 n+2}}{B_{2 n}}\right| \leq \frac{2^{2 n+2}-8}{2^{2 n+2}-1} \frac{(n+1)(2 n+1)}{8} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Except the left-hand side for $n=1$, the double inequality (38) is weaker than

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2^{2 n}-2}{2^{2 n+1}-1} \frac{(n+1)(2 n+1)}{\pi^{2}}<\left|\frac{B_{2 n+2}}{B_{2 n}}\right|<\frac{2^{2 n+1}-2}{2^{2 n+2}-1} \frac{(n+1)(2 n+1)}{\pi^{2}} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, which was first established in [13, Theorem 1.1].
We note that the bounds in the double inequality (38) are rational. On the other hand, the lower and upper bounds in (39) are irrational.

By the way, the double inequality (39) has been nicely generalized in [14, 27, 29] and concisely reviewed in [18].

Proposition 5. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the double inequality

$$
\begin{align*}
\left.\frac{n(2 n-1)}{2 \pi^{2}}\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{2 n-3}}\right) \right\rvert\, & \left.B_{2 n-2}\left|[1+\cos (2 \pi t)]-\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{2 n-1}}\right)\right| B_{2 n} \right\rvert\, \\
& <(-1)^{n+1} B_{2 n}(t)<\frac{1+\left(2^{2 n}-1\right) \cos (2 \pi t)}{2^{2 n}}\left|B_{2 n}\right| \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

is valid for $t \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right) \cup\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$. For $n \geq 2$, the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-1)^{n+1} B_{2 n}(t)>\left|B_{2 n}\right|-\frac{n(2 n-1)}{2 \pi^{2}}\left|B_{2 n-2}\right|[1-\cos (2 \pi t)] \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

is valid for $t \in(0,1)$. When $n=1$, the inequality (41) is reversed for $t \in(0,1)$.
Proof. By virtue of the L'Hôpital rule and with the help of the formulas (3) and (5), a direct computation yields

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{B_{2 m}(t)-B_{2 m}}{B_{2 n}(t)-B_{2 n}}=\frac{m(2 m-1) B_{2 m-2}}{n(2 n-1) B_{2 n-2}}, \\
\lim _{t \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}} \frac{B_{2 m}(t)-B_{2 m}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{B_{2 n}(t)-B_{2 n}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}=\frac{m(2 m-1)\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{2 m-3}}\right) B_{2 m-2}}{\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{2 n-3}}\right) n(2 n-1) B_{2 n-2}}
\end{gathered}
$$

for $n>m \geq 2$, where we excluded the case $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} B_{1}(t)=B_{1}=-\frac{1}{2} \neq 0$, as well as

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}} \frac{B_{2 m}(t)-B_{2 m}}{B_{2 n}(t)-B_{2 n}}=\frac{\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{2 m}}\right) B_{2 m}}{\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{2 n}}\right) B_{2 n}}=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{B_{2 m}(t)-B_{2 m}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{B_{2 n}(t)-B_{2 n}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}
$$

for $n>m \in \mathbb{N}$. Applying the decreasing property given in Corollary 2, we derive

$$
\begin{aligned}
(-1)^{n-m} \frac{\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{2 m}}\right) B_{2 m}}{\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{2 n}}\right) B_{2 n}} & <(-1)^{n-m} \frac{B_{2 m}(t)-B_{2 m}}{B_{2 n}(t)-B_{2 n}} \\
& <(-1)^{n-m} \frac{m(2 m-1) B_{2 m-2}}{n(2 n-1) B_{2 n-2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
(-1)^{n-m} \frac{m(2 m-1)\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{2 m-3}}\right) B_{2 m-2}}{\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{2 n-3}}\right) n(2 n-1) B_{2 n-2}} & <(-1)^{n-m} \frac{B_{2 m}(t)-B_{2 m}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{B_{2 n}(t)-B_{2 n}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)} \\
& <(-1)^{n-m} \frac{\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{2 m}}\right) B_{2 m}}{\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{2 n}}\right) B_{2 n}}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $n>m \geq 2$ and $t \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$. By the fact that the function $f_{2 n}(t)=(-1)^{n+1} \frac{B_{2 n}(t)}{(2 n)!}$ is decreasing in $t \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, which was proved in the proof of Theorem 3, we can be reformulated the above two double inequalities as

$$
\begin{align*}
&(-1)^{m}\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{2 m}}\right) B_{2 m} \frac{B_{2 n}(t)-}{} B_{2 n} \\
&\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{2 n}}\right) B_{2 n}<(-1)^{m}\left[B_{2 m}(t)-B_{2 m}\right]  \tag{42}\\
&<(-1)^{m} m(2 m-1) B_{2 m-2} \frac{B_{2 n}(t)-B_{2 n}}{n(2 n-1) B_{2 n-2}}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& (-1)^{m+1} m(2 m-1)\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{2 m-3}}\right) B_{2 m-2} \frac{B_{2 n}(t)-B_{2 n}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{2 n-3}}\right) n(2 n-1) B_{2 n-2}} \\
& \quad<(-1)^{m+1}\left[B_{2 m}(t)-B_{2 m}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right]<\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{2 m}}\right)\left|B_{2 m}\right| \frac{B_{2 n}(t)-B_{2 n}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{2 n}}\right) B_{2 n}} \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

for $n>m \geq 2$ and $t \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$.
Making use of the asymptotic approximations (30) and (31), we deduce

$$
\frac{B_{2 n}(t)-B_{2 n}}{\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{2 n}}\right) B_{2 n}}=\frac{1}{1-\frac{1}{2^{2 n}}}\left[\frac{B_{2 n}(t)}{B_{2 n}}-1\right] \sim \cos (2 \pi t)-1
$$

and

$$
\frac{B_{2 n}(t)-B_{2 n}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{2 n}}\right) B_{2 n}}=\frac{1}{1-\frac{1}{2^{2 n}}}\left[\frac{B_{2 n}(t)}{B_{2 n}}-\frac{B_{2 n}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{B_{2 n}}\right] \sim \cos (2 \pi t)+1
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Employing the asymptotic approximations in (7) leads to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{B_{2 n}(t)-B_{2 n}}{n(2 n-1) B_{2 n-2}} & \sim \frac{1}{n(2 n-1)} \frac{(-1)^{\lfloor n\rfloor-1} \frac{2(2 n)!}{(2 \pi)^{2 n}}[\cos (2 \pi t)-1]}{(-1)^{\lfloor n-1\rfloor-1} \frac{2(2 n-2)!}{(2 \pi)^{2 n-2}}} \\
& =\frac{1-\cos (2 \pi t)}{2 \pi^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{B_{2 n}(t)-B_{2 n}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{2 n-3}}\right) n(2 n-1) B_{2 n-2}} & \sim \frac{(-1)^{\lfloor n\rfloor-1} \frac{2(2 n)!}{(2 \pi)^{2 n}}[\cos (2 \pi t)+1]}{n(2 n-1)(-1)^{\lfloor n-1\rfloor-1} \frac{2(2 n-2)!}{(2 \pi)^{2 n-2}}} \\
& =-\frac{1+\cos (2 \pi t)}{2 \pi^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Accordingly, taking $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (42) and (43) and using the above four asymptotic approximations figure out

$$
\begin{align*}
(-1)^{m}\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{2 m}}\right) B_{2 m}[\cos (2 \pi t)-1] & <(-1)^{m}\left[B_{2 m}(t)-B_{2 m}\right] \\
& <(-1)^{m} m(2 m-1) B_{2 m-2} \frac{1-\cos (2 \pi t)}{2 \pi^{2}} \tag{44}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& (-1)^{m} m(2 m-1)\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{2 m-3}}\right) B_{2 m-2} \frac{1+\cos (2 \pi t)}{2 \pi^{2}} \\
& \quad<(-1)^{m+1}\left[B_{2 m}(t)-B_{2 m}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right]<\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{2 m}}\right)\left|B_{2 m}\right|[\cos (2 \pi t)+1] \tag{45}
\end{align*}
$$

for $m \geq 2$ on ( $0, \frac{1}{2}$ ). The right-hand side of the double inequality (44) can be simplified as the inequality (41) for $n \geq 2$ in $t \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$, while the left-hand side of the double inequality (44) is rearranged as the right-hand side of the double inequality (40) for $n \geq 2$ in $t \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$. In view of the identity (5), the double inequality (45) can be reformulated as the double inequality (40) for $n \geq 2$ in $t \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$.

Moreover, when $n=1$, the double inequality (40) and the reversed version of the inequality (41) become

$$
-\frac{1+\cos (2 \pi t)}{2 \pi^{2}}-\frac{1}{12}<t^{2}-t+\frac{1}{6}<\frac{1+3 \cos (2 \pi t)}{24}
$$

and

$$
t^{2}-t+\frac{1}{6}<\frac{\cos (2 \pi t)}{2 \pi^{2}}+\frac{1}{6}-\frac{1}{2 \pi^{2}}
$$

These inequalities can be elementarily verified for $t \in(0,1) \backslash\left\{\frac{1}{2}\right\}$.
On the interval $\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$, all proofs are straightforwardly repeated. The required proof is complete.

Remark 6. The lower bounds in (40) and (41) are not included each other.
Remark 7. Since

$$
\cos (2 \pi t)-\frac{1+\left(2^{2 n}-1\right) \cos (2 \pi t)}{2^{2 n}}=-\frac{1-\cos (2 \pi t)}{2^{2 n}}<0
$$

and

$$
\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{2 n-1}}\right) \cos (2 \pi t)-\frac{1+\left(2^{2 n}-1\right) \cos (2 \pi t)}{2^{2 n}}=-\frac{1+\cos (2 \pi t)}{2^{2 n}}<0
$$

the upper bound given in (40) is weaker than the corresponding ones in (28) and (29).

Remark 8. The double inequality (44) bounds the differences $B_{2 m}(t)-B_{2 m}$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$ on $(0,1)$. We note that the differences $B_{2 m}(t)-B_{2 m}$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$ have been investigated in the paper [4].
Remark 9. Taking $t=\frac{1}{2}$ in (41), using the identity (5), and replacing $n$ by $n+1$ reveal

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{B_{2 n+2}}{B_{2 n}}\right|<\frac{2^{2 n+1}}{2^{2 n+2}-1} \frac{(n+1)(2 n+1)}{\pi^{2}}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

This inequality is weaker than the right-hand side of the double inequality (39), but it is better than the upper bound of the double inequality (38).

Proposition 6. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the double inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{6 t^{2}-6 t+1}{t(2 t-1)(t-1)} \leq \frac{(2 n+1) B_{2 n}(t)}{B_{2 n+1}(t)}<2 \pi \cot (2 \pi t) \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{6 t^{2}-6 t+1}{3(1-2 t)} \leq-\frac{B_{2 n}(t)}{n B_{2 n-1}(t)}<\frac{\cot (2 \pi t)}{\pi} \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

are valid in $t \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ and reverse in $t \in\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$.
Proof. This follows from combining the monotonicity of the sequence $\frac{(2 n+1) B_{2 n}(t)}{B_{2 n+1}(t)}$ in $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ and the sequence $\frac{B_{2 n}(t)}{n B_{2 n-1}(t)}$ in $n \in \mathbb{N}$ for fixed $t \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right) \cup\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$ with the limits (14) and (19) in Theorems 2 and 4.

Proposition 7. The sequence $\frac{\left|B_{2 n}\right|}{(2 n)!}$ is logarithmically convex in $n \in \mathbb{N}$, while the sequence $\frac{\left|B_{2 n}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right|}{(2 n)!}$ is logarithmically concave in $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Consequently, the sequence $\zeta(2 n)$ is logarithmically convex in $n \in \mathbb{N}$, while the sequence $\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{2 n-1}}\right) \zeta(2 n)$ is logarithmically concave in $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
Proof. The decreasing property of the sequence $\frac{B_{2 n}(t)}{n B_{2 n-1}(t)}$ in $n \in \mathbb{N}$ for fixed $t \in$ ( $0, \frac{1}{2}$ ) and the limit (19) in Theorem 4 imply that, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$,

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{t B_{2 n}(t)}{n B_{2 n-1}(t)} \geq \lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{t B_{2 n+2}(t)}{(n+1) B_{2 n+1}(t)} \geq-\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{t \cot (2 \pi t)}{\pi}
$$

and

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{-}} \frac{\left(t-\frac{1}{2}\right) B_{2 n}(t)}{n B_{2 n-1}(t)} \leq \lim _{t \rightarrow\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{-}} \frac{\left(t-\frac{1}{2}\right) B_{2 n+2}(t)}{(n+1) B_{2 n+1}(t)} \leq-\lim _{t \rightarrow\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{-}} \frac{\left(t-\frac{1}{2}\right) \cot (2 \pi t)}{\pi}
$$

They are equivalent to, by virtue of the L'Hôpital rule and the formula,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{B_{2 n}}{n(2 n-1) B_{2 n-2}} \geq \frac{B_{2 n+2}}{(n+1)(2 n+1) B_{2 n}} \geq-\frac{1}{2 \pi^{2}} \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{B_{2 n}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{n(2 n-1) B_{2 n-2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)} \leq \frac{B_{2 n+2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{(n+1)(2 n+1) B_{2 n+1}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)} \leq-\frac{1}{2 \pi^{2}} \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The left-hand sides in the inequalities (49) and (50) can be reformulated as

$$
\frac{\left|B_{2 n}\right| /(2 n)!}{\left|B_{2 n-2}\right| /(2 n-2)!} \leq \frac{\left|B_{2 n+2}\right| /(2 n+2)!}{\left|B_{2 n}\right| /(2 n)!}
$$

and

$$
\frac{\left|B_{2 n}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right| /(2 n)!}{\left|B_{2 n-2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right| /(2 n-2)!} \geq \frac{\left|B_{2 n+2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right| /(2 n+2)!}{\left|B_{2 n}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right| /(2 n)!}
$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Consequently, the sequence $\frac{\left|B_{2 n}\right|}{(2 n)!}$ is logarithmically convex in $n \in \mathbb{N}$, while the sequence $\frac{\left|B_{2 n}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right|}{(2 n)!}$ is logarithmically concave in $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Using the relations (8) and (5) leads to

$$
\frac{\left|B_{2 n}\right|}{(2 n)!}=\frac{2 \zeta(2 n)}{(2 \pi)^{2 n}} \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{\left|B_{2 n}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right|}{(2 n)!}=2\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{2 n-1}}\right) \frac{\zeta(2 n)}{(2 \pi)^{2 n}}
$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. As a result, the sequence $\zeta(2 n)$ is logarithmically convex in $n \in \mathbb{N}$, while the sequence $\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{2 n-1}}\right) \zeta(2 n)$ is logarithmically concave in $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The required proof is complete.
Remark 10. In [5], Cerone and Dragomir proved that the reciprocal $\frac{1}{\zeta(t)}$ is concave on $(1, \infty)$. In the paper [18], the following conclusions were proved:
(1) The sequence $\left|\frac{B_{2 n+2}}{B_{2 n}}\right|$ is increasing in $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, and then the sequence $\left|B_{2 n}\right|$ is logarithmically convex in $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$.
(2) For fixed $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, the sequence

$$
\frac{\prod_{k=1}^{\ell}[2(n+1)+k]}{\prod_{k=1}^{\ell}(2 n+k)}\left|\frac{B_{2 n+2}}{B_{2 n}}\right|
$$

is increasing in $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and then the sequence $(2 n+\ell)!\frac{\left|B_{2 n}\right|}{(2 n)!}$ is logarithmically convex in $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
The logarithmic concavity of the sequence $\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{2 n-1}}\right) \zeta(2 n)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is a special case of the fact in [22] that the Dirichlet eta function $\eta(t)=\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{t-1}}\right) \zeta(t)$ is logarithmically concave in $t \in(0, \infty)$. This fact is the key to establish the double inequality (39) in [13].

Let $\alpha>0$ be a constant. In [9], it was proved that the functions

$$
x \mapsto\binom{x+\alpha+\ell}{\alpha} \frac{\eta(x+\alpha)}{\eta(x)}, \quad \ell=0,1
$$

are both increasing from $(0, \infty)$ onto $(0, \infty)$, and then the functions $\Gamma(x+\ell) \eta(x)$ are both logarithmically convex in $x \in(0, \infty)$.

By the way, the papers $[9,18]$ are siblings of the papers $[6,15,16]$.
Remark 11. From the right-hand sides of the inequalities (49) and (50), we can deduce the double inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2^{2 n}-2}{2^{2 n+1}-1} \frac{(n+1)(2 n+1)}{\pi^{2}}<\left|\frac{B_{2 n+2}}{B_{2 n}}\right|<\frac{(n+1)(2 n+1)}{2 \pi^{2}}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

The lower bound in (51) is the same as the corresponding one in (39) and is better than the lower bound in (38), while the upper bound in (51) is better than the corresponding ones in (38) and (46), but the upper bound in (51) is worse than the corresponding one in (39).

Proposition 8. The double inequality

$$
\frac{2^{2 n+3}\left(2^{2 n-1}-1\right)}{\left(2^{2 n+2}-1\right)\left(2^{2 n+1}-1\right)} \frac{(n+1)(2 n+1)}{\pi^{2}} \leq\left|\frac{B_{2 n+2}}{B_{2 n}}\right|
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\leq \frac{2^{4 n+2}}{\left(2^{2 n+2}-1\right)\left(2^{2 n+1}+1\right)} \frac{(n+1)(2 n+1)}{\pi^{2}} \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

is valid for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The left-hand side of the double inequality (52) is also valid for the case $n=0$.

Proof. The inequality (18) can be reformulated as

$$
\frac{f_{2 n}(t)}{f_{2 n-1}(t)} \geq \frac{f_{2 m}(t)}{f_{2 m-1}(t)}-\frac{f_{2 m}\left(r_{2 n}\right)}{f_{2 m-1}(t)}>\frac{f_{2 m}(t)}{f_{2 m-1}(t)}
$$

for $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m<n$ and $0<t<\frac{1}{2}$. By the definitions in (15), we can transfer this inequality to

$$
-\frac{B_{2 n}(t)}{n B_{2 n-1}(t)} \geq-\frac{B_{2 m}(t)}{m B_{2 m-1}(t)}+\frac{B_{2 m}\left(r_{2 n}\right)}{m} \frac{1}{B_{2 m-1}(t)}>-\frac{B_{2 m}(t)}{m B_{2 m-1}(t)}
$$

for $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m<n$ and $0<t<\frac{1}{2}$. Taking $n \rightarrow \infty$, using the limit (19), and employing the fact $r_{2 n} \rightarrow \frac{1}{4}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in Lemma 1 arrive at

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\cot (2 \pi t)}{\pi} \geq-\frac{B_{2 m}(t)}{m B_{2 m-1}(t)}+\frac{B_{2 m}\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)}{m} \frac{1}{B_{2 m-1}(t)}>-\frac{B_{2 m}(t)}{m B_{2 m-1}(t)} \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0<t<\frac{1}{2}$. Multiplying all sides of the double inequality (53) by $t>0$, letting $t \rightarrow 0^{+}$, applying the L'Hôpital rule to the $\operatorname{limit}_{\lim }^{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{t}{B_{2 m-1}(t)}$ for $m \geq 2$, and using the formula (3) give

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 \pi^{2}} \geq \frac{1}{m(2 m-1)}\left[-\frac{B_{2 m}}{B_{2 m-2}}+\frac{B_{2 m}\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)}{B_{2 m-2}}\right]>-\frac{B_{2 m}}{m(2 m-1) B_{2 m-2}} \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $m \geq 2$. Applying the identity (6) to the case $n=2 m$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$ in (54) and simplifying result in

$$
\frac{m(2 m-1)}{2 \pi^{2}} \geq\left(\frac{2^{4 m-1}+2^{2 m-1}-1}{2^{4 m-1}}\right)\left|\frac{B_{2 m}}{B_{2 m-2}}\right|>\left|\frac{B_{2 m}}{B_{2 m-2}}\right|, \quad m \geq 2
$$

The left-hand side of this double inequality is just the right-hand side of the double inequality (52).

Multiplying all sides of the double inequality (53) by $\frac{1}{2}-t>0$, letting $t \rightarrow\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{-}$, applying the L'Hôpital rule to the $\operatorname{limit} \lim _{t \rightarrow\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)-} \frac{\frac{1}{2}-t}{B_{2 m-1}(t)}$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and using the formula (3) show

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{m(2 m-1)}{2 \pi^{2}} \geq \frac{B_{2 m}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)-B_{2 m}\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)}{B_{2 m-2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}>\frac{B_{2 m}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{B_{2 m-2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)} \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Making use of the identities (5) and (6) in the left-hand side of the double inequality (55) demonstrates the left-hand side of the double inequality (52). The required proof is complete.

Remark 12. A direct computation shows

$$
\frac{2^{2 n+3}\left(2^{2 n-1}-1\right)}{\left(2^{n+1}-1\right)\left(2^{n+1}+1\right)\left(2^{2 n+1}-1\right)}-\frac{2^{2 n}-2}{2^{2 n+1}-1}=\frac{4^{n}-2}{\left(2^{2 n+2}-1\right)\left(2^{2 n+1}-1\right)}>0
$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Consequently, the lower bound in the double inequality (52) is better than the lower bounds in the double inequalities (38), (39), and (51).

The upper bound in the double inequality (52) is better than the upper bounds in (38), (46), and (51), but it is worse than the upper bound in (39).
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