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#### Abstract

A mathematical model featuring the motion of a multilink wheeled vehicle is developed using a nonholonomic model. A detailed analysis of the inertial motion is made. Fixed points of the reduced system are identified, their stability is analyzed, and invariant manifolds are found. For the case of three platforms (links), a phase portrait for motion on an invariant manifold is shown and trajectories of the attachment points of the wheel pairs of the three-link vehicle are presented. In addition, an analysis is made of motion in the case where the leading platform has a rotor whose angular velocity is a periodic function of time. The existence of trajectories for which one of the velocity components increases without bound is established, and the asymptotics for it is found.


## 1 Introduction

This paper continues a series of studies of the dynamics of various wheeled vehicles using a nonholonomic model. We recall that this model assumes the absence of slipping at the points of contact of the wheels with the supporting plane. It was shown in [1] that, if the wheel has the form of a circle with one point of contact with the supporting plane, it is equivalent to a skate and the absence of slipping in the direction perpendicular to the plane of the skate can be described by a nonholonomic constraint. In what follows, we will assume this condition to be satisfied. Of course, this approach does not take into account more complicated wheel designs such as, for example, the omniwheel [2] or the origami wheel 3].

The simplest and best studied wheeled vehicle is a rigid body with a wheel pair fastened to it. This system is equivalent to the Chaplygin sleigh 4. A detailed analysis of the motion of the Chaplygin sleigh was carried out by

Carathéodory [5], and various generalizations of this problem were considered in [6.7. The problems of controlling such a wheeled vehicle are interesting from the viewpoint of practical application. The motion of the Chaplygin sleigh under the action of periodic changes in the mass distribution was investigated in 8]. In [9] (see also references therein), a description is given of an interesting dynamical phenomenon, a nonlinear nonholonomic acceleration under the action of bounded periodic excitation generated by the prescribed motion of structural elements (point masses, rotors etc.). Various versions of the problem of the optimal control of such a vehicle by controlling the translational and angular velocities were addressed in [10, The influence of dry friction on the motion of the symmetric and asymmetric Chaplygin sleigh was investigated in [12, 13, and the influence of viscous friction was examined in 14

More elaborate designs are those of two-link and three-link vehicles consisting of two or three platforms with rigidly attached wheel pairs. The most general asymmetric two-link wheeled vehicle of such type is usually called a Roller Racer. The inertial and controlled motion of a Roller Racer was examined in detail in [15-19]. The inertial motion of a symmetric three-link vehicle, namely, the special case in which the system is integrable was studied in [21]. The motion of a Roller Racer where the point of attachment of the wheel pair coincides with the point of coupling of the platforms, with periodically moving point masses on the leading platform, was examined in [22, 23]. A stability analysis of the straight-line motion of a Roller Racer on a vibrating plane was carried out in [24].

The snakeboard is an intermediate model between the Roller Racer and the three-link robot. It is a three-link robot on whose central platform there is no wheel pair. The free motion (without controls) of a snakeboard was studied in [25], and the controllability of this system by the Rashevsky-Chow theorem was shown in [26]. The motion of a snakeboard was also studied, for example, in [27-[29]. An analysis of the controlled motion by controlling one or several angles between the links of a three-link robot was carried out in 30 33]. It is well known that "singular" configurations [34, 35] arise when the angles between the platforms in multilink systems are chosen as controls. When the robot passes through these configurations, the constraint reactions begin to grow without bound, so that the nonholonomic model becomes inapplicable. Therefore [36], a hybrid model was proposed with switching between the nonholonomic model and the model taking into account sliding obeying the law of Coulomb friction, for a symmetric three-link robot.

The dynamics of a wheeled vehicle consisting of three or more platforms is explored in [37], where equations of motion are obtained for an articulated N trailer robot performing inertial motion on a plane and it is assumed that the trailer consists of $N$ identical platforms. The control of such wheeled systems, which consist of $N$ coupled platforms, with a wheel pair fastened to each of them, was discussed, for example, in [?,39]. The controlled motion of such robots with passive (free) platforms and an experiment in controlling an $N$-trailer robot (with $N=4$ ) were performed in 40.

Nonetheless, the dynamics of an $N$-link $(N>3)$ wheeled vehicle remains
largely underexplored. This is partially due to the problem of deriving equations of motion and reducing them to a form convenient for investigation. For example, incorporating a platform (link) leads to an additional nonholonomic constraint, which, in turn, leads to addition of an undetermined Lagrange multiplier to the equations of motion (see, e.g., 41,42). In this paper, we choose quasi-velocities in such a way that nonholonomic constraints are given by equality of these quasi-velocities to zero. Such a parameterization is described in detail in 433 and is called a natural parameterization, but it was used already by Hamel 44. A natural parameterization allows undetermined multipliers to be eliminated from equations of motion. As quasi-velocities we choose the translational and angular velocities of the platforms in the moving coordinate system. Moreover, for the system under consideration it turned out that part of constraints can be taken into account in the Lagrangian function prior to substitution into the equations of motion. All this has made it possible to obtain equations of motion for the system in a sufficiently compact form.

In the general case, the straight-line motion (without control) for wheeled systems can lose stability [16, 45] as the parameters are varied. In this paper, it is shown that, for the system considered, the straight-line motion is stable for an arbitrary number of links and for any parameter values. It is also shown that adding a periodic control action (a rotor) leads to an unbounded speedup of the wheeled vehicle in a neighborhood of the solution corresponding to straight-line motion, and the asymptotics of velocities are obtained. This paper is mainly a generalization of [16] to the case of $N$ platforms.

## 2 Mathematical model

Design and main assumptions. Consider a wheeled vehicle that moves on a horizontal plane and consists of $N+1$ coupled platforms (see Fig. (1). The platforms are connected to each other by means of hinges in such a way that they can freely (i.e., without friction) rotate about the vertical axis passing through the point of articulation and perpendicular to the plane of motion.

We make some natural assumptions concerning the design of each of the platforms.

A1. A pair of wheels are rigidly attached to each platform and roll without slipping on the supporting plane.

A2. The center of mass of each wheel pair is at the center of the axis connecting the wheels.

A3. The center of mass of the platform lies on a straight line which is perpendicular to the axis of the wheel pair and passes through the center of mass of the wheel pair.

We single out one of the outermost platform and assume that several conditions are fulfilled for it.

B1. The point of attachment of the next platform coincides with the center of mass of the wheel pair.

B2. The platform has a balanced rotor with a vertical axis of rotation. Its angular velocity is a given periodic function of time.

In what follows, we will call this platform the Chaplygin sleigh because, in the absence of other platforms $(N=0)$ and a rotor, this system reduces to the well-known problem of the motion of the Chaplygin sleigh [1. We will call the other $N$ platforms a trailer, for which the following restriction is fulfilled.

C1. For all platforms of the trailer, the center of mass of the wheel pair (which is the point of attachment of the wheel pair to the platform) lies in the middle of the segment joining the hinges.

The wheeled vehicle consisting of $N+1$ platforms for which assumptions $\mathbf{A}$ and B1 are satisfied, but such that the point of attachment of the wheel pair of each platform (except for the first and the last) coincides with the point of articulation with another platform was discussed in 37.


Figure 1: Diagrammatic representation of an $N$-link vehicle on a plane.
Configuration variables and notation. To describe the motion of the system, we introduce generalized coordinates parameterizing the configuration space. To do so, we define two coordinate systems:

- a fixed coordinate system $O x y$ whose axes are fixed and lie in the supporting plane;
- a moving coordinate system $C x_{1} x_{2}$ rigidly attached to the leading platform as shown in Fig Point $C$ coincides with the center of mass of the
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wheel pair, and the axis $C x_{1}$ passes through the center of mass of the platform.

Let $\boldsymbol{r}_{C}=(x, y)$ be the radius vector of the center of mass of the wheel pair of the Chaplygin sleigh (point $C$ ) in the coordinate system $O x y$. We will specify the orientation of the Chaplygin sleigh by the angle $\psi$ between the axes $O x$ and $C x_{1}$ and assume that the counterclockwise direction from the axis $O x$ is positive. In addition, we let $\varphi_{i}$ denote the angle between the $i$ th platform of the trailer and the Chaplygin sleigh that is measured from the axis of the sleigh in the counterclockwise direction (see Fig. (1). We note that the angles between the platforms are passive, i.e., they are not defined by predetermined functions of time or generalized coordinates.

Thus, the configuration space $\mathcal{N}$ for the system is

$$
\mathcal{N}=\left\{\boldsymbol{q}=\left(x, y, \psi, \varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}, \ldots, \varphi_{N}\right)\right\} \approx \mathbb{R}^{2} \times \mathbb{T}^{N+1}
$$

To describe an $(N+1)$-link vehicle, we need to define, in addition to the generalized coordinates $\boldsymbol{q}$, its mass-geometric characteristics, which are presented in Table 1.

Table 1: Mass-geometric characteristics of an $(N+1)$-link vehicle

| Notation | Description |
| :---: | :--- |
| $m_{i}$ | Mass of the $i$ th platform <br> $(i=0, \ldots, N)$ |
| $I_{i}$ | Central moment of inertia of the $i$ th platform <br> $(i=0, \ldots, N)$ |
| $a_{0}$ | Distance from the center of mass of the sleigh <br> to the center of mass of the wheel pair |
| $c_{i}$ | Distance from the hinge of the $i$ th platform <br> to the point of attachment of the wheel <br> pair $(i=1, \ldots, N)$ |
| $a_{i}$ | Distance from the center of mass of the <br> $i$ th platform, measured from the right <br> hinge $(i=1, \ldots, N)$ |

Nonholonomic constraints. In [1] it was shown that for the system considered the conditions for the wheels to roll without slipping can be replaced with the Chaplygin constraints 1 and that the degrees of freedom describing the turning angles of the wheels can be ignored. Therefore, in what follows we replace each wheel pair with a weightless knife edge (skate) located at its center of mass.

Let us define the unit vectors directed along the normal and tangent to the plane of the knife edge. For the sleigh they have the form

$$
\boldsymbol{n}_{0}=(-\sin \psi, \cos \psi), \quad \boldsymbol{\tau}_{0}=(\cos \psi, \sin \psi)
$$
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and for the $i$ th platform of the trailer they are given by the relations
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{n}_{i} & =\left(-\sin \left(\psi+\varphi_{i}\right), \cos \left(\psi+\varphi_{i}\right)\right) \\
\boldsymbol{\tau}_{i} & =\left(\cos \left(\psi+\varphi_{i}\right), \sin \left(\psi+\varphi_{i}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

Then, in the chosen variables, the constraint equations can be represented as

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{C} \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_{0}= & -\dot{x} \sin \psi+\dot{y} \cos \psi=0 \\
\dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{C_{i}} \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_{i}= & -\dot{x} \sin \left(\psi+\varphi_{i}\right)+\dot{y} \cos \left(\psi+\varphi_{i}\right)- \\
& 2 \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} c_{j}\left(\dot{\psi}+\dot{\varphi}_{j}\right) \cos \left(\varphi_{i}-\varphi_{j}\right)-c_{i}\left(\dot{\psi}+\dot{\varphi}_{i}\right)=0 \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{b}$ denotes the scalar product of the vectors $\boldsymbol{a}$ and $\boldsymbol{b}$. Here $\boldsymbol{r}_{C_{i}}=$ $\boldsymbol{r}_{C}-2 \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} c_{j} \boldsymbol{\tau}_{j}-c_{i} \boldsymbol{\tau}_{i}$ is the radius vector of the point of attachment of the skate (wheel pair) of the $i$ th platform.

Kinetic energy. The total kinetic energy of the system is composed of the kinetic energies of every single platform:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=T_{0}+\sum_{i=1}^{N} T_{i} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the kinetic energy of the sleigh, $T_{0}$, and the kinetic energy of the $i$ th platform, $T_{i}$, have the form

$$
\begin{gather*}
T_{0}=\frac{m_{0}}{2}\left|\dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{C}+a_{0} \dot{\psi} \boldsymbol{n}_{0}\right|^{2}+\frac{I_{0}}{2} \dot{\psi}^{2}+k(t) \dot{\psi}  \tag{3}\\
T_{i}=\frac{m_{i}}{2}\left|\dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{C}-a_{i}\left(\dot{\psi}+\dot{\varphi}_{i}\right) \boldsymbol{n}_{i}-2 \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} c_{j}\left(\dot{\psi}+\dot{\varphi}_{j}\right) \boldsymbol{n}_{j}\right|^{2}+\frac{I_{i}}{2}\left(\dot{\psi}+\dot{\varphi}_{i}\right)^{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $k(t)$ is the angular momentum generated by rotating the rotor.
Remark 1. The expression for the kinetic energy $T_{0}$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{0}=\frac{m_{0}}{2}\left|\dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{C}+a_{0} \dot{\psi} \boldsymbol{n}_{0}\right|^{2}+\frac{I_{p}}{2} \dot{\psi}^{2}+\frac{I_{r}}{2}\left(\dot{\psi}+\dot{\psi}_{r}\right)^{2} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I_{p}$ and $I_{r}$ are the moments of inertia of the platform and the rotor, and $\psi_{r}=\psi_{r}(t)$ is the turning angle of the rotor. We write equation (4) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{0}=\frac{m_{0}}{2}\left|\dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{C}+a_{0} \dot{\psi} \boldsymbol{n}_{0}\right|^{2}+\frac{I_{p}+I_{r}}{2} \dot{\psi}^{2}+I_{r} \dot{\psi} \dot{\psi}_{r}+\frac{I_{r}}{2} \dot{\psi}_{r}^{2} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The last term depends only on time and hence does not appear in the LagrangeEuler equations (9), so that it can be excluded from consideration. Introducing the notation $I_{0}=I_{p}+I_{r}, k(t)=I_{r} \dot{\psi}_{r}$, we obtain an expression for the kinetic energy (3).

Equations of motion in quasi-velocities. Let $\boldsymbol{v}=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)$ be the translational velocity of point $C$ referred to the coordinate system $C x_{1} x_{2}$, and let $\omega$ be the absolute angular velocity of the sleigh. The relation of the quasi-velocities $\boldsymbol{v}$ and $\omega$ to the generalized velocities of the sleigh is given by

$$
v_{1}=\dot{x} \cos \psi+\dot{y} \sin \psi, \quad v_{2}=-\dot{x} \sin \psi+\dot{y} \cos \psi, \quad \omega=\dot{\psi}
$$

In addition, we introduce quasi-velocities $\boldsymbol{w}=\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{N}\right)$, where $w_{i}=$ $\dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{C_{i}} \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_{i}, i=1, \ldots, N$. In the chosen quasi-velocities the constraint equations (1) have the following simple form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{2}=0, \quad w_{i}=0, \quad i=1, \ldots, N \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The expression for the generalized velocities $\dot{\boldsymbol{q}}$ in terms of the quasi-velocities $\boldsymbol{u}=(\boldsymbol{v}, \omega, \boldsymbol{w})$ can be represented as

$$
\dot{\boldsymbol{q}}=v_{1} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{v}+v_{2} \boldsymbol{\nu}_{v}+\omega \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\omega}+\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_{i} \boldsymbol{\rho}_{i}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{v}, \boldsymbol{\nu}_{v}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\omega}, \boldsymbol{\rho}_{i}$ are vector fields in a configuration space $\mathcal{N}$ of the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\omega}= & \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi}-\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_{i}}, \\
\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{v}= & \cos \psi \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\sin \psi \frac{\partial}{\partial y}+\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{(-1)^{i}}{c_{i}} \sin \theta_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_{i}}, \\
\boldsymbol{\nu}_{v}= & -\sin \psi \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\cos \psi \frac{\partial}{\partial y}-\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{(-1)^{i}}{c_{i}} \cos \theta_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_{i}}, \\
\boldsymbol{\rho}_{i}= & -\frac{1}{c_{i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_{i}}+\frac{2}{c_{i+1}} \cos \left(\varphi_{i}-\varphi_{i+1}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_{i+1}}+ \\
& 2 \sum_{k=i+2}^{N} \frac{(-1)^{k+i+1}}{c_{k}} \cos \left(\theta_{k}-\theta_{i}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_{k}}, \quad i=1, \ldots N-1, \\
\boldsymbol{\rho}_{N}= & -\frac{1}{c_{N}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_{N}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have introduced the notation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{i}=(-1)^{i+1} \varphi_{i}+2 \sum_{j=1}^{i-1}(-1)^{j+1} \varphi_{j} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

To derive the equations of motion for the sleigh (taking the nonholonomic constraints into account) in the chosen quasi-velocities, it suffices to calculate the commutator of vector fields $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{v}$ and $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\omega}$ (for details, see [43]), for which the following relation holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{v}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\omega}\right]=-\boldsymbol{\nu}_{v} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $[\cdot, \cdot]$ denotes Lie brackets. Then the equations of motion can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{\partial T^{*}}{\partial v_{1}}\right)-\sigma_{v}\left(T^{*}\right) & =\left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial v_{2}}\right)^{*} \omega \\
\frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{\partial T^{*}}{\partial \omega}\right)-\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\omega}\left(T^{*}\right) & =-\left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial v_{2}}\right)^{*} v_{1} \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

where $T$ is the kinetic energy (2) written in quasi-velocities $\boldsymbol{u}=(\boldsymbol{v}, \omega, \boldsymbol{w})$ and ( )* denotes substitution of the constraints (6).

It can be seen from the expression (8) that the commutator has no vector fields $\boldsymbol{\rho}_{i}$, therefore, equations (9) contain no terms of the form $\frac{\partial T}{\partial w_{i}}$. Hence, to derive the equations of motion, it suffices to represent the kinetic energy as

$$
T=T^{*}+C v_{2}+\ldots,
$$

where the dots denote terms depending on $\boldsymbol{w}$ and quadratic in $v_{2}$. The expressions for the kinetic energy $T^{*}$ and the coefficient $C$ have the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& T^{*}=\frac{1}{2} \Phi_{0}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}) v_{1}^{2}+\frac{J}{2} \omega^{2}+k(t) \omega \\
& C=b \omega-\frac{v_{1}}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mu_{i} \sin \left(2 \theta_{i}\right),  \tag{10}\\
& \Phi_{0}(\boldsymbol{\varphi})=m+\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mu_{i} \sin ^{2} \theta_{i}>0, \quad \varphi=\left(\varphi_{1}, \ldots, \varphi_{N}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where the following parameters are introduced:

$$
\begin{gather*}
J=I_{0}+m_{0} a_{0}^{2}, \quad m=\sum_{i=0}^{N} m_{i}, \quad b=m_{0} a_{0},  \tag{11}\\
\mu_{i}=\frac{1}{c_{i}^{2}}\left(I_{i}+m_{i} a_{i}\left(a_{i}-2 c_{i}\right)\right) .
\end{gather*}
$$

Substituting the expressions (10) into (9), we obtain equations governing the evolution of $\left(v_{1}, \omega\right)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi_{0}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}) \dot{v}_{1} & =b \omega^{2}+\Phi_{1}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}) v_{1}^{2}+\Phi_{2}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}) \omega v_{1}, \\
J \dot{\omega} & =-b \omega v_{1}-\dot{k}, \\
\Phi_{1}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}) & =\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mu_{i} \sin \left(2 \theta_{i}\right)\left[\frac{\sin \theta_{i}}{2 c_{i}}+\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{\sin \theta_{j}}{c_{j}}\right],  \tag{12}\\
\Phi_{2}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}) & =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mu_{i} \sin \left(2 \theta_{i}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

This system needs to be supplemented with equations governing the evolution of the angles between the platforms:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\varphi}_{i}=(-1)^{i} \frac{v_{1}}{c_{i}} \sin \theta_{i}-\omega, \quad i=1, \ldots, N . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (12) and (13) form a reduced system. To recover the motion of the Chaplygin sleigh in the fixed coordinate system from the known solution of the reduced system, it is necessary to supplement it with the kinematic relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{x}=v_{1} \cos \psi, \quad \dot{y}=v_{1} \sin \psi, \quad \dot{\psi}=\omega . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the system we consider here, the following case is singled out:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{1}=0, \quad \ldots, \quad \mu_{N}=0 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which the angles $\varphi_{1}, \ldots, \varphi_{N}$ do not appear explicitly in the equations of motion (12). As a result, the equations of motion governing the evolution of the velocities, which coincide with the equations for the Chaplygin sleigh, decouple from the general system.

Remark 2. Condition (15) implies that $I_{i}=m_{i} a_{i}\left(2 c_{i}-a_{i}\right)$. Such a moment of inertia corresponds, for example, to the case where the mass distribution of the platform reduces to two point masses located as shown in Fig. 2 $\left(A_{i} \xi_{i} \eta_{i}\right.$ is the coordinate system on the $i$ th platform that is attached to its center of mass, $\left.d=\sqrt{a_{i}\left(2 c_{i}-a_{i}\right)}\right)$.


Figure 2: A schematic representation of the mass distribution on the $i$ th platform for which $\mu_{i}=0$.

Remark 3. Condition (15) was obtained in (22) for a similar wheeled vehicle consisting of two platforms.

## 3 The inertial motion and stability of partial solutions

Let the rotor be at rest $(k=0)$. Then the equations of motion (12) and (13) admit the energy integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=T^{*}=\frac{\Phi_{0}(\varphi)}{2} v_{1}^{2}+\frac{J}{2} \omega^{2}=\text { const. } \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$
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Let us fix the level set of the energy integral $E\left(v_{1}, \omega, \boldsymbol{\varphi}\right)=h$ and introduce a new angle variable $\vartheta \in[0,2 \pi)$ :

$$
v_{1}=\sqrt{\frac{2 h}{\Phi_{0}(\varphi)}} \cos \vartheta, \quad \omega=\sqrt{\frac{2 h}{J}} \sin \vartheta
$$

Then, after rescaling time as $d t=\frac{\sqrt{\Phi_{0}(\varphi)}}{\sqrt{2 h}} d \tau$, the equation governing the evolution of the angle variable introduced above decouples from the general system:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vartheta^{\prime}=-\frac{b}{J} \sin \vartheta \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the prime denotes the derivative with respect to the new time $\tau$. The equations for the angles defining the orientation of the platforms of the trailer can be represented as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{i}^{\prime}=\frac{(-1)^{i}}{c_{i}} \cos \vartheta \sin \theta_{i}-\frac{\sqrt{\Phi_{0}(\varphi)}}{\sqrt{J}} \sin \vartheta, \quad i=1, \ldots N \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The system (17)-(18) possesses isolated $2^{N+1}$ fixed points which correspond to the motion of all platforms in the same straight line. We divide them into two families, depending on the sign of the translational velocity $v_{1}$ :

$$
\left.\begin{array}{ll}
\Sigma_{+}=\{\vartheta=0, & \sin \theta_{i}=0, \\
\Sigma_{-}=\{\vartheta=\pi, & \sin \theta_{i}=0,
\end{array} \quad i=1, \ldots N\right\} ; 又 \text {. }
$$

Thus, the family $\Sigma_{+}$corresponds to motion where the Chaplygin sleigh moves in the positive direction of the axis $C x_{1}$, and the family $\Sigma_{-}$corresponds to motion of the sleigh in the opposite direction. In both families $\Sigma_{ \pm}$the fixed points differ, according to (7), in the magnitude of angle $\varphi_{i}$, which takes the values 0 or $\pi$. If $\varphi_{i}=0$, then the $i$ th platform is aligned relative to the preceding platform, and if $\varphi_{i}=\pi$, the platform overlaps with it.

To analyze the stability of the above-mentioned fixed points, we introduce the following quantities:

$$
\sigma_{0}=\cos \vartheta, \quad \sigma_{i}=\cos \theta_{i}, \quad i=1, \ldots N
$$

which for the families $\Sigma_{ \pm}$can take the values +1 or -1 . Then the linearization matrix of the system (17)-(18) in a neighborhood of fixed points can be represented as

$$
\mathbf{A}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
-\frac{b}{J} \sigma_{0} & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0  \tag{19}\\
-\frac{\sqrt{m}}{\sqrt{J}} \sigma_{0} & -\frac{\sigma_{0}}{c_{1}} \sigma_{1} & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
-\frac{\sqrt{m}}{\sqrt{J}} \sigma_{0} & \frac{2 \sigma_{0}}{c_{2}} \sigma_{2} & -\frac{\sigma_{0}}{c_{2}} \sigma_{2} & \ldots & 0 \\
\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots & \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \\
-\frac{\sqrt{m}}{\sqrt{J}} \sigma_{0} & (-1)^{N+2} \frac{2 \sigma_{0}}{c_{N}} \sigma_{N} & (-1)^{N+3} \frac{2 \sigma_{0}}{c_{N}} \sigma_{N} & \ldots & -\frac{\sigma_{0}}{c_{N}} \sigma_{N}
\end{array}\right) .
$$
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As can be seen, $\mathbf{A}$ is a triangular matrix, and hence all its eigenvalues lie on the main diagonal. Thus, the following proposition holds.
Proposition 1. The fixed points $\vartheta=0, \boldsymbol{\varphi}=0$ and $\vartheta=\pi, \varphi=0$ are a stable and an unstable node, respectively. The other fixed points are of saddle type and hence unstable.

The proof follows from direct substitution of the fixed points into the linearization matrix $\mathbf{A}$.

Next, we consider the system's trajectories different from the fixed points. We first note that the system (17)-(18) possesses two invariant manifolds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}_{+}^{N-1}=\{(\vartheta, \varphi) \mid \vartheta=0\}, \quad \mathcal{M}_{-}^{N-1}=\{(\vartheta, \varphi) \mid \vartheta=\pi\} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

on which the above-mentioned fixed points $\Sigma_{ \pm} \subset \mathcal{M}_{ \pm}^{N-1}$ lie. On these manifolds, the evolution of the remaining angles has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{i}^{\prime}= \pm \frac{(-1)^{i}}{c_{i}} \sin \theta_{i}, \quad i=1, \ldots N \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the upper sign corresponds to $\mathcal{M}_{+}^{N-1}$, and the lower sign, to $\mathcal{M}_{-}^{N-1}$. According to equations (21), the trajectories on these manifolds are identical up to time inversion $\tau \rightarrow-\tau$.

As can be seen from equation (17), the trajectories that do not lie on (20) approach asymptotically $\mathcal{M}_{+}^{N-1}$. Numerical experiments show that all trajectories lying on this manifold, in their turn, asymptotically approach a stable node, except for saddle equilibrium points and the corresponding separatrices.

We illustrate this by a three-link vehicle $N=2$. If we denote the fixed points as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Sigma_{+}^{\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)}=\left\{\vartheta=0, \varphi_{1}=\phi_{1}, \varphi_{2}=\phi_{2}\right\} \\
& \Sigma_{-}^{\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)}=\left\{\vartheta=\pi, \varphi_{1}=\phi_{1}, \varphi_{2}=\phi_{2}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

then there exist 8 fixed points:

1. a stable node $\Sigma_{+}^{(0,0)}$ and an unstable $\Sigma_{-}^{(0,0)}$ node;
2. saddle points $\Sigma_{ \pm}^{(0, \pi)}, \Sigma_{ \pm}^{(\pi, 0)}, \Sigma_{ \pm}^{(\pi, \pi)}$.

A typical phase portrait on $\mathcal{M}_{+}^{2}$ is shown in Fig. 3, where one can clearly see the separatrices joining the equilibrium points $\Sigma_{+}^{(\pi, \pi)}$ and $\Sigma_{+}^{(\pi, 0)}$, as well as $\Sigma_{+}^{(\pi, \pi)}$ and $\Sigma_{+}^{(0, \pi)}$. Consequently, the trajectory in this portrait with initial conditions in a neighborhood of $\Sigma_{+}^{(\pi, \pi)}$ is not "attracted" immediately to a stable equilibrium point, but evolves at first into the neighborhood of the equilibrium point $\Sigma_{+}^{(\pi, 0)}$ or $\Sigma_{+}^{(0, \pi)}$. The same can be valid for the trajectories that do not lie on $\mathcal{M}_{+}^{2}$. An example of such a trajectory in case (15) is given in Fig. 4a. Figure 4b shows an example of a trajectory which also emanates from the neighborhood of $\Sigma_{-}^{(\pi, \pi)}$, but which is attracted "immediately" to the equilibrium point $\Sigma_{-}^{(0,0)}$ without entering into the neighborhoods of other fixed points.


Figure 3: Phase portrait of the system (20) in the case of the manifold $\mathcal{M}_{+}^{2}$ for fixed $c_{1}=1, c_{2}=1.5$.

The trajectories of the point of attachment of the wheel pairs (skates) to the Chaplygin sleigh and each platform of the trailer during motion along the trajectory in Fig. 4 are shown in Fig. 5 and Fig. 6. In these figures, one can clearly see cusp points which correspond to the U-turn of the corresponding platform.

## 4 Acceleration with a rotor added

In the preceding section, it was shown that in the absence of the angular momentum of the rotor $(k=0)$ the phase space of the system (12)-(13) is foliated on the level surface of the energy integral (16). On each level surface there is an asymptotically stable fixed point of node type for which $\varphi=0$, $v_{1}=$ const, $\omega=0$. This gives rise to a one-parameter family of asymptotically stable fixed points in the phase space of the initial system.

In this section, we consider what influence the angular momentum $k(t)$ has on the motion of the $(N+1)$-link vehicle. We will specify $k(t)$ in the form of a periodic function of time with period $P$. In this case, the energy is not conserved. However, from a physical point of view it is clear that, for sufficiently large values of velocities, the contribution of the angular momentum turns out to be a small quantity. Hence, the trajectories, with initial conditions in a small neighborhood of the one-parameter family of equilibrium points with large values of $v_{1}$, must stay in the same neighborhood for a fairly long time. In the general case $v_{1}$ can both increase and decrease.

To analyze the equations of motion (12) and (13), it is more convenient to transform from the variables $\varphi_{i}$ to the variables $\theta_{i}$ according to relation (77).


Figure 4: An example of the separatrix of the fixed point $\Sigma_{-}^{(\pi, \pi)}$ in the space $\left(\vartheta, \varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}\right)$ for the fixed parameters $c_{1}=1, c_{2}=1.5, b=0.5, m=3$, $J=1$ and different $\mu_{1}$ and $\mu_{2}$. The initial conditions (a) $\vartheta(0)=3.143592654$, $\varphi_{1}(0)=3.143902055, \varphi_{2}(0)=3.147201199$, (b) $\vartheta(0)=3.141602654, \varphi_{1}(0)=$ $3.141604201, \varphi_{2}(0)=3.141620697$.

This transformation can be represented as

$$
\boldsymbol{\theta}=\mathbf{B} \boldsymbol{\varphi}^{T}, \quad \mathbf{B}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
2 & -1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
2 & -2 & 1 & \ldots & 0 \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
2 & -2 & 2 & \ldots & (-1)^{N+1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\mathbf{B}$ is a unimodular matrix. Then the system under study takes the form

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Phi_{0}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \dot{v}_{1}=b \omega^{2}+\Phi_{1}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) v_{1}^{2}+\Phi_{2}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \omega v_{1}, \quad J \dot{\omega}=-b \omega v_{1}-\dot{k} \\
\dot{\theta}_{i}=-\frac{v_{1}}{c_{i}} \sin \theta_{i}-2 \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{v_{1}}{c_{j}} \sin \theta_{j}-\omega, \quad i=1, \ldots N \tag{22}
\end{gather*}
$$

Remark 4. The equations for $\theta_{i}, i=1, \ldots, N$ in (22) have been obtained using


Figure 5: The trajectory of the points of attachment of the wheel pairs in the three-link vehicle for the trajectory in Fig. 4 and the initial conditions $\psi(0)=0, x(0)=0, y(0)=0$. The red line corresponds to the Chaplygin sleigh, and the blue and black lines correspond to the first and the second platform of the trailer, respectively.
the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-1)^{i}+2 \sum_{j=1}^{i-1}(-1)^{j}=-1 \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

To analyze the system (22), we use the approach proposed in [16], where a criterion for the onset of accelerating trajectories is found for the Roller Racer with a periodically changing angular momentum. Both systems share the feature that, in the absence of angular momentum, a one-parameter family of equilibrium points exists in the phase space, and these systems differ in the dimension of the phase space. As a result, the following proposition holds for the system (22).

Proposition 2. There exist $\varepsilon>0$ small enough and constant $\widetilde{\omega}, \widetilde{\theta}_{i}$ such that, for the trajectory of the reduced system (22) with the initial conditions $\frac{1}{\varepsilon}<v_{1}$,


Figure 6: The trajectory of the points of attachment of the wheel pairs in the three-link vehicle for the trajectory in Fig. 4b and the initial conditions $\psi(0)=0, x(0)=0, y(0)=0$. The red line corresponds to the Chaplygin sleigh, and the blue and black lines correspond to the first and the second platform of the trailer, respectively.
$|\omega|<\widetilde{\omega} \varepsilon^{2},\left|\theta_{i}\right|<\widetilde{\theta}_{i} \varepsilon^{2}$, we have $v_{1} \rightarrow+\infty, \omega \rightarrow 0, \theta_{i} \rightarrow 0$ in the form

$$
\begin{gather*}
v_{1}(t)=\Delta^{1 / 3} t^{1 / 3}+o\left(t^{1 / 3}\right), \quad \omega(t)=-\frac{\dot{k}}{b \Delta^{1 / 3}} t^{-1 / 3}+o\left(t^{-1 / 3}\right), \\
\theta_{i}(t)=(-1)^{i+1} \frac{c_{i} \dot{k}}{b} \Delta^{-2 / 3} t^{-2 / 3}+o\left(t^{-2 / 3}\right), \quad i=1,2, \ldots, N,  \tag{24}\\
\Delta=\frac{3\left\langle\dot{k}^{2}\right\rangle}{b m}, \quad\left\langle\dot{k}^{2}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{P} \int_{0}^{P} \dot{k}^{2} d t .
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. Let us pass from the velocities $v_{1}, \omega$ to the variables $p, q$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
p=\frac{1}{v_{1}}, \quad q=\frac{\omega}{v_{1}}, \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and rescale time as $d \tau=v_{1} d t=d t / p$. In addition, we define the angle variable
$\Psi=t \bmod P$. Then equations (22) in the new variables become

$$
\begin{gather*}
p^{\prime}=-\frac{p}{\Phi_{0}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}\left(b q^{2}+\Phi_{1}(\boldsymbol{\theta})+q \Phi_{2}(\boldsymbol{\theta})\right), \\
q^{\prime}=-\frac{p^{2}}{J}\left(\frac{b q}{p^{2}}+\frac{d k}{d \Psi}\right)-\frac{q}{\Phi_{0}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}\left(b q^{2}+\Phi_{1}(\boldsymbol{\theta})+q \Phi_{2}(\boldsymbol{\theta})\right),  \tag{26}\\
\theta_{i}^{\prime}=-\frac{\sin \theta_{i}}{c_{i}}-2 \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{\sin \theta_{j}}{c_{j}}-q, \quad i=1, \ldots, N, \\
\Psi^{\prime}=p,
\end{gather*}
$$

where the prime denotes the derivative with respect to the variable $\tau$.
Note that $p=0$ defines the invariant manifold of the system (26), which has a one-parameter family of fixed points given by the following relations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
p=0, \quad q=0, \quad \theta_{i}=0, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, N \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e., it is parameterized by the variable $\Psi$. In a neighborhood of this family the system (26) can be represented as


Figure 7: Dependences $t^{-1 / 3} v_{1}(t)$ and $\omega(t)$ (above), $\varphi_{1}(t)$ (below on the left), $\varphi_{2}(t)$ (below on the right)


Figure 8: An enlarged fragment of the dependence $\varphi_{1}(t)$ at large times

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{d \boldsymbol{z}}{d \tau}=\mathbf{C} \boldsymbol{z}+\boldsymbol{F}(\boldsymbol{z}, \Psi), \quad \frac{d \Psi}{d \tau}=p, \quad \boldsymbol{z}=\left(p, q, \theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{N}\right)  \tag{28}\\
\mathbf{C}=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & -\frac{b}{J} & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & -1 & -\frac{1}{c_{1}} & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & -1 & -\frac{2}{c_{1}} & -\frac{1}{c_{2}} & \ldots & 0 \\
\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \\
0 & -1 & -\frac{2}{c_{1}} & -\frac{2}{c_{2}} & \ldots & -\frac{1}{c_{N}}
\end{array}\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{F}(\boldsymbol{z}, \Psi)$ is a periodic function in $\Psi$ which contains $z$ of second and higher degrees. Note that the matrix of the linear part $\mathbf{C}$ has one zero eigenvalue and the other nonzero eigenvalues are negative.

Since the spectrum of the linear part of the system (28) contains only zero and negative eigenvalues, we represent $q, \theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \ldots, \theta_{N}$ as series in powers of $p$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
q=\alpha_{2} p^{2}+\alpha_{3} p^{3}+O\left(p^{4}\right) \\
\theta_{1}=\beta_{2}^{(1)} p^{2}+\beta_{3}^{(1)} p^{3}+O\left(p^{4}\right) \\
\theta_{2}=\beta_{2}^{(2)} p^{2}+\beta_{3}^{(2)} p^{3}+O\left(p^{4}\right), \ldots  \tag{29}\\
\theta_{N}=\beta_{2}^{(N)} p^{2}+\beta_{3}^{(N)} p^{3}+O\left(p^{4}\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

The expansions of the functions $\Phi_{0}(\boldsymbol{\theta}), \Phi_{1}(\boldsymbol{\theta}), \Phi_{2}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ can be represented as

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi_{0}(\boldsymbol{\theta})= & m+\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mu_{i}\left(\beta_{2}^{(i)} p^{2}+\beta_{3}^{(i)} p^{3}\right)^{2}= \\
& m+\gamma_{1}^{(0)} p^{4}+\gamma_{2}^{(0)} p^{5}+\ldots, \\
\Phi_{1}(\boldsymbol{\theta})= & \sum_{i=1}^{N} 2 \mu_{i}\left(\beta_{2}^{(i)} p^{2}+\beta_{3}^{(i)} p^{3}\right)\left[\frac{\beta_{2}^{(i)} p^{2}+\beta_{3}^{(i)} p^{3}}{2 c_{i}}+\right.  \tag{30}\\
& \left.\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{\beta_{2}^{(j)} p^{2}+\beta_{3}^{(j)} p^{3}}{c_{j}}\right]=\gamma_{1}^{(1)} p^{4}+\gamma_{2}^{(1)} p^{5}+\ldots, \\
\Phi_{2}(\boldsymbol{\theta})= & \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} 2 \mu_{i}\left(\beta_{2}^{(i)} p^{2}+\beta_{3}^{(i)} p^{3}\right)=\gamma_{1}^{(2)} p^{2}+\gamma_{2}^{(2)} p^{3}+\ldots,
\end{align*}
$$

where $\gamma_{1}^{(i)}$ and $\gamma_{2}^{(i)}$ are some constants expressed in terms of the expansion coefficients (29) and the system parameters.

Substituting (29) and (30) into (26) and matching the coefficients in front of equal powers of $p$, we obtain expressions for the expansion coefficients (29):

$$
\begin{gather*}
\alpha_{2}=-\frac{1}{b} \frac{d k}{d \psi}, \quad \alpha_{3}=0, \quad \beta_{2}^{(i)}=(-1)^{i} c_{i} \alpha_{2}=(-1)^{i+1} \frac{c_{i}}{b} \frac{d k}{d \psi}  \tag{31}\\
\beta_{3}^{(i)}=0, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, N .
\end{gather*}
$$

After substituting (31) into the expansions (30) using (23), they take the following form:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Phi_{0}(\boldsymbol{\theta})=m+\alpha_{2}^{2} p^{4} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mu_{i} c_{i}^{2}, \quad \Phi_{1}(\boldsymbol{\theta})=-\alpha_{2}^{2} p^{4} \sum_{i=1}^{N}(-1)^{i} \mu_{i} c_{i} \\
\Phi_{2}(\boldsymbol{\theta})=\alpha_{2} p^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N}(-1)^{i} \mu_{i} c_{i}
\end{gathered}
$$

We now substitute these expansions into the first of equations (26) and divide it by the last equation. Finally, setting $p \ll 1$, after averaging over $\Psi$ and passing to the initial time $t$, we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\dot{p}=-\frac{\left\langle\dot{k}^{2}\right\rangle}{b m} p^{4}, \tag{32}
\end{gather*} \quad p(t)=\Delta^{-1 / 3} t^{-1 / 3}, ~ 子 \frac{3\left\langle\dot{k}^{2}\right\rangle}{b m}, \quad\left\langle\dot{k}^{2}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{P} \int_{0}^{P} \dot{k}^{2} d t .
$$

Substituting (32) and (31) into the expansion (29) and transforming to the initial variables $v_{1}, \omega$ and $\theta_{i}$, we obtain the estimates (24).

Dynamics of a multilink wheeled vehicle

The physical meaning of Proposition 2 is that under some initial conditions the Chaplygin sleigh exhibits an unbounded speedup, and that the trailer moves in such a way that the angles of deviation of the platforms of the trailer relative to the Chaplygin sleigh have the following asymptotics:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{i}(t)=\frac{\dot{k}}{b}\left(c_{i}+2 \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} c_{j}\right) \Delta^{-2 / 3} t^{-2 / 3}+o\left(t^{-2 / 3}\right), \quad i=1, \ldots N \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, the oscillations of the trailer decay as it moves behind the sleigh. We also note that the asymptotics (26) do not depend explicitly on the parameters $\mu_{i}, i=1, \ldots N$.

Proposition 2 describes the behavior of trajectories with a fairly large value of velocity $v_{1}$. Numerical experiments show that, for trajectories with a small initial value of $v_{1}$, a speedup arises as well, i.e., $v_{1} \rightarrow+\infty$. Figure 7 shows dependences of the quantities $t^{-1 / 3} v_{1}(t), \omega(t), \varphi_{1}(t), \varphi_{2}(t)$ which have been obtained from the solution of equations (12) and (13) for $N=2$ under the initial conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{1}(0)=10, \quad \omega(0)=1, \quad \varphi_{1}(0)=\varphi_{2}(0)=0.5 \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the following parameter values:

$$
\begin{gather*}
a_{0}=0.7, \quad m_{0}=1, \quad J_{0}=1.5, \quad m_{1}=m_{2}=1.2, \\
J_{1}=J_{2}=2, a_{1}=0.1, \quad a_{2}=0.2  \tag{35}\\
c_{1}=1.05, \quad c_{2}=1.10
\end{gather*}
$$

These dependences are shown as black continuous lines. Also, the red lines in Fig. 7 indicate the estimates of the amplitude above and below on the basis of (24) and (33). In addition, Fig. 8 shows the dependence $\varphi_{1}(t)$ for large times. As can be seen, relations (24) provide a fairly good description of the behavior of the trajectories.

The trajectories of the points of attachment of the wheel pairs to the Chaplygin sleigh and to the platforms for the three-link vehicle $(N=2)$ with parameters (35) and the initial conditions (34), $\psi(0)=0, x(0)=0, y(0)=0$ are shown in Fig. 9. The red line corresponds to the Chaplygin sleigh, and the blue and black lines correspond to the first and the second platform of the trailer, respectively.

## Conclusion

To conclude, we present the most interesting results and outline avenues for further research.

In this paper, we have examined the dynamics of an $(N+1)$-link wheeled vehicle. For the inertial motion, we have found partial solutions (fixed points, invariant manifolds) of such a system. For motion in the presence of the rotor of the $(N+1)$-link vehicle we have shown the existence of trajectories such that one


Figure 9: The trajectory of the points of attachment of the wheel pairs in the three-link vehicle for the initial conditions (34) and $\psi(0)=0, x(0)=0, y(0)=0$. The red line corresponds to the Chaplygin sleigh, and the blue and black lines correspond to the first and the second platform of the trailer, respectively.
of their velocity components increases without bound and has the asymptotics $t^{1 / 3}$. Interestingly, the deviations of each of the platforms of the trailer relative to the Chaplygin sleigh (the leading platform) tend to zero.

One avenue of further research is to analyze the motion of a multilink wheeled vehicle in a more general case, for example, without assumptions B1 and C1. An open problem is to prove that, apart from the equilibrium point, there are no other regular attractors (limit cycles or tori) in the system (21).
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ That is, we assume that the velocity of the platform at the center of mass of the wheel pair in the direction perpendicular to the plane of the wheels is zero.

