

FINITE SYMPLECTIC AUTOMORPHISM GROUPS OF SUPERSINGULAR K3 SURFACES

HISANORI OHASHI AND MATTHIAS SCHÜTT

ABSTRACT. We give a complete classification of finite groups acting symplectically on supersingular K3 surfaces of Artin invariant one. Using work of Dolgachev and Keum, this provides the full classification of tame finite symplectic automorphism groups on any K3 surface.

1. INTRODUCTION

Automorphism groups of K3 surfaces have been a central area of research ever since Nikulin's seminal works [29]. Focussing on symplectic automorphism, i.e. those leaving the regular 2-form invariant, Nikulin classified all finite abelian automorphism groups acting symplectically on complex K3 surfaces. In [28], this was extended to any symplectic finite group action over \mathbb{C} by Mukai. In positive characteristic, however, the problem is still open, except for a few wild group actions on supersingular K3 surfaces in small characteristic due to Kondo [24], and important partial results for the tame case by Dolgachev and Keum [11]. Our first main result offers the complete classification for tame group actions. We phrase it in abstract group theoretic terms in the spirit of Mukai's result, involving the Legendre symbol. A special role is taken by the supersingular K3 surface $X_{0,p}$ of Artin invariant $\sigma_0 = 1$ in characteristic p (cf. the discussion around (2)).

Theorem 1.1 (tame case). *A finite group G admits a tame symplectic action on some K3 surface X in characteristic p if and only if $p \nmid |G|$ and G can be realized as a subgroup of M_{23} whose action on $\{1, 2, \dots, 24\}$ has*

- (i) *either at least 5 orbits*
- (ii) *or exactly 4 orbits such that the orbit lengths l_1, \dots, l_4 satisfy the condition*

$$(1) \quad \left(\frac{l_1 \cdots l_4}{p} \right) = -1.$$

In this case, $X \cong X_{0,p}$.

The 10 maximal groups with 4 orbits were determined in [11] (see Table 4 which also lists the orbits lengths) while the 11 maximal groups with 5 orbits are reproduced in (16) from [28].

To take wild groups into account, we restrict to supersingular K3 surfaces. Throughout we use the notation from [9], [11].

Theorem 1.2 (small characteristics). *A finite group G acts symplectically on a supersingular K3 surface of Artin invariant $\sigma_0 = 1$ in characteristic $p \leq 11$ if and only if G is contained in one of the following groups:*

- ($p = 2$) $M_{21}.2_1$ of order $40,320 = 2^7 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$,
 $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{S}_6)$ of order $1,440 = 2^5 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5$.
- ($p = 3$) $\text{PSU}(4, \mathbb{F}_3)$ of order $3,265,920 = 2^7 \cdot 3^6 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$,
 $2^2 \cdot \mathfrak{A}_{4,4}$ of order $1152 = 2^7 \cdot 3^2$,
 $2^4 : \mathfrak{S}_{3,3}$ of order $576 = 2^6 \cdot 3^2$.
- ($p = 5$) $\text{PSU}(3, \mathbb{F}_5)$ of order $126,000 = 2^4 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7$,
 \mathfrak{A}_8 of order $20160 = 2^6 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$,
 $2^4 : (3 \times \mathfrak{A}_5) : 2$ of order $2^7 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5$.
- ($p = 7$) $M_{21}.2_2$ of order $40,320 = 2^7 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$,
 $2^4 : \mathfrak{A}_7$ of order $40,320 = 2^7 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$.
- ($p = 11$) M_{22} of order $443,520 = 2^7 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11$ and
 M_{11} of order $7,920 = 2^4 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5 \cdot 11$,
 $2^4 : \mathfrak{S}_{3,3}$ of order $576 = 2^6 \cdot 3^2$.

The characterization of the wild group actions follows immediately:

Corollary 1.3 (wild case). *A finite group G acts wildly symplectically on a supersingular K3 surface of Artin invariant $\sigma_0 = 1$ in characteristic p if and only if $p \leq 11$, $p \mid |G|$ and G is contained in one of the groups from Theorem 1.2 (except for the last one in characteristic 11 which is tame).*

Combined with the results from [12], we also deduce the following complete classification in characteristic 11:

Corollary 1.4 (characteristic 11). *A finite group G acts symplectically on some K3 surface in characteristic 11 if and only if G is contained in M_{11}, M_{22} or $2^4 : \mathfrak{S}_{3,3}$.*

We will employ a unified proof for both main theorems which is largely independent of the arguments in [11]. In short, we will see in Theorem 3.1 that the action on the discriminant group is trivial (just like in the complex case). This allows us to employ lattice theoretic arguments (in particular the crystalline Torelli theorem in characteristic $p > 3$, see Theorem 3.2). Then we translate the problem into symmetries of the Leech lattice (Theorem 4.5) and make great use of the classification by Höhn–Mason [18] which reduces the classification of the possible groups to discriminant group computations. For this purpose, we also prove a useful analogue of the Witt cancellation theorem (Lemma 7.6). Finally existence is proven using the crystalline Torelli theorem or, when this is not available, by exhibiting explicit models in characteristics 2, 3 admitting the required symplectic group actions (Section 11).

We end the introduction with two interesting corollaries. The first concerns cohomology representations of finite symplectic group actions in the spirit of [28]:

Theorem 1.5. *Let G be a finite group acting symplectically on some super-singular K3 surface X of Artin invariant $\sigma_0 = 1$. Then, one of the following holds:*

- (1) $G \subset M_{23}$ decomposes Ω into at least 3 orbits and $H_{\text{et}}^*(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ admits a Mathieu representation of G ($\ell \neq p$)
- (2) $p = 2$, G contains a wild element and $G \subset M_{21.2_1}$ or $G \subset \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{S}_6)$;
- (3) $p = 3$, G contains a wild element and $G \subset \text{PSU}(4, \mathbb{F}_3)$;
- (4) $p = 5$, G contains a wild element and $G \subset \text{PSU}(3, \mathbb{F}_5)$.

The second corollary determines when there are no extra groups acting symplectically in characteristic p , i.e. only case (i) in Theorem 1.1 occurs:

Theorem 1.6. *Mukai's classification holds true in characteristic p if and only if 2, 3, 5, and 7 are all non-zero squares modulo p . Equivalently, modulo 840, we have that $p \in \{\pm 1^2, \pm 11^2, \pm 13^2, \pm 17^2, \pm 19^2, \pm 23^2\}$.*

Notation and conventions. Root lattices as well as the Leech lattice are taken to be negative-definite (contrary to the convention in [18], for instance).

We use the genus symbols defined by Conway-Sloane [10, Chapter 15], including the refinement from [2], and the gluing theory of Kneser and Nikulin [30] almost interchangeably.

For groups, we follow [9, 13, 18, 28] to denote

$A : B$ – semi-direct product of subgroups A, B where A is normal

$A.B$ – a group G with normal subgroup A such that $G/A \cong B$

$\mathfrak{S}_{m,n} \cong \mathfrak{S}_m \times \mathfrak{S}_n$

$\mathfrak{A}_{m,n} = \mathfrak{S}_{m,n} \cap \mathfrak{A}_{m+n}$

$n^e \cong (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^e$

2. SYMPLECTIC AUTOMORPHISMS ON K3 SURFACES

Let X be a K3 surface, i.e. a smooth projective surface over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic $p \geq 0$ with zero irregularity and trivial canonical bundle:

$$h^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0, \quad \omega_X \cong \mathcal{O}_X.$$

An automorphism g of X is called symplectic if it leaves the regular 2-form invariant. In [29] Nikulin proved the following result for complex K3 surfaces, algebraic or analytic:

Theorem 2.1 (Nikulin). *A non-trivial symplectic automorphism g of a complex K3 surface has only isolated fixed points. Their number depends only*

on the order of g as follows:

n	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
$\#\text{Fix}(g)$	8	6	4	4	2	3	2

By [11], the same classification holds true for tame symplectic automorphisms of K3 surfaces in positive characteristic.

In [28], Mukai exposed a striking connection with the Mathieu group M_{23} , acting on the set of 24 letters. Namely the above numbers agree exactly with the number of fixed points of any element $\sigma \in M_{23}$ of the given order:

n	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	11	14	15	23
$\#\text{Fix}(\sigma)$	8	6	4	4	2	3	2	2	1	1	1

TABLE 1. Fixed points of order n elements of M_{23}

For a complex K3 surface X , any symplectic automorphism acts trivially on the transcendental lattice, the smallest sub-Hodge structure of $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ whose complexification contains the (invariant) 2-form. Since this has rank at least 2 (due to the Hodge decomposition), the invariant sublattice

$$H^*(X, \mathbb{Z})^G$$

will have rank 5 at least for any finite symplectic group G of automorphisms, additional invariants coming from $H^0(X, \mathbb{Z})$, $H^4(X, \mathbb{Z})$ and an ample or Kähler class. This can be seen as the origin of the 5 orbits in Mukai's theorem (and also in Theorem 1.1 (i); compare also Theorem 10.3):

Theorem 2.2 (Mukai). *A finite group G admits a symplectic action on a complex K3 surface if and only if G is a subgroup of M_{23} with at least 5 orbits.*

By [11], Mukai's techniques carry over to tame actions on K3 surfaces in positive characteristic (using ℓ -adic étale cohomology). For K3 surfaces of finite height, or equivalently, by the Tate conjecture ([8], [27], [26], [22]), with Picard number $\rho(X) \leq 20$, this allows to recover the same results as over \mathbb{C} . In order to prove Theorem 1.1 and the other results of this paper, it thus remains to consider supersingular K3 surfaces.

3. TORELLI THEOREMS FOR SUPERSINGULAR K3 SURFACES

A K3 surface X is called *supersingular* if its Picard number $\rho(X)$ equals 22, the maximum possible value. Equivalently, by the Tate conjecture, the formal Brauer group of X is unipotent. We first state a characterization of symplectic automorphisms in terms of the discriminant group

$$(2) \quad A(\text{NS}(X)) = \text{NS}(X)^\vee / \text{NS}(X)$$

of the Néron-Severi lattice $\text{NS}(X)$. By [3], this is a p -elementary abelian group of size $2\sigma_0$ where $\sigma_0 \in \{1, \dots, 10\}$ is called the *Artin invariant* of X .

The following result may be known to experts, at least for $p > 2$ (for example, compare [20]), but we will crucially also need it for $p = 2$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let X be a supersingular K3 surface. Then, an automorphism $g \in \text{Aut}(X)$ is symplectic if and only if g acts trivially on $A(\text{NS}(X))$.*

Proof. We first consider the case $p > 2$. Then Nygaard [32, Theorem 2.1] shows that for any endomorphism g of X there exists a $\lambda_0 \in k$ such that the action of g on the Witt vector cohomology $H^2(W\mathcal{O}_X)$ is given by the formula

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} b_i V^i \mapsto \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} b_i \lambda_0^{1/p^i} V^i$$

under the isomorphism $H^2(W\mathcal{O}_X) \simeq k_\sigma[[V]]$ as covariant Dieudonné modules [4]. From the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^2(W\mathcal{O}_X) \xrightarrow{V} H^2(W\mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow 0,$$

the action of g on $H^2(\mathcal{O}_X)$ is given by λ_0 . Therefore, by Serre duality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g \text{ is symplectic} &\Leftrightarrow g \text{ acts trivially on } H^2(\mathcal{O}_X) \\ &\Leftrightarrow g \text{ acts trivially on } H^2(W\mathcal{O}_X). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, [32, Theorem 1.12] gives us a canonical isomorphism

$$\ker F^{\sigma_0} d \simeq A(\text{NS}(X)) \otimes k,$$

where $d = d_1^{0,2}: H^2(W\mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow H^2(W\Omega_X^1)$ is the differential in the slope spectral sequence and σ_0 is the Artin invariant of X . This space has a natural basis $\{1, V, \dots, V^{2\sigma_0-1}\}$ comprising eigenvectors of g by the formula above. Hence, g acts trivially on $H^2(W\mathcal{O}_X)$ if and only if it acts trivially on the discriminant group $A(\text{NS}(X)) \subset A(\text{NS}(X)) \otimes k$.

In characteristic $p = 2$, some extra care is necessary because [32, Theorem 1.12] and its reference [33, Section 3] are stated only for odd characteristics. In fact, the argument above goes through with a small modification as follows. The decomposition

$$(H_{fl}^2(X, \mathbb{Z}_p(1)), (\ , \)) \simeq (T_0, p\langle \ , \ \rangle) \oplus (T_1, (\ , \))$$

of p -adic quadratic forms as in [33, (3.13.3)] for $p = 2$ can be obtained instead by a general decomposition procedure for 2-adic lattices explained in [10, Chapter 15]. Using this, the proof of [32, Theorem 1.12] remains valid and the proof is done. \square

3.1. Finite group actions. It is known that the representation $\text{Aut}(X) \rightarrow O(\text{NS}(X))$ is faithful for supersingular K3 surfaces in positive characteristic $p \geq 2$ [37, Section 8]. For a finite group G of isometries of a lattice L , the invariant and coinvariant sublattices are of importance:

$$\begin{aligned} L^G &= \{l \in L \mid g(l) = l \ \forall g \in G\}, \\ L_G &= (L^G)^\perp \subset L. \end{aligned}$$

We state the crystalline Torelli theorem in an adapted form.

Theorem 3.2. *Let N be a lattice which is isomorphic to the Néron-Severi lattice of a supersingular $K3$ surface of Artin invariant σ_0 in characteristic $p > 3$. Suppose we have a finite group G acting faithfully on N with the following properties:*

- (1) *the coinvariant lattice N_G is negative definite and contains no vectors of norm (-2) .*
- (2) *G acts trivially on the discriminant group N^*/N .*

Then, there exists a supersingular $K3$ surface X and an isometry $N \simeq \text{NS}(X)$ such that the induced action of G on $\text{NS}(X)$ is realized geometrically as a group of symplectic automorphisms of X .

Proof. We choose a positive cone $\mathcal{C} \subset N \otimes \mathbb{R}$ and consider the chamber (i.e., connected component) decomposition $\mathcal{C} \setminus \cup H_\delta$, where H_δ is the hyperplane in $N \otimes \mathbb{R}$ orthogonal to δ which runs over elements $\delta \in N$ of norm (-2) , the so-called roots. By condition (1), some chamber Δ meets $N^G \otimes \mathbb{R}$. Then, with an arbitrary choice of a strictly characteristic subspace $K \subset A(N) \otimes k$, we can find a supersingular $K3$ surface X with marking $N \simeq \text{NS}(X)$ such that Δ corresponds to the ample cone of X by Ogus' crystalline Torelli theorem [34, Theorem III]. The realization statement now follows from [34, Theorem II] since G and the crystalline first chern class map automatically commute by the condition (2) and [34, Proposition 1.9]. Finally, the automorphisms are symplectic by Theorem 3.1. \square

Remark 3.3. For $p = 3$, the crystalline Torelli theorem is not proved in [34] (see the footnote on p. 364), but the required potentially good reduction statement for supersingular $K3$ surfaces in $p = 3$ is stated in [6]. However, we will presently not need this since we can prove existence by exhibiting explicit models with symplectic G -action in characteristics $p = 2, 3$ (see Section 11).

In fact, the finiteness of G is not used in the proof above. In particular, since the strictly characteristic subspaces can be chosen arbitrary, we have the following.

Corollary 3.4. *The subgroup $\text{Aut}^{\text{sym}p}(X)$ of symplectic automorphisms of a supersingular $K3$ surface X depends only on the characteristic $p > 3$ and the Artin invariant σ_0 but not on X . This group is isomorphic to $O^+(\text{NS}(X))/W_X$, where $O^+(\text{NS}(X))$ is the subgroup of isometries which preserves the positive cone \mathcal{C} and acts trivially on the discriminant group $A(\text{NS}(X))$, and W_X is the Weyl group generated by (-2) -reflections.*

This corollary has an obvious analogue for complex $K3$ surfaces, the proof of which is easy.

4. THE SUPERSINGULAR $K3$ SURFACE OF ARTIN INVARIANT 1

It is known that the supersingular $K3$ surface with Artin invariant $\sigma_0 = 1$ is unique up to isomorphisms (see [33] for $p \geq 3$ and [36, 13] for $p = 2$). We shall denote it by $X_{0,p}$ or, if p is clear, by X_0 .

The Néron-Severi lattice $\text{NS}(X_0)$ is even, of signature $(1, 21)$ and p -elementary with discriminant $-p^2$. These information amount to saying that the lattice $\text{NS}(X_0)$ belongs to the genus

$$\begin{aligned} \text{II}_{1,21} p^{\varepsilon^2}, \quad \varepsilon = - \left(\frac{-1}{p} \right) \quad (p \geq 3), \\ \text{II}_{1,21} 2_{\text{II}}^{-2} \quad (p = 2). \end{aligned}$$

Here, we use Conway-Sloane's genus symbol for which we refer to [10, chapter 15] and the bracket is the Legendre symbol. The isomorphism class of the lattice is unique in its genus by [37, 10]. We shall now develop an instrumental relation with the Leech lattice.

4.1. Relation with the Leech lattice. For $p \geq 3$, let us pick up a negative definite lattice $H^{(p)}$ from the genus $\text{II}_{0,4} p^{\varepsilon^2}$. We note that the non-emptiness of this genus follows from [10, chapter 15, Theorem 11], and an explicit form of $H^{(p)}$ is given in [40] for example. For $p = 2$, we simply put $H^{(2)} = D_4$ for the negative definite root lattice of type D_4 , whose genus is indeed $\text{II}_{0,4} 2_{\text{II}}^{-2}$. The next lemma is a special case of the Kneser-Nikulin's gluing method [30].

Lemma 4.1. *The orthogonal sum $\text{NS}(X_0) \oplus H^{(p)}$ can be embedded into an even unimodular lattice $L_{1,25}$ of signature $(1, 25)$, in which $\text{NS}(X_0)$ and $H^{(p)}$ are both primitive.*

Proof. In general, for an integral lattice L and for every prime p , the p -Sylow subgroup $A(L)_p$ of the discriminant group $A(L) = L^*/L$, together with the induced bilinear form can be computed from the \mathbb{Z}_p -lattice $L \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$ ([30, Proposition 1.7.1]), which in turn is specified by the p -adic symbol of L .

In our situation, the whole discriminant groups are p -groups and $A(\text{NS}(X_0))$ and $A(H^{(p)})$ are both specified by the symbol p^{ε^2} ($p \geq 3$) or 2_{II}^{-2} ($p = 2$). Since the corresponding finite forms are isometric to their own negative by the lemma below, we can find a sign-reversing isometry

$$\delta: A(\text{NS}(X_0)) \rightarrow A(H^{(p)})$$

and the overlattice $L_{1,25}$ in question can be obtained as the submodule of $\text{NS}(X_0)^* \oplus (H^{(p)})^*$ consisting of elements whose residue classes modulo $\text{NS}(X_0) \oplus H^{(p)}$ are in the graph of δ . It has index $p^2 = \#\delta$ over $\text{NS}(X_0) \oplus H^{(p)}$, and thus the lattice $L_{1,25}$ is unimodular. When $p \geq 3$, the 2-adic symbol of $L_{1,25}$ remains unchanged from $\text{NS}(X_0) \oplus H^{(p)}$ since p is invertible in \mathbb{Z}_2 . Therefore, $L_{1,25}$ is an even unimodular lattice. When $p = 2$, it is

easy to directly trace the change of 2-adic symbol in the overlattice process $\text{NS}(X_0) \oplus H^{(p)} \subset L_{1,25}$ as

$$2_{\text{II}}^{-2} \oplus 2_{\text{II}}^{-2} \rightsquigarrow 1_{\text{II}}^{+4},$$

which shows that $L_{1,25}$ is again even unimodular. This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

Remark 4.2. The p -adic symbol is uniquely determined by the lattice if $p > 2$, but in general not at $p = 2$ due to the phenomena of oddity fusion and sign walking (see [10, p. 381]).

For later use, we record the genus symbol of the negated lattice.

Lemma 4.3. *Let p be a prime and L an integral lattice whose p -adic symbol is of the form*

$$1_{(t_0)}^{\varepsilon_0 n_0} p_{(t_1)}^{\varepsilon_1 n_1} \cdots (p^e)_{(t_e)}^{\varepsilon_e n_e} \cdots,$$

where $t_i \in \mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z} \cup \{\text{II}\}$ appears only when $p = 2$. Then, the p -adic symbol of the negated lattice $L(-1)$ is as follows,

$$1_{(t'_0)}^{\varepsilon'_0 n_0} p_{(t'_1)}^{\varepsilon'_1 n_1} \cdots (p^e)_{(t'_e)}^{\varepsilon'_e n_e} \cdots,$$

where $\varepsilon'_i = \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)^{n_i} \varepsilon_i$ ($p \geq 3$) and $\varepsilon'_i = \varepsilon_i$, $t'_i = -t_i$ ($t_i \in \mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z}$), $t'_i = \text{II}$ ($t_i = \text{II}$) ($p = 2$).

The proof of this lemma is immediate from the definitions of p -adic symbols. An analogous statement holds for the orthogonal complement of L in any unimodular (or p -adically unimodular) lattice L_0 if $p > 2$, with the unimodular part of the p -adic symbol adjusted to the rank and determinant of L_0 . In case $p = 2$, however, one has to take into consideration the subtleties of oddity fusion and sign walking (cf. Remark 4.2).

Next, suppose that $G \subset \text{Aut}(X_0)$ is a finite group of symplectic automorphisms acting on X_0 . It is known that G acts faithfully on $\text{NS}(X_0)$ ([37]) while it acts trivially on the discriminant group $A(\text{NS}(X_0))$ by Theorem 3.1. Therefore, regarding $H^{(p)}$ as a lattice with the trivial G -action, the graph of δ (in the proof of Lemma 4.1) is preserved under G , whence the lattice $L_{1,25}$ of Lemma 4.1 admits an extended action by G . Using the notation L^G and L_G for the (co-)invariant lattices as in Section 3, we have the following properties.

Proposition 4.4.

- (1) $H^{(p)}$ is contained in $L_{1,25}^G$ and $(L_{1,25})_G$ is contained in $\text{NS}(X_0)$.
- (2) $L_{1,25}^G$ contains an element of strictly positive norm.
- (3) $(L_{1,25})_G$ is negative definite with no elements of norm (-2) .

Proof. (1) is obvious from the construction. The element in (2) is the orbit sum of any ample divisor on X_0 . (3) is a consequence of the Riemann-Roch theorem together with the fact that G preserves the positivity on X_0 . \square

We use the following variant of the approach by [19, Proposition 2.2.], whose idea originates in the paper [16, Appendix B].

Theorem 4.5. *Let G be a finite group of isometries on the even unimodular lattice $L_{1,25}$ of signature $(1, 25)$. Suppose $(L_{1,25})_G$ is negative definite and without elements of norm (-2) . Then, there is a unimodular sublattice U of signature $(1, 1)$ (the hyperbolic plane) inside $L_{1,25}^G$, whose orthogonal complement in $L_{1,25}$ is isomorphic to the negative definite Leech lattice Λ . In particular, G is a subgroup of $O(\Lambda)$.*

Proof. We consider the chamber decomposition of the associated 25 dimensional hyperbolic space \mathcal{L} of $L_{1,25}$ with respect to (-2) -roots. Since $(L_{1,25})^G$ is hyperbolic and not contained in any of the reflection hyperplanes by assumption, we see that there exists a chamber $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{L}$ which meets $(L_{1,25})^G$. Therefore, G is contained in $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \text{Co}_\infty = \Lambda \rtimes O(\Lambda)$ under the celebrated isomorphism by Conway [10, Chapter 27]. Since G is finite and Λ is free abelian, $G \subset O(\Lambda)$.

To see the group actions explicitly, we choose an isomorphism

$$L_{1,25} \simeq \{(m, n, \lambda) \mid m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, \lambda \in \Lambda\},$$

where the inner product is given by $(m, n, \lambda)^2 = 2mn + (\lambda^2)$. By the simple transitivity of the reflection group on chambers, we can assume that \mathcal{C} is the fundamental chamber associated with the Weyl vector (control vector) $w = (1, 0, 0)$, namely, \mathcal{C} is cut out by reflection hyperplanes defined by Leech roots $\{(-1 - (\lambda^2)/2, 1, \lambda) \mid \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ with respect to w . Under these coordinates, the action of $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}) = \text{Co}_\infty$ is given by the following formula.

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\varphi}(m, n, \lambda) &= (m, n, \varphi(\lambda)) \quad (\varphi \in O(\Lambda)) \\ \tilde{\mu}(m, n, \lambda) &= \left(m - \frac{(\mu^2)}{2}n - (\lambda\mu), n, \lambda + n\mu \right) \quad (\mu \in \Lambda). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, we see that $G \subset O(\Lambda)$ fixes the sublattice

$$U = \{(m, n, 0) \mid m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

pointwise and this gives the desired hyperbolic plane. \square

The relations between sublattices of $L = L_{1,25}$ can be depicted as follows.




FIGURE 1. Primitive sublattices of $L = L_{1,25}$

Corollary 4.6. *Every finite symplectic subgroup $G \subset \text{Aut}(X_0)$ is a subgroup of the Conway group $\text{Co}_0 = O(\Lambda)$ and the rank of Λ^G is at least 3.*

Proof. By Proposition 4.4 (1) and (2), L^G has rank ≥ 5 , so $\text{rk } \Lambda^G \geq 3$ follows. \square

From Figure 1, we see that the primitive hull of $\Lambda_G \oplus H^{(p)}$ in L is the orthogonal complement of $\text{NS}(X_0)^G$ in L . By [30], there exists a sign-reversing isometry γ between subgroups of the discriminant groups $A(\Lambda_G)$ and $A(H^{(p)})$ such that the graph Γ of γ is a totally isotropic subgroup of $A(\Lambda_G) \oplus A(H^{(p)})$ and Γ^\perp/Γ is isomorphic to the discriminant group $A(\text{NS}(X_0)^G)$ with negated quadratic form. Our framework is that, under the knowledge of $A(\Lambda_G)$ (by [18]) and $A(H^{(p)})$, we can look for possible γ with the computation of Γ^\perp/Γ , thus reducing the problem to finite abelian groups with quadratic forms. However, since we know the ranks and signatures of Λ_G and $H^{(p)}$, [30, Corollary 1.9.4] shows in turn that the finite quadratic form Γ^\perp/Γ determines the genus of the even lattice $(\text{NS}(X_0)^G)^\perp$. Therefore, we will indicate this change of genera under $\Lambda_G \oplus H^{(p)} \subset (\text{NS}(X_0)^G)^\perp$ by the symbol \rightsquigarrow using the Conway-Sloane's genus symbol, as seen many times in the following subsections.

We conclude this section with some useful properties related to discriminant groups. For a prime p and a nondegenerate integral lattice L , we define the p -length as follows.

$$l_p(L) = (\text{the number of minimal generators of } A(L)_p).$$

This is easily read off from the p -adic genus symbol of L as follows. If the symbol is as in Lemma 4.3, then

$$l_p(L) = n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_e + \cdots.$$

It is clear then that $l_p(L) \leq \text{rank}(L)$.

Corollary 4.7. *Let p be the characteristic of the base field of X_0 .*

(1) *The subgroup $\Gamma \subset A(\Lambda_G) \oplus A(H^{(p)})$ from the proof of Corollary 4.6 is p -elementary of order either 1, p or p^2 .*

(2) *For primes q different from p , the q -Sylow subgroup $A(\text{NS}(X_0)^G)_q$ coincides with the negative of the form $A(\Lambda_G)_q$. In particular, we have $l_q(\Lambda_G) \leq \text{rank}(\text{NS}(X_0)^G)$.*

Proof. Statement (1) follows since the abelian group $A(H^{(p)})$ is p -elementary of order p^2 and $H^{(p)}$ is a primitive sublattice. Since the Sylow subgroups at different primes are orthogonal to each other (Jordan decomposition), (2) follows by the same reasoning. \square

5. OUTLINE OF THE PROOFS OF THE MAIN THEOREMS

In what follows, we will consider the groups G acting on Λ with Λ^G of rank three at least (by Corollary 4.6). We use the classification of [18] to check whether Λ_G embeds into $\text{NS}(X_{0,p})$ at some suitable prime, though

sometimes, especially if $p = 2$, it will be more straight forward to check whether $\Lambda_G \oplus H^{(p)}$ embeds into $L_{1,25}$. If it does not embed for some p , then the given G -action on Λ can be excluded (though G may admit another admissible action on Λ). If there is an embedding, then we can appeal to the crystalline Torelli theorem (Theorem 3.2) or to our explicit geometric realizations (Section 11) to detect the symplectic G -action (and the action of all subgroups of G).

In more detail, the arguments are organized as follows:

- Section 6 develops fairly general lattice criteria which will be applied throughout the remainder of this paper; with this, some group actions can be excluded immediately.
- Section 7 treats the case $\text{rk } \Lambda^G = 3$; for use at $p = 2$, it also develops an analogue of the Witt cancellation theorem (§7.4).
- Section 8 covers the tame groups G with $\text{rk } \Lambda^G = 4$; it also provides an independent confirmation of the results in [13].
- Section 9 treats the wild groups G with $\text{rk } \Lambda^G = 4$, thus completing the analysis in rank 4.
- Section 10 covers all remaining cases with $\text{rk } \Lambda^G \geq 5$ needed for our main theorems. It also gives another independent proof of Mukai's results [28] over \mathbb{C} (§10.2).
- Section 11 completes the ingredients needed for the proofs of our main theorems by exhibiting explicit realizations of the required group actions on the supersingular K3 surfaces of Artin invariant $\sigma_0 = 1$ in characteristics 2 and 3 where no crystalline Torelli theorem is available.
- Section 12 collects the proof of all theorems from the introduction.

6. LATTICE CONDITIONS

In this section, we collect useful criteria for immediate and later application.

6.1. Length criterion.

Lemma 6.1. *If there is some prime p such that*

$$l_p(\Lambda_G) > \text{rk } \Lambda^G - 2,$$

then the G -action on Λ can only correspond to a symplectic action on a supersingular K3 surface in characteristic p .

Proof. This follows directly from the analogue of Corollary 4.7 for arbitrary Artin invariant σ_0 as $\text{NS}(X)$ is always p' -unimodular at any prime $p' \neq p$. \square

Corollary 6.2. *If some G -action on Λ admits two primes as in Lemma 6.1, then it does not correspond to a symplectic action on any supersingular K3 surface.*

Lemma 6.1 determines p in several cases of small rank (see Section 7). Meanwhile Corollary 6.2 directly rules out the G -actions from [18] with no.s

$$62, 96, 140, 142, 150, 151, 155 - 159, 161, 176, 187, 191, \\ 195, 198, 200, 204, 206, 208 - 211, 214, 217 - 220.$$

6.2. Constituent criterion.

Lemma 6.3. *Assume that there is some prime p such that $l_p(\Lambda_G) = \text{rk } \Lambda^G$. If*

$$\sum_{q>p} n_q > \text{rk } \Lambda^G - 2$$

where the n_q are as in Lemma 4.3 for Λ^G or equivalently Λ_G , then the G -action on Λ cannot correspond to a symplectic action on a supersingular K3 surface.

Proof. Let $r = \text{rk } \Lambda^G$, exceeding the rank of the purported invariant lattice $\text{NS}(X_0)^G$ by 2, so that any supersingular K3 surface X with a symplectic G -action lives in characteristic p by Lemma 6.1. Denote the minimal orders of generators of $A(\Lambda_G)_p$ by $q_1 \leq \dots \leq q_r$. To embed into $\text{NS}(X_0)$, the coinvariant lattice Λ_G has to glue to $\text{NS}(X)$, of rank $r - 2$, along a subgroup of $A(\Lambda_G)$ whose length does not exceed $r - 2$. For length reasons, it follows that resulting overlattice $\text{NS}(X)$ has some constituents with $q'_1 \geq q_1, q'_2 \geq q_2$ but then it cannot be p -elementary since $q_2 > p$ by assumption. \square

Applied to the group actions from [18] at the prime $p = 2$, we can rule out the following no.s:

$$57, 64, 78, 86, 93, 107, 117, 130, 132, 143, 147, 153, 160, 164, 174, \\ 177, 181, 184, 185, 190, 192, 196, 197, 202, 207, 213, 215, 216, 221.$$

Remark 6.4. At $p = 2$, the genus symbols in [18] do not always attain the correct form according to [10] (cf. the discussion in [1]), but we correct this on the way whenever necessary. For instance, for no. 197, [18] lists $2_3^{+1}4_1^{+1}16_7^{+1}$, but the trace of the order 2 constituent is clearly not compatible with the determinant as 3 is a non-square modulo 8. The correct genus symbol reads $2_1^{+1}4_1^{+1}16_1^{+1}$. Anyway, for this case, the precise values turn out to be irrelevant for applying Lemma 6.3.

6.3. Determinant condition.

Lemma 6.5. *Assume that $\Lambda_G \hookrightarrow \text{NS}(X_{0,p})$ such that $l_{p'}(\Lambda_G^\perp) = \text{rk } \Lambda^G - 2$ at some prime p' . Write d' for the prime-to- p' -part of $-\det \Lambda_G$. Then*

$$(3) \quad \left(\frac{d'}{p'}\right) = \prod_{q'>1} \varepsilon_{q'}$$

where the product runs over the signs of the p' -adic constituents of Λ_G^\perp .

Proof. Since $\det(\mathrm{NS}(X_{0,p})) = -p^2$, the square classes of the determinants of Λ_G and Λ_G^\perp agree up to sign. Thus d' gives the prime-to p' -part of the square class of Λ_G^\perp , and (3) is just the standard p' -adic determinant condition (cf. [10, p. 383]). Here we use that Λ_G^\perp has no unimodular p' -adic constituent because rank and length agree by assumption. \square

The determinant condition can also be applied in case $p = p'$, but it is most readily used when $p' \neq p$ (where p could be determined by Lemma 6.1) and $l_{p'}(\Lambda_G) = \mathrm{rk}(\Lambda^G) - 2$, for then the p' -adic genus symbol of Λ_G^\perp is obtained from that of Λ_G as in Lemma 4.3 (with the usual extra care because of sign walking if $p' = 2$). This directly excludes the G -actions from [18] with no.s

104, 114, 127, 136, 139, 144, 166, 168, 173, 186, 189, 193, 199.

(For no. 186 with symbol $4_1^{+1}3^{+3}$, we note that the single non-unimodular 2-adic constituent 4_1^{+1} does not allow for any sign walks by [10, pp. 381/2], so Λ_G^\perp would have symbol 4_7^{+1} , but $(-3/2) = -1$.)

We will see more applications of this criterion throughout this paper, notably in Proposition 8.2. We emphasize that it can equally well be applied to the primitive closure of $\Lambda_G \oplus H^{(p)}$ embedding into $L_{1,25}$. This will be especially useful in characteristic $p = 2$, see 7.3.

7. CLASSIFICATION IN RANK 3

Let G be a group acting on Λ such that $\mathrm{rank}(\Lambda^G) = 3$. For the cases remaining after 6.1, 6.2, 6.3, we will proceed with a close inspection of the discriminant groups.

7.1. The discriminant group $A(\Lambda_G)$ has length 1. In this case, the characteristic of k a priori can be any prime p dividing $\det(\Lambda_G)$, but for Λ_G to glue to some positive vector v (with $v^2 = |\det(\Lambda_G)|$) to give $\mathrm{NS}(X_0)$, the quadratic forms on the p' -Sylow groups of the discriminant groups of Λ_G and $\mathbb{Z}v$ have to agree up to sign for any prime $p' \neq p$. It turns out that for each G -action with discriminant group $A(\Lambda_G)$ of length 1, this determines the characteristic p uniquely. This is easily checked using the genus symbol as indicated in Table 2.

Summary 7.1. The groups in Table 2 admit no symplectic action on any supersingular K3 surface in characteristic $p' \neq p$ (the prime indicated in the last column), but by Theorem 3.2 they can be realized symplectically in characteristic p .

Remark 7.2. Kondō asserted this already for the simple and perfect groups in [24]. However, he follows [10, Table 10.3] to list one of the maximal subgroups as $2^4 : (3 \times \mathfrak{S}_5)$. Since this group contains elements of order 12, but M_{23} doesn't (cf. Table 1), this cannot be correct. The group structure given in [9], $2^4 : (3 \times \mathfrak{A}_5) : 2$, appears to be correct (while it is listed as $[2^4 3].S_5$ under #183 in [18]).

no.	G	genus symbol of Λ_G	v^2	genus symbol of $\mathbb{Z}v$	glues at	p
165	M_{22}	$4_5^{-1}11^{+1}$	44	$4_3^{-1}11^{+1}$	2	11
170	$L_3(4).2$	$4_3^{-1}3^{-1}7^{-1}$	84	$4_5^{-1}3^{+1}7^{-1}$	2, 3	7
172	$2^4.A_7$	$8_1^{+1}7^{+1}$	56	$8_7^{+1}7^{+1}$	2	7
175	A_8	$4_1^{+1}3^{+1}5^{+1}$	60	$4_7^{+1}3^{+1}5^{-1}$	2, 3	5
182	M_{11}	$\begin{cases} 2_7^{+1}3^{-1}11^{-1} \\ 2_3^{-1}3^{-1}11^{-1} \end{cases}$	66	$2_1^{+1}3^{+1}11^{-1}$	$\begin{cases} 2, 3 \\ 3 \end{cases}$	$\begin{cases} 11 \\ - \end{cases}$
183	$2^4 : (3 \times \mathfrak{A}_5) : 2$	$8_1^{+1}3^{-1}5^{-1}$	120	$8_7^{+1}3^{+1}5^{+1}$	2, 3	5

TABLE 2. 6 groups acting symplectically on some $X_{0,p}$

7.2. There is an odd prime p such that $l_p(\Lambda_G) \geq 2$. By Corollary 4.7 (ii), this implies that $\text{char}(k) = p$, and the quadratic forms of the p' -Sylow groups of the discriminant groups of Λ_G and $\mathbb{Z}v$ agree up to sign for any prime $p' \neq p$ (as in 7.1). (In particular, $l_{p'}(\Lambda_G) \leq 1$.)

no. 163. $G = U_4(3)$, $\Lambda_G \in 4_7^{+1}3^{+2}$ leads directly to $p = 3$ and $v^2 = 4$, satisfying the requirement. The symplectic action is realized geometrically on the Fermat quartic, see Section 11.

no. 167. $G = U_3(5)$, $\Lambda_G \in \text{II}_{0,21}2_7^{+1}5^{-2}$ directly leads to $p = 5$ and $v^2 = 2$. This is immediately verified to meet the requirement (and thus admits a symplectic action on the supersingular K3 surface of Artin invariant 1 in characteristic $p = 5$) by Theorem 3.2 (realized in [11, §6], for instance).

no. 169. $G = 3^4.A_6.2$, $\Lambda_G \in \text{II}_{0,21}2_1^{+1}3^{-1}9^{+1}$ implies that $\text{char}(k) = 3$ and $v^2 = 6$, but then all order 3 elements in $w \in A(\Lambda_G)$ have square $w^2 \equiv \frac{2}{3} \pmod{2\mathbb{Z}}$ – just like $v/3$ – or $w^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{2\mathbb{Z}}$, so in either case, they cannot glue to $v/3$, and this group is excluded.

no. 201. $G = [2^4 3^3]$, $\Lambda_G \in \text{II}_{0,21}2_7^{+1}3^{+2}9^{-1}$, so $p = 3$ and $v^2 = 18$. Here it is more straight forward to first glue Λ_G to $H^{(p)}$ inside $U \oplus \Lambda$. We get

$$\text{II}_{0,21}2_7^{+1}3^{+2}9^{-1} \oplus \text{II}_{0,4}3^{+2} \rightsquigarrow \text{II}_{0,25}2_7^{+1}9^{-1}$$

which visibly does not glue to v at 9 (because $\mathbb{Z}v \in \text{II}_{1,0}2_1^{+1}9^{-1}$).

Summary 7.3. This completes the classification of symplectic group actions with $\text{rank}(\Lambda_G) = 3$ outside characteristic 2.

7.3. Groups with $l_2(\Lambda_G) \geq 2$. Having ruled out plenty of the groups from [18] such that $\text{rank}(\Lambda^G) = 3$, we are left with issues in characteristic $p = 2$ where $l_2(A(\Lambda_G)) \geq 2$. Assuming that the G -action comes from the supersingular K3 surface $X_{0,2}$, we consider the auxiliary lattice L' which is the saturation of $\Lambda_G \oplus H^{(2)}$ inside $L_{1,25}$:

$$L' = (\Lambda_G \oplus H^{(2)})' \cap L_{1,25}.$$

Since L' has orthogonal complement generated by a single positive vector v (as before in this section), we infer that Λ_G and $H^{(2)}$ glue along the full discriminant group $A(H^{(2)}) \cong v(2)$ in standard notation. This has immediate consequences, the first of which is valid in greater generality:

Lemma 7.4. *Assume that the 2-adic lattice L has either an odd constituent at 2 of dimension 1 or 2, or 2-adic symbol $2_{\text{II}}^{+2} \dots$ without odd constituents at level 4. Then $v(2) \not\hookrightarrow A(L)$.*

Proof. For $v(2)$ to embed into $A(L)$, we need 2 odd integral 2-torsion elements in $A(L)$ with half-integer intersection. In the first alternative, however, all odd integral 2-torsion elements are orthogonal in $A(L)$. The second alternative admits essentially only one odd integral 2-torsion element; more precisely, we distinguish the summand $u(2)$ of $A(L)$ corresponding to the level 2-constituent, and its orthogonal complement $u(2)^\perp$. By construction, any two-torsion elements $u_1, u_2 \in u(2)^\perp$ are even integral and orthogonal while $u(2)$ contains a unique odd integral class u . Hence any two odd integral two-torsion elements can be written as $u + u_1, u + u_2$ and continue to be orthogonal. In each case, we thus derive a contradiction. \square

Summary 7.5. The lemma allows us to rule out several cases in rank 3, and also analogously in higher rank whenever $l_2(\Lambda_G) > \text{rk}(\Lambda^G) - 2$, namely

48, 56, 58, 80, 91, 95, 116, 123, 131, 139, 148, 152, 154, 178, 180, 193, 203, 205, 212.

7.4. Witt cancellation theorem. On the other hand, if $v(2)$ embeds into $A(\Lambda_G)$, then it will be very useful to know its complement. In fact, the following analogy of the Witt cancellation theorem for finite quadratic forms holds in our case. Recall that there are two building blocks of finite quadratic forms,

$$u(2) = A(2_{\text{II}}^{+2}), \quad v(2) = A(2_{\text{II}}^{-2}),$$

whose quadratic forms take integer values. The underlying groups are elementary abelian of order 4 for both cases and the Gram matrix is given by

$$u(2) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1/2 \\ 1/2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad v(2) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1/2 \\ 1/2 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where the diagonal (resp. off-diagonal) entries are considered as elements in $\mathbb{Q}/2\mathbb{Z}$ (resp. \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}). (For instance, they can be realized as discriminant forms of $U(2)$ or D_8 resp. D_4 .)

Lemma 7.6. *Let A be a nondegenerate finite quadratic form and Q be a fixed direct sum of $u(2)$ and $v(2)$ such that there exists an embedding $Q \hookrightarrow A$. Then, $Q^\perp \subset A$ is determined uniquely up to isometries by A and Q , and is independent of the chosen embedding.*

Proof. First we recall the theorems which we utilize. One is Nikulin's strong approximation theorem [30, Theorem 1.9.1], which states that for a given

finite quadratic form A on a p -group, there exists a unique p -adic lattice L such that $A(L) \simeq A$ and $\text{rank}(L) = \text{length}(A)$, except when discriminant form restricted to the 2-torsion subgroup of A is not integer valued. In the latter case, the theorem moreover states that there are exactly two p -adic lattices with these properties. The second input is the definition and existence of (refined) canonical symbols for 2-adic lattices by [2]. It corrects the one given in [10] as follows. Instead of trains in [10], the authors of [2] introduce *signways* to precisely describe the interactions of signs at various scales under sign-walkings. Signways subdivide trains at certain compartments of dimension 2. See [2, Section 6]. Thus, the (refined) canonical symbol is defined by the property that it has at most one minus sign in each signway, and it is at the leftmost scale of the way if exists. Then two 2-adic lattices are isometric if and only if they have the same (refined) canonical symbols.

Now, let A, Q be given as in the statement. By the Jordan decomposition of general finite forms into the orthogonal sum of p -Sylow subgroups, it is enough to show the lemma at $p = 2$. So, we may assume that A is a 2-group. Since Q is nondegenerate, $A = Q \oplus Q^\perp$ holds as finite quadratic forms. By strong approximation, there are 2-adic lattices L, M, N such that $A = A(L)$, $Q = A(M)$, $Q^\perp = A(N)$ and whose respective ranks are equal to the lengths of A, Q, Q^\perp . By assumption, we have $M = 2_{\text{II}}^{em}$ for some $e \in \{\pm 1\}$, $m \in 2\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ uniquely. We have to separate the cases in some detail.

7.4.1. If the 2-torsion subgroup of A is integer valued, and hence so is Q^\perp , then the 2-adic lattices L and N are determined uniquely, too. We consider the canonical 2-adic symbol of N .

7.4.2. If it begins with one of the following expressions,

$$(4) \quad q_X^{\varepsilon n} \cdots, \quad 4_{\text{II}}^{\varepsilon n} \cdots, \quad 2_{\text{II}}^{\varepsilon n} \cdots,$$

where $q \geq 8$, $X \in \mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z} \cup \{\text{II}\}$, $\varepsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$ and $n > 0$, then the canonical symbol of $M \oplus N$ will be

$$(5) \quad 2_{\text{II}}^{em} q_X^{\varepsilon n} \cdots, \quad 2_{\text{II}}^{em} 4_{\text{II}}^{\varepsilon n} \cdots, \quad 2_{\text{II}}^{(e\varepsilon)(m+n)} \cdots$$

respectively. These are the cases where the signway of N *does not extend* in $M \oplus N$, in the sense that for each signway of N , the set of its scales constitute an (entire) signway in $M \oplus N$ again. In fact, in the former two cases of (5) the constituent 2_{II}^{em} is itself a signway and the remaining symbols and its signways are the same as that of N . In the last case, the signways of N and $M \oplus N$ correspond with the same set of scales. These observations ensure that the omitted symbols in \cdots are completely the same in (4) and (5).

7.4.3. On the other hand, if the canonical symbol of N begins with an odd constituent of scale 4, i.e. $N = [4^{\varepsilon n} \cdots$ (where $[$ denotes the beginning of a

compartment), then the canonical symbol of $M \oplus N$ will be either

$$(6) \quad 2_{\text{II}}^{em}[4^{+n} \dots] \quad \text{or} \quad 2_{\text{II}}^{(-e)m}[4^{+n} \dots]$$

according as $\varepsilon = +1$ or -1 . This is the case where the signway of N *extends* in $M \oplus N$, as the new constituent of scale 2 becomes a part of a signway which extends the signway of N that contains the least scale 4. We note that even in this case, the omitted symbols in \dots are unchanged from those of N . Comparing the possible canonical symbols (5) and (6) case-by-case, we see that the isomorphism class of N is uniquely determined by that of $M \oplus N$. In turn, the 2-adic lattice $M \oplus N \simeq L$ is uniquely determined from A as we noticed before. Hence $Q^\perp = A(N)$ is also uniquely determined.

7.4.4. Next we consider the case where the 2-torsion subgroup of A is not integral valued, and so isn't Q^\perp . In this case, the strong approximation theorem states that there correspond two 2-adic lattices to each A and Q^\perp . We choose one representative N for Q^\perp . Since Q is even, we know that the canonical symbol of N begins with odd constituent of scale 2 at which its first compartment begins, so it is

$$(7) \quad [2^{\varepsilon n} \dots_{(a)}]_t \dots_{(b)} \quad (t \in \mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z}, \varepsilon \in \{\pm 1\}, n > 0),$$

where $\dots_{(a)}$ and $\dots_{(b)}$ are appropriate symbols, possibly empty. Again, we separate the cases by distinguishing whether signways of N extend in $M \oplus N$ or not. A careful inspection of cases (see [2, Lemma 6.1]) shows that the signway of N extends in $M \oplus N$ if and only if

$$(8) \quad \begin{array}{l} N \text{ has 1-dimensional constituents at scales 2 and 4 and they} \\ \text{constitute a 2-dimensional compartment of total oddity 0 or 4.} \end{array}$$

If this is not the case, the canonical symbol of $M \oplus N$ is given simply by

$$(9) \quad [2^{(e\varepsilon)(m+n)} \dots_{(a)}]_t \dots_{(b)}.$$

If (8) holds true, the canonical symbol of N is one of

$$[2^{\varepsilon 1} 4^{\varepsilon 1}]_0 \dots_{(b)}, \quad [2^{\varepsilon 1} 4^{(-\varepsilon)1}]_4 \dots_{(b)},$$

with $\varepsilon = \pm 1$. The canonical symbol of $M \oplus N$ then becomes

$$(10) \quad [2^{e(1+m)} 4^{+1}]_{2-2\varepsilon} \dots_{(b)}, \quad [2^{(-e)(1+m)} 4^{+1}]_{2-2\varepsilon} \dots_{(b)}$$

respectively. Now, our lemma follows if the two possible representatives L, L' of A both lead to (possibly several N but) the same discriminant group $A(N)$.

7.4.5. Suppose that L has the symbol (9) (and that (8) does not hold true). Then, the other representative L' is given by

$$[2^{(-e\varepsilon)(m+n)} \dots_{(a)}]_{t+4} \dots_{(b)}$$

by the strong approximation theorem. (Note that this is just (9) applied with “fake” sign-walking at scales 1 and 2. The same holds in general, see

[30]). By inspection of the possible symbols (9) and (10), we can recover the lattices N, N' as follows, omitting illegal symbols right away:

$(\varepsilon, n, \dots_{(a)}, t)$	possible N, N'	
$(1, 1, 4^{+1}, 0)$	$[2^{+1}4^{+1}]_0 \dots_{(b)}$,	$[2^{-1}4^{+1}]_4 \dots_{(b)}$
$(1, 1, 4^{+1}, 4)$	$[2^{-1}4^{-1}]_0 \dots_{(b)}$,	$[2^{+1}4^{-1}]_4 \dots_{(b)}$
$(-1, 1, 4^{+1}, 0)$	$[2^{+1}4^{-1}]_4 \dots_{(b)}$,	$[2^{-1}4^{-1}]_0 \dots_{(b)}$
$(-1, 1, 4^{+1}, 4)$	$[2^{-1}4^{+1}]_4 \dots_{(b)}$,	$[2^{+1}4^{+1}]_0 \dots_{(b)}$
(otherwise)	$[2^{\varepsilon n} \dots_{(a)}]_t \dots_{(b)}$,	$[2^{-\varepsilon n} \dots_{(a)}]_{t+4} \dots_{(b)}$

In each case, the strong approximation theorem implies again that the two possible entries for N, N' belong to the same discriminant form $A(N) \cong A(N')$. Thus the lemma follows in this case.

7.4.6. It remains to consider the case where L has the symbol (10) (and where (8) holds true as well). Then the corresponding L' has the symbol

$$(11) \quad [2^{(-e)(1+m)}4^{+1}]_{6-2\varepsilon} \dots_{(b)}, \quad [2^{e(1+m)}4^{+1}]_{6-2\varepsilon} \dots_{(b)}$$

respectively. Again we go through the possibilities to check the next table.

ε	L, L' (omitted $\dots_{(b)}$)		possible N, N' (omitted $\dots_{(b)}$)	
1	$[2^{e(1+m)}4^{+1}]_0$,	$[2^{(-e)(1+m)}4^{+1}]_4$	$[2^{+1}4^{+1}]_0$,	$[2^{-1}4^{+1}]_4$
-1	$[2^{e(1+m)}4^{+1}]_4$,	$[2^{(-e)(1+m)}4^{+1}]_0$	$[2^{-1}4^{-1}]_0$,	$[2^{+1}4^{-1}]_4$
1	$[2^{(-e)(1+m)}4^{+1}]_0$,	$[2^{e(1+m)}4^{+1}]_4$	$[2^{+1}4^{-1}]_4$,	$[2^{-1}4^{-1}]_0$
-1	$[2^{(-e)(1+m)}4^{+1}]_4$,	$[2^{e(1+m)}4^{+1}]_0$	$[2^{-1}4^{+1}]_4$,	$[2^{+1}4^{+1}]_0$

In every case, we see as before that $A(N) \cong A(N')$ is uniquely determined as a finite quadratic form. This completes the proof of Lemma 7.6. \square

7.5. Remark. The Witt cancellation theorem has been known to hold in several other situations since the classical theory of quadratic forms over fields. We want here to exhibit an example in which the cancellation *fails* for general embeddings of finite quadratic forms. Thus, the assumption on the quadratic form of Q attaining integer values is really necessary.

Example 7.7. Let A be the finite quadratic form defined by the following underlying group and the Gram matrix,

$$A = \left((\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2 \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})^2, \begin{pmatrix} 1/2 & & & \\ & 3/2 & & \\ & & 3/4 & \\ & & & 3/4 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 3/4 & & & \\ & 3/4 & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

We consider the embedding of the following form

$$Q = \left((\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2, \begin{pmatrix} 1/2 & & \\ & 3/2 & \\ & & \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

into A . We denote by e_1, e_2, f_1, f_2 the basis of A corresponding to the above matrices, i.e., e_i has order 2 ($i = 1, 2$) and f_i has order 4 ($i = 1, 2$),

$(e_1)^2 = 1/2$, $(e_2)^2 = 3/2$, $(f_i)^2 = 3/4$ ($i = 1, 2$) and every two distinct elements are orthogonal. We then find two subspaces isomorphic to Q by

$$Q_1 = \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle, \quad Q_2 = \langle e_1 + 2f_1, e_2 + 2f_2 \rangle.$$

We compute their orthogonal complements as follows.

$$Q_1^\perp = \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle \simeq \left((\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})^2, \begin{pmatrix} 3/4 & \\ & 3/4 \end{pmatrix} \right),$$

$$Q_2^\perp = \langle e_1 + f_1, e_2 + f_2 \rangle \simeq \left((\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})^2, \begin{pmatrix} 5/4 & \\ & 1/4 \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

It is easy to see that the quadratic form of Q_1^\perp does not attain the value $1/4 \in \mathbb{Q}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Therefore, the embeddings Q_1 and Q_2 do not have isometric complements, contrary to the situation of Lemma 7.6.

7.6. Conclusion of the classification in rank 3. With the help of Lemma 7.6, we can easily continue by computing $v(2)^\perp$ for the five remaining cases in rank 3 and check whether L' glues to v :

no.	G	genus symbol of Λ_G	genus symbol of L'	v	glues?
162	$2^9.M_{21}$	$2_{\text{II}}^{-2}8_3^{-1}$	8_3^{-1}	8	<i>no</i>
171	$M_{21}.2_1$	$2_5^{+3}7^{-1}$	$2_5^{-1}7^{-1}$	14	<i>ok</i>
179	$2^4 : \mathfrak{S}_6$	$2_{\text{II}}^{-2}8_1^{+1}3^{+1}$	$8_1^{+1}3^{+1}$	24	<i>no</i>
188	$2^5.\mathfrak{S}_5$	$2_{\text{II}}^{-2}8_7^{+1}5^{-1}$	$8_7^{+1}5^{-1}$	40	<i>no</i>
194	$\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{S}_6)$	$2_5^{+3}3^{-1}5^{+1}$	$2_5^{-1}3^{-1}5^{+1}$	30	<i>ok</i>

TABLE 3. Groups G with $v(2) \hookrightarrow A(\Lambda_G)$

As an explanation for no.s 171 and 194, we denote orthogonal half-integral generators of $A(\Lambda_G)_2$ by w_1, w_2, w_3 , each of square $7/2$. Then $v(2) \subset A(\Lambda_G)$ comprises the sums $w_i + w_j$, and the two-torsion in $v(2)^\perp$ is generated by $w_1 + w_2 + w_3$ of square $5/2$ as stated (uniquely by Lemma 7.6).

We conclude by pointing out that $G = M_{21}.2_1$ was realized in [13]. Meanwhile we shall see in Section 11.2 that $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{S}_6)$ can also be realized as a group of symplectic automorphisms on $X_{0,2}$ (preserving a polarization of degree 30 indeed).

8. CLASSIFICATION IN RANK 4 – TAME CASE

We turn to the tame case in rank 4 and start with an easy observation which surely is well-known.

Lemma 8.1. *Let p be prime and G a finite group such that $p \nmid |G|$. If G acts on some p -unimodular lattice L , then $p \nmid \det(L^G) \det(L_G)$.*

Proof. Since L^G and L_G embed primitively as orthogonal complements into L , p dividing one's determinant is equivalent to p dividing both. But then

L^G and L_G glue along a subgroup of size p inside their discriminant groups, i.e. there are primitive vectors $u \in L^G, v \in L_G$ such that

$$w = \frac{1}{p}(u + v) \in L.$$

But then the orbit under G gives

$$L^G \in \sum_{g \in G} g(w) = \frac{|G|}{p}u, \quad \text{since } \sum_{g \in G} g(v) \in L_G \cap L^G = \{0\}.$$

As u was primitive in L^G , we infer that $p \mid |G|$ as claimed. \square

We use the lemma for the following abstract characterization of tame group actions in rank 4:

Proposition 8.2. *Let G be a finite group acting on Λ such that Λ^G has rank 4. Then the G -action is compatible with a tame symplectic action on some supersingular K3 surface X in characteristic p with $\text{rk NS}(X)^G = 2$ if and only if the following conditions hold*

- (i) $p \nmid |G|$;
- (ii) $A(\Lambda^G)$ has length at most two;
- (iii) $\sigma_0(X) = 1$;
- (iv) $\det \Lambda^G$ is a non-square mod p , i.e. $\left(\frac{\det \Lambda^G}{p}\right) = -1$.
- (v) at every prime $p' \mid \det \Lambda^G$ such that $l_{p'}(A(\Lambda^G)) = 2$, write d' for the prime to p' -part of $\det \Lambda^G$. Then the signs ε_q in the p' -adic symbol of Λ_G satisfy

$$(12) \quad \prod_{q' > 1} \varepsilon_{q'} = \left(\frac{-d'}{p'}\right).$$

Proof. Let G act tamely on some supersingular K3 surface in characteristic p . Condition (i) is obvious, and $p \nmid \det \Lambda^G$ by Lemma 8.1. By assumption, $\Lambda_G \cong \text{NS}(X)_G$ glues to $M' := \text{NS}(X)^G$, a hyperbolic even lattice of rank two, so

$$l_{p'}(A(\Lambda^G)) = l_{p'}(A(\Lambda_G)) \leq \text{rk } M' = 2$$

holds trivially at all primes $p' \neq p$ while the p -length is zero as we have seen. Hence (ii) follows, and we also infer that

$$l_p(\text{NS}(X)) = l_p(M') \leq \text{rk}(M') = 2.$$

This implies (iii).

We conclude that the p -Sylow subgroup $A(M')_p$ is anti-isometric to $A(H^{(p)})$. Since the rank is even, this amounts to the p -adic symbol of M' agreeing with the non-unimodular factor of the p -adic symbol of $H^{(p)}$, i.e.

$$(13) \quad p^{\varepsilon_{p^2}}, \quad \varepsilon_p = -\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right).$$

But then by construction, we have $\det(M') = -p^2 \det \Lambda^G$, so the standard determinant condition as in 6.3 implies

$$\varepsilon_p = \left(\frac{-\det \Lambda^G}{p} \right).$$

Comparing with (13) we infer condition (iv).

Condition (v) follows in a similar way. In fact, this is just Lemma 6.5 because the sign products $\prod_{q' > 1} \varepsilon_{q'}$ agree for $\Lambda^G, \Lambda_G, \Lambda_G^-$ and M' by Lemma 4.3.

Conversely, given G with Λ^G satisfying conditions (i), (ii), (iv) and (v), we have to make sure that Λ_G glues to some rank two hyperbolic even lattice M' to give $\text{NS}(X_{0,p})$ and check the conditions of the crystalline Torelli theorem. By what we have seen above, the genus symbol of M' is predicted as that of Λ_G^- augmented by a factor p^{ε^2} . The existence of M' (as an integral lattice) amounts to checking the conditions from [10, 15.7.7]. Here the compatibilities of determinants are encoded in conditions (iii) and (iv) by the same argument as above; for completeness we comment on the case of primes $p' \neq p$ such that $l_{p'}(A(\Lambda^G)) = 1$. Here the p' -adic symbol contains a factor $q^{\varepsilon_{q'}^1}$ (derived from Λ_G by Lemma 4.3), so we are free to set the other factor to be $1^{\varepsilon_{01}}$ where

$$\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_{q'} \left(\frac{-d'}{p'} \right)$$

accommodating the p' -prime part $-d'$ of the determinant of M' .

We conclude by claiming that everything else from [10, 15.7.7] needed to infer the existence of M' is inherited from Λ_G^- (which surely exists). Indeed, the only non-trivial condition is the congruence relation of signature, p -excess and oddity modulo 8:

$$(14) \quad \text{signature} + \sum_{p'' > 2} p''\text{-excess} \equiv \text{oddity} \pmod{8}.$$

When moving from Λ_G^- to M' , the signature drops from 20 to 0, but other than that only the p -excess changes from 0 (since $p \nmid \det \Lambda^G$) to $2(p-1) + 2(1 - \varepsilon_p)$. But the last expression is congruent to 4 modulo 8 for any odd p , so the two correction terms balance out modulo 8 and (14) remains valid for M' . Thus the required integral lattice M' exists, and it remains to verify that M' can be taken to be even. This can be confirmed explicitly case by case going through the classification [18], but there is an independent structural argument as follows: Λ_G and Λ_G^- are even, so the unimodular constituent at $p = 2$ has type 1_{II}^m where m is even by the usual parity constraints. This enters with trace 0 in the oddity, so for M' we can (and should) also take $1_{\text{II}}^{m'}$ for some appropriate $m' \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ (where m' is even, since $\text{rk } \Lambda_G^- \equiv \text{rk } M' \pmod{2}$ and the non-unimodular parts of the 2-adic symbols of Λ_G^- and M' agree by construction). Thus, with this genus symbol, M' is automatically even. \square

Remark 8.3. By inspection of the proof, the arguments only require that $p \nmid \det \Lambda^G$ (as inferred in the tame case from Lemma 8.1), so they also apply to certain wild cases (see 9.3, for instance).

We shall now apply Proposition 8.2 to the classification in [18]. In principle we can just go through the single cases, but our main goal is to infer a characterization in the spirit of [28]. This also provides an independent proof of the classification of possible groups G in [11], but now supplemented by the existence decision.

Theorem 8.4. *A finite group G admits a tame action on some supersingular K3 surface X in characteristic p with $\text{NS}(X)^G$ of rank two if and only if $X \cong X_{0,p}$ and G can be realized as a subgroup of M_{23} acting with 4 orbits on $\{1, \dots, 24\}$ such that the orbit lengths l_1, \dots, l_4 satisfy*

$$(15) \quad \left(\frac{l_1 \cdots l_4}{p} \right) = -1.$$

Proof. By Proposition 8.2, we have $\sigma_0(X) = 1$ and the group G admits an action on Λ with Λ^G of rank 4. The tables of [18] give exactly the 10 maximal groups from Table 4. (For all other tables groups in [18] with $\text{rank}(\Lambda^G) = 4$, Λ^G has either length greater than 2 (not satisfying Proposition 8.2 (ii)) or determinant a perfect square (incompatible with Proposition 8.2 (iii)).)

no.	G	$ G $	genus symbol	l_1, l_2, l_3, l_4
102	M_{21}	$2^7 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$	$2_{\text{II}}^{-2} 3^{-1} 7^{-1}$	1, 1, 1, 21
106	$2^4 : \mathfrak{A}_6$	$2^7 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5$	$4_5^{-1} 8_1^{+1} 3^{+1}$	1, 1, 6, 16
108	\mathfrak{A}_7	$2^3 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$	$3^{+1} 5^{+1} 7^{+1}$	1, 1, 7, 15
110	$M_{20} : 2$	$2^7 \cdot 3 \cdot 5$	$4_3^{-1} 8_1^{+1} 5^{-1}$	1, 1, 2, 20 1, 2, 5, 16
111	$2^3 : L_2(7)$	$2^6 \cdot 3 \cdot 7$	$4_2^{+2} 7^{+1}$	1, 1, 8, 14 1, 7, 8, 8
112	$2^2 \cdot \mathfrak{A}_{4,4}$	$2^7 \cdot 3^2$	$8_6^{-2} 3^{-1}$	1, 3, 4, 16
118	\mathfrak{S}_6	$2^4 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5$	$2_{\text{II}}^{-2} 3^{+2} 5^{+1}$	1, 2, 6, 15
119	M_{10}	$2^4 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5$	$2_5^{+1} 4_1^{+1} 3^{-1} 5^{+1}$	1, 1, 10, 12
121	$2^4 : \mathfrak{S}_{3,3}$	$2^6 \cdot 3^2$	$4_7^{+1} 8_1^{+1} 3^{+2}$	1, 3, 8, 12
134	$3^2 : SD_{16}$	$2^4 \cdot 3^2$	$2_1^{+1} 4_1^{+1} 3^{-1} 9^{-1}$	1, 2, 9, 12

TABLE 4. Maximal tame groups with 4 orbits

We continue by checking in the tables of [18] whether the conditions from Proposition 8.2 are satisfied. This turns out to be true for all 10 eligible (maximal) groups listed in Table 4. The existence of the symplectic G -action on the appropriate $X_{0,p}$ then follows from Theorem 3.2 (since $p \neq 2, 3$ by the tameness assumption).

Then we verify that all these groups are subgroups of M_{23} with 4 orbits (so the claim holds true also for their 17 subgroups with less than 5 orbits as

classified in [11]), and that the product of orbit lengths are in the same square class as $\det \Lambda^G$ for some G -action on Λ , so condition (iii) from Proposition 8.2 translates exactly into (15). This confirms the theorem for all tame maximal groups from [18].

Of course, if some group G can be realized, then so can all its subgroups G' . However, it remains to cover the case where the maximal group G is wild in a given characteristic p while some subgroup G' with $\Lambda_{G'} = \Lambda_G$ is tame. By Lemma 8.1, this implies that $p \nmid \det \Lambda^{G'} = \det \Lambda^G$, so Proposition 8.2 gives the same existence conditions for G and G' (with the adjustment to the wild case from Remark 8.3). With G , the subgroup G' is also a subgroup of M_{23} , so it remains to verify the translation of the determinant condition into the product of orbit lengths from Theorem 8.4. But then a close inspection of Table 4 learns us that for $p \mid |G|$ we have

$$p \mid \det \Lambda^G \iff p \mid l_1 \dots l_4,$$

so Theorem 8.4 also holds for all non-maximal groups G alike. \square

Remark 8.5. Due to the determinant condition, symplectic actions of the groups from Table 4 can be realized in exactly half of the characteristics, but there is one subgroup with 4 orbits, namely O_{48} , which has two different actions on $\{1, \dots, 24\}$ with orbit lengths 1, 1, 6, 16 resp. 1, 3, 4, 16 such that their respective products lie in two different square classes. The group O_{48} , which can be represented as a subgroup of both $2^4 : \mathfrak{A}_6$ and $2^2 : \mathfrak{A}_{4,4}$, can thus be realized in 75% of all characteristics.

Remark 8.6. The groups in Table 4 were determined in [11] as the maximal possible tame finite symplectic automorphism groups of (supersingular) K3 surfaces. Existence, however, was left open. Our result covers both aspects; in addition, our approach offers the additional advantage of being completely independent of the arguments in [11].

We take this opportunity to note two corrections to [13]. For the group #121 in Table 4, [13] states an isomorphism $2^4 : \mathfrak{S}_{3,3} \cong \mathfrak{S}_{4,4} \cong \mathfrak{S}_4 \times \mathfrak{S}_4$. This cannot be correct since the group on the right hand side contains elements of order 12, but M_{23} does not.

In [13, Rem. 5.3 (1)], it is stated that M_{23} admits another subgroup, namely $M'_{20} : 2$, which does not act tamely symplectically on any supersingular K3 surface. However, with orbit lengths (1, 2, 5, 16) as stated in loc. cit., this would necessarily act symplectically on $X_{0,p}$ whenever $(10/p) = -1$, for instance by the geometric construction explained in the next remark. Indeed, the Mathieu character applied to $M'_{20} : 2$ does not return an integer, so this group cannot be a subgroup of M_{23} .

Remark 8.7. For the tame groups with 4 orbits from Table 4, there is an alternative approach along the lines of Kondō–Mukai [23] and Xiao [44]. Namely, one can pursue a case by case analysis and

- consider G -quotients of $X_{0,p}$, whose minimal resolutions are again isomorphic to $X_{0,p}$, to rule out all those characteristics not satisfying (15) by checking that the (primitive closure of the) root lattice spanned by the exceptional curves on the minimal resolution of the quotient (uniquely determined using [38]) does not embed into $\text{NS}(X_{0,p})$ and
- use that $G \subset M_{23}$ to endow the Niemeier lattice $N = N(A_1^{24})$ with a G -action to exhibit the rootfree lattice N_G encoded in the orbit lengths; then check that N_G embeds into $\text{NS}(X_{0,p})$ for those characteristics satisfying (15) and apply Theorem 3.2.

We emphasize that this approach does not lend itself to the wild case as the quotients need not be K3.

9. CLASSIFICATION IN RANK 4 – WILD CASE

We continue with the wild case in rank 4. Recall that, by [11], this reduces the possible characteristics to $p \leq 11$. Independently, this can also be inferred from the classification in [18].

9.1. Wild group actions in characteristic 2. We start by ruling out the bulk of G -actions with $l_2(\Lambda_G) > \text{rk } \Lambda^G - 2$ where Lemma 6.1 determines the characteristic to be $p = 2$. As lattices with $v(2) \not\leftrightarrow A(\Lambda_G)$ have already been excluded in 7.3, we can glue Λ_G to $H^{(2)}$ along $v(2)$ and use Lemma 7.6 to determine the genus symbol of the saturated lattice $L' = (\Lambda_G \oplus H^{(2)})' \subset L_{1,25}$. Whenever the 2-constituent of $A(\Lambda_G)$ is even, this excludes sign walking between this constituent and the unimodular one; then the determinant condition as in Lemma 6.5 gives an immediate contradiction for no.s

8, 11, 12, 14, 16, 25, 27, 32 – 34, 36, 40, 42, 49, 50, 54, 62, 65 – 67, 71, 75,
79, 81, 83, 88 – 90, 99, 100, 103, 105, 113, 115, 125, 126, 135, 136, 145, 146.

As an illustration, we give details for the cases where the genus symbol given in [18] has to be corrected. As before, d' denotes the 2-primary part of $-\det(L')$ (or, equivalently, of $-\det(\Lambda_G)$).

no.	G	genus symbol of Λ_G	genus symbol of L'	d'
81	$[2^7]$	$2_{\text{II}}^{+2}4_6^{+2}8_7^{+1}$	$4_2^{-2}8_7^{+1}$	1
103	$[2^{12}3]$	$2_{\text{II}}^{+2}4_3^{-1}8_5^{-1}$	$4_7^{+1}8_5^{-1}$	-1
125	$[2^73]$	$2_{\text{II}}^{-2}4_7^{+1}8_7^{+1}3^{-1}$	$4_7^{+1}8_7^{+1}3^{-1}$	-3
145	$[2^43]$	$2_{\text{II}}^{-2}4_3^{-1}8_1^{+1}$	$4_3^{-1}8_1^{+1}$	-1

TABLE 5. Some groups G with $v(2) \leftrightarrow A(\Lambda_G)$

9.2. Wild group actions in odd characteristic. There are a few group actions in rank 4 where Lemma 6.1 determines the characteristic p to be odd. Having excluded a few of them in Section 6, the remaining three groups are covered below.

No.s 101, 109. These groups are subgroups of $U_4(3)$ and thus occur.

No. 122. This group is a subgroup of $U_3(5)$ and thus occurs.

9.3. Wild group actions with square determinant. The considerations in Section 8 excluded all those tame group actions in rank 4 such that $\det \Lambda^G$ is a perfect square (by Proposition 8.2 (iii)). Here we verify which actions are compatible with the wild setting. It turns out that each group action can be realized on the supersingular K3 surface of Artin invariant 1 in exactly one characteristic p (indicated in the last column of Table 6).

To see this, we make use of Remark 8.3 and apply Proposition 8.2 (iii) whenever $p' \nmid \det \Lambda^G$. Other wild primes can be excluded by the determinant condition applied to a putative invariant lattice NS^G (whose determinant agrees with that of Λ_G up to sign and squares, so d' denote the p' -primary part of $-\det \Lambda^G$ as usual).

no.	G	genus symbol of Λ_G	$p' \nmid \det \Lambda_G$	$(d'/p') \neq \varepsilon_{p'}$	p
120	$L_2(11)$	11^{+2}	2, 3, 5	—	11
128	$(3 \times A_5) : 2$	$3^{-2}5^{-2}$	2	3	5
129	$L_2(7) \times 2$	$2_7^{+2}7^{+2}$	3	2	7

TABLE 6. Groups G in rank 4 with square determinant

Summary 9.1. The supersingular K3 surface $X_{0,p}$ admits a wild symplectic action by G exactly for the prime p indicated in the last column of Table 6. The geometric realizations follow from Theorem 3.2, but they can also be exhibited explicitly, see 11.4. Each of these three groups is contained in a maximal group from Table 2, namely in M_{22}, \mathfrak{A}_8 resp. $M_{21}.2_2$. Note that this is in perfect agreement with Theorem 1.2.

Remark 9.2. It can be shown using Theorem 3.2 that the symplectic actions from Summary 9.1 extend over any supersingular K3 surface of Artin invariant $\sigma_0 \leq 2$ in the specified characteristic p .

9.4. Groups from the tame rank 4 case. We conclude this section by discussing the 10 groups from Table 4 for the primes $p \leq 11$, especially in the wild setting.

Proposition 9.3. *A group from Table 4 is realized in characteristic $p \leq 11$ if and only if it is contained in a maximal group from the rank 3 case, with the exceptions of $2^2.\mathfrak{A}_{4,4}$ (no. 112) in characteristic 3 and $2^4 : \mathfrak{S}_{3,3}$ (no. 121) in characteristics 3 and 11.*

Proof. Of course, existence can be derived as a consequence of any subgroup relation with a group from the rank 3 setting. Here, next to the tables of [9], the supplementary files available with the paper are very useful. For the two cases with $G = 2^4 : \mathfrak{S}_{3,3}$, existence follows in characteristic $p = 11$

from Theorem 8.4, and in characteristic $p = 3$ from the explicit construction in 11.3.1. For the remaining group $2^2.\mathfrak{A}_{4,4}$ in characteristic 3, the same is achieved in 11.3.2.

For non-existence, we apply the determinant criterion from Proposition 8.2, using Remark 8.3 whenever possible. The remaining cases, indicated by asterisks in Table 7, will be explained below.

9.4.1. *Reason**. By Lemma 7.4, $v(2) \not\leftrightarrow A(\Lambda_G)$, so these two discriminant groups can only glue along a single odd integral 2-torsion vector. In each case, the glue vector in $A(\Lambda_G)$ can be taken to be any element $2v$ for v an odd 4-torsion element. Writing $v(2) = \langle e, f \rangle$, we thus postulate that $e + 2v \in L' = (\Lambda_G \oplus H^{(2)})' \subset L_{1,25}$. But then $w = v + f$ generates $A(L')$ together with the other orthogonal generators of $A(L)$, and with $w^2 = v^2 + 1$ we obtain the genus symbol of L' which is immediately verified not to satisfy the determinant condition as it has exactly one sign switched compared to the genus symbol of Λ_G .

9.4.2. *Reason***. All 2-torsion elements in $A(\Lambda_G)$ are isotropic, so there cannot be any glue with $H^{(2)}$, and $L' = \Lambda_G \oplus H^{(2)} \subset L_{1,25}$ attains length 4, exceeding the rank of its purported orthogonal complement NS^G , contradiction.

9.4.3. *Reason****. By considering the values attained by $A(\Lambda_G)$ on the 3-torsion elements, we detect that Λ_G can only glue to $H^{(3)}$ along a non-isotropic 3-torsion element of the respective discriminant group. The resulting lattice $L' = (\Lambda_G \oplus H^{(3)})' \subset L_{1,25}$ turns out to have genus symbol $2_1^{+1}4_1^{+1}3^{+1}9^{-1}$, so the determinant condition at 3 gives the contradiction $(-8/3) = 1$. \square

9.5. Concluding remark in rank 4. For all the remaining G -actions in rank 4 (no.s 124, 133, 137, 141, 149), the group G is contained in $M_{21.2_1}$ or $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{S}_6)$. This is easy for all groups except for no. 141 where the supplementary files of [18] provide an inclusion $G \subset \mathfrak{A}_{6.2}$. By [9], there are 3 such extensions $(\mathfrak{S}_6, M_{10}, \text{PGU}_2(9))$, but each is contained in $\mathfrak{A}_{6.2^2} = M_{10.2} = \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{S}_6)$ confirming the claim.

In consequence, each of these groups acts symplectically on the supersingular K3 surface $X_{0,2}$.

10. RANK ≥ 5 CASE

With subgroups of the maximal groups automatically realized, one can check that all groups in odd characteristic are in fact settled as the only open cases concern characteristic 2 by Lemma 6.1. For completeness and future reference, we record the statement for Mukai's 11 maximal groups from the complex setting [28]:

$$(16) \quad L_2(7), \mathfrak{A}_6, \mathfrak{S}_5, M_{20}, F_{384}, \mathfrak{A}_{4,4}, T_{192}, H_{192}, N_{72}, M_9, T_{48}.$$

no.	G	gen. symb Λ_G	ex.	subgroup of	non-ex.	reason
102	M_{21}	$2_{\text{II}}^{-2}3^{-1}7^{-1}$	2	$M_{21}.2_1$	5	$(21/5) = 1$
			3	$U_4(3)$		
			7	$M_{21}.2_2$		
			11	M_{22}		
106	$2^4 : \mathfrak{A}_6$	$4_5^{-1}8_1^{+1}3^{+1}$	3	$U_4(3)$	2	*
			7	$2^4 : \mathfrak{A}_7$	5	$(6/5) = 1$
			11	M_{22}		
108	\mathfrak{A}_7	$3^{+1}5^{+1}7^{+1}$	3	$U_4(3)$	2	$(105/2) = 1$
			5	\mathfrak{A}_8		
			7	$2^4 : \mathfrak{A}_7$		
			11	M_{22}		
110	$M_{20} : 2$	$4_3^{-1}8_1^{+1}5^{-1}$	5	$[2^4 3].\mathfrak{S}_5$	2	*
			7	$2^4 : \mathfrak{A}_{7s}$	3	$(10/3) = 1$
			11	M_{22}		
111	$2^3 : L_2(7)$	$4_2^{+2}7^{+1}$	5	\mathfrak{A}_8	2	*
			7	$2^4 : \mathfrak{A}_7$	3	$(7/3) = 1$
			11	M_{22}		
112	$2^2.\mathfrak{A}_{4,4}$	$8_6^{-2}3^{-1}$	3	—	2	**
			5	$[2^4 3].\mathfrak{S}_5$	11	$(3/11) = 1$
			7	$2^4 : \mathfrak{A}_7$		
118	\mathfrak{S}_6	$2_{\text{II}}^{-2}3^{+2}5^{+1}$	2	$\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{S}_6)$	11	$(5/11) = 1$
			3	$U_4(3)$		
			5	\mathfrak{A}_8		
			7	$M_{21}.2_2$		
119	M_{10}	$2_5^{+1}4_1^{+1}3^{-1}5^{+1}$	2	$M_{21}.2_1$	7	$(30/7) = 1$
			3	$U_4(3)$		
			5	$U_3(5)$		
			11	M_{22}		
121	$2^4 : \mathfrak{S}_{3,3}$	$4_7^{+1}8_1^{+1}3^{+2}$	3	—	2	*
			5	\mathfrak{A}_8	7	$(2/7) = 1$
			11	—		
134	$3^2 : SD_{16}$	$2_1^{+1}4_1^{+1}3^{-1}9^{-1}$	2	$\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{S}_6)$	3	***
			7	$M_{21}.2_2$	5	$(6/5) = 1$
			11	M_{11}		

TABLE 7. Groups G in rank 4 in small characteristic

Proposition 10.1. (1) *If $p > 2$ is prime, then all groups from (16) act symplectically on some K3 surface in characteristic p ;*
(2) *more precisely, the symplectic action can be realized on $X_{0,p}$, and,*
(3) *if $2 < p \leq 11$, then each group from (16) is contained in one of the maximal rank 3 groups (listed in Theorem 1.2).*

- (4) *The groups $L_2(7), \mathfrak{A}_6, \mathfrak{S}_5, M_{20}, N_{72}, M_9$ act symplectically on $X_{0,2}$ as they are all contained in $M_{21.21}$ or $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{S}_6)$.*
- (5) *The groups $F_{384}, \mathfrak{A}_{4,4}, T_{192}, H_{192}, T_{48}$ cannot act symplectically on $X_{0,2}$.*

Proof. (3) and (4) are easy to check and prove (1) and (2) for all odd primes $p \leq 11$.

To see (1) (for the remaining primes, but also for many groups for $p \leq 11$), one can argue directly with the equations given in [28], noting that

- If $p \nmid |G|$, then the model has good reduction at p .
- If $p^2 \nmid |G|$, then the model has good reduction or acquires isolated rational double point singularities upon reducing modulo p , so the minimal resolution is a K3 surface.

At a tame prime $p > 11$, we have $p \nmid \det \Lambda_G$ by Lemma 8.1, so (2) can be proved similar to Proposition 8.2. Namely Λ_G glues into the K3 lattice Λ_{K3} with orthogonal complement T of signature $(3, 0)$. We derive an auxiliary lattice M' of signature $(1, 2)$ by postulating the same genus symbol as for T , but with $p^{\varepsilon 2p}$ added. As in the proof of Proposition 8.2, the discrepancies in signature and p -excess balance out, and evenness of M' follows accordingly. Hence Λ_G glues into $\text{NS}(X_{0,p})$, and the symplectic action follows from Theorem 3.2.

As for (5), we consider the single cases. To start with, $A(T_{48})$ does not glue at all to $v(2)$, so we get the same contradiction as in 9.4.2.

For $G = \mathfrak{A}_{4,4}$, the coinvariant lattice Λ_G glues to $H^{(2)}$ along a single vector of the discriminant groups (by Lemma 7.4), and $L' = (\Lambda_G \oplus H^{(2)})' \subset L_{1,25}$ has genus symbol $2_{\text{II}}^{-2} 8_1^{+1} 3^{+2}$, so the determinant condition $(9/2) = 1$ gives a contradiction for its putative orthogonal complement.

The three remaining groups have no 2-constituent, so they can be treated as in 9.4.1, leading to the same kind of contradiction based on the determinant condition. \square

10.1. Remaining group actions. Considering all subgroup relations among the groups acting on Λ with $\text{rk } \Lambda^G \geq 3$, and groups excluded so far, there are only three G -actions uncovered as of yet, namely nos 28, 39, 43. Each implies $p = 2$ by Lemma 6.1 can be ruled out along the same lines as in the proof of Proposition 10.1. We therefore omit the details.

Conclusion 10.2. For each group from [18], we have decided whether it acts symplectically on $X_{0,p}$ in any given characteristic p .

10.2. Connection with complex setting. In fact, we can turn the above arguments around to give an independent proof of Mukai's classification over \mathbb{C} (Theorem 2.2). It can be derived from [18] and our findings based on the following general result:

Theorem 10.3. *The following are equivalent for a finite group G :*

- G acts tamely symplectically on the supersingular K3 surface $X_{0,p}$ such that $\text{rk NS}(X_{0,p})_G \leq 19$.
- G acts symplectically on some complex K3 surface.

Proof. With G acting symplectically, we are comparing the orthogonal complements of NS_G : M' of signature $(1, r + 2)$ inside $\text{NS}(X_{0,p})$ and T of signature $(3, r)$ inside Λ_{K3} (for some suitable r). It is immediate to adjust the proof of Proposition 10.1 to arbitrary rank to go back and forth between M' and T . Then we conclude using the complex or crystalline Torelli theorem in characteristic $p > 3$ while the existence of the group actions in characteristics $p = 2, 3$ can be inferred from the explicit models constructed in the next section (using Theorem 1.2 whose proof does not rely on Theorem 10.3). \square

11. EXPLICIT REALIZATIONS

Explicit symplectic actions have been constructed for $U_4(3)$ on the Fermat quartic surface in [43] or [11], and for $U_3(5)$ on the double cover of \mathbb{P}^2 branched along the Fermat sextic curve in [11]. For more explicit realizations of symplectic group actions in characteristics 5, 7 and 11, albeit not maximal, see 11.4.

11.1. Maximal subgroups in characteristic 2. In [13], Dolgachev and Kondō exhibit an explicit symplectic action of the maximal group $M_{21.2_1}$ on $X_{0,2}$. They also claim that the group is the unique maximal finite group acting symplectically on $X_{0,2}$. This is in contrast to our result in Theorem 1.2 which will be substantiated in the next subsection. Here we comment on the gap in the argument of [13].

The uniqueness claim relies on the general argument that for a finite group G acting on $X_{0,2}$, any G -invariant ample vector h can be mapped to the fundamental domain \mathcal{D} for the action of the Weyl group (intersected with the positive cone) by a composition of certain reflections. Hence G is conjugate to a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{D}) \cong M_{21}.D_{12}$ (using work of Borchers). The authors conclude using the fact that D_{12} contains elements corresponding to non-symplectic automorphisms of order 3 and to the Frobenius endomorphism of X_0 (which is, of course, not given by an automorphism of X_0). From this they would like to deduce that, if G acts symplectically, then $G \subset M_{21}.2$.

However, as Mukai points out, the reflections required for mapping h into \mathcal{D} may involve the induced action of the Frobenius endomorphism. This facilitates that the class of Frobenius in $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{D})$ actually is conjugate to a proper automorphism in G under the reflection in question – as seems to happen for $G = \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{S}_6)$, the only other possible maximal finite symplectic group by Theorem 1.2.

11.2. Symplectic action by $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{S}_6)$. In this section we focus on the supersingular K3 surface $X_0 = X_{0,2}$ of Artin invariant $\sigma = 1$ in characteristic

2. In [13] it is stated that any finite group acting symplectically on X_0 necessarily is a subgroup of $M_{21.21}$. Here we provide a counterexample to this claim (affirming a conjecture from [21] and, most importantly, supporting Theorem 1.2 despite the absence of a valid crystalline Torelli theorem in characteristic 2):

Proposition 11.1. *The group $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{S}_6)$ admits a symplectic action on X_0 .*

Proof. The action by \mathfrak{S}_6 was already stated in [21]. Here we exhibit an independent argument starting from the natural degree 6 surface

$$Y_0 = \{s_1 = s_2 = s_3 = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^5$$

where s_i denotes the elementary symmetric polynomial of degree i in the homogenous variables of \mathbb{P}^5 . Note that this surface appears prominently in [28] for the symplectic \mathfrak{A}_6 action over \mathbb{C} (where Y_0 is smooth and thus defines a K3 surface).

In characteristic 2, Y_0 contains 15 isolated singular points at the \mathfrak{S}_6 -orbit of $[1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0]$ which are in fact A_1 singularities. We resolve them to obtain a K3 surface Y to which the \mathfrak{S}_6 action extends from Y_0 . Since the group action is defined over \mathbb{F}_2 , it is in fact automatically symplectic.

In addition, Y_0 contains (the strict transforms of) 15 lines given by

$$\ell_{j,l,mn} = x_1 + x_i = x_j + x_l = x_m + x_n = 0 \quad \{i, j, l, m, n\} = \{2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$$

(which we can equally well identify as $\ell_{1i,jl}$ or $\ell_{1i,mn}$). Together with the exceptional curves above the 15 nodes, the lines form a (15_3) -configuration where each line meets three exceptional curves, and vice versa, each exceptional curve meets three lines. These 30 smooth rational curves exhibit a nice \mathfrak{S}_6 symmetry which obviously preserves the set of lines and likewise the set of exceptional curves. To obtain the claimed action by $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{S}_6)$, it remains to exhibit an involution on Y which acts as a switch between lines and exceptional curves (and to prove that $Y \cong X_0$). This can be achieved with the help of a special elliptic fibration with two fibres of Kodaira type I_{10} . By the standard theory of elliptic K3 surfaces, the fibration is induced by either fibre, so we continue to exhibit two disjoint 10-cycles on the graph of lines and exceptional curves. To this end, we introduce the notation E_{ij} for the exceptional curve in Y above the node with non-zero coordinates x_i, x_j , so that E_{ij} meets the three lines $\ell_{ij,\bullet\bullet}$. Then two disjoint 10-cycles can be given by

$$\begin{aligned} F_1 &= \ell_{12,34} + E_{12} + \ell_{12,36} + E_{45} + \ell_{13,45} + E_{13} + \ell_{13,25} + E_{25} + \ell_{16,34} + E_{34} \\ F_2 &= \ell_{14,56} + E_{14} + \ell_{14,26} + E_{35} + \ell_{16,24} + E_{24} + \ell_{15,36} + E_{15} + \ell_{15,23} + E_{23} \end{aligned}$$

Note that the 10 remaining lines and exceptional curves form sections of the fibration. In fact, picking one curve as zero section, say the line $\ell_{12,35}$, the remaining four lines give 5-torsion sections by inspection of the height being zero in the theory of Mordell–Weil lattices [41]. It then follows from the general theory of modular surfaces that Y is a base change of the elliptic

modular surface with a point of order 5. By [25], this is a rational elliptic surface with two fibres of type I_5 . Hence the base change has to ramify at these two fibres, so it is inseparable of degree 2, and there are two more reducible fibres of type I_2 (comprising some twisted cubics on Y_0 defined over \mathbb{F}_4 , but we omit them for space reasons). In particular, $\rho(Y) = 22$ by the Shioda–Tate formula, so Y is supersingular. Now consider the remaining 5 exceptional curves which also serve as sections. Again, by the Shioda–Tate formula, they have finite order, so we find that $\text{MW} \cong \mathbb{Z}/10\mathbb{Z}$. Then the determinant formula [39, Cor. 6.39] shows that $\text{NS}(Y)$ has determinant -4 , i.e. Y has Artin invariant $\sigma = 1$, in agreement with [15] and [21].

Since $Y \cong X_0$ by [34], we have exhibited a symplectic \mathfrak{S}_6 -action on X_0 . Consider the automorphism given by translation by the 2-torsion section E_{46} . (This is the special case of a peculiar torsion section which is not disjoint from the zero section $\ell_{12,35}$; this may only happen if the characteristic divides the group order.) By construction, this involution switches lines and exceptional curves while preserving the elliptic fibration structure. It thus exhibits exactly the outer automorphism of \mathfrak{S}_6 that we need. \square

Conclusion 11.2. Both maximal possible finite symplectic groups in characteristic 2, $M_{21.2_1}$ and $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{S}_6)$, as classified in the course of this paper, are supported by explicit geometric realizations on $X_{0,2}$.

11.3. Maximal subgroups in characteristic 3. We have seen in Proposition 9.3 that next to $U_4(3)$ there are two more maximal groups G admitting a symplectic action on $X_0 = X_{0,3}$, the supersingular K3 surface of Artin invariant $\sigma_0 = 1$ in characteristic 3, namely

$$G = 2^4 : \mathfrak{S}_{3,3} \quad \text{and} \quad G = 2^2 \cdot \mathfrak{A}_{4,4}.$$

For lack of a crystalline Torelli theorem in characteristic 3 (cf. Remark 3.3), we give an explicit geometric realization for both groups.

11.3.1. $G = 2^4 : \mathfrak{S}_{3,3}$. This is a degree 3 extension of the group $G_0 = H_{192} = 2^4 : D_{12}$ from Theorem 2.2. We shall realize it in characteristic 3 starting from Mukai’s realization of G_0 over the complex numbers via the degree 8 K3 model given by

$$(17) \quad X = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} x_1^2 + x_3^2 + x_5^2 = x_2^2 + x_4^2 + x_6^2 \\ x_1^2 + x_4^2 = x_2^2 + x_5^2 = x_3^2 + x_6^2 \end{array} \right\} \subset \mathbb{P}^5.$$

While this is smooth over \mathbb{C} , it attains 8 nodes in characteristic 3 at the points

$$(18) \quad [0, 1, 0, \pm 1, 0, \pm 1], \quad [1, 0, \pm 1, 0, \pm 1, 0].$$

In addition, there are 16 lines given by

$$\{[\mu, \lambda, \pm\mu, \pm\lambda \pm \mu, \pm\lambda]; [\mu, \lambda] \in \mathbb{P}^1\}.$$

One directly verifies that each node is met by exactly 4 lines, and two nodes are connected by a (unique) line if and only if they take the two

different shapes in (18). On the minimal resolution \tilde{X} , this means that the exceptional divisors and the strict transforms of the lines form the standard double Kummer configuration of 24 smooth rational curves on a Kummer surface of product type $\text{Km}(E \times E')$, as introduced in [42]. In particular, there are two isotrivial elliptic fibrations

$$\pi, \pi' : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$$

with four fibres of Kodaira type I_0^* (given by lines and the exceptional curves above four nodes of fixed shape from (18)) and full two-torsion in the Mordell–Weil group (given by the other exceptional curves) such that the general fibre is isomorphic to E resp. E' . (Here the fibrations π, π' are induced by the projections to each factor of $E \times E'$.) Together, these 24 smooth rational curves generate a lattice $L \subset \text{NS}(\tilde{X})$ of rank 18 and determinant -16 , an index 4 overlattice of $U \oplus D_4^4$, generated by the torsion sections.

Claim 11.3. \tilde{X} is supersingular; in particular, $\tilde{X} \cong X_0$.

Proof. We argue with the complex K3 surface $X_{\mathbb{C}}$ which has Picard number $\rho(X_{\mathbb{C}}) = 20$ and transcendental lattice $T(X_{\mathbb{C}}) \cong \text{diag}(4, 12)$ by [17]. It follows from [42] that $X_{\mathbb{C}} \cong \text{Km}(E_{\mathbb{C}} \times E_{\mathbb{C}})$ where E is the elliptic curve with complex multiplication by $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-3}]$ and j -invariant $2^4 3^3 5^3$. Since E has potentially good reduction everywhere, yielding the elliptic curve E_0 with j -invariant 0 in characteristic 3, we infer that $\tilde{X} \cong \text{Km}(E_0 \times E_0)$. This proves both claims. \square

The above argument also shows that the generic fibre of π (and of π') admits a wild automorphism of order 3, say φ , which is automatically symplectic. Explicitly, this can be described by the affine model

$$(19) \quad (t^3 - t)y^2 = (x^3 - x).$$

This makes the two fibrations to $\mathbb{P}_t^1, \mathbb{P}_x^1$ visible, with singular fibres at the \mathbb{F}_3 -points of \mathbb{P}^1 and 2-torsion sections

$$P_0 = (0, 0), \quad P_1 = (1, 0), \quad P_{-1} = (-1, 0)$$

for π mapping \tilde{X} to \mathbb{P}_t^1 , and analogously for π' over \mathbb{P}_x^1 .

Each base curves admits the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_4 as automorphisms, but the resulting action on $H^{2,0}(\tilde{X})$ involves the fourth roots of unity. However, the subgroup $\mathfrak{A}_{4,4}$ admits a symplectic action on \tilde{X} which can be extended by the switch of fibrations

$$\varphi_0 : (t, x, y) \mapsto (x, t, -1/y)$$

to give a group G of size 576 acting symplectically.

Proposition 11.4. $G \cong 2^4 : \mathfrak{S}_{3,3}$.

Proof. To prove the proposition, we start by considering the normal subgroup $N \triangleleft G_0$ which is generated by sign changes in the homogeneous coordinates of (17). From the action on the nodes, one infers that N corresponds to compositions of the translations by 2-torsion sections of the two fibrations π, π' . In terms of (19), these translations correspond to the involutions of either base curve $\mathbb{P}_t^1, \mathbb{P}_x^1$ which preserve no point over \mathbb{F}_3 , such as $t \mapsto -1/t$ (each forming the Klein group V_4).

The subgroup D_{12} of automorphisms inherited from Mukai's model can be generated by the order 6 automorphism

$$\varphi_1 : (t, x, y) \mapsto (x + 1, t + 1, -1/y)$$

(such that $\varphi_0 = \varphi_1^3$) and the involution

$$\varphi_2 : (t, x, y) \mapsto (-t, -x, -y).$$

It follows that G can be obtained from G_0 (acting on both $X_{\mathbb{C}}$ and \tilde{X}) by adding the wild automorphism

$$\varphi = \varphi_3 : (t, x, y) \mapsto (t, x + 1, y)$$

of the generic fibre of π to which we already alluded above.

Claim 11.5. $N \triangleleft G$.

Proof. Since $N \triangleleft G_0$, it suffices to prove that $\varphi N = N\varphi$ for the remaining generator φ of G . Since φ preserves the fibration π over \mathbb{P}_t^1 fibrewise, i.e. it acts trivially on the corresponding nodes, the claim already follows from the standard fact that $V_4 \triangleleft \mathfrak{S}_4$ for the Klein 4-group V_4 generated by the translations of the two-torsion sections of π . \square

To complete the proof of Proposition 11.4, it remains to exhibit a subgroup $\mathfrak{S}_{3,3} \subset \text{Aut}^{\text{symp}}(\tilde{X})$ such that

$$(20) \quad G/N \cong \mathfrak{S}_{3,3} \cong \mathfrak{S}_3 \times \mathfrak{S}_3.$$

For this purpose, we consider automorphisms which act linearly on x, t in the affine model (19) (so that they preserve the zero sections of both fibrations π and π' , as suggested by the quotient G/N). In detail, we augment the subgroup $\mathfrak{S}_3 \cong \langle \varphi_1^2, \varphi_2 \circ \varphi_0 \rangle \subset D_{12}$ by the commuting copy given by

$$\mathfrak{S}_3 \cong \langle \varphi_1 \circ \varphi_3, \varphi_0 \rangle$$

and verify explicitly by computing all products that (20) holds true. This completes the proof of Proposition 11.4. \square

11.3.2. $G = 2^2 \cdot \mathfrak{A}_{4,4}$. The group $G = 2^2 \cdot \mathfrak{A}_{4,4}$ contains $G_0 = H_{192}$ as an index 6 subgroup, so we start again with Mukai's model \tilde{X} arising from (17). We will argue with the fixed lattice $\text{NS}(\tilde{X})^{G_0}$ which clearly contains the classes of

$$(21) \quad \begin{cases} D_1 = \frac{1}{2} \sum (\text{exceptional curves}), \\ D_2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum (\text{strict transforms of the lines}). \end{cases}$$

Together, they generate a rank two lattice $L_0 \subset \mathrm{NS}(\tilde{X})^{G_0}$ which in fact equals

$$L_0 = \begin{pmatrix} -4 & 8 \\ 8 & -8 \end{pmatrix} \cong \langle 4, -8 \rangle \cong \mathrm{NS}(\tilde{X})^{G'}$$

for $G' = 2^4 : \mathfrak{S}_{3,3}$ from the previous section (in agreement with [18, Table 1]). For $\mathrm{NS}(\tilde{X})^{G_0}$, we need a further invariant generator which can be derived as follows.

Start with a certain conic $Q \subset X$; on \tilde{X} , this induces a section for both fibrations π, π' . In terms of π , with Weierstrass form (19), we can write $Q = (t, 1)$ and compute that the G_0 -orbit of Q comprises the eight sections $\pm Q + R$, where R runs through the 2-torsion sections (including the zero section O) and the signs indicate, here and below, opposite sections with respect to the group structure. By [41], their orbit sum is zero modulo $\mathrm{Triv}(\pi)$, the lattice generated by fibre components and zero section; in particular, the orbit sum lies in L_0 for symmetry reasons, so Q does not contribute the missing generator for $\mathrm{NS}(\tilde{X})^{G_0}$.

Lemma 11.6. *A \mathbb{Z} -basis of $\mathrm{MWL}(\pi)$ is given by the four sections Q ,*

$$\varphi^*Q = (t-1, 1), \quad \iota^*Q = (-t, i) \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi^*\iota^*Q = (-t-1, i) \quad (i^2 = -1)$$

where $\iota : (x, y) \mapsto (-x, iy)$ denotes an order 4 automorphism of the generic fibre E .

Proof. Each section meets the non-identity fibre components at $t = 0, 1, -1$ as indicated by the x -coordinate, evaluated at the respective t . In terms of the height pairing of the Mordell–Weil lattice [41], it follows that the sections have height 1 and that

$$\langle Q, \varphi^*Q \rangle = \langle \iota^*Q, \varphi^*\iota^*Q \rangle = -1/2$$

(because for both pairs, the two sections meet transversally on the fibre at $t = \infty$), the other pairings being zero. Hence we get an inclusion of the sublattice

$$\mathrm{MWL}(\pi) \supseteq \langle Q, \varphi^*Q \rangle \oplus \langle \iota^*Q, \varphi^*\iota^*Q \rangle \cong A_2[1/2]^2.$$

Then the statement about the \mathbb{Z} -basis follows from the determinant formula [39, Cor. 6.39] by comparing the determinants -16 of L and $9/16$ of the above sublattice of $\mathrm{MWL}(\pi)$ to $\det \mathrm{NS}(\tilde{X}) = -9$. \square

Remark 11.7. It follows that $\mathrm{MW}(\pi)$ is generated by the above 4 sections and the 2-torsion sections, and analogously for $\mathrm{MW}(\pi')$.

For later use, we compute the missing generator of the rank 3 fixed lattice $\mathrm{NS}(\tilde{X})^{G_0}$. To this end, we consider the action of the generators φ_0, φ_1^2 and φ_2 of D_{12} on $\mathrm{MW}(\pi)$ to find that the divisor

$$D_3 = \frac{1}{2}(\varphi^*Q + (-\varphi^*)^2Q) + (\text{translates by torsion sections})$$

is invariant under G_0 .

Claim 11.8. $\mathrm{NS}(\tilde{X})^{G_0} = \langle D_1, D_2, D_3 \rangle$.

Proof. Since each given divisor is G_0 -invariant, it suffices to compute their Gram matrix to verify that they do not only generate a full rank sublattice of $\mathrm{NS}(\tilde{X})^{G_0}$, but, by inspection of its determinant -384 which agrees with that of Λ^G up to sign by [18, Table 1], the whole invariant lattice $\mathrm{NS}(\tilde{X})^{G_0}$. \square

The main idea to realize a symplectic action of G on \tilde{X} is to combine the action of G_0 with that of another subgroup of G , namely $\tilde{G} = T_{48}$ in the notation of [28]. Over \mathbb{C} , this group acts on the smooth double sextic given by

$$Y : w^2 = xy(x^4 + y^4) + z^6$$

where the group action essentially is given by $\mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{F}_3)$ acting on the homogeneous x, y coordinates. It will be useful to note that, in any characteristic $\neq 2$, the group T_{48} contains an element of order 8, defined affinely by

$$\psi : (x, y, w) \mapsto (\zeta_8 x, \zeta_8^3 y, -w)$$

for a primitive 8th roots of unity ζ_8 . Especially, characteristic 3 leads to 8 singular points P_1, \dots, P_8 , two at each root of $x^4 + y^4$. All singular points have type A_2 , forming a single \tilde{G} -orbit. On the minimal resolution \tilde{Y} , the exceptional curves E_i^\pm above the P_i form two \tilde{G} -orbits distinguished by the sign.

In addition, Y contains 14 pairs of lines (i.e. pairs of smooth rational curves on Y which map to a line in \mathbb{P}^2). The first 8 pairs, ℓ_i^\pm , form again two orbits, distinguished by the signs starting from the lines ℓ_1^\pm given by

$$x + y + z = 0,$$


say. On \tilde{Y} , each line ℓ_i^\bullet meets exactly three E_j^\bullet (with the same sign), while being disjoint to the line with the opposite sign which also meets the opposite exceptional curves. Moreover, ℓ_j^\bullet meets exactly one other line, with opposite sign. The other 6 pairs of lines, given by the zeroes of the homogenous form $xy(x^4 + y^4)$, will not be relevant to us, so we only depict the first named 28 smooth rational curves on \tilde{Y} in Figure 2. Note that, for ease of presentation, the 20 future fibre components (printed in black) appear only once while the 12 future sections (printed in green) are indicated at each fibre component which they meet.

Lemma 11.9. (i) \tilde{Y} is supersingular of Artin invariant $\sigma_0 = 1$.

(ii) $\mathrm{NS}(\tilde{Y})^{\tilde{G}} \cong \langle 2 \rangle \oplus A_2[8]$, generated by the pull-back of a hyperplane section and the sums $\sum E_j^\bullet$.

Proof. (i) Since $Y_{\mathbb{C}}$ has transcendental lattice $A_2[-8]$ by [17], this follows as in the proof of Claim 11.3. (Alternatively, one can verify that the Gram matrix of the given 44 smooth rational curves has rank 22, and exhibit a maximal minor of determinant -9 .)

(ii) Computing \tilde{G} -invariants, consider the hyperplane section and the sums $\sum E_i^\bullet$ as stated. This gives $\mathrm{NS}(\tilde{Y})^{\tilde{G}} \cong \langle 2 \rangle \oplus A_2[8]$, in agreement

FIGURE 2. 32 smooth rational curves E_i^\pm, ℓ_j^\pm on \tilde{Y}

with [18, Table 1]. (It will be crucial in what follows that each sum $\sum \ell_i^\bullet$ is \tilde{G} -invariant, too.) \square

To connect between the two groups $G_0 = H_{192}$ and $\tilde{G} = T_{48}$, we work with the isotrivial elliptic fibration (19) which we derived from \tilde{X} in 11.3.1. On \tilde{Y} , this can be exhibited by identifying the following disjoint divisors of Kodaira type I_0^* :

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{D}_{-1} &= E_1^+ + E_3^+ + E_4^+ + \ell_1^- + 2\ell_2^+, & \tilde{D}_\infty &= E_5^+ + E_7^+ + E_8^+ + \ell_2^- + 2\ell_1^+, \\ \tilde{D}_1 &= E_2^+ + \ell_4^- + \ell_6^- + \ell_8^- + 2E_2^-, & \tilde{D}_0 &= E_6^+ + \ell_3^- + \ell_5^- + \ell_7^- + 2E_6^-. \end{aligned}$$

This has twelve disjoint sections given by the remaining six exceptional curves E_i^- ($i \neq 2, 6$) and six lines ℓ_j^+ ($j > 2$). It follows from Lemma 11.9 (i) that the fibration can be converted to the shape of (19) because the fibration is automatically isotrivial, leading to $\text{Km}(E \times E')$ as before. This Kummer surface is supersingular if and only if E and E' are, but there is only one supersingular elliptic curve in characteristic 3, namely $E_0 \cong E \cong E'$. We then use Möbius transformations to locate each fibre at the point of \mathbb{P}^1 indicated by the index. After choosing P_1^- as zero section, there is still enough freedom, using the automorphisms φ_3 and ι , to arrange for

$$P_5^- = P_{-1}, \quad \ell_5^+ = P_0, \quad \ell_6^+ = P_1.$$

For the remaining eight sections, we can read off from the fibre components intersected that, after composing with the involution of the generic fibre, if necessary,

$$P_3^- = \varphi^*Q \quad \text{and} \quad P_4^- = -(\varphi^*)^2Q$$

where the sign follows from the property that P_3^-, P_4^- are disjoint (cf. the proof of Lemma 11.6).

Lemma 11.10. *Under these identifications, let $\hat{G} = \langle G_0, \tilde{G} \rangle$. Then*

$$\text{NS}(\tilde{X})^{\hat{G}} = \left\langle \frac{1}{2} \sum (P_i^+ + \ell_i^-), \frac{1}{2} \sum (P_i^- + \ell_i^+) \right\rangle \cong \begin{pmatrix} -8 & 16 \\ 16 & -8 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. This follows directly from our descriptions of $\text{NS}(\tilde{X})^{G_0}$ and $\text{NS}(\tilde{Y})^{\tilde{G}}$, since the given curves exactly give fibre components, torsion sections and the sections supporting the effective divisor $2D_3$. \square

Corollary 11.11. *Under the above identifications, \hat{G} is finite.*

Proof. Since $\text{NS}(\tilde{X})^{\hat{G}}$ is hyperbolic, it follows that \hat{G} preserves a positive class. Hence it acts faithfully on some negative definite lattice and thus is finite. \square

Remark 11.12. Other identifications lead to $\text{NS}(\tilde{X})^{\hat{G}}$ of smaller rank, possibly even empty, where thus \hat{G} is forced to be infinite.

Proposition 11.13. *Under the above identifications, $\hat{G} = G = 2^2.\mathfrak{A}_{4,4}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 11.10, the determinant of $\text{NS}(\tilde{X})^{\hat{G}}$ lies in the square class of -3 . Among the admissible subgroups of $O(\Lambda)$ with fixed lattice Λ^G of rank 4, as classified in the previous sections, there is only one maximal group other than G with $\det(\Lambda^G) = 3 \pmod{(\mathbb{Q}^*)^2}$ in [18, Table 1], namely $3^{1+4} : 2.2^2$. Since its order 1944 is not divisible by $\#G_0 = 192$, we infer that \hat{G} can only be G or a subgroup thereof. Clearly, $\hat{G} \neq G_0$ since \hat{G} contains an element of order 8 (namely $\psi \in \tilde{G}$) while G_0 doesn't. Assuming that $\hat{G} \subsetneq G$, it follows that \hat{G} is a subgroup of index 2 (since it contains G_0). Since G and also \hat{G} are subgroups of M_{23} acting with 4 orbits, these subgroups are known, and indeed $\hat{G} = 2^2.(\mathfrak{A}_4 \times \mathfrak{A}_4)$. But this group does not contain an element of order 8, either. This contradiction proves that $\hat{G} = G$. \square

Remark 11.14. The above argument provides a recipe to exhibit actions of all maximal groups from Theorems 1.1, 1.2 explicitly in a given characteristic. Indeed, one can not only use Mukai's models from [28] to get isomorphic supersingular reductions, but also those from [5] or [7], for instance.

11.4. Explicit realizations of wild groups in rank 4. To supplement Table 6, we note that the abstract realizations in the given characteristics can also be exhibited explicitly.

11.4.1. *Characteristic 11.* For $L_2(11)$, an explicit realization on the supersingular K3 surface of Artin invariant $\sigma = 1$ in characteristic 11 is given in [11].

11.4.2. *Characteristic 7.* For the group $L_2(7) \times 2$, one can consider the elliptic fibration

$$y^2 = x^3 + (t^8 + 1)x$$

as in [11]. In characteristic 7, the K3 surface (supersingular of Artin invariant 1) inherits a symplectic action of the simple group $\mathrm{PSU}(2, \mathbb{F}_{49}) \cong L_2(7)$ induced from the base of the fibration. The group is in fact to be found in Mukai's list of maximal groups with 5 orbits, but presently the group action can be extended by translation by the 2-torsion section $(0, 0)$ to yield a symplectic group action by $L_2(7) \times 2$ (and its subgroups).

11.4.3. *Characteristic 5.* Consider the K3 surface given by

$$y^2 + (t^6 + 1)y = x^3.$$

In characteristic 5, this comes with a natural symplectic action by the simple group $L_2(5) \cong \mathfrak{A}_5$ acting on the base \mathbb{P}^1 of the fibration. Together with the translations by the 3-torsion sections, this realizes $3 \times \mathfrak{A}_5$. Note that this group admits a degree 2 extension given by composing the involution of the fibers with the involution of the base ($t \mapsto -t$); this yields the maximal subgroup $\mathfrak{A}_{3,5} = (3 \times \mathfrak{A}_5) : 2 \subset M_{23}$ from Table 6.

12. PROOFS OF THE MAIN THEOREMS

We conclude the paper by collecting the proofs of the theorems from the introduction.

12.1. **Proof of Theorem 1.1.** For K3 surfaces of finite height, Theorem 1.1 was proved in [11] (to the extent that, just like in Theorem 2.2 over \mathbb{C} , only subgroups of M_{23} with 5 orbits may occur).

For supersingular K3 surfaces, Theorem 1.1 follows from the combination of Theorem 8.4 and Theorem 10.3 which also settles the existence of each group action.

12.2. **Proof of Theorem 1.2.** Theorem 1.2 is a consequence of all the groups from [18] being excluded or realized (sometimes in specific characteristics) in the course of this paper (using the crystalline Torelli Theorem 3.2 and the explicit geometric models in Section 11, whenever there is no crystalline Torelli theorem available). Indeed, we checked the hierarchy of subgroups continuously to confirm the maximality statement of Theorem 1.2. \square

12.3. **Proof of Corollary 1.3.** By [11], wild finite group actions may only occur in characteristic $p \leq 11$. Hence the corollary follows directly from Theorem 1.2. \square

12.4. Proof of Corollary 1.4. By [12], a wild group G acts symplectically on some K3 surface in characteristic 11 if and only if $G \subset M_{11}$ or M_{22} , in agreement with Corollary 1.3. ([12, p. 800] states $L_2(11)$ as third maximal group, but that group is contained in both, M_{11} and M_{22} .)

The possible tame groups in characteristic 11 are classified in Theorem 1.1. By Theorem 1.2 and its proof, each tame group is contained in M_{11} , M_{22} or $2^4 : \mathfrak{S}_{3,3}$ as stated. \square

Remark 12.1. It also follows that each finite symplectic group G acting symplectically on some K3 surface in characteristic 11 admits a symplectic action on $X_{0,11}$.

12.5. Proof of Theorem 1.5. Theorem 1.5 is an immediate combination of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2, since the groups listed separately in Theorem 1.5 (2) – (4) are exactly the groups from Theorem 1.2 which are not contained in M_{23} . \square

12.6. Proof of Theorem 1.6. To prove Theorem 1.6, we note that characteristics $p = 2, 3, 5, 7$ are excluded by the condition that p be a non-zero square, and $p = 11$ by the square condition at 2 or 7. Thus we reduce to the case $p = \text{char}(k) > 11$ whence it follows from [11] that any finite symplectic group is automatically tame, and we can apply Theorem 1.1.

To see that the square condition is sufficient, we note that any group G from Table 4 has $\det(\Lambda_G)$ (or orbit lengths) a product of primes $p' \leq 7$. If these are all squares modulo p , then (1) obviously cannot return -1 .

To see that the square condition is necessary, we simply note that for each prime $p' \leq 7$, there is a group G' in Table 4 such that $\det \Lambda_{G'} = p'$ modulo squares (or equivalently, the product of orbit lengths is p' times a square, cf. Theorem 8.4). Therefore, if p' were a non-square modulo p , then G' could be realized on $X_{0,p}$ by Theorem 1.1.

Spelling out the square condition, we arrive at the equivalent characterization of the primes p where Mukai's classification holds true. \square

Acknowledgement. We thank S. Brandhorst and I. Dolgachev for very useful comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Allcock: The reflective Lorentzian lattices of rank 3, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 220 (2012), no. 1033, x+108 pp.
- [2] D. Allcock, I. Gal and A. Mark: The Conway-Sloane calculus for 2-adic lattices, L'Enseignement Mathématique **66** (2020), 5-31.
- [3] M. Artin, Supersingular K3 surfaces, Ann. scient. Éc. Norm. Sup. (4) **7** (1974), 543–568.
- [4] M. Artin and B. Mazur: Formal groups arising from algebraic varieties, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4) **10** (1977), 87-131.
- [5] C. Bonnafé and A. Sarti, K3 surfaces with maximal finite automorphism groups containing M_{20} , Ann. Inst. Fourier **71** (2021), 711–730.

- [6] D. Bragg and M. Lieblich: Twistor spaces for supersingular $K3$ surfaces, arXiv:1804.07282v6.
- [7] S. Brandhorst and K. Hashimoto, Extensions of maximal symplectic actions on $K3$ surfaces, *Ann. H. Lebesgue* **4** (2021), 785–809.
- [8] F. Charles, The Tate conjecture for $K3$ surfaces over finite fields, *Invent. Math.* **194** (2013), 119–145. Erratum: *Invent. Math.* **202** (2015), 481–485.
- [9] J. H. Conway et al: Atlas of finite groups, Clarendon Press, Oxford (1985).
- [10] J. H. Conway and N. J. A. Sloane: Sphere packings, lattices and groups, Third edition, *Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften* 290, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1999.
- [11] I. Dolgachev and JH Keum, Finite symplectic groups of automorphisms of $K3$ surfaces in positive characteristic, *Annals of Math.* **169** (2009), 269–313.
- [12] I. Dolgachev, JH Keum, $K3$ surfaces with a symplectic automorphism of order 11, *JEMS* **11** (2009), 799–818.
- [13] I. Dolgachev and S. Kondō, A supersingular $K3$ surface in characteristic 2 and the Leech lattice, *International Mathematics Reserch Notices*, 2003/01, 1–23
- [14] A. Durfee: Bilinear and quadratic forms on torsion modules, *Adv. Math.* **25** (1977), 133–164.
- [15] N.D. Elkies and M. Schütt, Genus 1 fibrations on the supersingular $K3$ surface in characteristic 2 with Artin invariant 1, *Asian J. Math.* **19** (2015), 555–582.
- [16] M. R. Gaberdiel, S. Hohenegger and R. Volpato: Symmetries of $K3$ sigma models, *Commun. Num. Theor. Phys.* **6** (2012), 1–50.
- [17] K. Hashimoto, Finite symplectic actions on the $K3$ lattice, *Nagoya Math. J.* **206** (2012), 99–153.
- [18] G. Höhn and G. Mason: The 290 fixed-point sublattices of the Leech lattice, *J. Algebra* **448** (2016), 618–637. Supplementary tables available on journal website.
- [19] D. Huybrechts, On derived categories of $K3$ surfaces, symplectic automorphisms and the Conway group, *Development of moduli theory-Kyoto 2013*, 387-405. *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, 69, Math. Soc. Japan, [Tokyo], (2016).
- [20] J. Jang: The non-symplectic index of supersingular $K3$ surfaces, *Taiwanese J. Math.* **23**, No. 6, 1327–1338. (2019).
- [21] T. Katsura and S. Kondō, On Enriques surfaces in characteristic 2 with a finite group of automorphisms, *J. Algebraic Geometry* **27** (2018), 173–202.
- [22] W. Kim and K. Madapusi Pera, 2-adic integral canonical models, *Forum Math. Sigma* **4** (2016), Paper No. e28, 34 pp.
- [23] S. Kondō, Niemeier lattices, Mathieu groups, and finite groups of symplectic automorphisms of $K3$ surfaces (with an appendix by Shigeru Mukai), *Duke Math. J.* **92** (1998), 593–603.
- [24] S. Kondō, Maximal subgroups of the Mathieu group M_{23} and symmetric automorphisms of supersingular $K3$ surfaces, *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2006, 1–9.
- [25] D. S. Kubert, Universal bounds on the torsion of elliptic curves, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **33** (1976), 193–237.
- [26] K. Madapusi Pera, The Tate conjecture for $K3$ surfaces in odd characteristic, *Invent. Math.* **201** (2015), no. 2, 625-668.
- [27] D. Maulik, Supersingular $K3$ surfaces for large primes, *Duke Math. J.* **163** (2014), 2357–2425.
- [28] S. Mukai, Finite groups of automorphisms of $K3$ surfaces and the Mathieu group, *Invent. Math.* **94** (1988), 183–221.
- [29] V. V. Nikulin, Finite automorphism groups of Kähler surfaces of type $K3$, *Proc. Moscow Math. Soc.* **38** (1979), 75–137.
- [30] V. V. Nikulin: Integral symmetric bilinear forms and some of their applications (English translation), *Math. USSR Izv.*, **14** (1980), 103–167.

- [31] N. O. Nygaard: A p -adic proof of the nonexistence of vector fields on $K3$ surfaces, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **110** (1979), no. 3, 515–528.
- [32] N. O. Nygaard: Higher de Rham-Witt complexes of supersingular $K3$ surfaces, *Compos. Math.* **42**, 245–271 (1980).
- [33] A. Ogus: Supersingular $K3$ crystals, *Journées de Géométrie Algébrique de Rennes Vol. II, Astérisque* 64, 3–86 (1979).
- [34] A. Ogus: A crystalline Torelli theorem for supersingular $K3$ surfaces, *Arithmetic and geometry II*, *Progress in Mathematics* 36, 361–394, Birkhäuser (1983).
- [35] A. N. Rudakov and I. R. Shafarevich: Inseparable morphisms of algebraic surfaces (English translation), *Math. USSR-Izv.* **40** (1976), no. 6, 1205–1237 (1978).
- [36] A. N. Rudakov and I. R. Shafarevich, Supersingular $K3$ surfaces over fields of characteristic 2, *Math. USSR Izv.* **13** (1979), 147–165.
- [37] A. N. Rudakov and I. R. Shafarevich, Surfaces of type $K3$ over fields of finite characteristic, *Current problems in mathematics Vol. 18*, Akad. Nauk SSSR, 115–207 (1981).
- [38] M. Schütt, Divisibilities among nodal curves, *Math. Res. Letters* **25** (2018), 1359–1368.
- [39] M. Schütt and T. Shioda, Mordell–Weil lattices, *Erg. der Math. und ihrer Grenzgebiete, 3. Folge, Band 70*. Springer, 2019.
- [40] I. Shimada, Supersingular $K3$ surfaces in odd characteristic and sextic double planes, *Math. Ann.* **328** (2004), no. 3, 451–468.
- [41] T. Shioda, On the Mordell–Weil lattices, *Comment. Math. Univ. St. Pauli* **39** (1990), 211–240.
- [42] T. Shioda and H. Inose, On Singular $K3$ Surfaces, in: W. L. Baily Jr., T. Shioda (eds.), *Complex analysis and algebraic geometry*, Iwanami Shoten, Tokyo, and Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge (1977), 119–136.
- [43] J. Tate, *Algebraic cycles and the pole of zeta functions*, in: *Arithmetical Algebraic Geometry*, 93–110, Harper and Row, New York (1965).
- [44] G. Xiao, *Galois covers between $K3$ surfaces*, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **46** (1996), 73–88.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, NODA
2641, CHIBA, 278-8510, JAPAN

Email address: ohashi_hisanori@rs.tus.ac.jp

INSTITUT FÜR ALGEBRAISCHE GEOMETRIE, LEIBNIZ UNIVERSITÄT HANNOVER, WELFEN-
GARTEN 1, 30167 HANNOVER, GERMANY

RIEMANN CENTER FOR GEOMETRY AND PHYSICS, LEIBNIZ UNIVERSITÄT HANNOVER,
APPELSTRASSE 2, 30167 HANNOVER, GERMANY

Email address: schuett@math.uni-hannover.de