CHOW STABILITY OF λ -STABLE TORIC VARIETIES

KING LEUNG LEE AND NAOTO YOTSUTANI

Abstract. For a given polarized toric variety, we define the notion of λ -stability which is a natural generalization of uniform K-stability. At the neighbourhoods of the vertices of the corresponding moment polytope Δ , we consider appropriate triangulations and give a sufficient criteria for a λ -stable polarized toric variety (X, L) to be asymptotically Chow polystable when the obstruction of asymptotic Chow semistability (the Futaki-Ono invariant) vanishes. As an application, we prove that any K-semistable polarized smooth toric variety (X, L)with the vanishing Futaki-Ono invariant is asymptotically Chow polystable.

1. INTRODUCTION

One of the main problem in Kähler geometry is the existence problem of constant scalar curvature Kähler (cscK) metric in the first Chern class for a given polarized variety (X, L). The Yau-Tian-Donaldson conjecture asserts that the existence of cscK metric in $c_1(L)$ would be equivalent to uniform Kstability of (X, L). In particular, this problem has settled by Delcroix [Del23], Li [Li22] for the class of polarized *spherical varieties* which can be thought as a generalization of polarized toric varieties.

This paper aims to introduce the notion of λ -stability for polarized toric varieties which was originally appeared in [Don02], Proposition 5.1.2. Then, we see that λ -stability is a natural generalization of uniform K-stability which was well stablished in [BHJ17, His16]. In particular, λ -stability coincides with K-semistability when a real number λ equals to 0. See, Definition 3.5 for the definition of λ -stability.

Another important concept of GIT-stability in Kähler geometry is Chow stability. See, Section 2.1 for the definition of (asymptotic) Chow stability.

Let (X, L) be an *n*-dimensional polarized manifold, and let $\operatorname{Aut}(L)$ be the group of all bundle automorphisms of an ample line bundle L. Since $\operatorname{Aut}(L)$ naturally contains $\mathbb{C}^{\times} := \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ as a subgroup which acts as fiber multiplications, we set $\operatorname{Aut}(X, L) := \operatorname{Aut}(L)/\mathbb{C}^{\times}$. Then, any element of $\operatorname{Aut}(X, L)$ induces an automorphism of X. Thus, we can consider $\operatorname{Aut}(X, L)$ as a Lie subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$. In [Don01], Donaldson showed that if (X, L) admits a

Date: May 14, 2024.

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 51M20; Secondary 53C55, 14M25.

Key words and phrases. Triangulations, the Bramble-Hilbert Lemma, toric varieties, convex geometry,

cscK metric in $c_1(L)$ and if $\operatorname{Aut}(X, L)$ is discrete, then (X, L) is asymptotically Chow stable. Donaldson's result was partially extended by Mabuchi in the case where $\operatorname{Aut}(X, L)$ is not discrete. In [Mab05], he proved that if (X, L) admits a cscK metric in $c_1(L)$, then (X, L) is asymptotically Chow polystable whenever (X, L) satisfies the hypothesis of the obstruction for asymptotic Chow semistability. After that, Futaki found that Mabuchi's hypothesis is equivalent to the vanishing of a collection of integral invariants $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{Td}^1}, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{Td}^n}$ defined in [Fut04], where Td^i denotes the *i*-th Todd polynomial. We note that $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{Td}^1}$ equals the classical Futaki invariant up to a multiplicative constant. More specifically, we recall that the classical Futaki invariant f(v) is a map $f : \mathfrak{h}(X) \to \mathbb{C}$ given as follows: let (X, ω) be a compact Kähler manifold with a Kähler form ω , and let $\mathfrak{h}(X)$ be the Lie algebra of all holomorphic vector fields on X. Let $s(\omega)$ denote the scalar curvature of ω , and let $(g^{i\bar{j}})_{i\bar{j}}$ be the inverse of the Kähler metric $(g_{i\bar{j}})_{i\bar{j}}$. We denote the complex Laplacian with respect to ω by $\Delta_{\omega} := -g^{i\bar{j}}\partial_i\bar{\partial}_j$. Then, the Futaki invariant $f : \mathfrak{h}(X) \to \mathbb{C}$ is defined by

$$f(v) := \int_X v h_\omega \omega^n,$$

where h_{ω} is a real-valued function determined by

$$s(\omega) - \left(\int_X s(\omega)\omega^n \middle/ \int_X \omega^n\right) = -\Delta_\omega h_\omega$$

up to addition of a constant. One can see that f(v) is the obstruction for the existence of cscK metric in its first Chern class.

On the other hand, let $\mathfrak{h}_0(X)$ be Lie subalgebra in $\mathfrak{h}(X)$ corresponding to $\operatorname{Aut}(X, L)$, where $\mathfrak{h}_0(X)$ consists of holomorphic vector fields which have nonempty zero set. Then, one can show that $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{Td}^1}$ coincides with $f|_{\mathfrak{h}_0(X)}$ up to the multiplication of a non-zero constant. Since a collection of integral invariants $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{Td}^1}, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{Td}^n}$ are a generalization of the classical Futaki invariant, we call them *higher* Futaki invariants. Combining Mabuchi's result [Mab05] and Futaki's statement [Fut04], we have the following partial generalization of Donaldson's result [Don01].

Theorem 1.1 (Mabuchi-Futaki). Let (X, L) be an n-dimensional polarized manifold. Assume that the higher Futaki invariant \mathcal{F}_{Td^i} vanishes for each $i = 1, \ldots, n$. We further assume that (X, L) admits a cscK metric in $c_1(L)$. Then, (X, L) is asymptotically Chow polystable.

In [Ono11], Ono reformulated the obstruction $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{Td}^i}$ for an *n*-dimensional polarized toric variety (X, L) to be asymptotically Chow semistable in terms of the associated moment polytope Δ as follows: let $\Delta \subset M_{\mathbb{R}} \cong \mathbb{R}^n$ be an integral Delzant polytope, and let $E_{\Delta}(t)$ be the Ehrhart polynomial of Δ in the form of

$$E_{\Delta}(t) = \operatorname{vol}(\Delta)t^{n} + \frac{\operatorname{vol}(\partial\Delta, \sigma)}{2}t^{n-1} + \sum_{j=0}^{n-2} E_{\Delta,j}t^{j} \quad \text{with} \quad E_{\Delta}(i) = \#(P \cap (\mathbb{Z}/i)^{n})$$

for any positive integer i > 0. Similarly, there exists the sum polynomial $\mathbf{s}_{\Delta}(t)$ of Δ such that

$$\boldsymbol{s}_{\Delta}(i) := \sum_{\boldsymbol{a} \in \Delta \cap (\mathbb{Z}/i)^n} \boldsymbol{a} = \frac{1}{i} \sum_{\boldsymbol{a} \in i \Delta \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} \boldsymbol{a}$$
$$= i^n \int_{\Delta} x \, dv + \frac{i^{n-1}}{2} \int_{\partial \Delta} x \, d\sigma + \sum_{j=0}^{n-2} i^j \boldsymbol{s}_{\Delta,j},$$

where $d\sigma$ is the (n-1)-dimensional Lebesgue measure of $\partial\Delta$ defined as follows: let $h_i(x) = \langle x, v_i \rangle + a_i$ be the defining function of the facet F_i of Δ . Let $dv = dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_n$ be the standard volume form of \mathbb{R}^n . On each facet $F_i = \{x \in \Delta \mid h_i(x) = 0\} \subset \partial\Delta$, we define the (n-1)-dimensional Lebesgue measure $d\sigma_i = d\sigma|_{F_i}$ by

$$dv = \pm d\sigma_i \wedge dh_i.$$

Ono defined (the special class of) the Futaki-Ono invariant by the \mathbb{R}^n -valued polynomial

(1.1)
$$\mathcal{F}_{\Delta,j} := \operatorname{vol}(\Delta) \boldsymbol{s}_{\Delta,j} - E_{\Delta,j} \int_{\Delta} x \, dx$$

for j = 1, ..., n, and proved that if the corresponding polarized toric manifold (X_{Δ}, L_{Δ}) is asymptotically Chow semistable, then $\mathcal{F}_{\Delta,j}$ in (1.1) vanishes for each j. See, (4.1) for general form of the Futaki-Ono invariant.

It was conjectured that the linear hull of $\mathcal{F}_{\Delta,j}$ coincides with the linear hull of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{Td}^p}$ in \mathbb{C}^n (see, [Ono11, Conjecture 1.6]), namely:

(1.2) $\operatorname{Lin}_{\mathbb{C}} \left\{ \mathcal{F}_{\Delta,j} : j = 1, \dots, n \right\} = \operatorname{Lin}_{\mathbb{C}} \left\{ \mathcal{F}_{\operatorname{Td}^{p}} \Big|_{\mathbb{C}^{n}} : p = 1, \dots, n \right\} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n},$

for a polarized toric manifold (X_{Δ}, L_{Δ}) . We remark that the equality in (1.2) was justified by Futaki in [Fut12].

Combining with Delcroix's theorem in [Del23], one can see the following.

Theorem 1.2. Let (X, L) be an n-dimensional uniformly K-stable polarized toric manifold with the associated polytope $\Delta \subset M_{\mathbb{R}} \cong \mathbb{R}^n$. If the Futaki-Ono invariant $FO(\ell; k)$ in (4.1) vanishes for k = 1, ..., n, then (X, L) is asymptotically Chow polystable.

This leads us the following natural question on GIT-stability of polarized toric varieties which was already mentioned in the second author's paper [Yot18].

Question 1.3 (cf: [Yot18], Section 2). Can we find a direct and combinatorial proof of Theorem 1.2 using techniques in toric geometry?

In [Yot18], we gave guidelines of the combinatorial proof of Theorem 1.2 altough we couldn't complete the proof because of some technical difficulty (see, [Yot18, Remark 3.4]). One purpose of this paper is to solve Question 1.3 under an assumption weaker than uniform K-stability (Corollary 1.5).

For this purpose, we show the following. See, Section 3.2 for the definition of (α, β) -weighted small polytope.

Theorem 1.4 (See, Theorem 4.2). For $\{(\alpha, \beta)\} = \{(\alpha_i, \beta_i) \mid 1 \le i \le R\}$, let $\Delta \subset M_{\mathbb{R}}$ be a λ -stable (α, β) -weighted small polytope with the vanishing Futaki-Ono invariants. We assume that

$$1 - \frac{\alpha_i(1 - \lambda_i)}{2(n!)} - \frac{\beta_i}{(n+1)!} > 0$$

holds for each i = 1, ..., R. Then, the associated polarized toric variety (X_{Δ}, L_{Δ}) is asymptotically Chow polystable.

Applying this theorem to an *n*-dimensional toric manifold where the corresponding *n*-dimensional Delzant polytope $\Delta \subset M_{\mathbb{R}}$ would be an (n, 1)-weighted small polytope (Example 3.3), we solve Question 1.3 as follows.

Corollary 1.5 (See, Corollary 4.3). Let (X, L) be a K-semistable polarized toric manifold with the vanishing Futaki-Ono invariants. Then, (X, L) is asymptotically Chow polystable.

Since uniform K-stability implies K-semistability, our result generalizes the known result (Theorem 1.2) under an assumption weaker (i.e., K-semistability) than the original one (i.e., uniform K-stability). Hence, this is an advantage of our combinatorial approach. For reader's convenience, we provide several concrete examples of (α, β) -weighted small/medium polytopes in Section 6.

This paper is organized as follows: Section 2 gives a quick review of toric varieties and Chow stability. We also introduce some notions of triangulations of convex polytopes which will be needed for the arguments in Sections 3.2–4. After defining the concepts of small polytopes and λ -stability in Section 3.2, we prove Theorem 1.4 and Corollary 1.5 in Section 4. In the following Section 5, we deal with λ -stability of symmetric log Fano varieties. We list several examples of (α, β) -weighted small/medium polytopes in Section 6 that would be helpful to illustrate combinatorial properties and technical features of these polytopes. In the appendix, we shall prove a lemma concerning some special type of the triangulation of a simplex. An example of uniformly K-stable but Chow unstable toric variety is dealt in the final section.

Acknowledgements. This work was supported by the first author's ANR-21-CE40-0011 JCJC project MARGE, and the second author's JSPS KAKENHI JP22K03316 (Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C)). Also, the first author deeply appreciates Professors Thibaut Delcroix, Yat tin Chow and Kwok Kun Kwong for their help and discussions. Also, he would like to thank Virginie Iackle for her warm encouragement.

2. Toric varieties and simplex triangulations

In this section, we give a quick review on the geometric invariant theory and toric geometry. We also introduce some special class of triangulation of integral polytopes which will be needed in the later stage (Section 6.2).

2.1. Chow stability and toric varieties. Firstly, we recall the notion of Chow stability. For more details, we refer the reader to [Lee22,LLSW19,Ono11, Yot16].

Let G be a reductive algebraic group and V be a finite dimensional complex vector space. Suppose G acts linearly on V. Let us denote a point v^* in V which is a representative of $v \in \mathbb{P}(V)$. Let $\mathcal{O}_G(v^*)$ be the G-orbit in V. Then,

- i) v^* is called *G*-semistable if the Zariski closure of $\mathcal{O}_G(v^*)$ does not contain the origin: $0 \notin \overline{\mathcal{O}_G(v^*)}$.
- ii) v^* is called *G*-polystable if $\mathcal{O}_G(v^*)$ is closed orbit.

Analogously, $v \in \mathbb{P}(V)$ is said to be *G*-polystable (resp. semistable) if any representative of v is *G*-polystable (resp. semistable).

Remark 2.1. The closure of $\mathcal{O}_G(v^*)$ in the Euclidean topology coincides with the Zariski closure $\overline{\mathcal{O}_G(v^*)}$ (see, [Mum76], Theorem 2.33).

From the above definition, one can see that G-polystability implies G-semistability because G-orbit itself never contain the origin.

Now we recall the definition of the Chow form of irreducible complex projective varieties. For more details, see [GKZ94]. Let $X \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$ be an *n*dimensional irreducible complex projective variety of degree $d \ge 2$. Recall that the Grassmann variety $\mathbb{G}(k, \mathbb{P}^N)$ parameterizes k-dimensional projective linear subspaces of \mathbb{P}^N .

Definition 2.2. The associated hypersurface of $X \to \mathbb{P}^N$ is the subvariety in $\mathbb{G}(N - n - 1, \mathbb{P}^N)$ which is given by

$$Z_X := \{ L \in \mathbb{G}(N - n - 1, \mathbb{P}^N) \mid L \cap X \neq \emptyset \}.$$

The fundamental properties of Z_X can be summarized as follows (see [GKZ94], p.99):

- (1) Z_X is irreducible,
- (2) Codim $Z_X = 1$ (that is, Z_X is a divisor in $\mathbb{G}(N n 1, \mathbb{P}^N)$),
- (3) deg $Z_X = d$ in the Plücker coordinates, and
- (4) Z_X is given by the vanishing of a section $R_X^* \in H^0(\mathbb{G}(N-n-1,\mathbb{P}^N),\mathcal{O}(d)).$

We call R_X^* the *Chow form* of X. Note that R_X^* can be determined up to a multiplicative constant. Setting $V := H^0(\mathbb{G}(N - n - 1, \mathbb{P}^N), \mathcal{O}(d))$ and $R_X \in \mathbb{P}(V)$ which is the projectivization of R_X^* , we call R_X the *Chow point* of X. Since we have the natural action of $G = SL(N+1, \mathbb{C})$ into $\mathbb{P}(V)$, we can define SL(N+1)-polystability (resp. semistability) of R_X .

Definition 2.3. Let $X \to \mathbb{P}^N$ be an irreducible, *n*-dimensional complex projective variety. Then X is said to be *Chow polystable (resp. semistable)* if the Chow point R_X of X is $SL(N + 1, \mathbb{C})$ -polystable (resp. semistable). When X is not Chow semistable, we call X *Chow unstable*.

Definition 2.4. Let (X, L) be a polarized variety. Let $\Psi_i(X) \to \mathbb{P}(H^0(X; \mathcal{O}_X(L^i)))$ be the Kodaira embedding. (X, L) is said to be asymptotically Chow polystable (resp. semistable) if $\Psi_i(X) \subset \mathbb{P}(H^0(X; \mathcal{O}_X(L^i)))$ is Chow polystable (resp. semistable) for all sufficiently large $i \gg 0$.

Next we recall some fundamental construction of toric varieties. See [CLS11] for more details. A toric variety X is an algebraic normal variety with an effective holomorphic action of $T_{\mathbb{C}} := (\mathbb{C}^{\times})^n$ with $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} X = n$. Let $T_{\mathbb{R}} := (S^1)^n$ be the real torus in $T_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{R}}$ be the associated Lie algebra. Let $N_{\mathbb{R}} := J\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{R}} \cong \mathbb{R}^n$ be the associated Euclidean space where J is the complex structure of $T_{\mathbb{C}}$. We denote the dual space $\operatorname{Hom}(N_{\mathbb{R}}, \mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{R}^n$ of $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ by $M_{\mathbb{R}}$. Setting the group of algebraic characters of $T_{\mathbb{C}}$ by M, we see that $M_{\mathbb{R}} = M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$. Let us denote the dual lattice of M by N. Then, N consists of the algebraic one parameter subgroups of $T_{\mathbb{C}}$.

Let $\Delta \subset M_{\mathbb{R}} \cong \mathbb{R}^n$ be an *n*-dimensional integral convex polytope. Define

$$C(\Delta) := \{ (x, r) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \mid r > 0, r^{-1}x \in \Delta \} \cup \{ 0 \}$$

to be the cone over $\Delta \times \{1\}$ with the vertex at the origin. Then the semigroup $S_{\Delta} := C(\Delta) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$ is finitely generated by Gordan's lemma. Let $\mathbb{C}[S_{\Delta}]$ denote its semigroup algebra. The character corresponding to $(m, k) \in S_{\Delta}$ is $\chi^m t^k$ and $\mathbb{C}[S_{\Delta}]$ is graded by height, i.e., $\deg(\chi^m t^k) = k$. Consequently, we obtain the graded \mathbb{C} -algebra

$$\mathbf{C}[S_{\Delta}] = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{+\infty} R_k, \quad R_k := \{ f \in \mathbf{C}[S_{\Delta}] \mid \deg f = k \}$$

from the polytope Δ . We define the polarized toric variety (X_{Δ}, L_{Δ}) by

$$(X_{\Delta}, L_{\Delta}) := (\operatorname{Proj}(\mathbb{C}[S_{\Delta}]), \mathcal{O}_{X_{\Delta}}(1)).$$

It is well-known that X_{Δ} is a smooth projective variety if and only if Δ is Delzant.

2.2. Simplex triangulations.

Definition 2.5. Let Δ and $\Delta' \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be integral polytopes. We say Δ and Δ' is *isomorphic* if there exists translations T_1, T_2 and a multiplication $g \in SL(n, \mathbb{Z})$ such that for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$k\Delta' = T_2 \circ g \circ T_1(k\Delta)$$

and $p \in k\Delta \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$ iff $T_2 \circ g \circ T_1(p) \in k\Delta' \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$.

Notice that in Definition 2.5, Δ and Δ' need not to be *n*-dimensional polytopes.

Definition 2.6. We call S a k-dimensional enlarged simplex if it is isomorphic to Conv $\{e_0, re_1, re_2, \ldots, re_k\}$ for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$ with

$$e_0 = (0, \dots, 0), \quad e_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0), \quad \dots, \quad e_k = (0, \dots, 0, \overset{(k-\text{th})}{1}, 0, \dots, 0).$$

Next we shall consider special classes of triangulations of Δ .

Definition 2.7. Let Δ be an integral polytope.

- (1) An integral triangulation is a triangulation of Δ such that all the vertices of every triangle are in \mathbb{Z}^n .
- (2) A simplex triangulation is an integral triangulation such that every simplex is isomorphic to the standard simplex.

Let v_1, \ldots, v_r be vectors of \mathbb{R}^n such that $v_1, \ldots, v_r \in \{H \ge 0\}$ for some hyperplane H. We say that $C(p; v_1, \ldots, v_r)$ is an infinite half cone of the vertex p with generators $\{v_1, \ldots, v_r\}$ if the following holds: for each $q \in C(p; v_1, \ldots, v_r)$, there exist $t_1, \ldots, t_r \ge 0$ such that

$$q = p + t_1 v_1 + \dots + t_r v_r.$$

We will denote the cone $C(p; v_1, \ldots, v_r)$ as C(p) if the generators are known. For any integral polytope Δ , let p_1, \ldots, p_R be the vertices of Δ . Let $C(p_i)$ be the strongly convex polyhedral cone whose generators are emanating from p_i . Then, Δ can be written in the form of the intersection of $C(p_i)$. Moreover, for a small neighborhood U_{p_i} of p_i , we have the equality

$$C(p_i) \cap U_{p_i} = U_{p_i} \cap \Delta.$$

Note that if

$$\Delta = \bigcap_{i=1}^{R} C(p_i)$$

holds, then we have

$$k\Delta = \bigcap_{i=1}^{R} C(kp_i),$$

with $C(p_i) = C(kp_i) - (k-1)p_i := \{x - (k-1)p_i \mid x \in C(kp_i)\}$. Hence, the each cone shares the same triangulation. We denote a simplex triangulation of $C(kp_i)$ by $T(C(kp_i))$.

Definition 2.8. Let $\mathcal{B}_k := \{ B \subset k\Delta \mid B \text{ is an integral polyhedron} \}$. As we already saw in [Lee22], $n_{i,k}$ is a function defined by

$$n_{i,k}: (C(kp_i) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n) \times \mathcal{B}_k \xrightarrow{\qquad \qquad } \mathbb{Z}_{\bigcup}$$
$$(q,B) \longmapsto \# \{ S \mid S \text{ is a simplex in } B \text{ touching } q \}.$$

Obviously, the following equality holds by Definition 2.8.

Lemma 2.9. If B is partitioned by B_1, \ldots, B_N , then for any q in $C(kp_i) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$, we have

$$\sum_{r=1}^{N} n_{i,k}(q, B_r) = n_{i,k}(q, B).$$

2.3. **Partition of unity.** Our goal of this section is to compare $\int_{k\Delta} f(x)dV$ and $\sum_{p \in k\Delta \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} f(p)$ using only the data from the cones C(p). For this, the first step is using a partition of unity $\{\delta_i\}$ on Δ .

Let Δ be the integral polytope of a toric variety, and let $C(p_i)$ be the cone of vertex p_i described in Section 2.2. Let $\delta_i : \Delta \to \mathbb{R}$ be a partition of unity of Δ such that for some small ε -neighbourhood $B_{\varepsilon}(p_j)$ of p_j , we have

$$\delta_i(B_\varepsilon(p_j)) = \delta_{ij},$$

where δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta. Then, we can extend δ_i to $\delta_{i,k} : k\Delta \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\delta_{i,k}(x) = \delta_i\left(\frac{x}{k}\right).$$

Since Δ is a compact set, we can choose the partition of unity δ_i as a smooth function, and it satisfies $|D^{\alpha}\delta_i(x)| < c$ for all $|\alpha| \leq 2$ with some constant c > 0. Here for a smooth function f(x) on \mathbb{R}^n and for a multi-index $\alpha =$ $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n)$ with $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \cdots + \alpha_n$, $D^{\alpha}f$ denotes the derivative defined by

$$D^{\alpha}f := \frac{\partial^m f}{\partial x_{i_1} \dots \partial x_{i_m}}$$

Nest we estimate $\int_S \delta_{i,k}(x) f(x) dV$ when S is a simplex. In order to work out this integration, we first estimate

$$\int_{\Delta_n} f(x) dV,$$

where $\Delta_n := \text{Conv} \{ e_0, e_1, \dots, e_n \}$ is the standard simplex in \mathbb{R}^n with

 $e_0 = (0, \dots, 0), \quad e_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0), \quad \dots, \quad e_n = (0, \dots, 0, 1).$

For our proof, we need to review the Bramble-Hilbert lemma (see, [Man07] for more detail).

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a convex set, $W_p^m(\Omega)$ be the Sobolev space of all functions u on Ω with derivatives $D^{\alpha}u$ of order $|\alpha|$ up to m in $L^p(\Omega)$. The Sobolev seminorm on $W_p^m(\Omega)$ consists of

$$|u|_{W_p^m(\Omega)} = \left(\sum_{|\alpha|=m} \|D^{\alpha}u\|_{L_p(\Omega)}^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \le p < \infty,$$

and

$$|u|_{W^m_{\infty}(\Omega)} = \max_{|\alpha|=m} \|D^{\alpha}u\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \qquad (p=\infty).$$

Lemma 2.10 (Bramble-Hilbert Lemma ([BH70], Theorem 2)). Suppose that ℓ is a bounded continuous linear functional on $W_p^m(\Omega)$ with the dual norm $\|\ell\|_{W_p^m(\Omega)'}$. For any degree (m-1) polynomial g on \mathbb{R}^n , we further assume that $\ell(g) = 0$. Then, there exists a constant $C = C(\Omega)$ such that for all $u \in W_p^m(\Omega)$,

$$|\ell(u)| \le C \|\ell\|_{W_p^m(\Omega)'} |u|_{W_p^m(\Omega)}.$$

Proposition 2.11 (Trapezoidal rule). Let Δ_n be the standard simplex in \mathbb{R}^n .

(1) For any affine function f(x) on Δ_n , we have

$$\int_{\Delta_n} f(x)dV = \operatorname{vol}(\Delta_n) \frac{f(0) + f(e_1) + \dots + f(e_n)}{(n+1)} = \frac{f(0) + f(e_1) + \dots + f(e_n)}{(n+1)!}.$$

(2) For any smooth function $f : \Delta_n \to \mathbb{R}$, we define

$$E(f) := \int_{\Delta_n} f(x)dV - \frac{f(0) + f(e_1) + \dots + f(e_n)}{(n+1)!}$$

Then for some constant C, we have

$$E(f) \le C \sup_{x \in \Delta_n} \sup_{|\alpha|=2} |(D^{\alpha}f(x))|.$$

Proof. (1) For the first statement, we consider $f(x) = a_1x_1 + \cdots + a_nc_n + c$. Firstly, for the constant function f(x) = c, we observe that

$$\int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^{1-x_2-\dots-x_n} c \, dx_1 \dots dx_n = \frac{c}{n!} = \frac{c(n+1)}{(n+1)!}.$$

Secondly, for the linear function $f(x) = a_1 x_1$, the straight forward computation shows that

$$\int_{0}^{1} \cdots \int_{0}^{1-x_{2}-\dots-x_{n}} a_{1}x_{1}dx_{1}\dots dx_{n}$$

= $a_{1} \int_{0}^{1} \cdots \int_{0}^{1-x_{3}-\dots-x_{n}} a_{1} \frac{(1-x_{2}-\dots-x_{n})^{2}}{2} dx_{2}\dots dx_{n}$
= $\dots = a_{1} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{(1-x_{n})^{n}}{n!} dx_{n} = \frac{a_{1}}{(n+1)!}.$

Similarly, for $f(x) = a_i x_i$, we consider the change of local coordinates by $u_1 = x_i$, $u_i = x_1$, and $u_j = x_j$ for $j \neq 1, i$. Then, we see that

$$\int_{0}^{1} \cdots \int_{0}^{1-x_{2}-\dots-x_{n}} a_{i}x_{i}dx_{1}\dots dx_{n}$$
$$= \int_{0}^{1} \cdots \int_{0}^{1-u_{2}-\dots-u_{n}} a_{i}u_{1}du_{1}\dots du_{n} = \frac{a_{i}}{(n+1)!}.$$

Hence, we conclude that

$$\int_{\Delta_n} f(x)dV = \frac{a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n + (n+1)c}{(n+1)!} = \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{f(e_i)}{(n+1)!}.$$

(2). For the proof of the second statement, we use the Bramble-Hilbert Lemma and the proof of (1). In Lemma 2.10, we put m = 2, $p = \infty$ and $\Omega = \Delta_n$. Also, we take $\ell(f)$ to be E(f). In fact, for all affine function f(x), we see that

$$E(f) = 0$$

by (1). We also remark that E is linear because it is the linear combination of integration and evaluation map. Hence, it suffices to show that the evaluation map E is bounded.

For $p = \infty$, we see that f is continuous, because the inequalities

$$|f|_{C^{0,1}(\Delta_n)} \le C |f|_{W^1_{\infty}(\Delta_n)} \le C |f|_{W^2_{\infty}(\Delta_n)}$$

hold by Morrey's inequality. Then $|f|_{W^2_{\infty}(\Delta_n)} = 1$ implies that

$$\max_{x \in \Delta_n} |f(x)| \le 1.$$

Thus, we see that

$$|E(f)| = \left| \int_{\Delta_n} f(x) dV - \frac{f(e_0) + \dots + f(e_n)}{(n+1)!} \right| \le \frac{1}{(n+1)!} + \frac{(n+1)}{(n+1)!} \le 1$$

Therefore, we have

$$||E(f)||_{W^2_{\infty}(\Delta_n)'} \le 1$$

by Lemma 2.10, i.e., the evaluation map E is bounded. Consequently, the integration is also bounded for all p.

Lemma 2.12. Let S be an n-simplex in \mathbb{R}^n and let $f : S \to \mathbb{R}$ be any smooth convex function on S. Then, we have the estimates (2.1)

$$\int_{S} \delta_{i,k}(x) f(x) dV \le \sum_{q \in \mathcal{V}(S)} \frac{\delta_{i,k}(q) f(q)}{(n+1)!} + \frac{C_S}{k^2} \sup_{x \in S} |f(x)| + \frac{C_S}{k} \sup_{x \in S} \sup_{v} |(\nabla_v f)|$$

for some constant C_S . Here v runs over all vectors in \mathbb{R}^n with |v| = 1 and $\nabla_v f$ is the directional derivative along v.

Proof. Firstly, we consider a simplex S in $T(C(kp_i))$ such that $S \cap k\Delta \neq \emptyset$. Let $\mathcal{V}(S)$ be the set of vertices of S. For any $S \in T(C(kp_i))$, we define the linear function $f_S : S \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$f_S(q) = \begin{cases} f(q) & \text{ for all } q \in \mathcal{V}(S) \cap k\Delta, \\ 0 & \text{ for } q \in \mathcal{V}(S) \setminus (\mathcal{V}(S) \cap k\Delta). \end{cases}$$

Then, we have

$$\int_{S} \delta_{i,k}(x) f(x) dV \le \int_{S} \delta_{i,k}(x) f_{S}(x) dV.$$

$$(2.2) \int_{S} \delta_{i,k}(x) f_{S}(x) dV$$

$$\leq \sum_{q \in \mathcal{V}(S)} \frac{\delta_{i,k}(q) f_{S}(q)}{(n+1)!} + C_{S} \sup_{\substack{x \in S, \\ |\alpha|=2}} |D^{\alpha} f(x)|$$

$$= \sum_{q \in \mathcal{V}(S)} \frac{\delta_{i,k}(q) f_{S}(q)}{(n+1)!} + C_{S} \sup_{x \in S} \sup_{u,v=1,\dots,n} \left| \int_{S} \left(\frac{\partial^{2} \delta_{i,k}(x)}{\partial x_{u} \partial x_{v}} \right) f(x) + (\partial_{u} \delta_{i,k}) (\partial_{v} f) dV \right|.$$

$$(2.2)$$

Since

$$\nabla_v \delta_{i,k}(x) = \nabla_v \delta_i(xk^{-1}) = k^{-1} (\nabla_v \delta_i)(xk^{-1}),$$

the inequality (2.2) becomes

(2.3)
$$\int_{S} \delta_{i,k}(x) f_{S}(x) dV$$
$$\leq \sum_{q \in \mathcal{V}(S)} \frac{\delta_{i,k}(q) f_{S}(q)}{(n+1)!} + \frac{C_{S}}{k^{2}} \left| \sup_{x \in S} f(x) \right| + \frac{C_{S}}{k} \sup_{x \in S} \sup_{v} \left| (\nabla_{v} f) \right|$$

In (2.3), we used the assumption that $\|\delta_{i,k}(x)\|_{W^m_{\infty}(\Delta_n)} < \infty$ is uniformly bounded for all *m* because δ_i is smooth with compact support. \Box

Corollary 2.13. For any n-simplex S in \mathbb{R}^n , and for any non-negative affine function $f: S \to \mathbb{R}$, there exists a constant $c_n^S \in \mathbb{R}$ which only depends on n and S, such that

(2.4)
$$\int_{S} \delta_{i,k}(x) f(x) dV \le \sum_{q \in \mathcal{V}(S)} \frac{\delta_{i,k}(q) f_{S}(q)}{(n+1)!} + \frac{C_{S} c_{n}^{S}}{k} \max_{x \in S} f(x).$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.12 and the assumption that f is non-negative, we only need to estimate the last term in (2.1). Let B_{d_n} be the largest ball inside S. Since S is a simplex, the distance d_n only depends on n. Let p be the center of B_{d_n} . Since f is affine, this implies that for any vector v, the directional derivative $\nabla_v f(x)$ is given by

$$\nabla_v f(x) = \frac{f(p+d_n v) - f(p)}{d_n}.$$

On the other hand, the non-negativity $f \ge 0$ yields that

$$\nabla_v f(x) = \frac{f(p+d_n v) - f(p)}{d_n} \le \frac{f(p+d_n v)}{d_n} \le \frac{1}{d_n} \max_{x \in S} f(x).$$

Also, we have

$$\left| \int_{S} f(x) dV \right| = \int_{S} f(x) dV \le \frac{\max_{x \in S} f(x)}{n!}$$

This completes the proof.

Let $C(kp_i)$ be the cone generated by the vertex $kp_i \in \mathcal{V}(k\Delta)$, and let $T(C(kp_i))$ be a simplex triangulation of $C(kp_i)$. For any convex function f(x) on $k\Delta$, we shall define a piecewise linear function PL_f with $PL_f \geq f$ such that $PL_f(p) = f(p)$ at any integral point p in $k\Delta$. For this it is enough to consider the following three cases: (i) if $S \subset k\Delta$, then we can replace f by the linear function $\ell(x)$ defined by

$$\ell(q) = f(q)$$
 for all $q \in \mathcal{V}(S)$.

(ii) Let $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus k\Delta$ be the closure of $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus k\Delta$ in \mathbb{R}^n . If $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n \setminus k\Delta$, we have $\delta_{i,k} = 0$ for any $q \in \mathcal{V}(S)$. Thus, we can successfully estimate $\int_{k\Delta} \delta_{i,k} f(x) dV$. (iii) If an *n*-simplex *S* satisfies

$$S \cap k\Delta \neq \emptyset$$
 and $S \cap \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \overline{k\Delta} \neq \emptyset$,

we have some technical issues. In order to deal with the problem, we define the following concept.

Definition 2.14. Let $C(kp_i)$ be the cone generated by the vertex $kp_i \in \mathcal{V}(k\Delta)$, and let $T(C(kp_i))$ be a simplex triangulation of $C(kp_i)$. We define the set $K_{i,k}$ by

$$K_{i,k} := \bigcup \left\{ S \in T(C(kp_i)) \mid S \subset k\Delta \right\}.$$

Now we want $\delta_{i,k}$ to be 0 at all points outside $S_{i,k}$. For this, we need an assumption: $T(C(kp_i)) = T(C(p_i))$ for all p_i in $\mathcal{V}(\Delta)$. For a positive integer l, if we set

$$\frac{K_{i,l}}{l} := \left\{ x \in \Delta \mid lx \in K_{i,l} \right\},\$$

then one can see that

$$\frac{K_{i,l}}{l} \subset \frac{K_{i,kl}}{kl} \subset \Delta$$

Another issue to be taken care of is that all the constants in Corollary 2.13 must be finite, namely,

$$\sup_{S} C_S < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{S} c_n^S < \infty$$

in oder to achieve a global estimate. Hence, we shall consider the following two assumptions:

(*) if supp $(\delta_i) \subset K_i$, then supp $(\delta_{i,k}) \subset K_{i,k}$ for all k, where supp (\cdot) denotes the support of a function defined by

$$\operatorname{supp}(\delta_i) = \overline{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \delta_i > 0\}}.$$

(**) there are only finitely many distinct simplices S up to affine transformation.

Then, we can take the maximum constant C among all C_S , and take the maximum constant c_n among all c_n^S in Corollary 2.13. For this, we define a concept of special triangulations.

Definition 2.15. A type F triangulation of a cone is a simplex triangulation $T(C(p_i))$ of the cone $C(p_i)$ such that the following two conditions are satisfied.

- (1) $T(C(p_i)) = T(C(kp_i))$ for any positive integer k;
- (2) there exists finitely many simplices S_1, \ldots, S_M such that for any $S \in T(C(p_i))$, we have $c \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ satisfying

$$S - c = S_i$$

for some $j \in \{1, \ldots, M\}$.

The above assumptions (*) and (**) are essentially equivalent to the existence of a type F triangulation of $C(p_i)$. Using this notion, we estimate $\int_{k\Delta} \delta_{i,k}(x) f(x) dV$.

Lemma 2.16. Suppose that the integral polytope Δ of a toric variety has a type F triangulation of $C(p_i)$ for each vertex $p_i \in \mathcal{V}(\Delta)$. Let $f : k\Delta \to \mathbb{R}$ be a non-negative convex function. Then, we have the estimates

$$\int_{k\Delta} \delta_{i,k} f(x) dx \le \sum_{p \in k\Delta \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} \frac{n_{i,k}(p)\delta_{i,k}(p)f(p)}{(n+1)!} + \frac{Cc_n}{k} \max_{i \in \{1,\dots,R\}} f(p_i).$$

Proof. Under the assumptions (*) and (**), we have

$$\int_{k\Delta} \delta_{i,k} f(x) dV = \int_{K_{i,k}} \delta_{i,k} f(x) dV.$$

Using a type F triangulation of $C(p_i)$, we can define the piecewise linear function PL_f such that

- it is linear in all $S \in T(C(kp_i))$, and
- $PL_f(p) = f(p)$ for all $p \in K_{i,k} \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$.

Note that $PL_f(x) \ge f(x)$ for all x in $K_{i,k}$ because f is a convex function. Let us take

$$C := \max_{j} C_{S_j} \quad \text{and} \quad c_n := \max_{j} c_n^{S_j},$$

where C_{S_j} and $c_n^{S_j}$ are the constants determined in (2.4). Consequently, we have

$$\int_{K_{i,k}} \delta_{i,k} f(x) dV \le \sum_{p \in k\Delta \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} \frac{n_{i,k}(p, K_{i,k}) \delta_{i,k}(p) f(p)}{(n+1)!} + \frac{Cc_n}{k} \max_{x \in K_{i,k}} PL_f(x).$$

Notice that $n_{i,k}(p, K_{i,k}) \leq n_{i,k}(p)$ in the above inequality. Moreover, for any $S \in T(C(kp_i))$,

$$\max_{x \in S} PL_f(x) \le \max_{x \in \mathcal{V}(S)} PL_f(x) = \max_{x \in S} f(x)$$

holds. Our result follows from the Atiyah-Guillemin-Sternberg convexity theorem, that is, the maximum of f(x) attains at some vertices of $k\Delta$. The following lemma is the key of this paper, which gives a way of estimating the integral of the convex function by the discrete summands of the weights. Let us denote $n_k(p) = \max_i n_{i,k}(p)$.

Lemma 2.17. Let $f : k\Delta \to \mathbb{R}$ be a non-negative convex function. Then, we have

(2.5)
$$\int_{k\Delta} f(x)dx \le \sum_{p \in k\Delta \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} \frac{n_k(p)f(p)}{(n+1)!} + \frac{CRc_n}{k} \max_i f(p_i),$$

where R is the number of the vertices of $k\Delta$. Furthermore, we have the equality

$$\int_{k\Delta} f(x)dV = \sum_{i=1}^{R} \int_{k\Delta} \delta_{i,k} f(x)dV$$

by Lemma 2.16.

We can define the same function on the boundary $\partial \Delta$. However, we should bear in mind that f is convex only in each face.

Definition 2.18. A simplex triangulation $T(\partial C(kp_i))$ is a simplex triangulation of the boundary of the cone such that on each face of the cone, it is still a simplex triangulation. Let $\partial K_{i,k}$ be the boundary of $K_{i,k}$ intersecting the boundary of $k\Delta$. Then, we define the subset $\partial K_{i,k}$ of $T(\partial C(kp_i))$ by

$$\partial K_{i,k} := \{ S \in T(\partial C(kp_i)) \mid S \text{ is an } (n-1) \text{-simplex and satisfies } (\dagger) \} :$$

$$(\dagger) \text{ for any face } Q \leq \partial K_{i,k} \text{ and any } q \in Q, \quad q \in \mathcal{V}(S) \Rightarrow S \in \partial K_{i,k}.$$

Suppose that a simplex triangulation $T(\partial C(kp_i))$ of $\partial(k\Delta)$ inherits a type F triangulation. Let

 $\partial \mathcal{B}_k := \{ B \subset \partial(k\Delta) \mid B \text{ is an integral polyhedron on each face} \}.$

Let us define the map $m_{i,k}$ by

$$\underset{(q,B)}{\overset{i,k}{\longrightarrow}} : (\partial C(kp_i) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}) \times \partial \mathcal{B}_k \xrightarrow{\qquad} \mathbb{Z}_{\underset{(q,B)}{\bigcup}} \xrightarrow{\qquad} \# \{ S \mid S \text{ is an } (n-1) \text{-simplex touching } q \}.$$

We also define $m_k(q)$ by

$$m_k(q) := \max_{i \in \{1, \dots, R\}} m_{i,k}(q, \widetilde{\partial K_{i,k}}).$$

Applying Lemma 2.17 on each face, we have the following.

Corollary 2.19. Let $f : k\Delta \to \mathbb{R}$ be a non-negative convex function. Then there exists a constant $M_1 > 0$ such that

(2.6)
$$\int_{\partial(k\Delta)} f(x)dV \le \sum_{p\in\partial(k\Delta)\cap\mathbb{Z}^{n-1}} \frac{m_k(p)f(p)}{(n)!} + \frac{M_1c_{n-1}}{k}\max_i f(p_i).$$

Proof. This is a direct consequence of Lemma 2.17. Let $\mathcal{F}(k\Delta)$ be the set of facets of $k\Delta$, i.e., $\partial(k\Delta) = \bigcup_{F \in \mathcal{F}(k\Delta)} F$. For each facet $F_i \in \mathcal{F}(k\Delta)$, we apply Lemma 2.17. Summing up all the inequalities in (2.5) for each facet in $\mathcal{F}(k\Delta)$, we obtain (2.6).

3. Small cones and λ -stability of toric varieties

3.1. Small polytopes.

Definition 3.1. Let Δ be an integral polytope with the vertices $\mathcal{V}(\Delta) = \{p_1, \ldots, p_R\}$. Let Δ^o denote the interior of Δ . Let us fix a positive integer k. Then, we call a cone $C(p_i)$ is *small* with respect to a type F triangulation of $C(p_i)$ and $\partial C(p_i)$ if for positive integer k, we have the following:

(1) for any interior integral point $p \in k\Delta^o \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$, we have

$$n_{i,k}(p) \le (n+1)!;$$

(2) for any boundary point $p \in (\partial(k\Delta) \setminus \mathcal{V}(k\Delta)) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$ except for the vertices, we have

$$n_{i,k}(p) \le \frac{(n+1)}{2!};$$

(3) for any boundary point $p \in (\partial(k\Delta) \setminus \mathcal{V}(k\Delta)) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$ except for the vertices, we have

$$m_{i,k}(p) \le n!.$$

Moreover, if the equalities

$$m_k(p_i) = \alpha_i$$
 and $n_k(p_i) = \beta_i$

hold for a fixed i, we call the cone $C(p_i)$ to be (α_i, β_i) -weighted small cone.

We call Δ a *small polytope* if there exists a type F triangulation of each cone $C(p_i)$ such that all $C(p_i)$ are small.

Moreover, Δ is said to be an (α, β) -weighted small polytope if $C(p_i)$ are (α_i, β_i) -weighted small cones for all $i = 1, \ldots, R$. Here and hereafter, we will use the terminology

$$\{(\alpha,\beta)\} := \{(\alpha_1,\beta_1),\ldots,(\alpha_R,\beta_R)\}.$$

For simplicity, if we have

$$\alpha_i \ge \alpha_j$$
 and $\beta_i \ge \beta_j$,

then we will skip the data (α_j, β_j) and only consider the data of (α_i, β_i) . According to the definition of n_k and m_k , we see the following.

Lemma 3.2. Let Δ be a small polytope, we have

(1) for any interior integral point $p \in k\Delta^o \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$, we have

$$n_k(p) \le (n+1)!;$$

(2) for any boundary point $p \in (\partial(k\Delta) \setminus \mathcal{V}(k\Delta)) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$ except for the vertices, we have

$$n_k(p) \le \frac{(n+1)}{2!}$$

(3) for any boundary point $p \in (\partial(k\Delta) \setminus \mathcal{V}(k\Delta)) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$ except for the vertices, we have

$$m_k(p) \le n!$$
.

Proof. By the definition, $n_k(p) = \max_{i=1,\dots,R} n_{i,k}(p)$ and $m_k(p) = \max_{i=1,\dots,R} m_{i,k}(p)$. Results follow from the definition of a small polytope.

Example 3.3. Let Δ be the lattice Delzant polytope corresponding to an *n*-dimensional polarized toric manifold (X, L). Then every vertex cone $C(kp_i)$ satisfies

$$m_k(p_i) = n$$
 and $n_k(p_i) = 1$.

the triangulation of k-simplex in Lemma A.1 and Section B induces a type F triangulation of each vertex cone. Hence, any polarized n-dimensional toric manifold is an (n, 1)-weighted small polytope.

Example 3.4. Let us consider $\Delta := \text{Conv} \{ (-3, 0), (3, 0), (0, -1), (0, 1) \}$. For the cone C(3k, 0), the point (3k - 3, 1) has 5 triangles attached to it under the triangulation described in Figure 1. Hence, C(3, 0) is not a small cone under this triangulation.



FIGURE 1. Non-small triangulation

However, if we triangulate the same cone C(3,0) as in Figure 2, and follow this pattern for the remaining cone, then it is a small cone.



FIGURE 2. Small triangulation

3.2. λ -stability of toric varieties. Now we define the notion of λ -stability for polarized toric varieties. Let p be a (not necessarily lattice) point in an integral polytope Δ . Recall that a normalized convex function (at p) is a convex function f(x) such that

$$f(p) = \min_{x \in \Delta} f(x) = 0.$$

Let O be the center of mass of Δ . Without loss of generality, we may assume p = O.

Definition 3.5. For the constant $a = \frac{\operatorname{vol}(\partial \Delta, d\sigma)}{\operatorname{vol}(\Delta)}$, we define

$$L_a(f) := \int_{\partial \Delta} f(x) d\sigma - a \int_{\Delta} f(x) dV.$$

Let λ be a real number. Then, Δ is said to be λ -stable if $L_a(\ell(x)) = 0$ for any affine function $\ell(x)$, and there exists $\lambda \geq 0$ such that for any non-affine normalized convex function f(x), we have the inequality

(3.1)
$$L_a(f) \ge \lambda \int_{\partial \Delta} f(x) d\sigma.$$

We note that (3.1) is equivalent to

$$a \int_{\Delta} f(x) dV \le (1-\lambda) \int_{\partial \Delta} f(x) d\sigma.$$

We call a polarized toric variety (X, L) is λ -stable if its associated integral polytope Δ is λ -stable.

Remark 3.6. According to the definition in [Don02], we see that Δ is K-semistable iff it is λ -stable with $\lambda = 0$.

Also, by the definition in [CLS14] (see also Proposition 3.6 in [His16] for equivalent definition), Δ is uniformly K-stable in the toric sense iff it is λ stable with $\lambda > 0$.

4. Combinatorial criterions of asymptotic Chow Polystablity

In this section, we shall give a sufficient criteria for a toric variety corresponding to Δ to be asymptotically Chow polystable. As a reasonable condition, we may assume that Δ is K-semistable such that the Futaki-Ono invariant vanishes (see, (4.1)). On the other hand, we would like to provide a criteria such that if we assume a stronger concept of GIT-stability (e.g. Chow stability, uniform stability etc), then the inequality in (4.2) are more likely to hold. Hence, we consider the notion of λ -stability in this paper, where the original definition of λ -stability is coming from [Don02], Proposition 5.1.2. (See also [CLS14]). 4.1. Main Statements. In [Ono13] (see also [LLSW19]), we know the following criteria of asymptotic Chow stability for a polarized toric variety.

Proposition 4.1 (Ono, LLSW). A polarized toric variety (X, L) with the integral polytope Δ in $M_{\mathbb{R}} \cong \mathbb{R}^n$ is asymptotically Chow semistable if for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and any convex function $f : k\Delta \to \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\frac{1}{|k\Delta \cap \mathbb{Z}^n|} \sum_{p \in k\Delta \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} f(p) - \frac{\int_{k\Delta} f(x) dV}{\int_{k\Delta} dV} \ge 0.$$

Moreover, it is asymptotically Chow polystable if the equality holds only when f is affine.

Then, for a positive integer k, we define the Futaki-Ono invariant $FO(\ell; k)$ by

(4.1)
$$FO(\ell;k) := \frac{\sum_{p \in k\Delta \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} \ell(p)}{\sum_{p \in k\Delta \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} \mathbf{1}} - \frac{\int_{k\Delta} \ell(x) dV}{\int_{k\Delta} \mathbf{1} \, dV},$$

where $\ell(x)$ is an affine function on $k\Delta$. This is the obstruction (i.e., a necessary condition) for a polarized variety (X, L) to be asymptotically Chow semistable discovered by Futaki in [Fut04]. In the toric setting, this formulation was given by Ono in [Ono13].

The main theorem in this paper is the following.

Theorem 4.2. Let Δ be a λ -stable (α, β) -weighted small polytope such that the Futaki-Ono invariant vanishes. For all i = 1, ..., R, if

(4.2)
$$1 - \frac{\alpha_i(1-\lambda_i)}{2(n!)} - \frac{\beta_i}{(n+1)!} > 0$$

holds, then Δ is asymptotically Chow polystable.

Proof. Let us denote $V = \operatorname{vol}(\Delta)$ and $\chi_k = |k\Delta \cap \mathbb{Z}^n|$. We may assume that $f(x) \geq 0$ for any $x \in \Delta$ by taking an appropriate normalization. Let c_n and C be the constants determined in Lemma 2.17. Then, we have

$$\frac{1}{k^n V} \int_{\Delta} f(x) dv$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{\chi_k}\right) \int_{k\Delta} f(x) dv + \left(\frac{1}{k^n V} - \frac{1}{\chi_k}\right) \int_{k\Delta} f(x) dv$$

$$\leq \left(\frac{1}{\chi_k}\right) \sum_{p \in k\Delta \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} \frac{n_k(p) f(p)}{(n+1)!} + \frac{\chi_k - k^n V}{k^n V \chi_k} \int_{k\Delta} f(x) dv + \frac{CRc_n}{k} \max_i f(p_i)$$

$$\leq \left(\frac{1}{\chi_k}\right) \sum_{p \in k\Delta \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} f(p) - \sum_{p \in \partial(k\Delta) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} \frac{f(p)}{2\chi_k} + \sum_{i=1}^R \left(\frac{n_k(p_i)}{(n+1)!} - \frac{1}{2}\right) \left(\frac{f(p_i)}{\chi_k}\right)$$

$$+ \frac{ak^{n-1}}{2\chi_k} \int_{\Delta} f(kx) dv + ck^{-1} \frac{1}{V\chi_k} \int_{\Delta} f(kx) dv + \frac{CRc_n}{k} \max_i f(p_i)$$

for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Here we used the assumption that $n_k(p) \leq (n+1)!$ for the interior points, and $n_k(p) \leq \frac{(n+1)!}{2}$ for the boundary points except for the vertices (Definition 3.1 (1) and (2)).

Now we apply λ -stability of Δ . Then, we see that

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{k^n V} \int_{k\Delta} f(x) dv \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{\chi_k}\right) \sum_{p \in k\Delta \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} f(p) - \sum_{p \in \partial(k\Delta) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} \frac{f(p)}{2\chi_k} + \sum_{i=1}^R \left(\frac{n_k(p_i)}{(n+1)!} - \frac{1}{2}\right) \left(\frac{f(p_i)}{\chi_k}\right) \\ &+ \frac{(1-\lambda)k^{n-1}}{2\chi_k} \int_{\partial\Delta} f(kx) d\sigma + \frac{ck^{-1}}{V\chi_k} \int_{\Delta} f(kx) dv + \frac{CRc_n}{k} \max_i f(p_i) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{\chi_k}\right) \sum_{p \in k\Delta \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} f(p) - \sum_{p \in \partial(k\Delta) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} \frac{f(p)}{2\chi_k} + \sum_{i=1}^R \left(\frac{n_k(p_i)}{(n+1)!} - \frac{1}{2}\right) \left(\frac{f(p_i)}{\chi_k}\right) \\ &+ \frac{(1-\lambda)}{2\chi_k} \int_{\partial(k\Delta)} f(x) d\sigma + \frac{ck^{-1}}{V\chi_k} \int_{\Delta} f(kx) dv + \frac{CRc_n}{k} \max_i f(p_i). \end{split}$$

Using the estimates on the boundary given in (2.6), we have

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{k^n V} \int_{k\Delta} f(x) dv \\ \leq \left(\frac{1}{\chi_k}\right) \sum_{p \in k\Delta \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} f(p) - \sum_{p \in \partial(k\Delta) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} \frac{f(p)}{2\chi_k} + \sum_{i=1}^R \left(\frac{n_k(p_i)}{(n+1)!} - \frac{1}{2}\right) \left(\frac{f(p_i)}{\chi_k}\right) \\ &+ \frac{CRc_n + M_1c_{n-1}}{k} \max_i f(p_i) + \frac{(1-\lambda)}{2\chi_k} \sum_{p \in \partial(k\Delta) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} \frac{m_k(p)f(p)}{n!} + \frac{ck^{-1}}{V\chi_k} \int_{\Delta} f(kx) dv \\ \leq \left(\frac{1}{\chi_k}\right) \sum_{p \in k\Delta \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} f(p) - \sum_{p \in \partial(k\Delta) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} \frac{f(p)}{2\chi_k} + \sum_{i=1}^R \left(\frac{n_k(p_i)}{(n+1)!} - \frac{1}{2}\right) \left(\frac{f(p_i)}{\chi_k}\right) \\ &+ \sum_{p \in \partial(k\Delta) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} \frac{(1-\lambda)f(p)}{2\chi_k} + \sum_{i=1}^R \left(\frac{m_k(p_i)}{n!} - 1\right) \frac{(1-\lambda)f(p_i)}{2\chi_k} + \frac{ck^{-1}}{V\chi_k} \int_{\Delta} f(kx) dv \\ &+ \frac{CRc_n + M_1c_{n-1}}{k} \max_i f(p_i). \end{split}$$

Therefore, we can achieve the desired inequality if the following inequality holds:

$$0 \ge -\sum_{p\in\partial(k\Delta)\cap\mathbb{Z}^n} \frac{f(p)}{2\chi_k} + \sum_{i=1}^{R} \left(\frac{n_k(p_i)}{(n+1)!} - \frac{1}{2}\right) \left(\frac{f(p_i)}{\chi_k}\right)$$
$$+ \sum_{p\in\partial(k\Delta)\cap\mathbb{Z}^n} \frac{(1-\lambda)f(p)}{2\chi_k} + \sum_{i=1}^{R} \left(\frac{m_k(p_i)}{n!} - 1\right) \frac{(1-\lambda)f(p_i)}{2\chi_k} + \frac{ck^{-1}}{V\chi_k} \int_{\Delta} f(kx)dv$$
$$+ \frac{CRc_n + M_1c_{n-1}}{k} \max_i f(p_i).$$

This inequality can be rewritten as follows: (4.3)

$$\frac{CRc_n + M_1c_{n-1}}{k} \max_i f(p_i) \le \sum_{p \in \partial(k\Delta) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} \frac{\lambda f(p)}{2} + \sum_{i=1}^R \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{n_k(p_i)}{(n+1)!}\right) (f(p_i)) + \sum_{i=1}^R \left(1 - \frac{m_k(p_i)}{n!}\right) \frac{(1-\lambda)f(p_i)}{2} - \frac{ck^{-1}}{V} \int_{\Delta} f(kx) dv.$$

For the weight (α, β) , we see that $m_k(p_i) = \alpha_i$ and $n_k(p_i) = \beta_i$. Hence, (4.3) yields that

$$\frac{CRc_n + M_1c_{n-1}}{k} \max_i f(p_i) \le \sum_{i=1}^R \left(\left(1 - \frac{\alpha_i}{n!}\right) \frac{(1-\lambda)}{2} f(p_i) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\beta_i}{(n+1)!}\right) f(p_i) \right) + \sum_{p \in \partial(k\Delta) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} \frac{\lambda f(p)}{2} - \frac{ck^{-1}}{V} \int_{\Delta} f(kx) dV.$$

Note that

$$\int_{\Delta} f(kx) dV \le C \max_{i=1,2,\dots,R} f(p_i)$$

for some constant C > 0 which is independent on k. Also, the term $\sum_{p \in \partial(k\Delta) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} \frac{\lambda f(p)}{2}$ contains all the boundary integral points of $k\Delta$. Therefore, if the inequality

$$\left(1-\frac{\alpha_i}{n!}\right)\frac{(1-\lambda)}{2} + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\beta_i}{(n+1)!}\right) + \frac{\lambda}{2} > 0, \quad \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, R,$$

holds, then we see that

$$\frac{1}{V} \int_{\Delta} f(kx) dV < \frac{1}{\chi_k} \sum_{p \in \Delta \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} f(p),$$

for sufficiently large k and for all non-affine normalized convex function f. This implies that the associated toric variety $(X_{\Delta}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{\Delta}}(k))$ is Chow polystable.

Finally, we calculate the equality

$$\left(1 - \frac{\alpha_i}{n!}\right)\frac{(1-\lambda)}{2} + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\beta_i}{(n+1)!}\right) + \frac{\lambda}{2} = 1 - \frac{\alpha_i(1-\lambda)}{2(n!)} - \frac{\beta_i}{(n+1)!}.$$

Hence, the assertion is verified.

Corollary 4.3. Let (X, L) be a K-semistable polarized toric manifold such that the Futaki-Ono invariant $FO(\ell; k)$ vanishes for each k. Then (X, L) is asymptotially Chow polystable.

Proof. Recall that the polytope $\Delta \subset M_{\mathbb{R}} \cong \mathbb{R}^n$ of any *n*-dimensional polarized toric manifold (X, L) is an (n, 1)-weighted small polytope (Example 3.3), i.e., all vertices of Δ have weight (n, 1). Hence, if (X, L) is K-semistable and the Futaki-Ono invariant vanishes, then by putting $\alpha_i = n$, $\beta_i = 1$ and $\lambda_i = 0$ into (4.2) for all *i*, we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(1 - \frac{1}{(n-1)!}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{(n+1)!}\right) > 0.$$

4.2. Medium polytopes. Usually, it is not easy to obtain small polytopes. Also, one can see that we removed a lot of boundary points of Δ for the estimation in the proof of Theorem 4.2. In order to deal with this problem, we omit one condition in Definition 3.1.

Definition 4.4. Let Δ be an integral polytope with the vertices $\{p_1, \ldots, p_R\}$. Then, $C(p_i)$ is called a *medium cone*, if there exists a type F triangulation of the cone $C(p_i)$, and a type F triangulation of each face of $C(p_i)$ such that for any positive integer k, we have

- (1) $n_{i,k}(p) \leq (n+1)!$ for any $p \in k\Delta^o \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$;
- (2) $m_{i,k}(p) \leq n!$ if p is a boundary integral point expect for the vertices, namely $p \in (\partial(k\Delta) \setminus \{p_1, \ldots, p_R\}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$.

Moreover, $C(p_i)$ is said to be an (α, β, γ) -weighted medium cone if it is a medium cone and under the type F triangulations which make the cone $C(p_i)$ medium, we have

- (i) $m_{i,k}(p_i) \leq \alpha_i$ and $n_k(p_i) \leq \beta_i$ for each *i*, and
- (ii) $n_{i,k}(p) \leq \gamma_i$ for any $p \in (\partial(k\Delta) \setminus \{p_1, \ldots, p_R\}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$.

 Δ is called an (α, β, γ) -weighted medium polytope if all cones $C(p_i)$ are $(\alpha_i, \beta_i, \gamma_i)$ -weighted medium polytopes, and we set

 $\gamma := \max \{ \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_R \}, \text{ and } (\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \{ (\alpha_1, \beta_1), \cdots, (\alpha_R, \beta_R), \gamma \}.$

Example 4.5. The cone C(-3,0) in Example 3.4 under the triangulation in Figure 1 is a (2,2,5)-weighted medium cone.

Theorem 4.6. Let Δ be the integral Delzant polytope corresponding to a λ stable polarized toric manifold (X, L) such that the Futaki-Ono invariant vanishes. Suppose Δ is an (α, β, γ) -weighted medium polytope satisfying

(4.4)
$$\frac{1+\lambda}{2} - \frac{\gamma}{(n+1)!} \ge 0.$$

We further assume that

(4.5)
$$1 - \frac{\alpha_i(1-\lambda)}{2(n!)} - \frac{\beta_i}{(n+1)!} > 0,$$

holds for all i = 1, ..., R. Then, (X, L) is asymptotically Chow polystable.

Proof. Let us denote $B := \partial(k\Delta) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{p_1, \ldots, p_R\}$. Following a similar computation in the proof of Theorem 4.2, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{kV} \int_{k\Delta} f(x) dV \\ \leq \left(\frac{1}{\chi_k}\right) \sum_{p \in k\Delta \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} f(p) - \sum_{p \in B} \frac{((n+1)! - \gamma)f(p)}{(n+1)!\chi_k} + \sum_{i=1}^R \left(\frac{n_k(p_i)}{(n+1)!} - 1\right) \left(\frac{f(p_i)}{\chi_k}\right) \\ &+ \sum_{p \in B} \frac{(1-\lambda)f(p)}{2\chi_k} + \sum_{i=1}^R \left(\frac{m_k(p_i)}{n!}\right) \frac{(1-\lambda)f(p_i)}{2\chi_k} + \frac{ck^{-1}}{V\chi_k} \int_{\Delta} f(kx) dV \\ &+ \frac{CRc_n + M_1c_{n-1}}{k} \max_i f(p_i). \end{aligned}$$

This indicates that we require the condition

$$0 \leq \sum_{p \in B} \left(1 - \frac{\gamma}{(n+1)!} - \frac{1-\lambda}{2} \right) f(p) + \sum_{i=1}^{R} \left(1 - \frac{\beta_i}{(n+1)!} \right) f(p_i) - \sum_{i=1}^{R} \left(\frac{\alpha_i (1-\lambda)}{n!} \right) \frac{f(p_i)}{2} - \frac{ck^{-1}}{V} \int_{\Delta} f(kx) dV + \frac{CRc_n + M_1c_{n-1}}{k} \max_i f(p_i).$$

Therefore, if the inequalities

$$\frac{1+\lambda}{2} - \frac{\gamma}{(n+1)!} \ge 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 1 - \frac{\alpha_i(1-\lambda)}{2(n!)} - \frac{\beta_i}{(n+1)!} > 0,$$

hold for all i = 1, ..., R, then the associated polarized toric manifold is asymptotically Chow polystable.

5. Symmetric weakly reflexive polytopes

We call an integral polytope Δ is weakly reflexive if there exists some constant c such that $\Delta = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\alpha} \{ \ell_i(x) \leq c \}$, where $\ell_i(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i,j}x_j$ are linear equations and all $c, a_{i,1}, \ldots, a_{i,n}$ are coprime. In particular, if c = 1, then Δ is a reflexive polytope. Also, a weakly reflexive polytope Δ is called symmetric if there is only one fixed point by the symmetry group in $SL(n, \mathbb{Z})$ acting on Δ . Remark that the definition of symmetric weakly reflexive polytopes implies that the fixed point must be 0.

Lemma 5.1. Let Δ be an n-dimensional symmetric weakly reflexive polytope. Then, it is λ -stable with $\lambda = \frac{1}{n+1}$. *Proof.* We first define a map $\varphi : \Delta \setminus \{ (0, \ldots, 0) \} \to \partial \Delta \times (0, c]$ as follows (cf: [Yao22, Proposition 4.6]): For any point $p \in \Delta$, we can draw a line from 0 passing through p. We denote by q the boundary point of Δ intersecting this line. Then we let

$$t = \frac{c|p-0|}{|q-0|}$$
, and $\varphi(p) := (q, t)$.

Let $G < SL(n,\mathbb{Z})$ act on Δ , and let $f : \Delta \to \mathbb{R}$ be a *G*-invariant convex function. Then, f(0) attains the minimum. We now define a function $g_f : \Delta \to \mathbb{R}$ by the following:

$$g_f \circ \varphi^{-1}(q,t) := \frac{t}{c} f \circ \varphi^{-1}(q,1), \text{ and } g_f(0) = 0.$$

The convexity of f implies that $g_f(x) \ge f(x)$, whereas we see that

$$\int_{\partial\Delta} g_f(q) d\sigma = \int_{\partial\Delta} f(q) d\sigma.$$

Now, we write the point in Δ by $(x,t) \in \partial \Delta \times (0,c]$. Then the straight forward computation shows that

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Delta} f(x,t) dV &\leq \int_{\Delta} g_f(x) dV \\ &= \int_0^c \int_{t\partial\Delta} \frac{t}{c} g_f(x,1) dV \\ &= \int_0^c \frac{t}{c} t^{(n-1)} \int_{\partial\Delta} f(x,1) dV \\ &= \frac{c^n}{n+1} \int_{\partial\Delta} f(x) d\sigma. \end{split}$$

Also, we have

$$\int_{\Delta} dV = \int_{0}^{c} t^{n-1} \int_{\partial \Delta} d\sigma dt = \frac{c^{n}}{n} \int_{\partial \Delta} d\sigma.$$

Therefore, we conclude $a := \frac{\operatorname{vol}(\partial \Delta, d\sigma)}{\operatorname{vol}(\Delta)} = \frac{n}{c^n}$. This implies that

(5.1)

$$L_{a}(f) = \int_{\partial\Delta} f(x)d\sigma - \frac{n}{c^{n}} \int_{\Delta} f(x)dV$$

$$\geq \int_{\partial\Delta} f(x)d\sigma - \frac{n}{c^{n}} \left(\frac{c^{n}}{n+1} \int_{\partial\Delta} f(x)d\sigma\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n+1} \int_{\partial\Delta} f(x)d\sigma.$$

Hence, the assertion is verified.

Notice that the Futaki-Ono invariant vanishes for any symmetric weakly reflexive polytope. This yields the following.

Corollary 5.2. Let Δ be an n-dimensional symmetric weakly reflexive polytope. Suppose that Δ is an (α, β, γ) -weighted medium polytope satisfying

(1) $\gamma \leq \frac{(n+2)n!}{2}$; and (2) $n\alpha_i + 2\beta_i < 2(n+1)!$, for all i = 1, ..., R.

Then, the associated polarized toric variety is asymptotically Chow polystable.

Proof. By Lemma 5.1, Δ is λ -stable for $\lambda = \frac{1}{n+1}$. Plugging $\lambda = \frac{1}{n+1}$ into (4.4) and (4.5) in Theorem 4.6, we have

$$\frac{n+2}{2(n+1)} - \frac{\gamma}{(n+1)!} \ge 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 1 - \frac{\alpha}{2(n-1)!(n+1)} - \frac{\beta}{(n+1)!} > 0.$$

This yields that

$$\gamma \leq \frac{(n+2)n!}{2}$$
 and $n\alpha + 2\beta < 2(n+1)!$.

Remark 5.3. If Δ is a small polytope, then it is a medium polytope with

$$\gamma = \frac{(n+1)!}{2} < \frac{(n+2)n!}{2}$$

6. Examples

In this section, we shall give more examples of (α, β, γ) -weighted medium polytopes. In order to compute these examples, we need Lemma A.1 which was already dealt in [Lee22]. This provides us how to triangulate the simplex $k\Delta_n := \text{Conv} \{ 0, ke_i \mid i = 1, ..., n \}$ with $ke_1 = (k, 0, ..., 0), ..., ke_n = (0, ..., 0, k)$.

6.1. Concrete examples.

Lemma 6.1. (See, Lemma A.1) For any $p \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, there exists a simplex triangulation T of \mathbb{R}^n such that

 $n(p) := \# \{ S \mid S \text{ is a simplex in } T \text{ with } p \in \mathcal{V}(S) \} = (n+1)!.$

Moreover, this triangulation T can triangulate the simplex $k\Delta_n$ so that

$$n(p) = \frac{(n+1)!}{(k+1)!}$$

for all $p \in ((n-k)$ -faces of $k\Delta_n)^o \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$.

We give a proof of this lemma in Appendix A.

Example 6.2. Let (X, L) be the polarized toric manifold associated from an *n*-dimensional symmetric weakly reflexive polytope Δ . Note that the Futaki-Ono invariant vanishes in this case. Moreover, Δ is an (n, 1) small polytope and λ -stable with $\lambda = \frac{1}{n+1}$. Hence, (X, L) is asymptotically Chow polystable. Indeed, all λ -stable polarized toric manifolds with the vanishing Futaki-Ono invariant are asymptotically Chow polystable.

Example 6.3 $(D_n, \text{see [Lee22]})$. Let us consider the polytope $\Delta'_n := \text{Conv} \{ \pm e_i \}_{i=1}^n$, where $e_1 = (1, 0, ..., 0), ..., e_n = (0, ..., 0, 1)$ are the standard basis of \mathbb{R}^n . Let D_n be the associated projective toric variety. Firstly, D_n is a symmetric toric Fano variety. Hence, it is λ -stable with $\lambda = \frac{1}{n+1}$. Also, all the vertex cones $C(p_i)$ are generated by the origin $(0, \ldots, 0)$ and the vectors $(\pm 1, 0, \ldots, 0, 1), \ldots, (0, \ldots, 0, \pm 1, 1)$.

Let T be a triangulation consists of all the unit vectors and $(0, \ldots, 0, 1)$. By enlarging the triangulation T, we see that every interior point p in T lien in either $2^{n-1} \cdot (n+1)$ -simplex or (n+1)!-simplex if p is not a boundary point. If p is a boundary point, we see that

$$n_k(p) \le \frac{(n+1)!}{2}.$$

Let us further consider about the boundary points. If p is an interior point of the boundary, then p lies in an (n-1)-simplex. This yields $m_k(p) = n!$. Furthermore, we find that

- m_k(p) = n!/2 · 2 = n! if p locates an (n − 2)-dimensional face,
 m_k(p) ≤ n! if p is on a k-dimensional face with k ≤ n − 3 except for vertices.

Consequently, Δ_n is a small polytope. Moreover, the corresponding weight is $(2^{n-1}, 2^{n-1})$, and

$$1 - \frac{2^{n-1}n}{2(n!)(n+1)} - \frac{2^{n-1}}{(n+1)!} = 1 - \frac{2^{n-2}(n+2)}{(n+1)!} > 0$$

because

$$\frac{2^{n-2}(n+2)}{(n+1)!} = \frac{(n+2)}{2(n+1)} \frac{2^{n-1}}{n!} < 1.$$

Example 6.4. Let us consider $\Delta = \text{Conv} \{ (2,0), (-2,0), (0,1), (0,-1) \}$ and the associated toric variety X_2 . Using the following triangulation, we see that Δ is an example of (2, 4) cone: for the cone C(2k, 0) and C(-2k, 0), we consider the triangulation given by the following picture and we repeat this process.



For the cone C(0, k) and C(0, -k), we consider the triangulation below and we subdivide this triangulation.



Also, Δ is weakly reflexive and symmetric. However, a direct computation shows that

$$(\alpha_{(0,1)}, \beta_{(0,1)}) = (2,4).$$

If we put this data into Corollary 5.2, we obtain

$$2 \cdot 2 + 2 \cdot 4 = 12 = 2 \cdot 3!.$$

Thus, we cannot apply the criteria in Corollary 5.2 to verify asymptotic Chow stability of X_2 . On the other hand, it was shown in [LLSW19] that X_2 is asymptotically Chow polystable. This example shows that Corollary 5.2 is only a sufficient condition.

In [Lee22], the first author showed that the product polytope $\Delta_1 \times \Delta_2$ is asymptotically Chow polystable iff both polytopes Δ_1 and Δ_2 are asymptotically Chow polystable.

Example 6.5. Let $\Delta = \text{Conv} \{ (2,0), (-2,0), (0,1), (0,-1) \} \times [-2,2]$. Since both polytopes $\Delta_1 = \text{Conv} \{ (\pm 2,0), (0,\pm 1) \}$ and $\Delta_2 = [-2,2]$ are asymptotically Chow polystable, Δ is asymptotically Chow polystable.

For the polytope Δ_1 , we use the triangulation in Example 6.4 to induce a type F triangulation of Δ .

More precisely, we consider the cone C(0, 1, -2), and consider a neighborhood of (0, 1, -2) given by

$$Q(0,1,-2) := \operatorname{Conv} \left\{ (2,0,-2), (0,1,-2), (-2,0,-2), (0,0,0) \right\}.$$



FIGURE 3. A product polytope

We consider the same triangulation of rQ for some sufficiently large r, and we subdivide each simplex as in Figure 3. When r goes to ∞ , this defines a type F triangulation of C(0, k, -2k).

Similarly, we can find a type F triangulation of the cone C(2k, 0, -2k). Up to isomorphism, we only need to consider these two cones by symmetry of Δ . However, at the points (0, k, l) with -2k < l < -k, we encounter the problem Δ to be small. In fact, we find that

$$n_k(0,k,l) = 4 \cdot 4 = 16,$$

and

$$\frac{1+\frac{1}{4}}{2} - \frac{16}{24} = \frac{15}{24} - \frac{16}{24} < 0,$$

so it doesn't satisfy the conditions in Theorem 4.6 if we use this triangulation.

Example 6.6. We now consider the octahedron

$$\Delta = \operatorname{Conv} \left\{ \pm (0, 1, 0), \pm (2, 0, 0), \pm (0, 0, 1) \right\}.$$

Up to isomorphism, it suffices to consider two cones C(0,0,1) and C(2,0,0)by symmetry. Following algorithm given in Appendix B, we can construct



the convex hull R(0,0,1) (resp. R(2,0,0)) with the simplex triangulation for C(0,0,1) (resp. for C(2,0,0)).



FIGURE 4. R(0, 0, 1)



FIGURE 5. R(2,0,0) consisting of four simplices S_1, \ldots, S_4 with $(2,0,0) \in S_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, 4$.

We subdivide the enlarged simplices of kR(0,0,1) and kR(2,0,0) to obtain the type F triangulations of the cones C(0,0,1) and C(2,0,0). Then we see that C(2,0,0) is a (4,4)-weighted small cone, and C(0,0,1) is a (4,8)-weighted small cone. Therefore, Δ is a (4,8)-weighted small polytope. Also, the octahedron Δ can be expressed as an intersection of eight hyperplanes: $\Delta = \bigcap_{i=1}^{8} H_i$ with

 $H_{1} = \{ (x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \mid x + 2y + 2z \leq 2 \}, \qquad H_{2} = \{ (x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \mid x + 2y - 2z \leq 2 \}, \\ H_{3} = \{ (x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \mid x - 2y + 2z \leq 2 \}, \qquad H_{4} = \{ (x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \mid x - 2y - 2z \leq 2 \}, \\ H_{5} = \{ (x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \mid -x + 2y + 2z \leq 2 \}, \qquad H_{6} = \{ (x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \mid -x + 2y - 2z \leq 2 \}, \\ H_{7} = \{ (x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \mid -x - 2y + 2z \leq 2 \}, \qquad H_{8} = \{ (x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \mid -x - 2y - 2z \leq 2 \}.$

Thus, Δ is a symmetric weakly reflexive polytope. Since

$$3 \cdot 4 + 2 \cdot 8 = 28 < 2 \cdot 4!,$$

we conclude that the associated polarized toric variety (X_{Δ}, L_{Δ}) is asymptotically Chow polystable by Corollary 5.2.

6.2. Discussion: computation of (α, β) . In general, (α, β) depends on the triangulation. However, there is a nice triangulation such that we can compute the values of (α_i, β_i) explicitly. Let $\{v_{i,r}\}_{r=1}^{M_i}$ be the primitive generators of each ray (i.e., 1-dimensional cone) in $C(p_i)$. Also, we let $q_{i,r}$ be the first integral point in the line $tv_{i,r} + p_i$ for t > 0.

We define

$$R_i := \text{Conv} \{ 0, q_{i,r} \mid r = 1, \dots, M_i \}.$$

Then we let $Q_{i,1}, \dots, Q_{i,C_i} \subset \partial R_i$ be the faces of R_i not containing p_i , and

$$(6.1) Q_i := \cup_{j=1}^{C_i} Q_{i,j}.$$

The following lemma gives a precise description of the values of α_i and β_i .

Lemma 6.7. There exists a simplex triangulation $T(Q_i)$ of Q_i such that

(6.2)
$$\beta_i = \# \{ S \in T(Q_i) \mid S \text{ is a simplex in } Q_i \}, \quad \text{and} \\ \alpha_i = \# \{ S' \in T(\partial Q_i) \mid S' \text{ is a simplex in } \partial Q_i \}.$$

Moreover, in general, for any simplex triangulation, we have

$$\beta_i \ge \# \{ S \in T(Q_i) \mid S \text{ is a simplex in } Q_i \}, \quad and \\ \alpha_i \ge \# \{ S' \in T(\partial Q_i) \mid S' \text{ is a simplex in } \partial Q_i \}.$$

Proof. To prove the first part of the statement, we construct a triangulation T of $C(p_i)$ near the vertex p_i such that T is bijectively corresponding to the simplex triangulation of Q_i in (6.2).

Note that for each $Q_{i,s}$, there is a hyperplane $H_s = \{a_1x_1 + \cdots + a_nx_n - p_i = c\}$ such that $Q_{i,s} \subset H_s$, where $(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and a_1, \ldots, a_n are coprime. Now, without loss of generality, we may assume that $p_i = (0, \ldots, 0)$. By taking an appropriate $SL(n, \mathbb{Z})$ -action, we can also assume that $H_s = \{x_n = c\}$ for c > 0. After taking this transformation, let S_1, \ldots, S_R be simplex triangulation of $Q_{i,s}$, and let $\boldsymbol{q}_{\alpha} = (q_{\alpha}^1, \ldots, q_{\alpha}^n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $q_{\alpha}^n = c$ be the set of vertices of each S_j . Then, we define the another set of vertices $\tilde{\boldsymbol{q}}_{\alpha}$ by

(6.3)
$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{q}}_{\alpha} := (q_{\alpha}^{1}, \cdots, q_{\alpha}^{n-1}, c_{\alpha})$$
 for some constant c_{α}

such that $(q_{\alpha}^1, \dots, q_{\alpha}^{n-1}, c_{\alpha} - 1) \notin C(0, \dots, 0)$. We denote the right hand side of (6.3) by $(\tilde{q}_{\alpha}^1, \dots, \tilde{q}_{\alpha}^n)$. For $S_j = \text{Conv} \{ \boldsymbol{q}_j^1, \dots, \boldsymbol{q}_j^n \}$ in \mathbb{R}^n , let us define

$$S'_j := \operatorname{Conv} \left\{ (0, \dots, 0), \ \tilde{\boldsymbol{q}}_j^1, \dots, \tilde{\boldsymbol{q}}_j^n \right\}$$

in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . Then, S'_1, \ldots, S'_R give a simplex triangulation of $C(p_i)$ near $p_i = (0, \ldots, 0)$. Therefore, under this triangulation, we see that

$$\beta_i = \# \{ S \in T(Q_i) \mid S \text{ is a simplex in } Q_i \}.$$

Applying the same argument on each face of $C(p_i)$, we have

$$\alpha_i = \# \{ S' \in T(\partial Q_i) \mid S' \text{ is a simplex in } \partial Q_i \}.$$

For the second part, let S_1, \ldots, S_R be the simplices containing the vertex p_i . Then we can project S_1, \ldots, S_R onto Q_i . After the projection, some different S_j may project to the same simplex, but each projection gives a simplex triangulation of Q_i . Therefore, we have

$$\beta_i \ge \# \{ S \in T(Q_i) \mid S \text{ is a simplex in } Q_i \}.$$

Similarly, we can prove

$$\alpha_i \ge \# \{ S' \in T(\partial Q_i) \mid S' \text{ is a simplex in } \partial Q_i \}$$

by applying the same argument on each face of the cone.

Example 6.8. Fixing p = (0,0), we let $v_1 = (5,2)$ and $v_2 = (5,-2)$ be the generators of the cone. Then we have a triangulation near (0,0) given by the following:



We can see that there are four simplices attached to the vertex p. On the other hand, there is also another triangulation as follows:



we set $Q := \text{Conv} \{ (5,2), (5,-2) \}, D_1 := \text{Conv} \{ (3,1), (4,1), (0,0) \}$ and $D_2 := \text{Conv} \{ (0,0), (1,0), (4,1) \}$ respectively. Considering the projection $\pi : C(p; v_1, v_2) \to Q$ which projects the simplices of $C(p; v_1, v_2)$ to Q, we find that both D_1 and D_2 give the same simplex; $\pi(D_1) = \pi(D_2) = \text{Conv} \{ (5,0), (5,1) \}.$

Summing up these argument, we have the following.

Proposition 6.9. Let Δ be an n-dimensional integral Delzant polytope with $n \geq 2$. Then for any vertex cone $C(p_i)$, we have

$$\alpha_i = \operatorname{vol}(\partial Q_i)(n-2)!$$
 and $\beta_i = \operatorname{vol}(Q_i)(n-1)!$,

where Q_i is the (n-1)-dimensional polytope described in (6.1).

Proof. By Lemma 6.7, we see that

$$\beta_i = \# \{ S \in T(Q_i) \mid S \text{ is a simplex in } Q_i \}, \quad \text{and} \\ \alpha_i = \# \{ S' \in T(\partial Q_i) \mid S' \text{ is a simplex in } \partial Q_i \}.$$

Thus, straightforward computations show that

$$\beta_i = \operatorname{vol}(Q_i)(n-1)!$$
 and $\alpha_i = \operatorname{vol}(\partial Q_i)(n-2)!$

respectively.

Suppose all the triangulations in Lemma 6.7 are type F triangulations. Plugging the values of $\alpha_i = \operatorname{vol}(\partial Q_i)(n-2)!$ and $\beta_i = \operatorname{vol}(Q_i)(n-1)!$ into (4.5), we have the following.

Corollary 6.10. Let (X, L) be a polarized toric manifold with the integral polytope Δ . Suppose it is λ -stable and the Futaki-Ono invariant vanishes. We further assume that Δ is (α, β, γ) -medium under the type F triangulation described in Lemma 6.7. If the following two conditions

$$\frac{1+\lambda}{2} - \frac{\gamma}{(n+1)!} \ge 0$$

and

(6.4)
$$2(n-1)\operatorname{vol}(Q_i) + (1-\lambda)(n+1)\operatorname{vol}(\partial Q_i) < 2(n-1)n(n+1)$$

hold for all i = 1, ..., R, then (X, L) is asymptotically Chow polystable.

Proof. Putting $\alpha_i = \operatorname{vol}(\partial Q_i)(n-2)!$ and $\beta_i = \operatorname{vol}(Q_i)(n-1)!$ into the inequality

$$1 - \frac{\alpha_i(1-\lambda)}{2 \cdot n!} - \frac{\beta_i}{(n+1)!} > 0,$$

we have

$$1 - \frac{\operatorname{vol}(\partial Q_i)(1-\lambda)}{2n(n-1)} - \frac{\operatorname{vol}(Q_i)}{(n+1)n} > 0.$$

Consequently, we find that

$$2(n-1)\mathrm{vol}(Q_i) + (1-\lambda)(n+1)\mathrm{vol}(\partial Q_i) < 2(n-1)n(n+1).$$

We suppose that X is a λ -stable toric surface, the Futaki-Ono invariant of X vanishes and the corresponding polytope Δ is (α, β, γ) -medium. Then ∂Q_i defined in (6.1) consists of two points, so $\operatorname{vol}(\partial Q_i) = 2$. Hence, (6.4) can be written as

$$2\mathrm{vol}(Q_i) + 6(1-\lambda) < 12,$$

or equivalently,

$$\operatorname{vol}(Q_i) < 6 - 3(1 - \lambda).$$

APPENDIX A. TRIANGULATION OF ENLARGED SIMPLEX

We give a proof of Lemma 6.1 concerning the triangulation of a simplex.

Lemma A.1. For any $p \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, there exists a simplex triangulation T of \mathbb{R}^n such that n(p) = (n + 1)!. Moreover, this triangulation T can triangulate the simplex

$$k\Delta_n = \text{Conv} \{ (0, \dots, 0), (k, 0, \dots, 0), \dots, (0, \dots, 0, k) \}$$

so that

$$n(p) = \frac{(n+1)!}{(k+1)!}$$

for all $p \in ((n-k)$ -faces of $k\Delta_n)^o \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$.

Proof. We modify an idea from [Hat02, p. 112] for the construction. Let I = [0, 1] be the unit interval in \mathbb{R} . After taking an appropriate parallel transformation, we pick up one vertex p from $\mathcal{V}(I^n)$.

Firstly, we triangulate the *n*-dimensional cube I^n into exactly n! copies of an *n*-simplex Δ_n . For the vertex $p \in \mathcal{V}(I^n)$, we construct such a triangulation by the induction on *n*. Since the vertex *p* has *n* hyper-faces $F_1, \ldots, F_n \preceq \Delta_n$ opposite to it, we regard each F_i as an (n-1)-cube. By the assumption of inductive argument on *n*, each F_i can be triangulated into (n-1)! copies of an (n-1)-simplex such as

$$F_i = \bigcup_{j=1}^{(n-1)!} \Delta_{n-1}^{(j)}.$$

Let $\mathcal{V}(\Delta_{n-1}^{(j)}) = \left\{ q_1^{(j)}, \ldots, q_n^{(j)} \right\}$. Then, $\operatorname{Conv} \left\{ p, q_1^{(j)}, \ldots, q_n^{(j)} \right\}$ gives an *n*-simplex for each *j*, and hence we have $n \times (n-1)! = n!$ copies of an *n*-simplex by considering all *n* hyper-faces F_1, \ldots, F_n .

Secondary, we denote by $T(I^n)$ this triangulation of I^n into exactly n! simplices. Then we use parallel transformations of $T(I^n)$ for obtaining a triangulation of \mathbb{R}^n such that n(p) = (n+1)!.

For n = 1, this is obvious. For n = 2, $T(I^2)$ consists of two triangles. Keeping this and taking parallel transformations of $T(I^2)$ around the vertex p, we obtain the triangulation of \mathbb{R}^2 with n(p) = 3!.

\mathbb{N}

FIGURE 6. Triangulation of I^2

For arbitrary $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let us denote the set of 2^n vertices of the *n*-cube by

$$\mathcal{V}(I_n) = \{ p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{2^n} \}$$



FIGURE 7. Triangulation of \mathbb{R}^2 induced from I^2 .

Then we see that n(p) is given by the number of all simplices in $T(I^n)$ whose vertices lie in $\mathcal{V}(I^n)$. If we denote by $T(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the triangulation of \mathbb{R}^n induced by $T(I^n)$, we see that n(p) coincides with the value of the *characteristic function* $\varphi_{T(I^n)} : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\varphi_{T(I^n)}(p) = \sum_{S: p \in \mathcal{V}(S)} n! \mathrm{vol}(S),$$

where the summation is over all *n*-simplices of $T(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for which p is a vertex (see, [GKZ94, p. 220]). Consequently, we have n(p) = (n + 1)! which proves the first part.

For the second part, by taking a suitable $k\Delta_n \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, the triangulation of \mathbb{R}^n induced a triangulation of $k\Delta_n$ (see the red triangle in Figure 7). Moreover, an action of the permutation group \mathfrak{S}_{n+1} on $k\Delta_n$ (which permute the vertices) induces the action on the triangulation T in $k\Delta_n$. Consequently, the stabilizer group of p in \mathfrak{S}_{n+1} is \mathfrak{S}_{k+1} which implies that

$$n(p) = \frac{(n+1)!}{(k+1)!}$$

for $p \in ((n-k)$ -faces of $k\Delta_n)^o \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$.

We call this triangulation the standard triangulation. The standard triangulations are type F triangulations by definition.

APPENDIX B. TYPE F TRIANGULATIONS

In this section, we systematically construct a type F triangulation of a given vertex cone. Let $C = C(0; v_1, \ldots, v_r) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a cone with the vertex 0 and generators v_1, \ldots, v_r .

Step 1 Defining $Q = \text{Conv} \{0, v_1, \dots, v_r\}$, we triangulate Q so that each simplex S of this triangulation T(Q) has vol(S) = 1/n!. Let us denote

$$T(Q) = \left\{ S_j \mid j = 1, \dots, \alpha, \text{ vol}(S_j) = \frac{1}{n!} \right\}$$

Taking all the simplex $S_j \in T(Q)$ with $0 \in \mathcal{V}(S_j)$, we define R as the union of such simplices:

$$R = \bigcup_{1 \le j \le \alpha} \left\{ S_j \mid 0 \in \mathcal{V}(S_j) \right\}.$$

- Step 2 Let kR denote the k-th dilation of R. Then, kR inherits a partition of R with enlarged simplices (see, Figures 10–11). For each enlarged simplex kS_{α} , we apply the standard triangulation in Lemma A.1.
- Step 3 For any positive integers l and m with $l \ge m$, one can show that the standard triangulation of lR restricted to mR coincides with the standard triangulation of mR. Moreover, we observe that

$$R \subset 2R \subset 3R \subset \dots \subset kR \subset \dots$$
 and $C = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} kR$.

Consequently, we obtain a type F triangulation of C.

Example B.1. Let us consider the cone C((0,0); (2,1), (2,-1)). Then Q is the triangle Conv { (0,0), (2,1), (2,-1) } (the green line in Figure 8).



FIGURE 8. Q with the simplex triangulation

As in Figure 9, the union of simplices R in Step 1 consists of two triangles $S_1 = \text{Conv} \{ (0,0), (1,0), (2,1) \}$ and $S_2 = \text{Conv} \{ (0,0), (1,0), (2,-1) \}.$



FIGURE 9. R with the simplex triangulation

Choosing k = 3 for kR in step 2, we can see that the triangulation of 3R restricted to 2R coincides with the triangulation of 2R by comparing Figures 10 and 11.

Appendix C. Uniformly K-stablity and Chow stability for singular toric varieties

Let f be a rational piecewise linear convex function on Δ . We define the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\Delta}$ by

$$||f||_{\Delta} := \inf_{\ell} \left(\int_{\Delta} (f-\ell) dV - \min_{x \in \Delta} (f(x) - \ell(x)) \right),$$

where ℓ runs through all the affine functions on Δ . Following the definition given in [His16], we call an integral polytope Δ is uniformly K-stable in the toric sense if there exists a constant $\delta > 0$, such that for any rational piecewise linear convex function f(x), we have

$$\int_{\partial\Delta} f(x) \, d\sigma - \frac{\operatorname{vol}(\partial\Delta, \sigma)}{\operatorname{vol}(\Delta)} \int_{\Delta} f(x) dV \ge \delta \|f(x)\|_{\Delta}.$$



FIGURE 10. 3R with a simplex triangulation



FIGURE 11. 2R with a simplex triangulation

We readily see that for any constant c_1 and d_1 , the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\Delta}$ satisfies

(C.1)
$$||c_1f + d_1||_{\Delta} = |c_1| \cdot ||f||_{\Delta}.$$

Thus, we can assume that $f \ge 0$ and $\max_{x \in \Delta} f(x) = 1$. In the case where Δ is reflexive, we may further assume that

$$f(0) = 0 = \min_{x \in \Delta} f(x).$$

Lemma C.1. Let Δ be a symmetric polytope. Then, we have

$$||f||_{\Delta} = \int_{\Delta} f(x) \, dV.$$

Proof. By the above equation (C.1), it suffices to consider the case where the affine functions are given in the form of $\ell(x) = a_1 x_1 + \cdots + a_n x_n$. Since Δ is symmetric, this implies that

$$\int_{\Delta} \ell(x) dV = 0.$$

Moreover, if we run over the linear function $\ell(x)$ with $\ell(0) = 0$, this yields that

$$\min_{x \in \Delta} (f(x) - \ell(x)) \le 0,$$

so the result follows.

Proposition C.2. Let Δ be an n-dimensional reflexive and symmetric polytope. Let (X_{Δ}, L_{Δ}) be its associated (not necessarily smooth) polarized toric variety. Then (X_{Δ}, L_{Δ}) is uniformly K-stable in the toric sense.

Proof. Since Δ is reflexive, Δ is a weakly reflexive polytope with c = 1 as we saw in Section 5. In particular, we have $\frac{\operatorname{vol}(\partial\Delta,\sigma)}{\operatorname{vol}(\Delta)} = n$. By (5.1), we see that

$$\int_{\partial\Delta} f(x)d\sigma - n \int_{\Delta} f(x)dV \ge \frac{1}{n+1} \int_{\partial\Delta} f(x)dV$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \quad \frac{1}{n+1} \int_{\partial\Delta} f(x)dV \ge \int_{\Delta} f(x)dV.$$

As a consequence, we obtain

$$\int_{\partial\Delta} f(x)d\sigma - \frac{\operatorname{vol}(\partial\Delta, \sigma)}{\operatorname{vol}(\Delta)} \int_{\Delta} f(x)dV \ge \frac{1}{n+1} \int_{\partial\Delta} f(x)dV$$
$$\ge \int_{\Delta} f(x)dV = \|f\|_{\Delta}$$

Note that we used the symmetric assumption in the last equality with the aid of Lemma C.1. $\hfill \Box$

Corollary C.3. There exists an example of uniformly K-stable singular toric variety with the vanishing Futaki-Ono invariant, but it is asymptotically Chow unstable.

Proof. This is the direct consequence of Example 3.9 in [Lee22], that is,

$$\Delta = \operatorname{Conv} \left\{ (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, \pm 1), [-1, 1]^6 \times \{ (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0) \} \right\}$$

We use the largest piecewise linear function f which takes the value f(x) = 1if $x = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, \pm k)$ and f(x) = 0 for other integral points in $k\Delta$.

References

- [BHJ17] Sébastien Boucksom, Tomoyuki Hisamoto, and Mattias Jonsson, Uniform Kstability, Duistermaat-Heckman measures and singularities of pairs., Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 67 (2017), no. 2, 743-841.
- [BH70] J. H. Bramble and S. R. Hilbert, Estimation of Linear Functionals on Sobolev Spaces with Application to Fourier Transforms and Spline Interpolation, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 7 (1970), no. 1, 112-124.
- [CLS14] Bohui Chen, An-Min Li, and Li Sheng, Uniform K-stability for extremal metrics on toric varieties, Journal of Differential Equations 257 (2014), no. 5, 1487-1500.
- [CLS11] David A. Cox, John B. Little, and Henry K. Schenck, *Toric varieties.*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics., vol. 124, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2011.
- [Del23] Thibaut. Delcroix, Uniform K-stability of polarized spherical varieties, J. Épijournal de Géométrie Algébrique 7 (2023), no. 9.
- [Don01] Simon. K. Donaldson, Scalar curvature and projective embeddings, I, J. Differential Geom. 59 (2001), no. 3, 479-522.
- [Don02] _____, Scalar curvature and stability of toric varieties, J. Differential Geom. 62 (2002), no. 2, 289-349.
- [Fut04] Akito Futaki, Asymptotic Chow semi-stability and integral invariants, Internat. J. Math. 15 (2004), no. 9, 967-979.

KING LEUNG LEE AND NAOTO YOTSUTANI

- [Fut12] _____, Asymptotic Chow polystability in Kähler geometry, Fifth International Congress of Chinese Mathematicians Part 1, AMS/IP Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI 51 (2012), 139-153.
- [GKZ94] Israel. M Gelfand, Mikhail. M Kapranov, and Andrei. V. Zelevinsky, Discriminants, resultants, and multidimensional determinants., Modern Birkhäuser Classics., Birkhäuser Boston Inc.Boston, MA, 1994.
- [Hat02] Allen Hatcher, Algebraic topology, Cambridge University Press, 2002.
- [His16] Tomoyuki Hisamoto, Stability and coercivity for toric polarizations, arXiv:1610.07998v3 (2016).
- [Lee22] King Leung Lee, Asymptotic Chow stability of symmetric reflexive toric varieties, arXiv:2212.05000 (2022).
- [LLSW19] King Leung Lee, Zhiyuan Li, Jacob Sturm, and Xiaowei Wang, Asymptotic Chow stability of toric Del Pezzo surfaces, Math. Res. Lett. 26 (2019), no. 6, 1759-1787.
 - [Li22] Chi Li, Geodesic rays and stability in the cscK problem., Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. 55 (2022), no. 6, 1529-1574.
 - [Mab05] Toshiki Mabuchi, An energy-theoretic approach to the Hitchin-Kobayashi correspondence for manifolds, I, Invent. Math. 159 (2005), 225-243.
 - [Man07] Jan Mandel, The Bramble-Hilbert Lemma, arXiv:0710.5148v2 (2007).
 - [Mum76] David Mumford, Algebraic Geometry I: Complex Projective Varieties., First, Classics in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1976.
 - [Ono11] Hajime Ono, A necessary condition for Chow semistability of polarized toric manifolds., J. Math. Soc. Japan. 63 (2011), 1377-1389.
 - [Ono13] _____, Algebro-geometric semistability of polarized toric manifolds., Asian J. Math. 17 (2013), 609-616.
 - [Yao22] Yi Yao, Mabuchi Solitons and Relative Ding Stability of Toric Fano Varieties., Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN. 24 (2022), 19790-19853.
 - [Yot16] Naoto Yotsutani, Facets of secondary polytopes and Chow stability of toric varieties, Osaka J. Math. 53 (2016), 751-765.
 - [Yot18] _____, On the relation between uniform K-stability and Chow stability of toric varieties, RIMS Kôkyûroku **2098** (2018), 60-67.

IMAG, Université de Montpellier, 499-554 Rue du Truel 9, 34090 Montpellier, France

Email address: king-leung.lee@umontpellier.fr

KAGAWA UNIVERSITY, FACULTY OF EDUCATION, MATHEMATICS, SAIWAICHO 1-1, TAKA-MATSU, KAGAWA, 760-8522, JAPAN

Email address: yotsutani.naoto@kagawa-u.ac.jp