

Dual-grating single-shot pump-probe technique

Tianchen Yu ^a, Junyi Yang ^{a,*}, Zhongguo Li ^b, Xingzhi Wu ^c, Yu Fang ^c, Yong Yang ^{d,*}, Yinglin Song ^{a,*}

^aSchool of Physical Science and Technology, Soochow University, Suzhou 215006, China

^bSchool of Electronic and Information Engineering, Changshu Institute of Technology, Changshu 215500, China

^cJiangsu Key Laboratory of Micro and Nano Heat Fluid Flow Technology and Energy Application, School of Physical Science and Technology, Suzhou University of Science and Technology, Suzhou 215009, China

^dSchool of Optoelectronic Science and Engineering, Soochow University, Suzhou 215006, China

***Corresponding author**

E-mail address: yjy2010@suda.edu.cn (Junyi Yang), yangy@suda.edu.cn (Yong Yang)
ylsong@suda.edu.cn (Yinglin Song)

Abstract

A simple and effective single-shot pump-probe technique is reported. Using only two commercial gratings, a large time window of ~ 95.58 ps is spatially encoded in a single probe pulse, and single-shot time-resolved measurements are implemented. The time window exceeds the maximum reported values for single-shot pump-probe techniques using the echelon or angle beam encoding strategy. The phase difference problem in the echelon encoding strategies is also eliminated and a customized echelon is not needed in this technique. The measurements of the ultrafast dynamics of ZnSe at a wavelength of 650 nm verify the effectiveness of this technique.

Keywords: Pump-probe technique, Single-shot, Grating, Ultrafast dynamics

1. Introduction

The single-shot pump-probe technique is a time-resolved measurement tool that can obtain the photoexcitation dynamics of materials with only a single probe pulse. Compared with the traditional pump-probe technique using a mechanical time-delay stage, the single-shot pump-probe technique has the advantages of single-shot diagnosis, in-situ measurement, and a higher acquisition rate. The measurable time window of the single-shot pump-probe technique depends on the time window within which a single probe pulse can be encoded; therefore, achieving both high time resolution and a large time window is a state-of-the-art research area. The spatial encoding strategy is an effective way to realize the single-shot pump-probe technique [1]. In this strategy, the time delay is mapped to different spatial positions of the probe beam, and then the intensity distribution of the probe beam is recorded by using the CCD/CMOS camera, thereby realizing single-shot dynamic measurements. At present, the main spatial encoding strategies include the echelon encoding strategy [2-7], angle beam encoding strategy [8-12], and grating encoding strategy [13-16]. As far as we know, the reported maximum time window of the echelon coding strategy is ~ 37.7 ps, and the phase difference of the echelon surface can lead to the image blurring problem, which is the main reason that limits the larger time window for the echelon encoding strategy [6]. The maximum time window reported by the angular beam encoding strategy is ~ 60 ps, and a large sample area (2-3 cm) is required to achieve this time window [12]. The grating encoding strategy uses the angular dispersion of the grating to generate a tilted pulse front and therefore realizes the time-delay encoding of a single pulse [15].

Compared to the angular beam encoding strategy, this strategy does not require a large sample area. In our previous work [17], we reported a single-shot pump-probe technique that uses a combination encoding strategy of a commercial grating and a simple echelon to achieve a time window of 109 ps, which effectively eliminates the phase difference problem of the echelon encoding strategy. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to achieve a time window of more than 100 ps using a spatial encoding strategy. In addition, we demonstrate that a single commercial grating can encode a time window of ~ 57 ps.

In this work, we propose a single-shot pump-probe technique using dual gratings. By combining of two commercial gratings, a time window close to 100 ps (~ 95.58 ps) is achieved without an additional customized echelon. In addition, this technique maintains the advantage of eliminating the phase difference problem in echelon encoding strategies. The measurements of the ultrafast dynamic process of ZnSe at a wavelength of 650 nm validated the effectiveness of this technique.

2. Experimental setup




Fig. 1. Experimental setup of the single-shot pump-probe technique. HWP: half-wave plate; G_1 - G_2 : gratings; R_1 - R_5 : mirrors; L_1 - L_7 : lenses; P_1 - P_3 : polarizers; A: aperture; black dotted box: schematic of dual-grating encoding.

The schematic of the experimental layout is shown in Fig. 1. A mode-locked Yb: KGW fiber laser (1030 nm, 190 fs FWHM, 6 kHz) pumped optical parametric amplifier (OPA)

was used as the laser source, and the output wavelength was selected to 650 nm in our experiment. The repetition frequency of the laser was adjusted to 20 Hz in the experiment. The output femtosecond (fs) laser pulse was divided into a weaker probe beam and a stronger pump beam by a beam splitter, and the passage of the pump beam was controlled by a laser shutter (not shown in Fig. 1). A half-wave plate (HWP) and polarizers P₁ and P₂ make the polarizations of the pump and probe beams orthogonal to each other. The probe beam is expanded and irradiated on ruled grating G₁ at an incident angle of $\sim 44^\circ$. Since the blazed angle θ_b of G₁ is $\sim 22.02^\circ$, the geometric reflection beam will be parallel to the grating normal. Due to the angular dispersion of the grating, a tilted pulse front is introduced into the probe pulse, while the phase front is maintained orthogonal to the propagation direction (as shown in the enlarged view of the black dotted box in Fig. 1, the solid line represents the titled pulse front and the dashed line represents the phase front). The tilt angle γ between the pulse front and the phase front can be expressed as [15, 18]:

$$\tan \gamma = \omega \left| \frac{d\beta}{d\omega} \right| = \sin(2\theta_b) \quad (1)$$

where ω is the center frequency of the laser pulse, and β is the exit angle of the beam relative to the grating normal. Using Eq. (1), we can determine the tilt angle $\gamma = 34.8^\circ$ in our experiment. This corresponds to an encoded time delay T_1 along the grating length, which can be expressed as:

$$T_1 = \frac{\tan \gamma \cdot L_{eff}}{c} = \frac{L_{eff} \cdot \sin(2\theta_b)}{c} \quad (2)$$

where L_{eff} is the effective length of the grating and c is the light speed. The size of the grating used in the experiment was $25 \times 12.5 \text{ mm}^2$, and the ruled area was $24 \times 11.5 \text{ mm}^2$. Therefore, L_{eff} is 24 mm, and the encoded time delay T_1 is 55.6 ps. The encoded probe pulse is then irradiated on the ruled grating G₂ of the same size in the same way (incidence angle $\sim 44^\circ$). The distance between G₁ and G₂ is approximately 6 cm. Therefore, the probe pulse is further encoded by G₂, and the encoded time window is equal to T_1 . It should be noted that only a part of the probe beam reflected by G₁ is projected to G₂, so the total encoded time window T_2 is:

$$T_2 = T_1 \cdot \cos(2\theta_b) + T_1 \quad (3)$$

Therefore, using Eq. (3), we can obtain a total encoding time window of ~ 95.6 ps in our experiment. Correspondingly, the total titled angle γ' is:

$$\tan \gamma' = \frac{T_2 \cdot c}{L_{eff}} \quad (4)$$

Thus, the total titled angle $\gamma' = 50.1^\circ$ in our experiment. The probe beam encoded by the dual-grating G₁-G₂ is spatially filtered by a 4*f* system L₁ – L₂ (*f* = 200 mm) with an aperture A (diameter ~ 2 mm) placed at the focal plane. Then, the probe beam is converged by lens L₃ (*f* = 150 mm) to the sample at the back focal plane, and relayed by lenses L₄ (*f* = 50 mm), L₅ (*f* = 100 mm), and L₆ (*f* = 80 mm) to the CCD, which is placed on the image plane of G₂. A crossed polarizer P₃ is placed in front of the lens L₆ to minimize pump light scattering on the image. The pump beam is converged to the probe spot on the sample by lens L₇ (*f* = 500 mm). The optical path of the pump beam is adjusted by a mechanical time-delay stage (not shown in Fig. 1) to overlap the pump and probe pulses in the time window of the grating. Therefore, the dynamic process of the pump-excited sample will be recorded by the encoded probe pulse and mapped to different spatial positions on the CCD panel. In our dual-grating encoding strategy, since the probe beam is normal to the grating surface after each reflection, an accurate image of the grating surface of G₂ can be obtained (as shown in Fig. 1) without the phase difference problem in the echelon encoding strategy, which allows it to achieve a large time window.

3. Experimental results and discussion

Polycrystalline ZnSe with a thickness of 1 mm was used to verify the effectiveness of the technique. In this experiment, the pump and probe energies were 15.35 μ J and 2.7 nJ, respectively, and the spot sizes on the sample were ~ 2.3 mm and ~ 0.8 mm, respectively. ZnSe has a bandgap of 2.7 eV; therefore, an ultrafast two-photon absorption (TPA) process should be observed at a pump wavelength of 650 nm (1.91 eV). A “pump on” image acquired by the CCD is shown in Fig. 2(a), which is an accurate surface image of G₂. A strip region with reduced gray values appears, as indicated by the red arrow in Fig. 2(a). This is due to the ultrafast TPA process in ZnSe. We can define the corresponding position of the time-delay stage as $\Delta z = 0$ mm and translate it to increase the optical path of the pump beam. Images at $\Delta z = 6$ mm and $\Delta z = 11.5$ mm are shown in Fig. 2(c) and 2(e), respectively. The TPA moves from right to left along the grating length and corresponds to time delay shifts of 40 ps and 76.7 ps, respectively, as indicated by the red arrow. Therefore, the time resolution of each pixel

is ~ 51.38 fs/pixel.




Fig. 2. Single-shot measurements of ZnSe. (a) “Pump on” image of G_2 at $\Delta z = 0$ mm; (b) extracted single-shot dynamic trace of ZnSe at $\Delta z = 0$ mm; (c) “pump on” image of G_2 at $\Delta z = 6$ mm; (d) extracted single-shot dynamic trace of ZnSe at $\Delta z = 6$ mm; (e) “pump on” image of G_2 at $\Delta z = 11.5$ mm; (f) extracted single-shot dynamic trace of ZnSe at $\Delta z = 11.5$ mm.

To extract the dynamic traces of ZnSe, the pixels along the grating width (groove direction) were integrated and then normalized using the following equation:

$$T_{nor} = \frac{I_{11} - I_{10}}{I_{01} - I_{00}} \quad (5)$$

Here, I_{ij} represents the integrated intensity along the groove direction. For the first subscript, “ $i = 1$ ” represents the “pump on” condition, and “ $i = 0$ ” represents the “pump off” condition. Similarly, for the second subscript, “ $j = 1$ ” represents the “probe on” condition, and “ $j = 0$ ” represents the “probe off” condition. The pixels along the grating length were converted into time delays, and the extracted single-shot dynamic traces of ZnSe are shown in Fig. 2(b), 2(d), and 2(f). The total time window is ~ 95.58 ps, which is in good agreement with the value calculated by Eq. (3). Over the entire time window, the most significant feature of the single-shot dynamics of ZnSe is the emergence of a sharp TPA valley. The sharp TPA valley represents the cross-correlation between the pump and probe beams, and it moves along the long-delay direction as the optical path of the pump increases. The TPA valley can be fitted by a Gaussian profile with a full width at half maximum (FWHM) of 776 ± 21 fs, as shown by the red lines in Fig. 2(b), 2(d), and 2(f). This value is greater than the autocorrelation width of ~ 269 fs (FWHM) of the output laser pulse and can be attributed to pulse broadening due to the angular spectral dispersion of the grating, as well as time blurring by the angular crossing of the pump and probe beams. In addition, there are small upward spikes on either side of the TPA valley, which may be related to the two-beam coupling of the chirped pulse due to the nonlinear refractive coefficient of the material [19, 20], which was also observed in previous TPA measurements at a wavelength of 515 nm [17]. In addition, after the ultrafast TPA process was completed, the transmittance of ZnSe did not fully recover to the initial value, as can be observed in Fig. 2(b), 2(d), and 2(f). A normalized transmittance decrease of approximately 0.01 was maintained until the end of the entire time window. This is attributed to the TPA-induced carrier absorption process, which is an equivalent fifth-order nonlinear process compared to the TPA process (third-order nonlinear process), therefore it is generally much weaker than the TPA process. The lifetime of the carriers in ZnSe is usually on the order of the nanosecond (ns) regime [21]; therefore, no obvious carrier recovery characteristics were observed in our time window.

4. Conclusion

In conclusion, we successfully implemented a single-shot pump-probe technique using a dual-grating spatial encoding strategy, and a large time window of ~ 95.58 ps was obtained. This time window exceeds the maximum time windows reported by the echelon or angle beam encoding strategy. Maintaining accurate imaging of the grating surface also eliminates the phase difference problem that exists in the echelon encoding strategy. In addition, compared with our previously reported echelon and grating combination encoding strategy [17], there are several advantages: i) there is no need for echelon customization, and a large time window can be achieved by using only the combination of commercial gratings; ii) this technique always encodes the time-delay in the direction of the grating length. In this way, similar to the echelon encoding strategy and the angle beam encoding strategy, spectral decomposition can be carried out in the spatial dimension orthogonal to the grating length; therefore, single-shot transient absorption spectroscopy with a large time window can be achieved. In addition, although we only demonstrate the single-shot pump-probe technique using dual gratings, a larger time window can be achieved by simply increasing the combination of gratings. Therefore, we believe this technique will be a promising tool for the single-shot diagnosis of ultrafast dynamics in materials.

Acknowledgements.

We gratefully acknowledge the National Natural Science Foundation of China (11704273, 51607119), National Safety Academic Fund (U1630103), and Science and Technology Innovation Team of Guizhou Education Department (Grant No. [2023]094).

Conflict of interest.

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Reference

- [1] K. S. Wilson, Z. S. Walbrun, and C. Y. Wong, “Single-shot transient absorption spectroscopy techniques and design principles,” *SAA*. **253**, 119557(2021).
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.saa.2021.119557>.
- [2] J. Takeda, W. Oba, Y. Minami, *et al.*, “Ultrafast crystalline-to-amorphous phase transition in Ge₂Sb₂Te₅ chalcogenide alloy thin film using single-shot imaging spectroscopy,” *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **104**(26), 261903(2014). <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4886969>.
- [3] G. P. Wakeham and K. A. Nelson, “Dual-echelon single-shot femtosecond spectroscopy,”

- Opt. Lett. **25**(7), 505-507(2000). <https://doi.org/10.1364/OL.25.000505>.
- [4] T. Shin, J. W. Wolfson, S. W. Teitelbaum, *et al.*, “Dual echelon femtosecond single-shot spectroscopy,” Rev. Sci. Instrum. **85**(8), 083115(2014). <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4893641>.
 - [5] I. Katayama, H. Sakaibara, and J. Takeda, “Real-Time Time–Frequency Imaging of Ultrashort Laser Pulses Using an Echelon Mirror,” Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. **50**(10R), 102701(2011). <https://doi.org/10.1143/JJAP.50.102701>.
 - [6] Z. Jin, A. Wada, J. H. Shin, *et al.*, “A Single-shot Terahertz Time-domain Spectroscopy Instrument for Intense Laser System,” in Journal of Physics: Conference Series, **688**(1), 012040(2016). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/688/1/012040>.
 - [7] L. Yan, X. Wang, J. Si, *et al.*, “Multi-frame observation of a single femtosecond laser pulse propagation using an echelon and optical polarigraphy technique,” IEEE Photon. **25**(19), 1879-1881(2013). <https://doi.org/10.1109/LPT.2013.2278883>.
 - [8] Y. Minami, H. Yamaki, I. Katayama, *et al.*, “Broadband pump–probe imaging spectroscopy applicable to ultrafast single-shot events,” Appl. Phys. Express. **7**(2), 022402(2014). <https://doi.org/10.7567/APEX.7.022402>.
 - [9] N. Furukawa, C. E. Mair, V. D. Kleiman, *et al.*, “Femtosecond real-time pump–probe imaging spectroscopy,” Appl. Phys. Lett. **85**(20), 4645-4647(2004). <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1823039>.
 - [10] L. Dhar, J. T. Fourkas and K. A. Nelson., “Pulse-length-limited ultrafast pump–probe spectroscopy in a single laser shot,” Opt. Lett. **19**(9), 643-645(1994). <https://doi.org/10.1364/OL.19.000643>.
 - [11] K. S. Wilson and C. Y. Wong., “Single-shot transient absorption spectroscopy with a 45 ps pump-probe time delay range,” Opt. Lett. **43**(3), 371-374(2018). <https://doi.org/10.1364/OL.43.000371>.
 - [12] K. S. Wilson, A. N. Mapile, and C. Y. Wong, “Broadband single-shot transient absorption spectroscopy,” Opt. Express. **28**(8), 11339-11355(2020). <https://doi.org/10.1364/OE.390938>.
 - [13] L. Zanutto, G. Balistreri, A. Rovere, *et al.*, “Terahertz scanless hypertemporal imaging,” Laser Photonics Rev. **17**(8): 2200936(2023). <https://doi.org/10.1002/lpor.202200936>.
 - [14] J. Zhao, J. Dai, B. Braverman, *et al.*, “Compressive ultrafast pulse measurement via time-domain single-pixel imaging,” Optica. **8**(9), 1176-1185(2021). <https://doi.org/10.1364/OPTICA.431455>.
 - [15] A. Spiro and M. Lowe, “Method of ultrafast beam rotation for single-shot, time-resolved measurements,” Opt. Lett. **39**(18), 5362-5365(2014). <https://doi.org/10.1364/OL.39.005362>.
 - [16] K. J. Garriga Francis and X. C. Zhang, “Local measurement of terahertz field-induced second

- harmonic generation in plasma filaments,” *Front. Optoelectron.* **16**(1), 44(2023).
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s12200-023-00095-y>.
- [17] T. Yu, J. Yang, Z. Li, *et al.*, “Single-shot pump-probe technique by the combination of an echelon and a grating with a time window of 109 ps,” *J. Opt.* **26**(6), 2024.
<https://doi.org/10.1088/2040-8986/ad44af>.
- [18] Y. Kawada, T. Yasuda, A. Nakanishi, *et al.*, “Single-shot measurement of terahertz temporal waveform using pulse-front tilting by a direct vision dispersion prism,” *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **80**(11), 2009. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3257617>.
- [19] U. Kürüm, R. M. Öksüzoğlu, M. Yüksek, *et al.*, “The third order nonlinear optical characteristics of amorphous vanadium oxide thin film,” *Appl. Phys. A.* **104**, 1025-1030(2011).
- [20] J. K. Wang, T. L. Chiu, C. H. Chi, *et al.*, “Nonlinear refraction and absorption measurements with chirped femtosecond laser pulses: experiments and simulations.” *J. Opt. Soc. Am. B.* **16**(4), 651-661(1999). <https://doi.org/10.1364/JOSAB.16.000651>.
- [21] J. R. Milward, W. Ji, A. K. Kar, *et al.*, “Photogenerated carrier recombination time in bulk ZnSe,” *J. Appl. Phys.* **69**(4), 2708-2710(1991). <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.348644>.