

SEMIGROUPS OF SELF-SIMILAR ACTIONS AND HIGHER RANK BAUMSLAG-SOLITAR SEMIGROUPS

ROBERT VALENTE AND DILIAN YANG

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we initiate the study of higher rank Baumslag-Solitar semigroups and their related C^* -algebras. We focus on two extreme, but interesting, classes – one is related to products of odometers and the other is related to Furstenberg’s $\times p, \times q$ conjecture. For the former class, whose C^* -algebras are studied by H. Li and the second author, we here characterize the factoriality of the associated von Neumann algebras and further determine their types; for the latter, we obtain their canonical Cartan subalgebras. In the rank 1 case, we study a more general setting which encompasses (single-vertex) generalized Baumslag-Solitar semigroups. One of our main tools is from self-similar higher rank graphs and their C^* -algebras.

1. INTRODUCTION

A self-similar higher rank graph (G, Λ) is a pair, which consists of a group G and a higher rank graph Λ such that G acts on Λ from the left and Λ ‘acts’ on G from the right, where these two actions are compatible in an appropriate way. After [20, 34, 43], self-similar higher rank graphs and their C^* -algebras $\mathcal{O}_{G,\Lambda}$ have been systematically studied in [38, 39, 40]. In particular, in [38], when Λ is strongly connected, we find a canonical Cartan subalgebra of $\mathcal{O}_{G,\Lambda}$ en route to the study of the KMS states of $\mathcal{O}_{G,\Lambda}$. However, to achieve this, Λ is required to be **locally faithful**. The local faithfulness is a key property to obtain the main results in [38]. Roughly speaking, it guarantees that one could define a periodicity group in a way very similar to higher rank graphs in [14, 15, 25]. It turns out that the local faithfulness condition blocks a lot of interesting examples. This provides our starting point of this paper – to explore non-locally faithful self-similar higher rank graphs and their C^* -algebras. During the exploration, we find that a particular non-locally faithful class is closely related to Baumslag-Solitar (BS) semigroups. Due to the higher rank feature, we call such self-similar higher rank graphs *higher rank BS semigroups*. One extreme case of higher rank BS semigroups is about products of odometers ([37]), while the other extreme case is surprisingly related to Furstenberg’s $\times p, \times q$ conjecture.

With semigroups mentioned, there is no surprise that Λ is assumed to be single-vertex in this paper. Taking rank 1 (i.e., classical) BS semigroups into consideration, we also consider $G = \mathbb{Z}$ only. For single-vertex higher rank graphs, they have been systematically studied in the literature. To name just a few, see, for instance, [13]–[16], [51, 52]. Those graphs seem very special, but exhibit a lot of interesting properties. Surprisingly, they are also shown

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46L05; 46L10, 20M30.

Key words and phrases. Self-similar action, Baumslag-Solitar semigroup, higher rank Baumslag-Solitar semigroup, Cartan subalgebra, higher rank graph.

R.V. was partially supported by Queen Elizabeth II Graduate Scholarship in Science and Technology (QEII-GSST), and D.Y. was partially supported by an NSERC Discovery Grant.

to interact intimately with the Yang-Baxter equation ([54]). For BS semigroups, they have been attracting increasing attention in Operator Algebras recently. See [7, 10, 24, 35, 49] and the references therein. Those semigroups provide, on one hand, a class of nice examples for some properties [10, 35, 49], and on the other hand, some counter-examples for other properties [7, 24]. Our main purpose in this paper is to mingle single-vertex higher rank graphs and BS semigroups.

The paper is structured as follows. In Section 2, some necessary preliminaries are provided. Although most of them are known, Subsection 2.4 is new, where we introduce a notion of semigroups from self-similar actions. Those semigroups are different from self-similar semigroups/monoids in [3] and the references therein (Remark 2.10). Since the rank 1 case is studied in a more general setting, we focus on this case in Section 3. Even in this case, it includes generalized Baumslag-Solitar (GBS) semigroups, and BS semigroups as well, as examples. We study the periodicity of the associated self-similar graph, and obtain a canonical Cartan subalgebra of its C^* -algebra (Propositions 3.22 and 3.23). The simplicity of the C^* -algebra is characterized in terms of the relation between the number of edges and the restriction map; and when it is Kirchberg is also described (Theorem 3.20). We turn to higher rank cases in Section 4. We first propose a notion of higher rank BS semigroups (Definition 4.2). We briefly discuss how higher rank BS semigroups are related to Furstenberg's $\times p, \times q$ conjecture in Subsection 4.2. We then focus on two extreme classes. The first extreme class is about products of odometers studied in [37]; but here, we investigate the associated von Neumann algebra: Its factoriality is characterized and its type is also determined (Theorem 4.13). The second extreme class seems trivial at first sight, but turns out to be intriguing. We exhibit a canonical Cartan in this case, which is generally a proper subalgebra of the cycline algebra (Theorem 4.22). We close with computing the spectrum of the fixed point algebra of its gauge action. We hope that we could push Furstenberg's $\times p, \times q$ conjecture further in this vein in our future studies.

Notation and Conventions. Given $1 \leq n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $[n] := \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$. For $1 \leq k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mathbf{1}_k := (1, \dots, 1) \in \mathbb{N}^k$.

We use the multi-index notation: For $\mathbf{q} = (q_1, \dots, q_k)$ and $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_k)$ in \mathbb{Z}^k with all $p_i \neq 0$, let $\mathbf{p}^{\mathbf{q}} := \prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{q_i}$.

For convenience, sometimes we also let $\mathbb{Z} = \langle a \rangle$, which is written multiplicatively.

As with most literatures in Operator Algebras, all semigroups in this paper are assumed to be monoids, unless otherwise specified.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Single-vertex rank k graphs. A countable small category Λ is called a *rank k graph* (or *k -graph*) if there exists a functor $d : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^k$ satisfying the following unique factorization property: For $\mu \in \Lambda$, $\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{N}^k$ with $d(\mu) = \mathbf{n} + \mathbf{m}$, there exist unique $\beta \in d^{-1}(\mathbf{n})$ and $\alpha \in d^{-1}(\mathbf{m})$ such that $\mu = \beta\alpha$. A functor $f : \Lambda_1 \rightarrow \Lambda_2$ is called a *graph morphism* if $d_2 \circ f = d_1$.

Let Λ be a k -graph and $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^k$. Set $\Lambda^{\mathbf{n}} := d^{-1}(\mathbf{n})$. For $\mu \in \Lambda$, we write $s(\mu)$ and $r(\mu)$ for the source and range of μ , respectively. Then Λ is said to be *row-finite* if $|v\Lambda^{\mathbf{n}}| < \infty$ for all $v \in \Lambda^0$ and $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^k$; and *source-free* if $v\Lambda^{\mathbf{n}} \neq \emptyset$ for all $v \in \Lambda^0$ and $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^k$. For more

information about k -graphs, refer to [32]. In this paper, all k -graphs are assumed to be row-finite and source-free. Actually, we focus on a special class of rank k graphs – single-vertex rank k graphs.

Single-vertex k -graphs, at first sight, seem to be a very special class of k -graphs. It turns out that they are a rather intriguing class to study. They have been systematically studied in the literature, e.g., [13, 14, 15, 16]. There are close connections with the well-known Yang-Baxter equation [54].

Let $\{\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_k\}$ be the standard basis of \mathbb{N}^k , and Λ be a single-vertex rank k graph. For $1 \leq i \leq k$, write $\Lambda^{\epsilon_i} := \{\mathbf{x}_s^i : s \in [n_i]\}$, where $n_i = |\Lambda^{\epsilon_i}|$. It follows from the factorization property of Λ that, for $1 \leq i < j \leq k$, there is a permutation $\theta_{ij} \in S_{n_i \times n_j}$ satisfying the following θ -commutation relations

$$\mathbf{x}_s^i \mathbf{x}_t^j = \mathbf{x}_{t'}^j \mathbf{x}_{s'}^i \quad \text{if } \theta_{ij}(s, t) = (s', t').$$

To emphasize θ -commutation relations involved, this single-vertex k -graph Λ is denoted as Λ_θ in this paper. So Λ_θ is the following (unital) semigroup

$$\Lambda_\theta = \langle \mathbf{x}_s^i : s \in [n_i], 1 \leq i \leq k; \mathbf{x}_s^i \mathbf{x}_t^j = \mathbf{x}_{t'}^j \mathbf{x}_{s'}^i, \text{ whenever } \theta_{ij}(s, t) = (s', t') \rangle^+,$$

which is also occasionally written as

$$\Lambda_\theta = \langle \mathbf{x}_s^i : s \in [n_i], 1 \leq i \leq k; \theta_{ij}, 1 \leq i < j \leq k \rangle^+.$$

One should notice that Λ_θ has the cancellation property due to the unique factorization property. It follows from the θ -commutation relations that every element $w \in \Lambda_\theta$ has the normal form $w = \mathbf{x}_{u_1}^1 \cdots \mathbf{x}_{u_k}^k$ for some $\mathbf{x}_{u_i}^i \in \Lambda_\theta^{\epsilon_i \mathbb{N}}$ ($1 \leq i \leq k$). Here we use the multi-index notation: $\mathbf{x}_{u_i}^i = \mathbf{x}_{s_1}^i \cdots \mathbf{x}_{s_n}^i$ if $u_i = s_1 \cdots s_n$ with all s_i 's in $[n_i]$.

For $k = 2$, every permutation $\theta \in S_{n_1 \times n_2}$ determines a single-vertex rank 2 graph. But for $k \geq 3$, $\theta = \{\theta_{ij} : 1 \leq i < j \leq k\}$ determines a rank k graph if and only if it satisfies a *cubic condition* (see, e.g., [15, 21] for its definition). This cubic condition exactly provides interplay between k -graphs and the Yang-Baxter equation ([54]).

Here are some examples of single-vertex k -graphs which will be used later.

Example 2.1. (Trivial permutation) For $1 \leq i < j \leq k$, let θ_{ij} be the trivial permutation: $\theta_{ij}(s, t) = (s, t)$ for all $s \in [n_i]$ and $t \in [n_j]$. Then clearly Λ_θ is a k -graph for all $k \geq 1$, which is written as Λ_{id} .

Example 2.2. (Division permutation) Let θ_{ij} be defined by $\theta_{ij}(s, t) = (s', t')$, where $s' \in [n_i]$ and $t' \in [n_j]$ are the unique integers such that $s + tn_i = t' + s'n_j$. One can check that this determines a k -graph for any $k \geq 1$ (see, e.g., [37]), denoted as Λ_d .

In particular, if $n_i = n$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$, then θ coincides with the flip commutation relation: $\theta_{ij}(s, t) = (t, s)$.

Example 2.3. (“Trivial” case) Let $n_i = 1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$. Then θ_{ij} has to be the trivial commutation relation, which is the same as the division commutation relation. This is a special case of both Examples 2.1 and 2.2.

Very surprisingly, this case is not trivial at all when it is equipped with self-similar actions! It is extremely interesting and related to Furstenberg’s $\times p, \times q$ conjecture. See Section 4.2 below.

2.2. Self-similar single-vertex k -graph C^* -algebras. To unify the treatments of [29, 30] and [43, 45], self-similar graphs and their C^* -algebras naturally arise in [20] and are well studied there. Later, they are generalized to higher rank cases in [39], and are further studied in [38, 40].

Since this paper mainly focuses on single-vertex k -graphs, we adapt the notions of [38, 39] to our setting and simplify them accordingly.

Let Λ_θ be a single-vertex k -graph. A bijection $\pi : \Lambda_\theta \rightarrow \Lambda_\theta$ is called an *automorphism* of Λ_θ if π preserves the degree map d .¹ Denote by $\text{Aut}(\Lambda_\theta)$ the automorphism group of Λ_θ .

Let G be a (discrete countable) group. We say that G *acts on* Λ_θ if there is a group homomorphism φ from G to $\text{Aut}(\Lambda_\theta)$. For $g \in G$ and $\mu \in \Lambda_\theta$, we often simply write $\varphi_g(\mu)$ as $g \cdot \mu$.

Definition 2.4 ([38, Definition 3.2]). Let Λ_θ be a single-vertex k -graph, G be a group acting on Λ_θ , and $G \times \Lambda_\theta \rightarrow G$, $(g, \mu) \mapsto g|_\mu$ be a given map. Then we call (G, Λ_θ) a *self-similar k -graph* if the following properties hold true:

- (i) $g \cdot (\mu\nu) = (g \cdot \mu)(g|_\mu \cdot \nu)$ for all $g \in G, \mu, \nu \in \Lambda_\theta$;
- (ii) $g|_v = g$ for all $g \in G, v \in \Lambda_\theta^0$;
- (iii) $g|_{\mu\nu} = g|_\mu|_\nu$ for all $g \in G, \mu, \nu \in \Lambda_\theta$;
- (iv) $1_G|_\mu = 1_G$ for all $\mu \in \Lambda_\theta$;
- (v) $(gh)|_\mu = g|_{h \cdot \mu}h|_\mu$ for all $g, h \in G, \mu \in \Lambda_\theta$.

In this case, we also say that Λ_θ is a *self-similar k -graph over G* , and that G *acts on* Λ_θ *self-similarly*.

Definition 2.5. A self-similar k -graph (G, Λ_θ) is said to be *pseudo-free* if $g \cdot \mu = \mu$ and $g|_\mu = 1_G$ implies $g = 1_G$ for all $g \in G$ and $\mu \in \Lambda_\theta$.

Definition 2.6 ([38, Definition 3.8]). Let (G, Λ_θ) be a self-similar k -graph. The *self-similar k -graph C^* -algebra* $\mathcal{O}_{G, \Lambda_\theta}$ is defined to be the universal unital C^* -algebra generated by a family of unitaries $\{u_g\}_{g \in G}$ and a family of isometries $\{s_\mu : \mu \in \Lambda_\theta\}$ satisfying

- (i) $u_{gh} = u_g u_h$ for all $g, h \in G$;
- (ii) $s_\mu s_\nu = s_{\mu\nu}$ for all $\mu, \nu \in \Lambda_\theta$;
- (iii) $\sum_{\mu \in \Lambda_\theta^n} s_\mu s_\mu^* = I$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^k$;
- (iv) $u_g s_\mu = s_{g \cdot \mu} u_{g|_\mu}$ for all $g \in G$ and $\mu \in \Lambda_\theta$.

Let us record the following result ([39, Propositions 3.12 and 5.10]), which will be used later without mentioning.

Proposition 2.7. *Let (G, Λ_θ) be a self-similar k -graph. Then*

- (i) *the linear span of $\{s_\mu u_g s_\nu^* : \mu, \nu \in \Lambda_\theta, g \in G\}$ is a dense $*$ -subalgebra of $\mathcal{O}_{G, \Lambda_\theta}$;*
- (ii) *$\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda_\theta}$ naturally embeds into $\mathcal{O}_{G, \Lambda_\theta}$;*
- (iii) *G and $C^*(G)$ embed into $\mathcal{O}_{G, \Lambda_\theta}$, provided that (G, Λ_θ) is pseudo-free and G is amenable.*

As in [39], let γ be the gauge action of \mathbb{T}^k on $\mathcal{O}_{G, \Lambda_\theta}$:

$$\gamma_t(s_\mu u_g s_\nu^*) = t^{d(\mu) - d(\nu)} s_\mu u_g s_\nu^*$$

¹In general, an automorphism on Λ_θ is not necessarily a semigroup automorphism on Λ_θ , as a semigroup.

for all $\mu, \nu \in \Lambda_\theta$, $g \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{T}^k$. The fixed point algebra, $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Z}, \Lambda_\theta}^\gamma$, of γ is generated by the standard generators $s_\mu u_g s_\nu^*$ with $d(\mu) = d(\nu)$. We often write \mathcal{F} to stand for $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Z}, \Lambda_\theta}^\gamma$. More generally, for $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^k$, we define a mapping on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Z}, \Lambda_\theta}$ by

$$\Phi_{\mathbf{n}}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^k} \mathbf{t}^{-\mathbf{n}} \gamma_{\mathbf{t}}(x) d\mathbf{t} \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Z}, \Lambda_\theta}.$$

Note that for $\mu, \nu \in \Lambda_\theta$, $g \in G$ we have

$$\Phi_{\mathbf{n}}(s_\mu u_g s_\nu^*) = \begin{cases} s_\mu u_g s_\nu^* & \text{if } d(\mu) - d(\nu) = \mathbf{n}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In particular, $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Z}, \Lambda_\theta}^\gamma = \text{Ran } \Phi_0$. Also Φ_0 is a faithful conditional expectation from $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Z}, \Lambda_\theta}$ onto $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Z}, \Lambda_\theta}^\gamma$.

We end this subsection by briefly recalling the periodicity of (G, Λ_θ) . Let (G, Λ_θ) be a self-similar k -graph. For $\mu, \nu \in \Lambda_\theta$, $g \in G$, the triple (μ, g, ν) is called *cycline* if $\mu(g \cdot x) = \nu x$ for all $x \in s(\nu)\Lambda^\infty$. Clearly, every triple $(\mu, 1_G, \mu)$ ($\mu \in \Lambda$) is cycline. Those cycline triples are said to be *trivial*. An infinite path $x \in \Lambda_\theta^\infty$ is said to be *G-aperiodic* if, for $g \in G$, $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{N}^k$ with $g \neq 1_G$ or $\mathbf{p} \neq \mathbf{q}$, we have $\sigma^{\mathbf{p}}(x) \neq g \cdot \sigma^{\mathbf{q}}(x)$; otherwise, x is called *G-periodic*. (G, Λ_θ) is said to be *aperiodic* if there exists a *G-aperiodic* path $x \in \Lambda_\theta^\infty$; and *periodic* otherwise.

Theorem 2.8 (Li-Yang [38]). (G, Λ_θ) is *aperiodic* \iff all cycline triples are trivial.

2.3. Right LCM semigroup C*-algebras and their boundary quotient C*-algebras.

Let us recall some basics about right LCM semigroups and their C*-algebras from [6]. Let P be a discrete left-cancellative semigroup. We say P is a *right LCM semigroup* if any two elements $x, y \in P$ with a right common multiple have a right least common multiple $z \in P$. Equivalently, P is right LCM if, for any $x, y \in P$, the intersection $xP \cap yP$ is either empty or equal to zP for some $z \in P$.

For a right LCM semigroup P , its C*-algebra $C^*(P)$ defined in [41] can be greatly simplified as follows: $C^*(P)$ is the universal C*-algebra generated by isometries $\{v_p : p \in P\}$ and projections $\{e_{pP} : p \in P\}$ satisfying

$$v_p v_q = v_{pq}, \quad v_p e_{qP} v_p^* = e_{pqP}, \quad e_P = 1, \quad e_\emptyset = 0, \quad e_{pP} e_{qP} = e_{pP \cap qP} \quad (1)$$

for all $p, q \in P$.

The *boundary quotient* $\mathcal{Q}(P)$ of $C^*(P)$ is the universal C*-algebra generated by isometries $\{v_p : p \in P\}$ and projections $\{e_{pP} : p \in P\}$ satisfying the relations in (1) and

$$\prod_{p \in F} (1 - e_{pP}) = 0 \text{ for every foundation set } F \subseteq P.$$

2.4. Semigroups from self-similar actions. Let Λ_θ be a single-vertex k -graph. By Λ^ϵ , we denote the set of all edges of Λ_θ : $\Lambda^\epsilon = \bigcup_{i=1}^k \{e \in \Lambda : d(e) = \epsilon_i\}$. Suppose that $\mathbb{Z} = \langle a \rangle$ acts on Λ_θ self-similarly. Then one can naturally associate a semigroup to the self-similar k -graph $(\mathbb{Z}, \Lambda_\theta)$ as follows:

$$S_{\mathbb{N}, \Lambda_\theta} := \left\langle a, e : \begin{array}{ll} ae = a \cdot ea|_e & \text{if } e \in \Lambda_\theta^\epsilon \text{ and } a|_e \geq 0, \\ ae(a|_e)^{-1} = a \cdot e & \text{if } e \in \Lambda_\theta^\epsilon \text{ and } a|_e < 0, \end{array} e \in \Lambda_\theta^\epsilon \right\rangle^+. \quad (2)$$

This is the semigroup we focus on in this paper. Because of its importance, it deserves a name.

Definition 2.9. The semigroup $S_{\mathbb{N}, \Lambda_\theta}$ defined in (2) is called the *semigroup of the self-similar k-graph* $(\mathbb{Z}, \Lambda_\theta)$.

Here are another semigroup and a group which are closely related to the semigroup $S_{\mathbb{N}, \Lambda_\theta}$:

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\mathbb{Z}, \Lambda_\theta} &:= \langle a, a^{-1}, e : ae = a \cdot ea|_e, e \in \Lambda_\theta^\epsilon \rangle^+, \\ G_{\mathbb{Z}, \Lambda_\theta} &:= \langle a, e : ae = a \cdot ea|_e, e \in \Lambda_\theta^\epsilon \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.10. Some remarks are in order.

- (i) We should mention that \mathbb{N} used in $S_{\mathbb{N}, \Lambda_\theta}$ emphasizes that only non-negative integers from \mathbb{Z} are involved, although the self-similar graph $(\mathbb{Z}, \Lambda_\theta)$ is considered.
- (ii) We have intended to call $S_{\mathbb{N}, \Lambda_\theta}$ the self-similar monoid/semigroup of $(\mathbb{Z}, \Lambda_\theta)$. But the term ‘self-similar monoids/semigroups’ is already used in the literature for a very different meaning (see, e.g., [3]), and is similar to the notion of groups over self-similar k-graphs given in [38].
- (iii) At first glance, it seems that the semigroup $S_{\mathbb{N}, \Lambda_\theta}$ has been considered in [37, Section 3]. But one should notice that it is required that the restriction map is surjective in [37]. This is a rather strong condition. Most semigroups $S_{\mathbb{N}, \Lambda_\theta}$ studied in this paper are not covered there.

3. RANK 1 CASE: MORE THAN GBS SEMIGROUPS

For $1 \leq n \in \mathbb{N}$, let E_n denote the single-vertex (directed) graph with n edges. Suppose that (\mathbb{Z}, E_n) is a self-similar graph. Assume that the action of \mathbb{Z} on the edge set E_n^1 has κ orbits $\mathcal{E}_i := \{e_s^i : a \cdot e_s^i = e_{s+1 \bmod n_i}^i, s \in [n_i]\}$ for each $1 \leq i \leq \kappa$. Thus

$$n = \sum_{i=1}^{\kappa} n_i \quad \text{and} \quad E_n^1 = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{\kappa} \mathcal{E}_i.$$

For $1 \leq i \leq \kappa$, let

$$m_i := \sum_{s \in [n_i]} a|_{e_s^i} \quad \text{and} \quad m := \sum_{i=1}^{\kappa} m_i.$$

Clearly the self-similar action of (\mathbb{Z}, E_n) induces a self-similar graph (\mathbb{Z}, E_{n_i}) for each $1 \leq i \leq \kappa$. Conversely, if there is a self-similar action \mathbb{Z} on each E_{n_i} , then these κ self-similar graphs (\mathbb{Z}, E_{n_i}) determine a self-similar graph (\mathbb{Z}, E_n) .

So, in the rank 1 case, one can rewrite

$$S_{\mathbb{N}, E_n} := \left\langle a, e \in E_n^1 : \begin{array}{ll} ae = a \cdot ea|_e & \text{if } a|_e \geq 0 \\ ae(a|_e)^{-1} = a \cdot e & \text{if } a|_e < 0 \end{array} \right\rangle^+.$$

Before going further, we should mention that [43] also deals with the rank 1 case. But there, in terms of our terminology, the action of \mathbb{Z} on the infinite path space E_n^∞ is assumed to be faithful. This in particular implies that the self-similar graph (\mathbb{Z}, E_n) is aperiodic. Thus this eliminates all interesting (periodic) self-similar graphs (cf. Propositions 3.18, 3.22, 3.23, and Theorem 3.20 below).

Throughout this section, we assume that

$$m_i \neq 0 \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq \kappa. \tag{\dagger}$$

This condition assures that the self-similar graph $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbf{E}_n)$ is pseudo-free (Lemma 3.11), which is required in [20, 38, 39].

Remark 3.1. It is worth mentioning that, under the assumptions (\dagger) , $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbb{N}, \mathbf{E}_n}$ is embedded into the group $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{Z}, \mathbf{E}_n}$ ([1]).

A special class of self-similar graphs is worth mentioning for later use.

Example 3.2 $((n, m)$ -odometer $\mathbf{E}(n, m)$). For $1 \leq n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 \neq m \in \mathbb{Z}$, an (n, m) -odometer is a self-similar graph $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbf{E}_n)$ with the action and restriction given by

$$a \cdot e_s = \begin{cases} e_{s+1} & \text{if } 0 \leq s < n-1, \\ e_0 & \text{if } s = n-1; \end{cases}$$

$$a|_{e_s} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 0 \leq s < n-1, \\ a^m & \text{if } s = n-1. \end{cases}$$

The (n, m) -odometer is denoted as $\mathbf{E}(n, m)$. The case of $m = 1$ yields the classical odometers which have been extensively studied in the literature (see, e.g., [44] and the references therein).

In the sequel, we provide two examples of important semigroups which can be realized as semigroups of self-similar graphs.

Example 3.3 (BS semigroups). For $1 \leq n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 \neq m \in \mathbb{Z}$, the *Baumslag-Solitar* (BS) semigroup is

$$\mathbf{BS}^+(n, m) := \left\langle a, b \mid \begin{array}{ll} a^n b = b a^m & \text{if } m > 0 \\ a^n b a^{-m} = b & \text{if } m < 0 \end{array} \right\rangle^+.$$

The semigroup $\mathbf{BS}^+(n, m)$ can be realized as the semigroup of an (n, m) -odometer.

From now on, we use the semigroups $\mathbf{BS}^+(n, m)$ and (n, m) -odometer interchangeably.

Example 3.4 (GBS semigroups). As the name indicates, this example generalizes BS semigroups in Example 3.3. Let $1 \leq \kappa \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$. For $1 \leq n_i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 \neq m_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ($1 \leq i \leq \kappa$), the *generalized Baumslag-Solitar* (GBS) semigroup is

$$\mathbf{GBS}_\kappa^+(n_i, m_i) := \left\langle a, b_i \mid \begin{array}{ll} a^{n_i} b_i = b_i a^{m_i} & \text{if } m_i > 0, \\ a^{n_i} b_i a^{-m_i} = b_i & \text{if } m_i < 0, \end{array} \quad 1 \leq i \leq \kappa \right\rangle^+.$$

The GBS semigroup $\mathbf{GBS}_\kappa^+(n_i, m_i)$ can also be realized as the semigroup of a self-similar graph as follows. Let \mathbf{E} be the single-vertex directed graph with the edge set $\{e_s^i : 1 \leq i \leq \kappa, s \in [n_i]\}$. To each $1 \leq i \leq \kappa$, we associate an (n_i, m_i) -odometer. Then $\mathbf{GBS}_\kappa^+(n_i, m_i) \cong \mathbf{S}_{\mathbb{N}, \mathbf{E}}$.

Therefore, semigroups of self-similar graphs encompass GBS semigroups.

Remark 3.5. In this remark, let us mention some connections with the literature.

- (i) BS semigroups usually provide a nice class of examples or counter-examples for some properties (e.g., [7, 24]). They have been attracting a lot of operator algebraists' attention recently. For instance, in [49], the boundary quotient of the semigroup C^* -algebra $\mathbf{BS}^+(n, m)$ is first investigated via the C^* -algebra for a category of paths. In [10], the KMS states of the semigroup C^* -algebra of quasi-lattice ordered BS semigroups are studied. This is generalized to all BS semigroups later in [7].

- (ii) Very recently, in [9] Chen-Li study the C^* -algebras for a class of semigroups, which are graphs of semigroups which are constructed very similarly to graphs of groups in [48]. There is some intersection: For instance, their semigroups encompass GBS semigroups. However, theirs do not include all semigroups $S_{\mathbb{Z}, \Lambda_\theta}$. Most importantly, theirs do not include any ‘genuine’ higher rank BS semigroups studied in Section 4 below.

3.1. Some basic properties. The two lemmas below will be used frequently. One can prove the first one by simple calculations, and the second one by applying Remark 3.1. Their proofs are omitted here.

Lemma 3.6. *Let (\mathbb{Z}, E_n) be a self-similar graph. Then, for $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$, $1 \leq i \leq \kappa$, and $p \in [n_i]$, one has*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad & a^{\ell n_i + p} \cdot e_s^i = e_{(s+p) \bmod n_i}^i; \\ \text{(ii)} \quad & a^{\ell n_i + p}|_{e_s^i} = \begin{cases} a^{\ell m_i} & \text{if } p = 0, \\ a^{\ell m_i} \prod_{q=0}^{p-1} a|_{e_{(s+q) \bmod n_i}^i} & \text{if } 0 < p < n_i - 1. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.7. *Every element $x \in S_{\mathbb{N}, E_n}$ has a unique representation $x = e_\mu a^\ell$ for some $\mu \in E_n^*$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proposition 3.8. $S_{\mathbb{N}, E_n}$ is right LCM.

Proof. Consider $e_\mu a^k$ and $e_\nu a^\ell$ in $S_{\mathbb{N}, E_n}$. It is not hard to see that they have a right common upper bound if, and only if either $e_\mu = e_\nu e_{\tilde{\mu}}$ for some $e_{\tilde{\mu}} \in E_n^*$ or $e_\nu = e_\mu e_{\tilde{\nu}}$ for some $e_{\tilde{\nu}} \in E_n^*$. WLOG we assume that $e_\nu = e_\mu e_{\tilde{\nu}}$ for some $e_{\tilde{\nu}} \in E_n^*$. Let $e_\alpha := a^{-k} \cdot e_{\tilde{\nu}}$. Then one can show the following: If $a^\ell \geq a^k|_{e_\alpha}$ (resp. $a^\ell < a^k|_{e_\alpha}$), then $e_\nu a^\ell$ (reps. $e_\nu a^k|_{e_\alpha}$) is a least right common upper bound of $e_\mu a^k$ and $e_\nu a^\ell$ (in $S_{\mathbb{N}, E_n}$). ■

Remark 3.9. If $a|_e \geq 0$ for all $e \in E_n$, then $S_{\mathbb{N}, E_n}$ is a Zappa-Szép product of the semigroups \mathbb{N} and \mathbb{F}_n^+ ([6]).

Since $S_{\mathbb{N}, E_n}$ is right LCM, from Subsection 2.3 and the analysis above, one has the following

Corollary 3.10. $\mathcal{Q}(S_{\mathbb{N}, E_n}) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Z}, E_n} \cong \mathcal{Q}(S_{\mathbb{Z}, E_n})$.

Proof. By Proposition 3.8, the sets $\{a\}$ and $\{e_i : i \in [n]\}$ are foundation sets of $S_{\mathbb{N}, E_n}$. Then the map

$$\mathcal{Q}(S_{\mathbb{N}, E_n}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Z}, E_n}, \quad v_{e_\mu a^\ell} \mapsto s_{e_\mu} u_{a^\ell}, \quad e_{e_\mu a^\ell S_{\mathbb{N}, E_n}} \mapsto s_{e_\mu} s_{e_\mu}^*$$

yields an isomorphism. The proof of $\mathcal{Q}(S_{\mathbb{Z}, E_n}) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Z}, E_n}$ is even simpler. ■

3.2. Pseudo-freeness of (\mathbb{Z}, E_n) . Let (\mathbb{Z}, E_n) be a self-similar graph satisfying our standing assumption (\dagger) .

Lemma 3.11. *The self-similar graph (\mathbb{Z}, E_n) is pseudo-free.*

Proof. This follows from Lemma 3.6. In fact, suppose that $g \cdot \mu = \mu$ and $g|_\mu = 0$. If $|\mu| = 1$, it then follows from Lemma 3.6 and the assumption (\dagger) that $g = 0$. Now suppose that $g \cdot \mu = \mu$ and $g|_\mu = 0$ with $|\mu| = k$ imply $g = 0$. Let $g \cdot (\mu e_s^i) = \mu e_s^i$ and $g|_{\mu e_s^i} = 0$ for some edge e_s^i in the i -th orbit. Then $g \cdot \mu g|_\mu \cdot e_s^i = \mu e_s^i \implies g \cdot \mu = \mu$ and $g|_\mu \cdot e_s^i = e_s^i$. So the latter implies $g|_\mu = a^{\ell n_i}$ for some $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$. But also $g|_{\mu e_s^i} = 0$ implies that $0 = g|_\mu|_{e_s^i} = a^{\ell n_i}|_{e_s^i} = a^{\ell m_i}$. Hence $\ell = 0$ as $m_i \neq 0$. Therefore $g \cdot \mu = \mu$ and $g|_\mu = 0$. By our inductive assumption, we have $g = 0$. This proves the pseudo-freeness of (\mathbb{Z}, E_n) . ■

Remark 3.12. Lemma 3.11 is no longer true if $m_i = 0$ for some $1 \leq i \leq \kappa$. For example, consider the self-similar graph $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbf{E}_2)$ with $ae_1 = e_2a$ and $ae_2 = e_1a^{-1}$. Then $a^2e_i = e_i$ for $i = 1, 2$, and $a^2|_{e_i} = 0$. Clearly, this self-similar graph $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbf{E}_2)$ is not pseudo-free.

3.3. The periodicity of $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbf{E}_n)$. In this subsection, we study the periodicity of self-similar graphs $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbf{E}_n)$ in detail. We first analyze the case when $\kappa = 1$, and then use it to study the general case.

Recall that κ is the number of orbits of \mathbb{Z} on \mathbf{E}_n .

3.3.1. *The case of $\kappa = 1$.*

Proposition 3.13. *If $\kappa = 1$, then $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbf{E}_n)$ is periodic if and only if $n \mid m$.*

Proof. Suppose that $m = n\ell$ for some $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $x = e_{i_1}e_{i_2} \cdots \in \mathbf{E}_n^\infty$ be an infinite path. Repeatedly applying Lemma 3.6 gives

$$a^{nk} \cdot x = a^{nk} \cdot e_{i_1} a^{nk}|_{e_{i_1}} \cdot (e_{i_2} \cdots) = e_{i_1} a^{n\ell k} \cdot (e_{i_2} \cdots) = \cdots = x \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

This shows that every infinite path $x \in \mathbf{E}_n^\infty$ is \mathbb{Z} -periodic in the sense of [39]. So $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbf{E}_n)$ is periodic.

It remains to show that if $n \nmid m$ then $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbf{E}_n)$ is aperiodic. To the contrary, assume that $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbf{E}_n)$ is periodic. It follows from [38, Theorem 3.7] $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbf{E}_n)$ has a non-trivial cycline triple (μ, g, ν) . That is, $\mu g \cdot x = \nu x$ for all $x \in \mathbf{E}_n^\infty$ with $g \neq 0$ or $\mu \neq \nu$.

Case (a): $|\mu| < |\nu|$. Then there is a unique $\nu' \in \mathbf{E}_n^* \setminus \mathbf{E}_n^0$ such that $\nu = \mu\nu'$. Thus $g \cdot x = \nu'x$ and so $g \cdot x(0, |\nu'|) = \nu'$ for all $x \in \mathbf{E}_n^\infty$. This is impossible by noticing that n has to be greater than 1.

Case (b): $|\mu| > |\nu|$. Since $x \in \mathbf{E}_n^\infty$ is arbitrary, we replace x with $g^{-1} \cdot x$ and then apply Case (a).

Case (c): $|\mu| = |\nu|$. Then $\mu = \nu$ and $g \cdot x = x$ for all $x \in \mathbf{E}_n^\infty$. Let $d := \gcd(m, n) > 0$. Write $m = dm_0$ and $n = dn_0$. Since $n \nmid m$, we have $n_0 \nmid m_0$. Write $x = e_{i_1}e_{i_2} \cdots$ with $i_j \in [n]$. Then

$$g \cdot (e_{i_1}e_{i_2} \cdots) = e_{i_1}e_{i_2} \cdots \implies g \cdot e_{i_1} = e_{i_1}, \quad g|_{e_{i_1}} \cdot e_{i_2} = e_{i_2}, \quad \dots$$

Hence there is a sequence $\{k_i\}_{i \geq 1} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ of (non-zero) integers such that

$$g = a^{k_1 n}, \quad a^{k_1 m} = a^{k_2 n}, \quad a^{k_2 m} = a^{k_3 n}, \quad a^{k_3 m} = a^{k_4 n}, \quad \dots$$

So

$$k_1 m = k_2 n, \quad k_2 m = k_3 n, \quad \dots$$

imply

$$k_1 m_0 = k_2 n_0, \quad k_2 m_0 = k_3 n_0, \quad \dots$$

Thus one has that $m_0^p k_1 = n_0^p k_{p+1}$ for all $p \geq 1$. In particular $n_0^p \mid k_1$ for all $p \geq 1$ as $\gcd(m_0, n_0) = 1$. But $n \nmid m$ implies $n_0 > 1$. So $k_1 = 0$ and hence $g = 0$. Then $(\mu, g, \nu) = (\mu, 0, \mu)$ is a trivial cycline triple. This is a contradiction. \blacksquare

Remark 3.14. Let (G, Λ) be a self-similar k -graph. As mentioned in [39], it is easy to see that if Λ is periodic, then (G, Λ) is periodic. But the converse is not true. Here is a class of counter-examples: It is well-known that \mathbf{E}_n is aperiodic if $n > 1$. But Proposition 3.13 shows that $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbf{E}_n)$ is periodic whenever $n \mid m$. Therefore, the periodicity of (G, Λ) is more complicated than that of the ambient graph Λ .

We now determine all cycline triples of $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbf{E}_n)$ when $\kappa = 1$. Notice that all cycline triples are trivial if $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbf{E}_n)$ is aperiodic by Theorem 2.8. Hence it suffices to consider periodic self-similar graphs $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbf{E}_n)$.

When $n = 1$, there is a unique infinite path. So the following is straightforward.

Lemma 3.15. *If $n = 1$, then every triple (μ, a^ℓ, ν) is cycline.*

Proposition 3.16. *Suppose that $\kappa = 1$, $n > 1$, and $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbf{E}_n)$ is periodic. Then (μ, g, ν) is cycline if and only if $\mu = \nu$ and $g = a^{\ell n}$ for some $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. “If” part is clear. For the “Only if” part, assume that (μ, g, ν) is cycline. Then

$$\mu g \cdot x = \nu x \text{ for all } x \in \mathbf{E}_n^\infty.$$

If $|\mu| = |\nu|$, then $\mu = \nu$ and $g \cdot x = x$ for all $x \in \mathbf{E}_n^\infty$. As in the proof of Proposition 3.13, one can see that $g = a^{\ell n}$ for some $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$.

If $|\mu| \neq |\nu|$, WLOG, $|\nu| > |\mu|$. Then $\nu = \mu\nu'$ for some $\nu' \in \mathbf{E}_n^* \setminus \mathbf{E}_n^0$ and $g \cdot x = \nu'x$. This is impossible as $n > 1$. ■

Combining [39, Theorem 6.6, Theorem 6.13] with Corollary 3.10 yields

Theorem 3.17. *$\mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{S}_{\mathbb{N}, \mathbf{E}_n})$ with $\kappa = 1$ satisfies UCT. It is simple iff $n \nmid m$. So it is a Kirchberg algebra iff $n \nmid m$.*

3.3.2. *The general case.* For the general $\kappa \geq 1$, we begin with a relation between the periodicity of $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbf{E}_n)$ and that of its restrictions on orbits.

For simplification, let $\mathfrak{N} := \prod_{i=1}^\kappa n_i$.

Proposition 3.18. *$(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbf{E}_n)$ is periodic, if and only if the restriction $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbf{E}_{n_i})$ is periodic for each $1 \leq i \leq \kappa$, if and only if $n_i | m_i$ for every $1 \leq i \leq \kappa$.*

Proof. If there is $1 \leq i \leq \kappa$ such that $n_i \nmid m_i$, then $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbf{E}_{n_i})$ is aperiodic by Proposition 3.16. Then clearly $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbf{E}_n)$ is aperiodic.

Now let us assume that $n_i | m_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq \kappa$. Say $m_i = n_i \tilde{m}_i$ with $0 \neq \tilde{m}_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ for $1 \leq i \leq \kappa$. Then

$$a^{\mathfrak{N}} e_{s_1}^{i_1} \cdots e_{s_p}^{i_p} = e_{s_1}^{i_1} \cdots e_{s_p}^{i_p} a^{\mathfrak{N} \tilde{m}_{i_1} \cdots \tilde{m}_{i_p}}.$$

Thus one can check that for arbitrary $x \in \mathbf{E}_n^\infty$ one has $a^{\mathfrak{N}} \cdot x = x$. Therefore, every infinite path x is \mathbb{Z} -periodic, and so $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbf{E}_n)$ is periodic. ■

We now determine all cycline triples. If $n = 1$, this is provided in Lemma 3.15.

Proposition 3.19. *If $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbf{E}_n)$ is periodic with $n > 1$, then (μ, g, ν) is cycline if and only if $\mu = \nu$ and $g = a^{\ell \mathfrak{N}}$ for some $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. “If” part is clear. For the “Only if” part, assume that (μ, g, ν) is cycline. Then

$$\mu g \cdot x = \nu x \text{ for all } x \in \mathbf{E}_n^\infty.$$

If $|\mu| = |\nu|$, then $\mu = \nu$ and $g \cdot x = x$ for all $x \in \mathbf{E}_n^\infty$. It is now not hard to see that $g = a^{\ell \mathfrak{N}}$ for some $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$.

If $|\mu| \neq |\nu|$, WLOG, $|\nu| > |\mu|$. Then $\nu = \mu\nu'$ for some $\nu' \in \mathbf{E}_n^* \setminus \mathbf{E}_n^0$ and $g \cdot x = \nu'x$. This is impossible as $n > 1$. ■

Combining [39, Theorem 6.6, Theorem 6.13] with Corollary 3.10 yields

Theorem 3.20. $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{E}_n}$ satisfies UCT. It is simple iff $n_i \nmid m_i$ for some $1 \leq i \leq \kappa$. So it is a Kirchberg algebra iff $n_i \nmid m_i$ for some $1 \leq i \leq \kappa$.

Remark 3.21. It is well-known that the roles of n and m in Baumslag-Solitar groups $\text{BS}(n, m)$ are symmetric in the sense of $\text{BS}(n, m) \cong \text{BS}(m, n)$. So $C^*(\text{BS}(n, m)) \cong C^*(\text{BS}(m, n))$. However, the symmetry is lost for BS semigroups. For instance, If $0 < n \neq m \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfies $n \mid m$, then $\mathcal{Q}(\text{BS}^+(n, m))$ is not simple while $\mathcal{Q}(\text{BS}^+(m, n))$ is simple.

3.4. Cartan subalgebras of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{E}_n}$. We begin with the definition of Cartan subalgebras. Let \mathcal{B} be an abelian C^* -subalgebra of a given C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} . \mathcal{B} is called a *Cartan subalgebra* in \mathcal{A} if

- (i) \mathcal{B} contains an approximate unit in \mathcal{A} ;
- (ii) \mathcal{B} is a MASA;
- (iii) \mathcal{B} is regular: the normalizer set $N(\mathcal{B}) = \{x \in \mathcal{A} : x\mathcal{B}x^* \cup x^*\mathcal{B}x \subseteq \mathcal{B}\}$ generates \mathcal{A} ;
- (iv) there is a faithful conditional expectation \mathcal{E} from \mathcal{A} onto \mathcal{B} .

In this subsection, we show that there is a canonical Cartan subalgebra for each $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{E}_n}$. It is closely related to the fixed point algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{E}_n}^\gamma$ of the gauge action γ . However, there is an essential difference between the cases of $n = 1$ and $n > 1$.

3.4.1. The case of $n = 1$. The case of $n = 1$ is a special case of Subsection 4.4 below with $k = 1$ (i.e., rank 1), which does not use any results from this section. So we record the result below just for completeness.

In what follows, to simplify our writing, let us set $\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{E}_n}^\gamma$, and \mathcal{F}' to be the (relative) commutant of \mathcal{F} in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{E}_n}$.

Proposition 3.22. *Keep the above notation. Then $\mathcal{F}' = \overline{\text{span}}\{s_{e^p} a^\ell s_{e^q}^* : m^p = m^q, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and \mathcal{F}' is a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{E}_1}$.*

It is worth noticing that there are three possible cases for \mathcal{F}' :

- If $m = 1$, then $\mathcal{F}' = \overline{\text{span}}\{s_{e^p} u_{a^\ell} s_{e^q}^* : p, q \in \mathbb{N}, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}\} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{E}_1} \cong C(\mathbb{T}^2)$.
- If $m = -1$, then $\mathcal{F}' = \overline{\text{span}}\{s_{e^p} u_{a^\ell} s_{e^q}^* : p, q \in \mathbb{N} \text{ with } p - q \in 2\mathbb{Z}, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}\}$.
- If $m \neq \pm 1$, then $\mathcal{F}' = \mathcal{F}$.

3.4.2. The case of $n > 1$. Recall that $\mathfrak{N} = \prod_{i=1}^{\kappa} n_i$ and $n = \sum_{i=1}^{\kappa} n_i$.

Proposition 3.23. *If $n > 1$, then the cycline C^* -subalgebra $\mathcal{M} := C^*(s_\mu u_{a^{\ell\mathfrak{N}}} s_\mu^* : \mu \in \mathbb{E}_n^*, \ell \in \mathbb{Z})$ is Cartan in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{E}_n}$.*

Proof. We first show that \mathcal{M} is abelian. Compute

$$\begin{aligned} & (s_\mu u_{a^{K\mathfrak{N}}} s_\mu^*) (s_\nu u_{a^{L\mathfrak{N}}} s_\nu^*) \\ &= \begin{cases} s_\mu u_{a^{(K+L)\mathfrak{N}}} s_\mu^* & \text{if } \mu = \nu, \\ s_\mu u_{a^{K\mathfrak{N}}} s_{\nu'} u_{a^{L\mathfrak{N}}} s_\nu^* = s_\mu s_{\nu'} u_{a^{K\mathfrak{N}}|_{\nu'}} u_{a^{L\mathfrak{N}}} s_\nu^* = s_\nu u_{a^{K\mathfrak{N}}|_{\nu'}} u_{a^{L\mathfrak{N}}} s_\nu^* & \text{if } \nu = \mu\nu', \\ s_\mu u_{a^{K\mathfrak{N}}} s_{\mu'}^* u_{a^{L\mathfrak{N}}} s_\nu^* = s_\mu u_{a^{K\mathfrak{N}}} u_{(a^{-L\mathfrak{N}}|_{a^{L\mathfrak{N}}|_{\mu'}})^{-1}} s_{\mu'}^* s_\nu^* = s_\mu u_{a^{K\mathfrak{N}}} u_{a^{L\mathfrak{N}}|_{\mu'}} s_\mu^* & \text{if } \mu = \nu\mu', \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Similar calculations yield

$$(s_\nu u_{a^L \mathfrak{N}} s_\nu^*)(s_\mu u_{a^K \mathfrak{N}} s_\mu^*) = \begin{cases} s_\nu u_{a^{(K+L)\mathfrak{N}}} s_\nu^* & \text{if } \mu = \nu, \\ s_\nu u_{a^L \mathfrak{N}} u_{a^K \mathfrak{N}|_{\nu'}} s_\nu^* & \text{if } \nu = \mu\nu', \\ s_\mu u_{a^L \mathfrak{N}|_{\mu'}} u_{a^K \mathfrak{N}} s_\mu^* & \text{if } \mu = \nu\mu', \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus \mathcal{M} is abelian.

It follows from [38, Lemma 5.2] and Proposition 3.19 that $\text{Iso}(\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{E}_n})^\circ = \bigcup_{\mu \in \mathbb{E}_n^*, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}} Z(\mu, a^{\ell \mathfrak{N}}, \mu)$.

Also notice that $\mathcal{M} \cong C^*(\text{Iso}(\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{E}_n})^\circ)$. So $C^*(\text{Iso}(\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{E}_n})^\circ)$ is abelian.

Furthermore, $\text{Iso}(\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{E}_n})^\circ$ is closed. Indeed, its complement

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{E}_n} \setminus \text{Iso}(\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{E}_n})^\circ = \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{Z}, \mu \neq \nu \in \mathbb{E}_n^*} Z(\mu, a^m, \nu) \bigcup \bigcup_{m \notin \mathfrak{N}\mathbb{Z}} Z(\mu, a^m, \mu)$$

is clearly open. Then apply [5, Corollary 4.5] to end the proof. \blacksquare

Remark 3.24. By Lemma 3.15, when $n = 1$, the cycline subalgebra of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{E}_1}$ coincides with $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{E}_1}$, which is generally not abelian. So Proposition 3.23 does not hold true for $n = 1$.

3.5. Some old examples revisited. Recall the flip (single-vertex) rank 2 graphs

$$\Lambda_{\text{flip}} = \langle \mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{f}_j : \mathbf{e}_i \mathbf{f}_j = \mathbf{f}_i \mathbf{e}_j, i, j \in [n] \rangle^+ \quad (n \geq 2)$$

and the square rank 2 graph

$$\Lambda_{\text{square}} = \langle \mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{y}_j : \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{y}_j = \mathbf{y}_{i+1} \mathbf{x}_j, i, j \in [2] \rangle^+.$$

Example 3.25. In [10], it is shown that $\mathcal{Q}(\text{BS}^+(n, n)) \cong C(\mathbb{T}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_n$. From what we have obtained so far, we can prove this by relating to rank 2 graphs. In fact, we have

$$\mathcal{Q}(\text{BS}^+(n, n)) \cong C(\mathbb{T}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_n \cong \mathcal{O}_{\Lambda_{\text{flip}}}.$$

To see this, we construct an explicit isomorphism from $\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda_{\text{flip}}}$ onto $\mathcal{Q}(\text{BS}^+(n, n))$. Let $\pi : \mathcal{O}_{\Lambda_{\text{flip}}} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}(\text{BS}^+(n, n))$ be the homomorphism determined by

$$s_{\mathbf{e}_i} \mapsto E_i := s_{e_i}, \quad s_{\mathbf{f}_j} \mapsto F_j := u_{a^n} s_{e_j} \quad (i, j \in [n]). \quad (3)$$

It is easy to see that $E_i F_j = F_i E_j$ as u_{a^n} is in the center of $\mathcal{Q}(\text{BS}^+(n, n))$. Also π is surjective as from $ae_i = e_{i+1}$ ($0 \leq i \leq n-2$) and $ae_{n-1} = e_0 a^n$ one has

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} u_a s_{e_i} s_{e_i}^* = \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} s_{e_{i+1}} s_{e_i}^* + s_{e_0} u_{a^n} s_{e_{n-1}}^* = \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} s_{e_{i+1}} s_{e_i}^* + u_{a^n} s_{e_0} s_{e_{n-1}}^* = \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} s_{e_{i+1}} s_{e_i}^* + F_0 s_{e_{n-1}}^*.$$

Conversely, define $\rho : \mathcal{Q}(\text{BS}^+(n, n)) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Lambda_{\text{flip}}}$ by

$$v_{e_i} \mapsto s_{\mathbf{e}_i}, \quad v_a \mapsto \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} s_{e_{i+1}} s_{e_i}^* + s_{f_0} s_{e_{n-1}}^*.$$

Then ρ determines a homomorphism. Also one can check that π and ρ are the inverse to each other. Therefore one has $\mathcal{Q}(\text{BS}^+(n, n)) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\Lambda_{\text{flip}}}$, which is also isomorphic to $C(\mathbb{T}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_n$ by [14].

Example 3.26. In this example, through $BS^+(2, 2)$, we are able to show that $\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda_{\text{square}}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\Lambda_{\text{flip}}}$, which seems unclear in [14] although both $\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda_{\text{flip}}}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda_{\text{square}}}$ are well-studied there.

Let $W := s_{\mathbf{e}_1} s_{\mathbf{e}_0}^* + s_{\mathbf{f}_0} s_{\mathbf{e}_1}^*$. Then $W^2 = s_{\mathbf{f}_0} s_{\mathbf{e}_0}^* + s_{\mathbf{f}_1} s_{\mathbf{e}_1}^*$. Define $\pi : \mathcal{O}_{\Lambda_{\text{square}}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Lambda_{\text{flip}}}$ via

$$s_{\mathbf{x}_0} \mapsto s_{\mathbf{e}_0}, \quad s_{\mathbf{x}_1} \mapsto s_{\mathbf{e}_1} W^*, \quad s_{\mathbf{y}_0} \mapsto W s_{\mathbf{e}_0}, \quad s_{\mathbf{y}_1} \mapsto W s_{\mathbf{e}_1} W^*.$$

Then one can verify that π is a homomorphism.

Let $F := s_{\mathbf{y}_1} s_{\mathbf{x}_1}^* + s_{\mathbf{y}_0} s_{\mathbf{x}_0}^*$. Then $F^2 = \sum_{i,j \in [2]} s_{\mathbf{y}_i} s_{\mathbf{y}_j} s_{\mathbf{x}_{(i+1)}}^* s_{\mathbf{x}_j}$. Let $\rho : \mathcal{O}_{\Lambda_{\text{flip}}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Lambda_{\text{square}}}$ be defined as

$$s_{\mathbf{e}_0} \mapsto s_{\mathbf{x}_0}, \quad s_{\mathbf{e}_1} \mapsto s_{\mathbf{x}_1} F, \quad s_{\mathbf{f}_0} \mapsto F^2 s_{\mathbf{x}_0} = s_{\mathbf{x}_0} F^2, \quad s_{\mathbf{f}_1} \mapsto F^2 s_{\mathbf{x}_1} F = s_{\mathbf{x}_1} F^3.$$

Then ρ is a homomorphism. Moreover, π and ρ are the inverse to each other, and $\rho(W) = F$ and $\pi(F) = W$.

4. RANK k CASE: MORE THAN HIGHER RANK BAUMSLAG-SOLITAR SEMIGROUPS

In this section, we first propose a notion of higher rank BS semigroups $\Lambda_\theta(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m})$. We then briefly describe how higher rank BS semigroups relate to Furstenberg's $\times p, \times q$ conjecture. Our main focus here are two cases – $\Lambda_d(\mathbf{n}, \mathbb{1}_k)$ and $\Lambda_d(\mathbb{1}_k, \mathbf{m})$. For $\Lambda_d(\mathbf{n}, \mathbb{1}_k)$, it is related to products of odometers studied in [37]. Applying some results in [37, 38], one can easily characterize the simplicity of $\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda_d(\mathbf{n}, \mathbb{1}_k)}$ and see that the cycline subalgebra is Cartan in $\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda_d(\mathbf{n}, \mathbb{1}_k)}$. But, here, we first show the fixed point algebra \mathcal{F} of the gauge action γ is a Bunce-Deddens algebra, and so \mathcal{F} has a unique faithful tracial state τ . Then composing with the conditional expectation Φ from $\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda_d(\mathbf{n}, \mathbb{1}_k)}$ onto \mathcal{F} yields a state $\omega = \tau \circ \Phi$. We then study the associated von Neumann algebra $\pi_\omega(\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda_d(\mathbf{n}, \mathbb{1}_k)})''$ in the same vein of [51, 52]. More precisely, we provide some characterizations of when $\pi_\omega(\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda_d(\mathbf{n}, \mathbb{1}_k)})''$ is a factor, and further obtain its type. For $\Lambda_d(\mathbb{1}_k, \mathbf{m})$, it is intimately related to Furstenberg's $\times p, \times q$ conjecture. In this case, we obtain a canonical Cartan for $\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda_d(\mathbb{1}_k, \mathbf{m})}$, which is generally a proper subalgebra of its cycline subalgebra. We will continue studying $\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda_d(\mathbb{1}_k, \mathbf{m})}$ and its relative(s) in a forthcoming paper.

4.1. Higher rank Baumslag-Solitar semigroups. Consider k given self-similar graphs $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbf{E}_{n_i})$ with $\mathbf{E}_{n_i} = \{\mathbf{x}_s^i : s \in [n_i]\}$ ($1 \leq i \leq k$). Suppose that \mathbf{x}_s^i 's satisfy the commutation relations $\theta_{ij}(\mathbf{x}_s^i, \mathbf{x}_t^j) = (\mathbf{x}_{t'}^j, \mathbf{x}_s^i)$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq k$. Applying [37, Proposition 4.1], one has

Proposition 4.1. *Keep the same notation. The k self-similar graphs $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbf{E}_{n_i})$ with the commutation relations θ_{ij} 's determine a self-similar k -graph $(\mathbb{Z}, \Lambda_\theta)$ if and only if*

$$(a \cdot \mathbf{x}_s^i)(a|_{\mathbf{x}_s^i} \cdot \mathbf{x}_t^j) = (a \cdot \mathbf{x}_{t'}^j)(a|_{\mathbf{x}_{t'}^j} \cdot \mathbf{x}_s^i) \quad (4)$$

for all $1 \leq i < j \leq k$, $s \in [n_i]$, $t \in [n_j]$.

Based on Example 3.3, it is reasonable to introduce the following notion.

Definition 4.2. A self-similar k -graph obtained from (n_i, m_i) -odometers with the commutation relations θ_{ij} 's is called a *rank k BS semigroup*, denoted as $\Lambda_\theta((n_1, \dots, n_k), (m_1, \dots, m_k))$, or simply $\Lambda_\theta(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m})$ if the context is clear. The ambient k -graph is still written as Λ_θ .

Here are some examples of higher rank BS semigroups.

Example 4.3. A standard product of odometers studied in [37] is a rank k BS semigroup induced from $(n_i, 1)$ -odometers $E(n_i, 1)$ ($1 \leq i \leq k$) with the division commutation relations (refer to Example 2.2 for d). So it is of the form $\Lambda_d(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{1}_k)$.

Example 4.4. Consider (n_i, m_i) -odometers with the trivial permutation θ_{ij} ($1 \leq i < j \leq k$) (see Example 2.1). Then they induce a rank k BS semigroup if and only if $n_i = 1$ for each $1 \leq i \leq k$. In fact, the condition (4) in Proposition 4.1 implies $n_i = 1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$. Then the trivial relation is the same as the division commutation relation. The rank k BS semigroup obtained in this case is of the form $\Lambda_d(\mathbf{1}_k, \mathbf{m})$.

Example 4.5. Suppose that $n_i = n$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$, and that θ_{ij} is the division permutation. Then one necessarily has $\theta_{ij}(s, t) = (t, s)$. One can check that this yields a rank k BS semigroup if and only if either $m_i = m_j$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq k$, or $n \mid m_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$. These are rank k BS semigroups of the form $\Lambda_d((n, \dots, n), (m, \dots, m))$, or $\Lambda_d((n, \dots, n), (n\tilde{m}_1, \dots, n\tilde{m}_k))$.

So the class obtained in Example 4.4 is a special case here with $n = 1$.

In the sequel, we provide some interesting C^* -algebras studied in the literature, which can be realized from higher rank BS semigroups.

- (i) It is shown in [37] that the Cuntz algebra $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{N}}$ is isomorphic to the boundary quotient of a semigroup of the form in Example 4.3.
- (ii) For $2 \leq p \in \mathbb{N}$, the p -adic C^* -algebra \mathcal{Q}_p can also be recovered from a semigroup of the form in Example 4.3 (cf. [37] for $p = 2$ in terms of standard product of odometers).
- (iii) $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{N}}$ can be also realized as a boundary quotient of the left $ax + b$ semigroup $\mathbb{N} \rtimes \mathbb{N}^\times$ studied in [33]. The C^* -algebra of $\mathbb{N} \rtimes \mathbb{N}^\times$ itself is also related to higher rank BS semigroups.
- (iv) The boundary $\partial\mathcal{T}(\mathbb{N}^\times \rtimes \mathbb{N})$ of the right $ax + b$ semigroup $\mathbb{N}^\times \rtimes \mathbb{N}$ is a boundary quotient of a higher-rank BS semigroup of the form given in Example 4.4.
- (v) The C^* -algebra $\mathcal{O}(E_{n,m})$ studied by Katsura in [29] is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{E(n,m)}$ (see Examples 3.2 and 3.3).

Remark 4.6. The C^* -algebras of both the left $ax + b$ semigroup $\mathbb{N} \rtimes \mathbb{N}^\times$ and the right $ax + b$ semigroup $\mathbb{N}^\times \rtimes \mathbb{N}$ are related to higher rank BS semigroups. This will be studied elsewhere.

4.2. Relation to Furstenberg's $\times p, \times q$ conjecture. We first give a very brief introduction on Furstenberg's $\times p, \times q$ conjecture. For all undefined notions or any further information, refer to [8, 22, 23, 47].

Let $2 \leq p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ be multiplicatively independent, i.e., $\frac{\ln p}{\ln q} \notin \mathbb{Q}$. Define $T_p : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ by $T_p(z) = z^p$ for all $z \in \mathbb{T}$. Similarly for T_q . A subset of \mathbb{T} is said to be $\times p, \times q$ -invariant if it is invariant under both T_p and T_q . Furstenberg classifies all closed $\times p, \times q$ -invariant subsets of \mathbb{T} in [22]: Such a subset is either finite or \mathbb{T} itself. Then he conjectures the following:

Conjecture (Furstenberg's $\times p, \times q$ conjecture). *An ergodic $\times p, \times q$ -invariant Borel probability measure of \mathbb{T} is either finitely supported or the Lebesgue measure.*

According to our best knowledge, this conjecture is still open. The best known result so far is the following theorem, which is proved by Rudolph when p and q are coprime in [46], and later improved by Johnson in [27].

Theorem (Rudolph-Johnson). *If μ is an ergodic $\times p, \times q$ -invariant measure on \mathbb{T} , then either both entropies of T_p and T_q with respect to μ are 0, or μ is the Lebesgue measure.*

When both entropies of T_p and T_q with respect to μ are 0, Furstenberg's $\times p, \times q$ conjecture is reduced to studying the C^* -algebra $C^*(G)$ of the group G , where

$$G := \langle s, t, z : st = ts, sz = z^p s, tz = z^q t \rangle. \quad (5)$$

It turns out that $C^*(G) \cong C^*(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{pq}]) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2 \cong C^*(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{pq}] \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2)$. In [23], the representation theory of $C^*(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{pq}]) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2$ is studied. In particular, the authors focus on which kind of its representations are induced by $\times p, \times q$ -invariant measures on \mathbb{T} . Later, the following equivalence is shown in [8]: *Furstenberg's $\times p, \times q$ conjecture holds true if and only if the canonical trace is the only faithful extreme tracial state on $C^*(G) \cong C^*(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{pq}] \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2)$.*

Our purpose here is to connect Furstenberg's conjecture with higher rank BS semigroups. For this, from (5) one has

$$t^{-1}s^{-1} = s^{-1}t^{-1}, \quad z^{-1}s^{-1} = s^{-1}z^{-p}, \quad z^{-1}t^{-1} = t^{-1}z^{-q}.$$

Let \tilde{G} be the group

$$\tilde{G} := \langle \tilde{s}, \tilde{t}, \tilde{z} : \tilde{t}\tilde{s} = \tilde{s}\tilde{t}, \tilde{z}\tilde{s} = \tilde{s}\tilde{z}^p, \tilde{z}\tilde{t} = \tilde{t}\tilde{z}^q \rangle. \quad (6)$$

Thus $G \cong \tilde{G}$ and so $C^*(G) \cong C^*(\tilde{G})$. The upshot by doing so is $C^*(G) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\Lambda_d((1,1),(p,q))}$.

Now return to (5) again. Let G^+ be the corresponding semigroup

$$G^+ := \langle s, t, z : st = ts, sz = z^p s, tz = z^q t \rangle^+. \quad (7)$$

Then we claim that $\mathcal{Q}(G^+) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\Lambda_d((p,q),(1,1))}$. In fact, let $e_i := z^i s$ and $f_j := z^j t$ for $i \in [p]$ and $j \in [q]$. Then $st = ts \iff e_0 f_0 = f_0 e_0$. For $k \in [p]$ and $\ell \in [q]$, let $k' \in [p]$ and $\ell' \in [q]$ be the unique ones such that $k + \ell p = \ell' + k' q$. Then we have

$$e_k f_\ell = z^k s z^\ell t = z^k z^{\ell p} s t = z^{\ell'} z^{k' q} t s = z^{\ell'} t z^{k'} s = f_{\ell'} e_{k'}.$$

Thus there is a homomorphism

$$\pi : \mathcal{O}_{\Lambda_d((p,q),(1,1))} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Z}, \Lambda_d} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}(G^+), \quad s_{\mathbf{x}_i^1} \mapsto v_{e_i}, \quad s_{\mathbf{x}_j^2} \mapsto v_{f_j}, \quad u_a \mapsto v_z,$$

which is an isomorphism as it has an inverse given by

$$v_s \mapsto s_{\mathbf{x}_0^1}, \quad v_t \mapsto s_{\mathbf{x}_0^2}, \quad v_z \mapsto u_a.$$

Hence $\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda_d((p,q),(1,1))} \cong \mathcal{Q}(G^+)$.

To sum up, we have shown that

$$C^*(G) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\Lambda_d((1,1),(p,q))} \text{ and } \mathcal{Q}(G^+) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\Lambda_d((p,q),(1,1))}. \quad (8)$$

Based on the above, in what follows, we focus on two extreme, but rather interesting, classes of higher rank BS semigroups: $\Lambda_d(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{1}_k)$ with $\mathbf{1}_k \leq \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^k$ and $\Lambda_d(\mathbf{1}_k, \mathbf{m})$ with $m_i \neq 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$.

From now on, to unify our notation, the set $\{0, 1 \neq p_i \in \mathbb{Z} : 1 \leq i \leq k\}$ is said to be *multiplicatively independent* if there is no $\mathbf{0} \neq q \in \mathbb{Z}^k$ such that $\prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{q_i} = 1$. When all p_i 's are also ≥ 1 , $\{p_i : 1 \leq i \leq k\}$ is multiplicatively independent if and only if $\{\ln p_i : 1 \leq i \leq k\}$ is rationally independent.

4.3. **The case of $\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{1}_k$.** The boundary quotient boundary of $\Lambda_d(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{1}_k)$ is studied in [37]. It is shown in [37] that $\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda_d(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{1}_k)}$ is simple if and only if $\{n_i : 1 \leq i \leq k\}$ is multiplicatively independent. When $\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda_d(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{1}_k)}$ is not simple, its cycline subalgebra is Cartan by [38, Theorem 5.6]. In what follows, we identify the center of $\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda_d(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{1}_k)}$, which is overlooked in [37, 38]. A useful lemma first:

Lemma 4.7. (i) Let $\mu, \nu \in \Lambda_d^{\mathbf{p}}$ ($\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{N}^k$) and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then there is $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\nu a^m = a^\ell \mu$.

(ii) $\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda_d(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{1}_k)} = \overline{\text{span}}\{u_{a^m} s_\alpha s_\beta^* : m \in \mathbb{Z}, \alpha, \beta \in \Lambda_d\}$.

Proof. (i) We first prove the lemma holds true for the classical odometer action $E(n, 1)$. We argue this by induction with respect to the lengths of μ and ν . If $\mu := e_i$ and $\nu := e_j$. WLOG we assume that $i \geq j \in [n]$. For any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, by Lemma 3.6 one can verify that $a^{mn+i-j}\nu = \mu a^m$. Assume that this is true for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and all $\mu, \nu \in E_n^*$ with $|\mu| = |\nu| \leq k$. Now consider $e_s \mu$ and $e_t \nu$ with $|\mu| = |\nu| = k$. Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. By our inductive assumption, we have $e_s \mu a^m = e_s a^{\ell'} \nu = a^{\ell'} e_t \nu$ for some $\ell', \ell \in \mathbb{Z}$. This proves the $E(n, 1)$ case.

Now return to Λ_d . Let $\mu, \nu \in \Lambda_d$ with $d(\mu) = d(\nu) = \mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{N}^k$. Then by the unique factorization property $\mu = \mu_1 \cdots \mu_k$ and $\nu = \nu_1 \cdots \nu_k$ with $\mu_i, \nu_i \in \Lambda_d^{p_i \epsilon_i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$. Then for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, apply the above to $\mu_k a^m$ in $E(n_k, 1)$, there is $\ell_k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\mu a^m = \mu_1 \cdots \mu_{k-1} a^{\ell_k} \nu_k$. Repeatedly using the above gives $\mu a^m = a^\ell \nu$ for some $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$.

(ii) This follows from (i) and Proposition 2.7 (i). \blacksquare

By [38, Theorem 7.5] (or Appendix there), $\Lambda_d(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{1}_k)$ and Λ_d share the same periodicity $\{\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{Z}^k : \mathbf{n}^{\mathbf{p}} = 1\}$. Thus, for each pair $(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) \in \mathbb{N}^k \times \mathbb{N}^k$ with $\mathbf{n}^{\mathbf{p}} = \mathbf{n}^{\mathbf{q}}$, there is a bijection $\phi_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}} : \Lambda_d^{\mathbf{p}} \rightarrow \Lambda_d^{\mathbf{q}}$ satisfying

$$\mu\nu = \phi_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}}(\mu)\phi_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}}^{-1}(\nu), \quad \phi_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}}^{-1}(\nu)\phi_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}}(\mu) = \nu\mu \quad (9)$$

for every pair $(\mu, \nu) \in \Lambda_d^{\mathbf{p}} \times \Lambda_d^{\mathbf{q}}$ ([15, Theorem 7.1]) (or [25, Section 5]). Let

$$V_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}} := \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda_d^{\mathbf{p}}} s_\mu s_{\phi_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}}(\mu)}^*.$$

By ([15, Theorem 4.9]), each $V_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}}$ is a unitary in $\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda_d(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{1}_k)}$.

Proposition 4.8. The center of $\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda_d(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{1}_k)}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda_d(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{1}_k)}) = C^*(V_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}} : (\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) \in \mathbb{N}^k \times \mathbb{N}^k, \mathbf{n}^{\mathbf{p}} = \mathbf{n}^{\mathbf{q}}).$$

In particular, if $\{n_i : 1 \leq i \leq k\}$ is multiplicatively independent, then $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda_d(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{1}_k)})$ is trivial.

Proof. Suppose that $A \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda_d(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{1}_k)})$. Then by Proposition 2.7, one has $A \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda_d})$. But $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda_d}) = C^*(V_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}} : (\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) \in \mathbb{N}^k \times \mathbb{N}^k, \mathbf{n}^{\mathbf{p}} = \mathbf{n}^{\mathbf{q}})$ ([15]).

It remains to show that each $V_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}}$ is indeed in $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda_d(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{1}_k)})$. This has been proved in [15, Theorem 4.9]. In what follows, we prove this by invoking Lemma 4.7.

We first show that a commutes with $V_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}}$. Then on one hand one has

$$a \cdot (\mu\nu) = a \cdot (\phi_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}}(\mu)\phi_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}}^{-1}(\nu)) \implies a \cdot \mu a|_\mu \cdot \nu = a \cdot \phi_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}}(\mu) a|_{\phi(\mu)} \cdot \phi_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}}^{-1}(\nu).$$

On the other hand, we have

$$a \cdot \mu a|_\mu \cdot \nu = \phi_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}}(a \cdot \mu) \phi_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}}^{-1}(a|_\mu \cdot \nu).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} a^{-1} \cdot \phi_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}}(\mu) a^{-1}|_{\phi_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}}(\mu)} \cdot \phi_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}}^{-1}(\nu) &= \phi_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}}(a^{-1} \cdot \mu) \phi_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}}^{-1}(a^{-1}|_{\mu} \cdot \nu) \\ \implies a^{-1} \cdot \phi_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}}(\mu) &= \phi_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}}(a^{-1} \cdot \mu) \quad \text{and} \quad a^{-1}|_{\phi_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}}(\mu)} \cdot \phi_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}}^{-1}(\nu) = \phi_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}}^{-1}(a^{-1}|_{\mu} \cdot \nu) \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Then one can easily see $g \cdot \phi_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}}(\mu) = \phi_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}}(g \cdot \mu)$ for any $g \in \mathbb{Z}$. Similarly, one gets from the 2nd identity of (9) that $g \cdot \phi_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}}^{-1}(\nu) = \phi_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}}^{-1}(g \cdot \nu)$ for any $g \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus the 2nd identity of (10) yields $a^{-1}|_{\phi_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}}(\mu)} = a^{-1}|_{\mu}$, and so $(a^{-1}|_{\phi_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}}(\mu)})^{-1} = (a^{-1}|_{\mu})^{-1}$. Therefore

$$a|_{a^{-1} \cdot \phi_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}}(\mu)} = a|_{a^{-1} \cdot \mu}. \quad (11)$$

Now compute

$$\begin{aligned} u_a V_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}} &= \sum_{d(\mu)=\mathbf{p}} s_{a \cdot \mu} u_{a|_{\mu}} s_{\phi_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}}(\mu)}^* = \sum_{d(\mu)=\mathbf{p}} s_{\mu} u_{a|_{a^{-1} \cdot \mu}} s_{\phi_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}}(a^{-1} \cdot \mu)}^* \\ &= \sum_{d(\mu)=\mathbf{p}} s_{\mu} u_{a|_{a^{-1} \cdot \phi_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}}(\mu)}} s_{a^{-1} \cdot \phi_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}}(\mu)}^* \quad (\text{from (10) and (11)}) \\ &= \sum_{d(\mu)=\mathbf{p}} s_{\mu} u_{(a^{-1}|_{\phi_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}}(\mu)})^{-1}} s_{a^{-1} \cdot \phi_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}}(\mu)}^* = \left(\sum_{d(\mu)=\mathbf{p}} s_{\mu} s_{\phi_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}}(\mu)}^* \right) u_a \\ &= V_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}} u_a. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$(u_{a^m} s_{\alpha} s_{\beta}^*) V_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}} = u_{a^m} V_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}} s_{\alpha} s_{\beta}^* = V_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}} (u_{a^m} s_{\alpha} s_{\beta}^*).$$

By Lemma 4.7 (ii), $\sum_{d(\mu)=\mathbf{p}} s_{\mu} s_{\phi_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}}(\mu)}^* \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda_d(n, \mathbb{1}_k)})$. ■

For $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{N}^k$, let $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{m}} := \overline{\text{span}}\{s_{\mu} a^n s_{\nu}^* : \mu, \nu \in \Lambda_{(n, \mathbb{1}_k)} \text{ with } d(\mu) = d(\nu) = \mathbf{m}, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, and so $\mathcal{F} = \overline{\bigcup_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{N}^k} \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{m}}}^{\|\cdot\|}$. Also notice that, due to the Cuntz-Krieger relations, we have $\mathcal{F} = \varinjlim_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{F}_{m\mathbb{1}_k}$.

Let $d := \prod_{i=1}^k \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}, p|n_i} p$, the product of all primes dividing some n_i 's.

Lemma 4.9. \mathcal{F} is a Bunce-Deddens algebra of type d^{∞} . In particular, \mathcal{F} has a unique faithful tracial state.

Proof. The proof below is motivated by the proof of [40, Theorem 3.16].

For $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{N}^k$, let $\{e_{\mu,\nu}\}_{\mu,\nu \in \Lambda_{\mathbf{d}}^{\mathbf{m}}}$ be the matrix entries of $K(\ell^2(\Lambda_{\mathbf{d}}^{\mathbf{m}}))$.² To simplify our notation, let $\{a^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be the generating unitaries of $C^*(\mathbb{Z})$. Clearly, there is a homomorphism

$$\rho_1 : K(\ell^2(\Lambda_{\mathbf{d}}^{m\mathbb{1}_k})) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{m\mathbb{1}_k}, \quad e_{\mu,\nu} \mapsto s_{\mu} s_{\nu}^*,$$

and

$$\rho_2 : C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{m\mathbb{1}_k}, \quad a^n \mapsto \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda_{\mathbf{d}}^{m\mathbb{1}_k}} s_{\mu} u_{a^n} s_{\mu}^*.$$

²In our case, $K(\ell^2(\Lambda_{\mathbf{d}}^{\mathbf{m}})) \cong M_{n^{\mathbf{m}}}(\mathbb{C})$.

Some simple calculations show the images of ρ_1 and ρ_2 commute. By [42, Theorem 6.3.7], there is a homomorphism $\rho : K(\ell^2(\Lambda_d^{m\mathbb{1}_k})) \otimes C^*(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{m\mathbb{1}_k}$ ³ satisfying $\rho(e_{\mu,\nu} \otimes a^n) = s_\mu u_{a^n} s_\nu^*$. It is not hard to see that ρ is also invertible and so an isomorphism.

Set $\mu_0 := \mathbf{x}_0^1 \cdots \mathbf{x}_0^k$ and $\mu_{n-1} := \mathbf{x}_{n_1-1}^1 \cdots \mathbf{x}_{n_k-1}^k$. Embed $K(\ell^2(\Lambda_d^{m\mathbb{1}_k})) \otimes C^*(\mathbb{Z})$ into $K(\ell^2(\Lambda_d^{(m+1)\mathbb{1}_k})) \otimes C^*(\mathbb{Z})$ as follows:

$$e_{\mu,\nu} \otimes a^n \mapsto \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda_d^1} e_{\mu a^n \cdot \alpha, \nu \alpha} \otimes a^n|_\alpha.$$

Notice that $a|_\alpha = a$ if $\alpha = \mu_{n-1}$, and $a|_\alpha = 0$, otherwise.

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} u_a &= u_a \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda^{m\mathbb{1}_k}} s_\mu s_\mu^* = \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda_d^{m\mathbb{1}_k}} s_{a \cdot \mu} u_{a|_\mu} s_\mu^* \\ &= \sum_{\mu \neq \mu_{n-1}} s_{a \cdot \mu} s_\mu^* + s_{a \cdot \mu_{n-1}} u_a s_{\mu_{n-1}}^* \\ &= \sum_{\mu \neq \mu_{n-1}} s_{a \cdot \mu} s_\mu^* + s_{\mu_0} u_a s_{\mu_{n-1}}^*. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore \mathcal{F} is isomorphic to a Bunce-Deddens algebra of type of d^∞ , and so it has a unique faithful tracial state ([12]). \blacksquare

Remark 4.10. It is worth mentioning the following: If $S := \{n_1, \dots, n_k\} \subset \mathbb{N}$ is a set of mutually coprime natural numbers, then \mathcal{F} is isomorphic to B_S in [2].

By Lemma 4.9 and [12], \mathcal{F} has a unique faithful tracial state, say τ , given by

$$\tau(s_\mu u_{a^n} s_\mu^*) = \mathbf{n}^{-d(\mu)} \delta_{n,0}.$$

Recall that Φ_0 is the faithful conditional expectation from $\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda_d(\mathbf{n}, \mathbb{1})}$ onto \mathcal{F} via the gauge action γ (see Subsection 2.2). Then $\omega := \tau \circ \Phi_0$ is a state of $\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda_d(\mathbf{n}, \mathbb{1}_k)}$.

We recall the notion of KMS states from [4] (also see [11]), and give some basic properties of KMS states for $\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda_d(\mathbf{n}, \mathbb{1}_k)}$.

Definition 4.11. Let A be a C^* -algebra, α be an action of \mathbb{R} on A , and A^a be the set of all analytic elements of A . Let $0 < \beta < \infty$. A state τ of A is called a *KMS $_\beta$ state* of (A, \mathbb{R}, α) if $\tau(xy) = \tau(y\alpha_{i\beta}(x))$ for all $x, y \in A^a$.

Recall the gauge action $\gamma : \mathbb{T}^k \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda_d(\mathbf{n}, \mathbb{1}_k)})$:

$$\gamma_z(s_\mu) = z^{d(\mu)} s_\mu \text{ and } \gamma_z(u_g) = u_g \text{ for all } z \in \mathbb{T}^k, \mu \in \Lambda, g \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Let $\mathbf{r} = (\ln n_1, \dots, \ln n_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k$. Define a strongly continuous homomorphism $\alpha^{\mathbf{r}} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda_d(\mathbf{n}, \mathbb{1}_k)})$ by $\alpha_t^{\mathbf{r}} := \gamma_{e^{it\mathbf{r}}}$. Notice that, for $\mu, \nu \in \Lambda_d(\mathbf{n}, \mathbb{1}_k), g \in \mathbb{Z}$, the function $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Lambda_d(\mathbf{n}, \mathbb{1})}$, $\xi \mapsto e^{i\xi \mathbf{r} \cdot (d(\mu) - d(\nu))} s_\mu u_g s_\nu^*$ is an entire function. So $s_\mu u_g s_\nu^*$ is an analytic element. By Proposition 2.7, in order to check the KMS $_\beta$ condition, it is sufficient to check whether it is valid on the set $\{s_\mu u_g s_\nu^* : \mu, \nu \in \Lambda_d(\mathbf{n}, \mathbb{1}_k), g \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. In this subsection, we study basic properties of KMS $_\beta$ states of the one-parameter dynamical system $(\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda_d(\mathbf{n}, \mathbb{1})}, \mathbb{R}, \alpha^{\mathbf{r}})$.

Lemma 4.12. *Suppose that $\{n_i : 1 \leq i \leq k\}$ is multiplicatively independent. Then ω is the unique KMS $_1$ state on $\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda_d(\mathbf{n}, \mathbb{1}_k)}$.*

³The tensor product \otimes here could be either \otimes_{\max} or \otimes_{\min} as both factors are amenable.

Proof. An easy calculation shows

$$\omega(s_\mu u_{a^n} s_\nu^*) = \delta_{\mu,\nu} \delta_{n,0} \mathbf{n}^{-d(\mu)}.$$

By [38, Theorems 6.11 and 6.12], ω is the unique KMS_1 state of $\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda_d(\mathbf{n}, \mathbb{1})}$ (also see [38, Theorem 7.1]). \blacksquare

As in [51, 52], let $L^2(\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda_d(\mathbf{n}, \mathbb{1}_k)})$ be the GNS Hilbert space determined by the state ω : $\langle A, B \rangle := \omega(A^* B)$ for all $A, B \in \mathcal{O}_{\Lambda_d(\mathbf{n}, \mathbb{1}_k)}$. For $A \in \mathcal{O}_{\Lambda_d(\mathbf{n}, \mathbb{1}_k)}$, we denote the left action of A by $\pi(A) : \pi(A)B = AB$ for all $B \in \mathcal{O}_{\Lambda_d(\mathbf{n}, \mathbb{1})}$. Let $\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda_d(\mathbf{n}, \mathbb{1}_k)}^c$ stand for the algebra as the finite linear span of the generators $s_\mu u_g s_\nu^*$.

Define

$$S(A) := A^*, \quad F(s_\mu u_{a^n} s_\nu^*) := \mathbf{n}^{d(\mu)-d(\nu)} s_\nu u_{a^{-n}} s_\mu^*, \quad \text{for } A \in \mathcal{O}_{\Lambda_d(\mathbf{n}, \mathbb{1}_k)}^c.$$

Then $F = S^*$. Also, if

$$J(s_\mu u_{a^n} s_\nu^*) := \mathbf{n}^{\frac{d(\mu)-d(\nu)}{2}} s_\nu u_{a^{-n}} s_\mu^*, \quad \Delta(s_\mu u_{a^n} s_\nu^*) := \mathbf{n}^{d(\nu)-d(\mu)} s_\mu u_{a^n} s_\nu^*,$$

one has

$$S = J\Delta^{\frac{1}{2}} = \Delta^{-\frac{1}{2}}J, \quad F = J\Delta^{-\frac{1}{2}} = \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}J.$$

Let $\pi_\omega(\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda_d(\mathbf{n}, \mathbb{1}_k)})''$ be the von Neumann algebra generated by the GNS representation of ω . Then $\pi_\omega(\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda_d(\mathbf{n}, \mathbb{1}_k)})''$ coincides with the left von Neumann algebra of $\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda_d(\mathbf{n}, \mathbb{1}_k)}^c$.

The proof of the following theorem can now be easily adapted from [51, 52] combined with [37, 38], and is left to the interested reader.

Theorem 4.13. *The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) $\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda_d(\mathbf{n}, \mathbb{1}_k)}$ is simple.
- (ii) \mathcal{O}_{Λ_d} is simple.
- (iii) $\{n_i : 1 \leq i \leq k\}$ is multiplicatively independent.
- (iv) $\Lambda_d(\mathbf{n}, \mathbb{1}_k)$ is aperiodic.
- (v) The ambient k -graph Λ_d is aperiodic.
- (vi) $\pi_\omega(\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda_d(\mathbf{n}, \mathbb{1}_k)})''$ is a factor.

When $\pi_\omega(\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda_d(\mathbf{n}, \mathbb{1}_k)})''$ is a factor,

- it is an AFD factor of type III_\perp if $k = 1$; and
- it is an AFD factor of type III_1^n if $k \geq 2$.

4.4. The case of $\mathbf{n} = \mathbb{1}_k$. Since there is only one edge for each color i , in order to ease our notation, we write \mathbf{x}_i (instead of the notation \mathbf{x}_1^i used above) for this unique edge. Thus $\mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_j = \mathbf{x}_j \mathbf{x}_i$ for all $1 \leq i \neq j \leq k$. Since this is the unique commutation relation on \mathbf{x}_i 's, we denote $\Lambda_d(\mathbb{1}_k, \mathbf{m})$ simply as $\Lambda(\mathbb{1}_k, \mathbf{m})$. The ambient k -graph is just denoted as $\Lambda_{\mathbb{1}_k}$.

We should mention that it seems that the case of $\mathbf{n} = \mathbb{1}_k$ is also studied in [38]. However, this is exactly the case which is completely ignored there. This could be due to two reasons: one is that $\Lambda_d(\mathbb{1}_k, \mathbf{m})$ is clearly *not* locally faithful, which is the crucial property required in [38]; the other is that this case, at first glance, seems too special.

Observation 4.14. The observations below are obvious and will be used frequently later without any further mention.

- (i) For every $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{N}^k$, $\Lambda_{\mathbb{1}_k}^{\mathbf{p}}$ is a singleton: $\Lambda_{\mathbb{1}_k}^{\mathbf{p}} = \{\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{p}} := \mathbf{x}_1^{p_1} \cdots \mathbf{x}_k^{p_k}\}$. Also, s_μ is a unitary for every $\mu \in \Lambda_{\mathbb{1}_k}$.

- (ii) $\Lambda(\mathbb{1}_k, \mathbf{m})$ is pseudo-free. In fact, some computations show $a^n|_\mu = a^{n\mathbf{m}^{d(\mu)}}$ and so $a^n|_\mu = 0 \iff n = 0$.

Our next goal is to show that $\Lambda_d(\mathbb{1}_k, \mathbf{m})$ has a canonical Cartan subalgebra. In particular, this canonical Cartan subalgebra is \mathcal{F} if $\{|m_i| : 1 \leq i \leq k\}$ is multiplicatively independent; it properly contains \mathcal{F} , otherwise.

Lemma 4.15. $\mathcal{F} = \overline{\text{span}}\{s_\mu u_{a^\ell} s_\mu^* : \mu \in \Lambda_{\mathbb{1}_k}, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}\} = \overline{\text{span}}\{s_\mu^n u_{a^\ell} s_\mu^{-n} : d(\mu) = \mathbb{1}_k, n \in \mathbb{N}, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$

Proof. It is known and easy to see that $\mathcal{F} = \overline{\text{span}}\{s_\mu u_{a^m} s_\nu^* \mid \mu, \nu \in \Lambda_{\mathbb{1}_k}, d(\mu) = d(\nu), m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. It follows from Observation 4.14 that $d(\mu) = d(\nu)$ forces $\mu = \nu$, say equal to \mathbf{x}^n for some $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^k$. WLOG, we assume that $n_1 - n_2 = l \geq 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} s_{\mathbf{x}^n} u_{a^m} s_{\mathbf{x}^n}^{-1} &= s_{\mathbf{x}_3^{n_3} \dots \mathbf{x}_k^{n_k}} s_{\mathbf{x}_1^{n_1}} s_{\mathbf{x}_2^{n_2}} u_{a^m} s_{\mathbf{x}_2^{n_2}}^{-1} s_{\mathbf{x}_1^{n_1}}^{-1} s_{\mathbf{x}_3^{n_3} \dots \mathbf{x}_k^{n_k}}^{-1} \\ &= s_{\mathbf{x}_3^{n_3} \dots \mathbf{x}_k^{n_k}} s_{\mathbf{x}_1^{n_1}} s_{\mathbf{x}_2^{n_2}} s_{\mathbf{x}_2^\ell} u_{a^m} s_{\mathbf{x}_2^\ell}^{-1} s_{\mathbf{x}_3^{n_3} \dots \mathbf{x}_k^{n_k}}^{-1} s_{\mathbf{x}_2^{n_2}}^{-1} s_{\mathbf{x}_1^{n_1}}^{-1} \\ &= s_{\mathbf{x}_3^{n_3} \dots \mathbf{x}_k^{n_k}} s_{\mathbf{x}_1^{n_1}} s_{\mathbf{x}_2^{n_2}} u_{a^m} s_{\mathbf{x}_2^\ell}^{-1} s_{\mathbf{x}_3^{n_3} \dots \mathbf{x}_k^{n_k}}^{-1} s_{\mathbf{x}_2^{n_2}}^{-1} s_{\mathbf{x}_1^{n_1}}^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

After repeating this process, all the \mathbf{x}_i 's will have the same exponent. ■

Lemma 4.16. \mathcal{F} is commutative.

Proof. Compute

$$\begin{aligned} (s_\mu u_{a^l} s_\mu^*)(s_\nu u_{a^n} s_\nu^*) &= s_\mu u_{a^l} s_\nu s_\mu^* u_{a^n} s_\nu^* \text{ (as } s_\mu^* s_\nu = s_\nu s_\mu^*) \\ &= s_\mu s_\nu u_{a^l|_\nu} (u_{a^{-n}} s_\mu)^* s_\nu^* \text{ (as } a^l \cdot \nu = \nu) \\ &= s_\mu s_\nu u_{a^l|_\nu} (u_{a^{-n}}|_\mu)^{-1} s_\mu^* s_\nu^* \\ &= s_\mu s_\nu u_{a^l|_\nu} u_{a^n|_{a^{-n} \cdot \mu}} s_\mu^* s_\nu^* \\ &= s_\mu s_\nu u_{a^l|_\nu} u_{a^n|_\mu} s_\mu^* s_\nu^* \text{ (as } a^{-n} \cdot \mu = \mu) \\ &= (s_\nu u_{a^n} s_\nu^*)(s_\mu u_{a^l} s_\mu^*). \end{aligned}$$

It now follows from Lemma 4.15 that \mathcal{F} is commutative. ■

Lemma 4.17. $\mathcal{F}' = \overline{\text{span}}\{s_\mu u_{a^n} s_\nu^* : \mu, \nu \in \Lambda_{\mathbb{1}} \text{ with } \mathbf{m}^{d(\mu)} = \mathbf{m}^{d(\nu)}, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$

Proof. Similar to [53],⁴ it suffices to show $x \in \text{Ran } \Phi_{\mathbf{p}} \cap \mathcal{F}'$ has the given form. By the Cuntz-Krieger relation, one could assume that $x = s_\mu A s_\nu^*$ with $d(\mu) - d(\nu) = \mathbf{p}$ and $A \in C^*(u_a)$. One could further assume that $A = f(u_a)$, where $f(z) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i z^{M_i} \neq 0$ for some $0 \neq \lambda_i \in \mathbb{C}$ and $M_i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

⁴The details will be included in the first author's PhD thesis.

Also, it is clear that $s_\mu s_\nu = s_\nu s_\mu$ and $s_\mu s_\nu^* = s_\nu^* s_\mu$ for all $\mu, \nu \in \Lambda_{\mathbb{1}_k}$. Then, for all $N \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\omega \in \Lambda_{\mathbb{1}_k}$, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
& s_\mu A s_\nu^* s_\omega u_{a^N} s_\omega^* - s_\omega u_{a^N} s_\omega^* s_\mu A s_\nu^* \\
&= s_\mu \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i u_{a^{M_i}} \right) s_\omega s_\nu^* u_{a^N} s_\omega^* - s_\omega u_{a^N} s_\mu s_\omega^* \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i u_{a^{M_i}} \right) s_\nu^* \\
&= s_\mu s_\omega \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i u_{a^{M_i \mathfrak{m}^d(\omega)}} \right) u_{a^{N \mathfrak{m}^d(\nu)}} s_\nu^* s_\omega^* - s_\omega s_\mu u_{a^{N \mathfrak{m}^d(\mu)}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i u_{a^{M_i \mathfrak{m}^d(\omega)}} \right) s_\omega^* s_\nu^* \\
&= s_\mu s_\omega \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i u_{a^{M_i \mathfrak{m}^d(\omega)}} \right) (u_{a^{N \mathfrak{m}^d(\nu)}} - u_{a^{N \mathfrak{m}^d(\mu)}}) s_\omega^* s_\nu^* \\
&= s_\mu s_\omega f(u_{a^{\mathfrak{m}^d(\omega)}}) (u_{a^{N \mathfrak{m}^d(\nu)}} - u_{a^{N \mathfrak{m}^d(\mu)}}) s_\omega^* s_\nu^*.
\end{aligned}$$

After identifying $C^*(a)$ with $C(\mathbb{T})$ (see Proposition 2.7), the above is equal to 0 iff

$$f(z^{\mathfrak{m}^d(\omega)}) (z^{N \mathfrak{m}^d(\nu)} - z^{N \mathfrak{m}^d(\mu)}) = 0,$$

and therefore, if and only if $\mathfrak{m}^d(\mu) = \mathfrak{m}^d(\nu)$. ■

Lemma 4.18. \mathcal{F}' is a MASA of $\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda(\mathbb{1}_k, \mathfrak{m})}$.

Proof. We first show that \mathcal{F}' is abelian. For this, let $A := s_\mu u_{a^M} s_\nu^*$ and $B := s_\alpha u_{a^N} s_\beta^*$ be two standard generators in \mathcal{F}' . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
AB &= s_\mu u_{a^M} s_\nu^* s_\alpha u_{a^N} s_\beta^* = s_\mu u_{a^M} s_\alpha s_\nu^* u_{a^N} s_\beta^* = s_\mu s_\alpha u_{a^{M \mathfrak{m}^d(\alpha)}} u_{a^{N \mathfrak{m}^d(\nu)}} s_\nu^* s_\beta^*, \\
BA &= s_\alpha u_{a^N} s_\beta^* s_\mu u_{a^M} s_\nu^* = s_\alpha u_{a^N} s_\mu s_\beta^* u_{a^M} s_\nu^* = s_\mu s_\alpha u_{a^{N \mathfrak{m}^d(\mu)}} u_{a^{M \mathfrak{m}^d(\beta)}} s_\nu^* s_\beta^*.
\end{aligned}$$

But $\mathfrak{m}^d(\mu) = \mathfrak{m}^d(\nu)$ and $\mathfrak{m}^d(\alpha) = \mathfrak{m}^d(\beta)$ as $A, B \in \mathcal{F}'$. Thus $AB = BA$ and so \mathcal{F}' is abelian.

Now we show \mathcal{F}' is a MASA. Let $s_\alpha u_{a^N} s_\beta^* \in \mathcal{F}'$ and $s_\mu A s_\nu^* \in \text{Ran } \Phi_{\mathbf{p}} \cap \mathcal{F}''$ with $A \in C^*(u_a)$. Similar to the proof of Lemma 4.17, we have for all $\mu, \nu \in \Lambda_{\mathbb{1}_k}$ and $N \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\begin{aligned}
& s_\mu A s_\nu^* s_\alpha u_{a^N} s_\beta^* - s_\alpha u_{a^N} s_\beta^* s_\mu A s_\nu^* \\
&= s_\mu \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i u_{a^{M_i}} \right) s_\alpha s_\nu^* u_{a^N} s_\beta^* - s_\alpha u_{a^N} s_\mu s_\beta^* \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i u_{a^{M_i}} \right) s_\nu^* \\
&= s_\mu s_\alpha \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i u_{a^{M_i \mathfrak{m}^d(\alpha)}} \right) u_{a^{N \mathfrak{m}^d(\nu)}} s_\nu^* s_\beta^* - s_\alpha s_\mu u_{a^{N \mathfrak{m}^d(\mu)}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i u_{a^{M_i \mathfrak{m}^d(\beta)}} \right) s_\beta^* s_\nu^* \\
&= s_\alpha s_\mu \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i u_{a^{M_i \mathfrak{m}^d(\alpha)}} \right) u_{a^{N \mathfrak{m}^d(\nu)}} - u_{a^{N \mathfrak{m}^d(\mu)}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i u_{a^{M_i \mathfrak{m}^d(\beta)}} \right) \right] s_\beta^* s_\nu^*.
\end{aligned}$$

Identify $C^*(u_a)$ with $C(\mathbb{T})$ and notice $\mathfrak{m}^d(\alpha) = \mathfrak{m}^d(\beta)$. Then the above is equal to 0, iff

$$f(z^{\mathfrak{m}^d(\alpha)}) (z^{N \mathfrak{m}^d(\nu)} - z^{N \mathfrak{m}^d(\mu)}) = 0 \iff \mathfrak{m}^d(\mu) = \mathfrak{m}^d(\nu).$$

Hence $s_\mu A s_\nu^* \in \mathcal{F}'$ and therefore $\mathcal{F}' = \mathcal{F}''$. ■

Now suppose that $\{|m_i| : 1 \leq i \leq k\}$ is multiplicatively independent. Then $\mathcal{F}' = \mathcal{F}$, which is also the diagonal subalgebra of $\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda(\mathbb{1}_k, \mathbf{m})}$. So there is a conditional expectation Φ from $\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda(\mathbb{1}_k, \mathbf{m})}$ onto \mathcal{F} . Therefore \mathcal{F} is a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda(\mathbb{1}_k, \mathbf{m})}$.

Corollary 4.19. *Suppose that $\{|m_i| : 1 \leq i \leq k\}$ is multiplicatively independent. Then \mathcal{F} is a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda(\mathbb{1}, \mathbf{m})}$.*

Suppose that $m_i > 0$ ($1 \leq i \leq k$). For convenience, we use the convention: If $a|_\mu = a^n$, then $t^{\ln a|_\mu} := t^{\ln n}$. Thus

$$\ln a|_{\mu\nu} = \ln \mathbf{m}^{d(\mu\nu)} = \ln \mathbf{m}^{d(\mu)} + \ln \mathbf{m}^{d(\nu)} = \ln a|_\mu + \ln a|_\nu.$$

Therefore we obtain action α of \mathbb{T} on $\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda(\mathbb{1}_k, \mathbf{m})}$ as follows:

$$\alpha_t(s_\mu) = t^{\ln a|_\mu} s_\mu, \quad \alpha_t(u_a) = u_a \text{ for all } \mu \in \Lambda_{\mathbb{1}_k} \text{ and } t \in \mathbb{T}.$$

Define

$$\Psi(x) := \int_{\mathbb{T}} \alpha_t(x) dt \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathcal{O}_{\Lambda(\mathbb{1}, \mathbf{m})}.$$

Lemma 4.20. *Suppose that $m_i > 0$ ($1 \leq i \leq k$). Then \mathcal{F}' is the fixed point algebra of α . Furthermore, Ψ a faithful conditional expectation from $\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda(\mathbb{1}_k, \mathbf{m})}$ onto \mathcal{F}' .*

Proof. We only need to show the faithfulness of Ψ here, as other parts can be proved similarly to the corresponding parts for Φ_0 . Let $\Phi_0|_{\mathcal{F}'}$ be the restriction of Φ_0 onto \mathcal{F}' , and Ψ be the expectation induced from α above. Then one can check that $\Phi_0 = \Phi|_{\mathcal{F}'} \circ \Psi$. The faithfulness of Ψ follows from that of Φ_0 . \blacksquare

Theorem 4.21. *Suppose that $m_i > 0$ ($1 \leq i \leq k$). Then \mathcal{F}' is a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda(\mathbb{1}_k, \mathbf{m})}$.*

Proof. It remains to show that \mathcal{F}' is regular. For this, let $A := s_\mu u_a^M s_\nu^* \in \mathcal{F}'$ and $B := s_\alpha u_a^N s_\beta^* \in \mathcal{O}_{\Lambda(\mathbb{1}_k, \mathbf{m})}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} B^*AB &= s_\beta u_{a^{-N}} s_\alpha^* s_\mu u_{a^M} s_\nu^* s_\alpha u_{a^N} s_\beta^* \\ &= s_\beta u_{a^{-N}} s_\mu s_\alpha^* u_{a^M} s_\alpha s_\nu^* u_{a^N} s_\beta^* \\ &= s_\beta s_\mu u_{a^{-N\mathbf{m}^{d(\mu)}}} u_{a^{M\mathbf{m}^{d(\alpha)}}} s_\alpha^* s_\alpha s_\nu^* u_{a^N} s_\beta^* \\ &= s_\beta s_\mu u_{a^{-N\mathbf{m}^{d(\mu)}}} u_{a^{M\mathbf{m}^{d(\alpha)}}} u_{a^{N\mathbf{m}^{d(\nu)}}} s_\nu^* s_\beta^* \\ &= s_\beta s_\mu u_{a^{M\mathbf{m}^{d(\alpha)}}} s_\nu^* s_\beta^* \text{ (as } \mathbf{m}^{d(\mu)} = \mathbf{m}^{d(\nu)}) \\ &\in \mathcal{F}'. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore \mathcal{F}' is regular. \blacksquare

Let G be a discrete (countable) group. A subgroup $S \subseteq G$ is called *immediately centralizing* if, for every $g \in G$, we either have $\{xgx^{-1} : x \in S\} = \{g\}$ or $\{xgx^{-1} : x \in S\}$ is infinite.⁵

Theorem 4.22. *\mathcal{F}' is a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda(\mathbb{1}_k, \mathbf{m})}$.*

⁵This definition is slightly different from the one used in [19], but mentioned in [17] and [18]. Thanks to Anna Duwenig and Rachael Norton for some discussion.

Proof. Let $G := \langle a, \mathbf{x}_i : a\mathbf{x}_i = \mathbf{x}_i a^{m_i}, 1 \leq i \leq k \rangle$. Then it is easy to see that $\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda(\mathbb{1}_k, \mathbf{m})} \cong C^*(G)$ via $u_a \mapsto a$ and $s_{\mathbf{x}_i} \mapsto \mathbf{x}_i$. By [39], $\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda(\mathbb{1}_k, \mathbf{m})}$ is amenable and so is $C^*(G)$. Thus $\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda(\mathbb{1}_k, \mathbf{m})} \cong C^*(G) \cong C_r^*(G)$.

Let $S := \{\mu a^n \nu^{-1} : \mathbf{m}^{d(\mu)} = \mathbf{m}^{d(\nu)}, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Similar to the proof of Theorem 4.21, one can easily show that S is a normal subgroup of G . Also, analogous to the proof of Lemma 4.18,⁶ one can show that, for $\alpha a^k \beta^{-1} \in G$, if the set $\{(\mu a^n \nu^{-1})(\alpha a^k \beta^{-1})(\nu a^{-n} \mu^{-1}) : \mu, \nu \in \Lambda(\mathbb{1}_k, \mathbf{m}), n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is not a singleton, then it has to be infinite. Indeed,

$$(\mu a^n \nu^{-1})(\alpha a^k \beta^{-1})(\nu a^{-n} \mu^{-1}) = (\mu' a^{n'} \nu'^{-1})(\alpha a^k \beta^{-1})(\nu' a^{-n'} \mu'^{-1}) \iff n \mathbf{m}^{d(\mu')} = n' \mathbf{m}^{d(\mu)}.$$

Hence S is immediately centralizing. By [19, Theorem 3.1], $C_r^*(S)$ is Cartan in $C_r^*(G)$. Therefore \mathcal{F}' is Cartan in $\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda(\mathbb{1}_k, \mathbf{m})}$. \blacksquare

Remark 4.23. Notice that, since $\Lambda_{\mathbb{1}_k}$ has a unique infinite path, every triple (μ, a^n, ν) is cycline. So the cycline subalgebra of $\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda(\mathbb{1}_k, \mathbf{m})}$ coincides with $\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda(\mathbb{1}_k, \mathbf{m})}$, and does not provide much information of the canonical Cartan subalgebra \mathcal{F}' in general.

4.5. The spectrum of \mathcal{F} . We end this paper by computing the spectrum of \mathcal{F} to connect with Furstenberg's $\times p, \times q$ conjecture in the viewpoint of [8, 23]. Let $1 \leq p_1, \dots, p_n \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$\varphi : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}, z \mapsto z^{p_1 \cdots p_n}.$$

Then the inverse limit

$$\varprojlim (\mathbb{T}, \varphi) := \left\{ (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{T} : x_n = \varphi(x_{n+1}) \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

is a solenoid, denoted as $S_{p_1 \cdots p_n}$.

Let $\mathbf{e} := \mathbf{x}_1 \cdots \mathbf{x}_k$, the unique path in $\Lambda_{\mathbb{1}_k}$ of degree $\mathbb{1}_k$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{n\mathbb{1}_k} &= \overline{\text{span}}\{s_{\mathbf{e}^n} u_{a^m} s_{\mathbf{e}^n}^* \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \\ \mathcal{F} &= \overline{\text{span}}\{s_{\mathbf{e}^n} u_{a^m} s_{\mathbf{e}^n}^* \mid n \in \mathbb{N}, m \in \mathbb{Z}\} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \overline{\mathcal{F}_{n\mathbb{1}_k}}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $s_{\mathbf{e}^n} u_a s_{\mathbf{e}^n}^*$ is a unitary that generates $\mathcal{F}_{n\mathbb{1}_k}$. Then one can see that there is an isomorphism $\psi_n : \mathcal{F}_{n\mathbb{1}_k} \rightarrow C(\mathbb{T})$.

Let $\mathfrak{M} := \prod_{i=1}^k m_i$.

Proposition 4.24. *Consider the subalgebras $\mathcal{F}_{n\mathbb{1}_k}$ along with the inclusions $\varphi_n : \mathcal{F}_{n\mathbb{1}_k} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{(n+1)\mathbb{1}_k}$ given by $\varphi_n(s_{\mathbf{e}^n} u_{a^p} s_{\mathbf{e}^n}^*) = s_{\mathbf{e}^{n+1}} u_{a^{p\mathfrak{M}}} s_{\mathbf{e}^{n+1}}^*$. Then $\mathcal{F} = \varinjlim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{n\mathbb{1}_k}$, and the spectrum of \mathcal{F} is homeomorphic to $S_{\mathfrak{M}}$.*

Proof. Notice that

$$s_{\mathbf{e}^n} u_{a^p} s_{\mathbf{e}^n}^* = s_{\mathbf{e}^n} s_{\mathbf{e}} s_{\mathbf{e}}^* u_{a^p} s_{\mathbf{e}^n}^* = s_{\mathbf{e}^{n+1}} u_{a^{p\mathfrak{M}}} s_{\mathbf{e}^{n+1}}^*.$$

This shows that φ_n is indeed an inclusion of C^* -algebras. Since the union $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_{n\mathbb{1}_k}$ is dense in \mathcal{F} (actually they are equal), we have that \mathcal{F} is isomorphic to the direct limit of $(\mathcal{F}_{n\mathbb{1}_k}, \varphi_n)$ (see [42] Remark 6.1.3).

Due to [50, Theorem 2], the spectrum of \mathcal{F} is the projective limit of the spectra of the subalgebras $\mathcal{F}_{n\mathbb{1}_k}$, with the maps $\phi_{n+1} : \widehat{\mathcal{F}_{(n+1)\mathbb{1}_k}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{F}_{n\mathbb{1}_k}}$ which induce the maps φ_n . However

⁶Actually, it is easier here since $A = a^n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

we will work with an isomorphic direct system in order to make things more concrete. Observe that we have an isomorphism ψ_n between $\mathcal{F}_{n\mathbb{1}_k}$ and $C(\mathbb{T})$ that sends the element $s_{\mathbf{e}^n} a s_{\mathbf{e}^n}^* \in \mathcal{F}_n$ to the function $f(z) = z$ in $C(\mathbb{T})$, which we will just denote by z (note that z is a unitary element that generates $C(\mathbb{T})$). Let $\varphi'_n : C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow C(\mathbb{T})$ be the map defined by sending the function z to $z^{\mathfrak{m}}$. Then by a direct calculation the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}_{n\mathbb{1}_k} & \xrightarrow{\varphi_n} & \mathcal{F}_{(n+1)\mathbb{1}_k} \\ \psi_n \downarrow & & \downarrow \psi_{n+1} \\ C(\mathbb{T}) & \xrightarrow{\varphi'_n} & C(\mathbb{T}) \end{array}$$

By [50, Proposition 2], \mathcal{F} is then isomorphic to the direct limit of the system $(C(\mathbb{T}), \varphi'_{n,n+1})$. We now observe that homeomorphism $\rho : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ defined by $\rho(z) = z^{\mathfrak{m}}$ induces the maps $\varphi'_n : C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow C(\mathbb{T})$, and so the spectrum \mathcal{F} is homeomorphic to the projective limit of

$$\mathbb{T} \xleftarrow{\rho} \mathbb{T} \xleftarrow{\rho} \mathbb{T} \xleftarrow{\rho} \mathbb{T} \xleftarrow{\rho} \dots$$

which is precisely $S_{\mathfrak{M}}$. ■

Remark 4.25. One can show that $\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda(\mathbb{1}_k, \mathfrak{m})} \cong \mathcal{F} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^k \cong C(S_{\mathfrak{M}}) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^k$. In fact, the action of \mathbb{Z}^k on \mathcal{F} is given by

$$\alpha : \mathbb{Z}^k \rightarrow \text{Aut } \mathcal{F}, \quad \alpha_{\mathbf{n}}(A) = s_{\nu} A s_{\nu}^*,$$

where ν is the unique path in $\Lambda_{\mathbb{1}_k}$ of degree \mathbf{n} .

Acknowledgements. Some results in this paper were presented at COSy 2023 and the workshop “Groups and Group Actions” in Thematic Program on Operator Algebras and Applications in 2023. The second author is very grateful to the organizers for the invitations and providing great opportunities to present our results. Also, thanks go to Boyu Li for some discussion at the early stage of this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] S.I. Adjan, *Defining relations and algorithmic problems for groups and semigroups*, Proceedings of the Steklov Institute of Mathematics, No. 85 (1966). Translated from the Russian by M. Greendlinger, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1966.
- [2] S. Barlak, T. Omland, and N. Stammeier, *On the K-theory of C*-algebras arising from integral dynamics*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **38** (201), 832–862.
- [3] L. Bartholdi, R. Grigorchuk and V. Nekrashevych, *From fractal groups to fractal sets*, Erwin Schrödinger International Institute for Mathematical Physics, 2002.
- [4] O. Bratteli and D.W. Robinson, *Operator algebras and quantum statistical mechanics. 2, Equilibrium states. Models in quantum statistical mechanics*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997, xiv+519.
- [5] J.H. Brown, G. Nagy, S. Reznikoff, A. Sims, and D.P. Williams, *Cartan sub-algebras in C*-algebras of Hausdorff étale groupoids*, Integral Equations Operator Theory **85** (2016), 109–126.
- [6] N. Brownlowe, J. Ramagge, D. Robertson, and M. Whittaker, *Zappa-szép products of semigroups and their C*-algebras*, Journal of Functional Analysis, **266** (2014), 3937–3967.
- [7] N. Brownlowe, N. Larsen, J. Ramagge, and N. Stammeier, *C*-algebras of right LCM monoids and their equilibrium states*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **373** (2020), 5235–5273.
- [8] C. Bruce and E. Scarparo, *A tracial characterization of Furstenberg’s $\times p, \times q$ conjecture*, Canad. Math. Bull. **67**(2024), 244–256.
- [9] C. Chen and X. Li, *Semigroup C*-algebras arising from graphs of monoids*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2023), no.20, 17600–17655.

- [10] L. Clark, A. an Huef, and I. Raeburn, *Phase transition on the Toeplitz algebra of Baumslag-Solitar semigroups*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **65** (2016), 2137–2173.
- [11] A. Connes and M. Marcolli, *Noncommutative geometry, quantum fields and motives*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI; Hindustan Book Agency, New Delhi, 2008, xxii+785.
- [12] K.R. Davidson, *C*-algebras by example*, Fields Inst. Monogr., 6, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1996, xiv+309 pp.
- [13] K.R. Davidson, S. Power, and D. Yang, *Atomic representations of rank 2 graph algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. **255** (2008), 819–853.
- [14] K.R. Davidson and D. Yang, *Periodicity in rank 2 graph algebras*, Canad. J. Math. **61** (2009), 1239–1261.
- [15] K.R. Davidson and D. Yang, *Representations of higher rank graph algebras*, New York J. Math. **15** (2009), 169–198.
- [16] K.R. Davidson, S. Power, and D. Yang, *Dilation theory for rank 2 graph algebras*, J. Operator Theory **63** (2010), 245–270.
- [17] A. Duwenig, *ECNU-Cartan*, private communication.
- [18] A. Duwenig, E. Gillaspy, and R. Norton, *Analyzing the Weyl construction for dynamical Cartan subalgebras*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2022), no. 20, 15721–15755.
- [19] A. Duwenig, E. Gillaspy, R. Norton, S. Reznikoff, and S. Wright, *Cartan subalgebras for non-principal twisted groupoid C*-algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. **279** (2020), 108611, 40 pp.
- [20] R. Exel and E. Pardo, *Self-similar graphs, a unified treatment of Katsura and Nekrashevych C*-algebras*, Adv. Math. **306** (2017), 1046–1129.
- [21] N.J. Fowler and A. Sims, *Product systems over right-angled Artin semigroups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **354** (2002), 1487–1509.
- [22] H. Furstenberg, *Disjointness in ergodic theory, minimal sets, and a problem in Diophantine approximation*, Math. Syst. Theory **1** (1967), 1–49.
- [23] H. Huang and J. Wu, *Ergodic invariant states and irreducible representations of crossed product C*-algebras*, J. Operator Theory **78** (2017), 159–172.
- [24] A. an Huef, B. Nucinkis, C. Sehnm, and D. Yang, *Nuclearity of semigroup C*-algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. **280** (2021), no. 2, Paper No. 108793.
- [25] A. an Huef, M. Laca, I. Raeburn, and A. Sims, *KMS states on the C*-algebra of a higher-rank graph and periodicity in the path space*, J. Funct. Anal. **268** (2015), 1840–1875.
- [26] A. an Huef, M. Laca and I. Raeburn, *Boundary quotients of the right Toeplitz algebra of the affine semigroup over the natural numbers*, New Zealand J. Math. **52** (2021), 109–143.
- [27] A. Johnson, *Measures on the circle invariant under multiplication by a nonlacunary subsemigroup of the integers*, Israel J. Math. **77** (1992), 211–240.
- [28] T. Katsura, *The ideal structures of crossed products of Cuntz algebras by quasi-free actions of abelian groups*, Canad. J. Math. **55** (2003), 1302–1338.
- [29] T. Katsura, *A class of C*-algebras generalizing both graph algebras and homeomorphism C*-algebras. IV. Pure infiniteness*, J. Funct. Anal. **254** (2008), 1161–1187.
- [30] T. Katsura, *A construction of actions on Kirchberg algebras which induce given actions on their K-groups*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **617** (2008), 27–65.
- [31] E. Kirchberg, *The classification of purely infinite C*-algebras using Kasparov’s theory*, 1994. Unpublished preprint.
- [32] A. Kumjian and D. Pask, *Higher rank graph C*-algebras*, New York J. Math. **6** (2000), 1–20.
- [33] M. Laca and I. Raeburn, *Phase transitions on the Toeplitz algebra of the affine semigroup over the natural numbers*, Adv. Math. **225** (2010), 643–688.
- [34] M. Laca, I. Raeburn, J. Ramagge, and M.F. Whittaker, *Equilibrium states on operator algebras associated to self-similar actions of groupoids on graphs*, Adv. Math. **331** (2018), 268–325.
- [35] B. Li, *Wold decomposition on odometer semigroups*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A **152** (2022), 738–755.
- [36] B. Li and D. Yang, *Wold decomposition and C*-envelopes of self-similar semigroup actions on graphs*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **537** (2024), 128395. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2024.128395>.
- [37] H. Li and D. Yang, *Boundary quotient C*-algebras of products of odometers*, Canad. J. Math. **71** (2019), 183–212.

- [38] H. Li and D. Yang, *KMS states of self-similar k -graph C^* -algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. **276** (2019), 3795–3831.
- [39] H. Li and D. Yang, *Self-similar k -graph C^* -algebras*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN **15** (2021), 11270–11305.
- [40] H. Li and D. Yang, *The ideal structures of self-similar k -graph C^* -algebras*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **41** (2021), 2480–2507.
- [41] X. Li, *Semigroup C^* -algebras and amenability of semigroups*, J. Funct. Anal. **262** (2012), 4302–4340.
- [42] G. J. Murphy, *C^* -algebras and Operator Theory*. Academic Press. 2004
- [43] V. Nekrashevych, *Cuntz-Pimsner algebras of group actions*, J. Operator Theory **52** (2004), 223–249.
- [44] V. Nekrashevych, *Self-similar groups*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2005, xii+231.
- [45] V. Nekrashevych, *C^* -algebras and self-similar groups*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **630** (2009), 59–123.
- [46] D. Rudolph, *$\times 2, \times 3$ invariant measures and entropy*, Ergod. Th. and Dynam. Syst. **10** (1990), 395–406.
- [47] E. Scarparo, *A torsion-free algebraically C^* -unique group*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **50** (2020), 1813–1815.
- [48] J.-P. Serre, *Trees*. Translated from the French by John Stillwell, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1980.
- [49] J. Spielberg, *C^* -algebras of categories of paths associated to the Baumslag-Solitar groups*, J. London Math. Soc. **86** (2012), 728–754.
- [50] Z. Takeda, *Inductive limit and infinite direct product of operator algebras*, Tohoku Mathematical Journal, **7** (1955), 1–2.
- [51] D. Yang, *Endomorphisms and modular theory of 2-graph C^* -algebras*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **59** (2010), 495–520.
- [52] D. Yang, *Type III von Neumann algebras associated with 2-graphs*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. **44** (2012), 675–686.
- [53] D. Yang, *Cycline subalgebras of k -graph C^* -algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **144** (2016), 2959–2969.
- [54] D. Yang, *The interplay between k -graphs and the Yang-Baxter equation*, J. Algebra **451** (2016), 494–525.

ROBERT VALENTE, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS & STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF WINDSOR, WINDSOR, ON N9B 3P4, CANADA
Email address: valenter@uwindsor.ca

DILIAN YANG, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS & STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF WINDSOR, WINDSOR, ON N9B 3P4, CANADA
Email address: dyang@uwindsor.ca