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#### Abstract

We discuss notions of almost complex, complex and Kähler structures in the realm of non-commutative geometry and investigate them for a class of finite dimensional spectral triples on the three-point space. We classify all the almost complex structures on this non-commutative manifold, which also turn out to be complex structures, but none of them are Kähler in our sense.


## 1. Introduction

Almost complex, complex and Kähler structures play an important role in differential and Riemannian geometry. As a generalization of classical Riemannian geometry, non-commutative geometry was formulated by Alain Connes Con94 Lan97. In this context, it is important and natural to formulate analogues of almost complex, complex and Kähler structures. However, it is rather surprising that except for a few attempts FGR99 in the early days of the subject and more recent works by a few authors B14 B17, B́17, BPS13, KLvS11, KM11 not too much has been done in this direction. In this article, our humble aim is to propose some formulation of these notions and investigate them in a very simple, finite dimensional non-commutative manifold on the three-point space. We classify all the almost complex structures on this non-commutative manifold, which also turn out to be complex structures, but none of them are Kähler in our sense.

## 2. Complex Structures

We consider a space made of three points $Y=\{1,2,3\}$. The algebra $\mathcal{C}(Y)$ of continuous functions is the direct $\operatorname{sum} \mathcal{C}(Y)=\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}$ and any element $f \in \mathcal{C}(Y)$ is a triplet of complex numbers $\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}\right)$, with $f_{i}=f(i)$ the value of $f$ at the point $i$. The functions $\chi_{i}$ defined by $\chi_{i}(j)=\delta_{i j}, \quad i, j=1,2,3$ form a $\mathbb{C}$-basis for $\mathcal{C}(Y)$.

The following is a simple conceptual tool that will be needed later on.
Definition 1. BPS13 Let $A$ be $a *$-algebra and $E$ be an $A$ bi-module. The conjugate bi-module $\bar{E}$ is defined by declaring:
i) $\bar{E}=E$ as abelian groups;
ii) We write $\bar{e}$ for an element $e \in E$ when we consider it as an element of $\bar{E}$;
iii) The bi-module operations for $\bar{E}$ are $a \cdot \bar{e}=\overline{e \cdot a^{*}}$ and $\bar{e} \cdot a=\overline{a^{*} \cdot e}$.
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Remark 2. If $\theta: E \rightarrow F$ is any morphism then we define $\bar{\theta}: \bar{E} \rightarrow \bar{F}$ by $\bar{\theta}(\bar{e})=\overline{\theta(e)}$.
We now introduce the non-commutative analogue of Kähler differentials for commutative algebras.
Definition 3. BPS13 Let $A$ be an arbitrary $\mathbb{C}$-algebra. Let $\Omega_{u}^{1} A$ denote the kernel of the multiplication map $\mu: A \otimes A \rightarrow A$. We then define the universal differential graded algebra over $A$ to be the tensor algebra

$$
\Omega_{u} A=T_{A}\left(\Omega_{u}^{1} A\right)
$$

endowed with the unique degree one derivation such that

$$
d(a):=1 \otimes a-a \otimes 1
$$

for $a \in A$.
Definition 4. BPS13 A differential calculus or differential structure on $A$ is a differential graded algebra $(\Omega A, d)$ that is a quotient of $\left(\Omega_{u} A, d\right)$ by a differential graded ideal whose degree-zero component is zero.

It follows that $\Omega A$ is generated by $A$ and $\Omega^{1} A$, and $\Omega^{n} A$ is the $\mathbb{C}$-span of

$$
\left\{a_{0} d a_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d a_{n} \mid a_{0} \ldots a_{n} \in A\right\}
$$

The notion of a differential $*$-calculus first appeared in Wor89.
Definition 5. BPS13 $A$ differential calculus $(\Omega A, d)$ on a $*$-algebra $A$ is compatible with the star operation on $A$ if the star operation on $\Omega^{0} A=A$ extends to an involution $\xi \mapsto \xi^{*}$ on $\Omega A$ that preserves the grading and has the property that $d(\xi)^{*}=d\left(\xi^{*}\right)$ and $(\xi \wedge \eta)^{*}=(-1)^{|\xi||\eta|} \eta^{*} \wedge \xi^{*}$ for all homogeneous $\eta, \xi \in \Omega A$. When the conditions hold we call $(\Omega A, d, *)$ a differential $*$-calculus on $A$.
Remark 6. The definition implies $(a \xi b)^{*}=b^{*} \xi^{*} a^{*}$ for all $a, b \in A$ and $\xi \in \Omega A$.
Proposition 7. BPS13 The map $\star: \Omega A \rightarrow \overline{\Omega A}$ defined by $\star(\xi)=\overline{\xi^{*}}$ is an A-bimodule homomorphism.

We will now produce a differential $*$-calculus on the $*$-algebra $\mathcal{C}(Y)$ of continuous functions on the three-point space.

The notion of a spectral triple is the non-commutative analogue of a manifold. It is a basic ingredient in non-commutative geometry as developed in Con85.
Definition 8. Con94 Lan97 A spectral triple $(A, H, D)$ is given by a *-algebra with a faithful representation $\pi: A \rightarrow B(H)$ on the Hilbert space $H$ together with a self-adjoint operator $D=D^{*}$ on $H$ with the following properties:
i) The resolvent $(D-\lambda)^{-1}, \lambda \notin \mathbb{R}$, is a compact operator on $H$;
ii) $[D, a]:=D \pi(a)-\pi(a) D \in B(H)$, for any $a \in A$.

Given a spectral triple $(A, H, D)$ one constructs Lan97 a compatible differential calculus on $A$ by means of a suitable representation of the universal algebra $\Omega_{u} A$ in the algebra of bounded operators on $H$. The map

$$
\pi_{u}: \Omega_{u} A \rightarrow B(H)
$$

given by

$$
\pi_{u}\left(a_{0} d a_{1} \cdots d a_{p}\right):=a_{0}\left[D, a_{1}\right] \cdots\left[D, a_{p}\right], \quad a_{j} \in A
$$

is a $*$-homomorphism of algebras.

Proposition 9. Lan97 Let $J_{0}:=\oplus_{p} J_{0}^{p}$ be the graded two sided ideal of $\Omega_{u} A$ given by

$$
J_{0}^{p}:=\left\{\omega \in \Omega_{u}^{p} A \mid \pi_{u}(\omega)=0\right\}
$$

Then $J:=J_{0}+d J_{0}$ is graded differential ideal of $\Omega_{u} A$.
Remark 10. The elements of $J$ are called junk forms.
Definition 11. The differential graded algebra of Connes' forms over the algebra $A$ is defined by

$$
\Omega_{D} A:=\Omega_{u} A / J \cong \pi_{u}\left(\Omega_{u} A\right) / \pi_{u}(J)
$$

Remark 12. This is a differential $*$-calculus on the $*$-algebra $A$.
Let us now describe a spectral triple on the three-point space $Y=\{1,2,3\}$. This is a special case of a class of spectral triples considered in CI07, Rie99 on compact metric spaces.

Proposition 13. Put $A=\mathcal{C}(Y)$ and $H=\mathbb{C}_{12}^{2} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{23}^{2} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{13}^{2}$ (the subscript ij says that the Hilbert space is along the "edge" connecting the point $i$ with $j$ ). Define $\pi: A \rightarrow B(H)$ by

$$
\pi(f)=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
f(1) & 0 \\
0 & f(2)
\end{array}\right]_{12} \oplus\left[\begin{array}{cc}
f(2) & 0 \\
0 & f(3)
\end{array}\right]_{23} \oplus\left[\begin{array}{cc}
f(1) & 0 \\
0 & f(3)
\end{array}\right]_{13}
$$

for $f \in A$. And finally, define the operator $D$ as

$$
D=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right]_{12} \oplus\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right]_{23} \oplus\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right]_{13}
$$

Then $(A, H, D)$, as constructed above, is a spectral triple on the three-point space.
Proof. The conditions of Definition 8 are satisfied since $H$ is a finite dimensional Hilbert space ( $D$ is manifestly self-adjoint).

Remark 14. Let us denote the differential graded algebra of Connes' forms over the algebra $A=\mathcal{C}(Y)$ simply by $\Omega$.
Theorem 15. Let $(A, H, D)$ be the spectral triple on the three-point space described in Proposition 13. Then $e_{i}=\left[D, \chi_{i}\right], i=1,2$ is a free right basis for $\Omega^{1}$. The bimodule structures are given by

- $\chi_{i} e_{i}=e_{i}\left(1-\chi_{i}\right)$
- $\chi_{i} e_{j}=-e_{i} \chi_{j}, \quad i \neq j$
and
- $e_{i} \chi_{i}=\left(1-\chi_{i}\right) e_{i}$
- $e_{i} \chi_{j}=-\chi_{i} e_{j} \quad i \neq j$

Proof. We note that, by definition, the space of 1 -forms consists of bounded operators on $H$ of the form $\sum_{j} a_{0}^{j}\left[D, a_{1}^{j}\right]$, where $a_{i}^{j} \in A$. We recall that $\chi_{i}, \quad i=1,2,3$ form a $\mathbb{C}$ basis of $A$ and satisfies $\chi_{1}+\chi_{2}+\chi_{3}=1$. Since $[D, 1]=0$, we get the first conclusion. The bi-module structure follows from Leibniz rule and the observations $\chi_{i}^{2}=\chi_{i}, \chi_{i} \chi_{j}=0$.
Remark 16. The basis described above is also a left basis for $\Omega^{1}$.
Remark 17. It can be shown by computation that there are no junk forms. Also the higher spaces of forms are finite dimensional vector spaces.

The following definition is the beginning of non-commutative complex geometry and one can go very far mimicking the classical theory along the lines Huy05.
Definition 18. BPS13, B16 Let $(\Omega A, d, *)$ be $a *$-differential calculus on $A$. An almost complex structure on $(\Omega A, d, *)$ is a degree zero derivation $J: \Omega A \rightarrow \Omega A$ such that
i) $J$ is identically 0 on $A$ and hence an A-bimodule endomorphism of $\Omega A$;
ii) $J^{2}=-1$ on $\Omega^{1} A$; and
iii) $J\left(\xi^{*}\right)=J(\xi)^{*}$ for $\xi \in \Omega^{1} A(J$ preserves $*$, i.e., $\bar{J} \star=\star J)$.

Because $J^{2}=-1$ on $\Omega^{1} A$, there is an $A$-bimodule decomposition

$$
\Omega^{1} A=\Omega^{1,0} A \oplus \Omega^{0,1} A
$$

where

$$
\Omega^{1,0} A=\left\{\omega \in \Omega^{1} A \mid J \omega=\iota \omega\right\}
$$

and

$$
\Omega^{0,1} A=\left\{\omega \in \Omega^{1} A \mid J \omega=-\iota \omega\right\} .
$$

Condition iii) implies $\left(\Omega^{0,1} A\right)^{*}=\Omega^{1,0} A$. ${ }^{1}$
For all $p, q \geq 0$ we define

$$
\Omega^{p, q} A:=\left\{\xi \in \Omega^{p+q} A \mid J \xi=(p-q) \iota \xi\right\} .
$$

Elements in $\Omega^{p, q} A$ are called $(p, q)$ forms. It is a theorem that

$$
\Omega^{n} A=\bigoplus_{p+q=n} \Omega^{p, q} A
$$

For all pairs of non-negative integers $(p, q)$, let

$$
\pi^{p, q}: \Omega^{p+q} A \rightarrow \Omega^{p, q} A
$$

be the projections associated to the direct sum decomposition $\Omega^{n} A=\bigoplus_{p+q=n} \Omega^{p, q} A$.
Remark 19. We call an endomorphism $J: \Omega^{1} A \rightarrow \Omega^{1} A$ satisfying the above conditions a first order almost complex structure. In most of the examples studied so far, one defines the endomorphism on $\Omega^{1} A$ and then extends it to whole of $\Omega A$ using the derivation property and some "basis" of higher forms. In our case we use the free basis for $\Omega^{1}$ and vector space basis for higher forms.
Definition 20. BPS13 B́16 Let

$$
\partial: \Omega^{p, q} A \rightarrow \Omega^{p+1, q} A
$$

be the composition $\pi^{p+1, q} d: \Omega^{p, q} A \rightarrow \Omega^{p+1, q} A$. Let

$$
\bar{\partial}: \Omega^{p, q} A \rightarrow \Omega^{p, q+1} A
$$

be the composition $\pi^{p, q+1} d: \Omega^{p, q} A \rightarrow \Omega^{p, q+1} A$.
The following is the analogue of Newlander-Nirenberg theorem Huy05.
Definition 21. BPS13 B16 An almost complex structure $J$ on $(\Omega A, d, *)$ is integrable if $d \Omega^{1,0} A \subset \Omega^{2,0} A \oplus \Omega^{1,1} A$.
Definition 22. BPS13, B16 A complex structure on $(\Omega A, d, *)$ is an almost complex structure $J$ which is integrable.

[^0]Now we explicitly determine all the complex structures on the three-point space.
Theorem 23. Let $(A, H, D)$ be the spectral triple on the three-point space as described in Proposition 13. Then there are 8 complex structures for the calculus obtained in Theorem 15, as enumerated below:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\bullet i\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1-2 \chi_{3} & 0 \\
2 \chi_{1} & 2 \chi_{2}-1
\end{array}\right], & -i\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1-2 \chi_{3} & 0 \\
2 \chi_{1} & 2 \chi_{2}-1
\end{array}\right] ; \\
\text { - } i\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1-2 \chi_{3} & -2 \chi_{2} \\
2 \chi_{1} & 2 \chi_{3}-1
\end{array}\right], & -i\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1-2 \chi_{3} & -2 \chi_{2} \\
2 \chi_{1} & 2 \chi_{3}-1
\end{array}\right] ; \\
\bullet i\left[\begin{array}{cc}
2 \chi_{1}-1 & 2 \chi_{2} \\
0 & 1-2 \chi_{3}
\end{array}\right], & -i\left[\begin{array}{cc}
2 \chi_{1}-1 & 2 \chi_{2} \\
0 & 1-2 \chi_{3}
\end{array}\right] ; \\
\bullet i\left[\begin{array}{cc}
2 \chi_{1} & 0 \\
0 & 1-2 \chi_{2}
\end{array}\right], & -i\left[\begin{array}{cc}
2 \chi_{1} & 0 \\
0 & 1-2 \chi_{2}
\end{array}\right] .
\end{array}
$$

Proof. By Remark 19, we let $J$ be a first order almost complex structure. Let $J e_{i}=e_{1} J_{1 i}+e_{2} J_{2 i}, \quad i=1,2$ and extend right linearly. Then $J$ is a left module morphism reads as $J\left(\chi_{i} e_{j}\right)=\chi_{i} J\left(e_{j}\right)$ (we use the bi-module rules to take the $\chi_{i}$ to the other side). In coordinates, $J^{2}=-1$ is $\sum_{j, k} e_{k} J_{k j} J_{j i}=e_{i}$. Finally, $J$ preserves $*$ reads as $\sum_{j} e_{j} J_{j i}=\sum_{j} \overline{J_{j i}} e_{j}$.

Now comparing coefficients and solving for $J_{i j}$ from the above equations, we get the first order almost complex structures. Surprisingly, there are no more first order almost complex structures than the listed ones. Next we extend these according to Remark 19 and note that the restriction of any almost complex structure to the space of one forms has to be one of these and since there is only one way to (derivation property) extend the first order ones, we get all the almost complex structures.

For the integrability condition, we check it individually case by case. For example, for $J=i\left[\begin{array}{cc}2 \chi_{1} & 0 \\ 0 & 1-2 \chi_{2}\end{array}\right], \Omega^{1,0}$ consists of elements of the form $\alpha\left(e_{1} \chi_{1}\right)+$ $\beta\left(e_{2} \chi_{1}\right)+\gamma\left(e_{2} \chi_{3}\right), \quad \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}$.

We apply $D$ to an element of that form, followed by $J$, and find that the result is 0 , i.e., the element lies in $\Omega^{1,1}$. So this $J$ is integrable. And similarly for the other $J$ 's, which concludes the proof.

## 3. KÄhler Structures

Let us now go over to a possible non-commutative version of Kähler geometry. We begin with a basic ingredient in the classical theory, namely, that of a metric.

Definition 24. Let $(\Omega A, d, *)$ be a *-differential calculus on $A$. A metric $g$ on $A$ is a non-degenerat ${ }^{2}$ bi-module morphism

$$
g: \Omega^{1} A \otimes_{A} \Omega A^{1} \rightarrow A
$$

and a hermitian metric is a non-degenerate bi-module morphism

$$
h: \Omega^{1} A \otimes_{A} \overline{\Omega^{1} A} \rightarrow A
$$

[^1]Remark 25. Given a hermitian metric $h: \Omega^{1} A \otimes_{A} \overline{\Omega^{1} A} \rightarrow A$, we get a metric $g: \Omega^{1} A \otimes_{A} \Omega A^{1} \rightarrow A$ by defining $g=h \circ(i d \otimes \star)$. We say $h$ is induced by $g$.
Remark 26. Assume that $\Omega^{1} A$ is projective as a left module. Then using a dual basis, we get a bi-module morphism

$$
\mathfrak{g}: A \rightarrow \Omega^{1} A \otimes_{A} \Omega A^{1}
$$

We identify the morphism with the element $\mathfrak{g}(1) \in \Omega^{1} A \otimes_{A} \Omega A^{1}$. We call this element the inverse pf $g$

Along the classical lines we have,
Definition 27. Let $(\Omega A, d, *)$ be $a *$-differential calculus with almost complex structure $J$. We say that a metric $g: \Omega^{1} A \otimes_{A} \Omega A^{1} \rightarrow A$ (respectively, a hermitian metric $h: \Omega^{1} A \otimes_{A} \overline{\Omega^{1} A} \rightarrow A$ ) is compatible with $J$ if $g \circ(J \otimes J)=g$ (respectively, $h \circ(J \otimes \bar{J})=h)$.
Remark 28. If $g$ is induced by $h$ and $h$ is compatible with $J$, then $g$ is automatically compatible with $J$.
Remark 29. If a metric $g$ is compatible with $J$ then $g$ is identically 0 on $\Omega^{1,0} A \otimes_{A}$ $\Omega^{1,0}$ and $\Omega^{0,1} A \otimes_{A} \Omega^{0,1} A$.

The very algebraic definition given in Huy05 has the following counterpart.
Definition 30. Let $(\Omega A, d, *)$ be $a *$-differential calculus with a complex structure $J$ and $g$ be a compatible metric on $A$. Assume $\Omega^{1} A$ is projective as a left module. Let $\mathfrak{g} \in \Omega^{1} A \otimes_{A} \Omega A^{1}$ be the corresponding inverse of $g$. Then the fundamental form $\omega$ is defined to be the form $\wedge(J \otimes i d)\left(\pi^{1,0} \otimes \pi^{0,1}\right)(\mathfrak{g}) \in \Omega^{1,1} A$. The metric is said to be Kähler if $d \omega=0$.

Unfortunately, for the three-point space we have the following
Theorem 31. For the spectral triple $(A, H, D)$ on the three-point space as above in Proposition 13 and the calculus obtained in Theorem 15, there are no compatible Kähler metric for the complex structures enumerated in Theorem 23.

Proof. This is also proved by case by case analysis. We outline the overall scheme.
We first find $J$ compatible metric $g$. Let $g\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right)=g_{i j}$. Since $e_{i}, i=1,2$ is both a right and left basis for $\Omega^{1}$ and $g$ is a bi-module morphism, $g$ is determined by $g_{i j}$ 's. The non-degeneracy condition turns into the invertibility of the matrix $\left[\begin{array}{ll}g_{11} & g_{12} \\ g_{21} & g_{22}\end{array}\right]$ (since $A$ is commutative, this is equivalent to the determinant $g_{11} g_{22}-g_{12} g_{21}$ being an unit).

Then the compatibility condition reads as $g_{i j}=g\left(\sum_{k} e_{k} J_{k i}, \sum_{l} e_{l} J_{l j}\right)$. We take the $J_{k i}$ 's to the right entry of $g$ and then use the bi-module rule to get those out of $g$. Solving, we get $J$ compatible metrics.

The inverse $\mathfrak{g}$ of $g$ takes the form $\sum_{i} e_{i} \otimes\left(\sum_{j} e_{j} g^{j i}\right)$, where $\left[\begin{array}{ll}g^{11} & g^{12} \\ g^{21} & g^{22}\end{array}\right]$ is the inverse of $\left[\begin{array}{ll}g_{11} & g_{12} \\ g_{21} & g_{22}\end{array}\right]$.

According to Defintion 30, we apply the projections and then $J$ on the first tensorand (which is just multiplication by $i$ ) and multiply them, thus getting the fundamental form. The condition $d \omega=0$ then contradicts the non-degeneracy condition of $g$ as can be seen by direct computation.

Remark 32. In the paper B́14 a similar definition of the fundamental form was proposed. But in B17, it is defined more conceptually and many of the standard classical theorems are proved in that paper. It is of interest to know whether the three point space admits a Kähler structure in the set-up of the paper B17.

Remark 33. It remains to study several other examples. The quantum homogeneous spaces arising from quantum groups are studied in [B16, B17] and are still being studied by several people. The Podles' sphere Pod87 and higher projective spaces are studied in KM11.KLvS11. We wish to study the non-commutative complex geometry on the very basic example of a non-commutative manifold, namely, the non-commutative torus Rie81. There has not been done much for this particular example except for the papers PS03 Pol04 KM14 that we know of.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The map $\star: \Omega^{1,0} A \rightarrow \overline{\Omega^{0,1} A}$ is an isomorphism of $A$ bi-modules.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Non-degeneracy means $g\left(\xi,{ }_{-}\right)$induces a right $A$ module isomorphism $\Omega^{1} A \rightarrow\left(\Omega^{1} A\right)^{\prime}$, the ()$^{\prime}$ denoting the right $A$ dual.

