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#### Abstract

In the present paper, we introduce new tensor krylov subspace methods for solving large Sylvester tensor equations. The proposed method uses the well-known T-product for tensors and tensor subspaces. We introduce some new tensor products and the related algebraic properties. These new products will enable us to develop third-order the tensor FOM (tFOM), GMRES (tGMRES), tubal Block Arnoldi and the tensor tubal Block Arnoldi method to solve large Sylvester tensor equation. We give some properties related to these method and present some numerical experiments.
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## 1. Introduction

The aim of this paper is to present numerical Tensor Krylov subspace methods for solving Sylvester tensor equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}(X)=\mathcal{C}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{M}$ is a linear operator that could be described as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}(X)=\mathcal{A} \star X-X \star \mathcal{B}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]where $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ are three-way tensors, leaving the specific dimensions to be defined later, and $\star$ is the T-product introduced by Kilmer and Martin [17, 18].

Consider the following Sylvester matrix equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
A X+X B=C \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the existing body of literature, various methodologies have been proposed to address the solution of Sylvester matrix equations, as articulated in Equation (3). When confronted with matrices of relatively small dimensions, established direct methods, as advocated in seminal works such as 1] and [9], are often recommended. These direct methods leverage Schur decomposition to transform the original equation into a more amenable form, thereby facilitating resolution through forward substitution.

For larger Sylvester matrix equations, iterative projection techniques have been advanced, as evidenced by studies like [5], [13], and [22]. These methods employ Galerkin projection approaches, including both classical and block Arnoldi techniques. By employing such projection methods iteratively, lower-dimensional Sylvester matrix equations are derived, subsequently tackled through direct methods for efficient resolution. Notably, comprehensive approaches to Krylov subspace methods for solving linear systems are elucidated in [4], providing a unified perspective on these iterative methodologies.

It's essential to consider the nature and size of the matrices involved when choosing an appropriate solution strategy, with direct methods favored for smaller matrices and iterative projection methods preferred for handling larger Sylvester matrix equations. The utilization of Schur decomposition and Galerkin projection techniques underscores the adaptability and scalability of these methods across varying problem sizes.

This paper focuses on the development of efficient and robust iterative Krylov subspace methods using the T-product for solving the Sylvester tensor equation (STE) represented by (11). Specifically, when dealing with small-sized tensors in (1), our aim is to extend the matrixoriented direct methods outlined in 1] and [9] to third-order tensors, employing the T-product formalism. This extension leads to the formulation of the t-Bartels-Stewart algorithm.

For larger tensors, we introduce a novel method termed as orthogonal and oblique projection onto a tensor Krylov subspace. Two specific instances of this approach, namely the
tensor Full Orthogonalization Method (tFOM) and the tensor Generalized Minimal Residual Method (tGMRES), are examined. Additionally, we present well-known tensor Tubal Block Krylov methods utilizing the T-product to transform the original large Sylvester equation into a lower-dimensional STE. In this context, we describe the Tubal Block Arnoldi (TBA) as a generalization of the block Arnoldi matrix.

This paper focuses on the development of efficient and robust iterative Krylov subspace methods using the T-product for solving the Sylvester tensor equation (STE) represented by (1). Specifically, when dealing with small-sized tensors in (1), our aim is to extend the matrixoriented direct methods outlined in 1] and [9] to third-order tensors, employing the T-product formalism. This extension leads to the formulation of the t-Bartels-Stewart algorithm.

For larger tensors, we introduce a novel method termed as orthogonal and oblique projection onto a tensor Krylov subspace. Two specific instances of this approach, namely the tensor Full Orthogonalization Method (tFOM) and the tensor Generalized Minimal Residual Method (tGMRES), are examined. Additionally, we present well-known tensor Tubal Block Krylov methods utilizing the T-product to transform the original large Sylvester equation into a lower-dimensional STE. In this context, we describe the Tubal Block Arnoldi (TBA) as a generalization of the block Arnoldi matrix.

This work contributes to the expansion of iterative methods for solving Sylvester tensor equations, catering to both small and large-sized tensors through the adaptation of established matrix-based techniques and the introduction of novel tensor projection approaches.

User reformulate :The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2 we give notations and definitions related to the T-product. More details of all notations and definitions given in this section are given in [6, 7, 8]. In Section 3, we develop the (tFOM) and (tGMRES) methods. The t-Bartels-Stewart method will be introduced in Section 4. After defining a tubal QR factorisation algorithm in Section 5, we will establish the tensor tubal-Block Arnoldi process (TBA) that allows us to introduce the tubal-Block Arnoldi for solving large Sylvester tensor equation (TBAS) method. Finally, some numerical tests are reported in Section 6.

The paper is structured as follows: In Section 2, we present notations and definitions pertaining to the T-product. Comprehensive details for all the notations and definitions introduced in this section can be found in [6, 7, 8]. Section 3 is dedicated to the development of the tensor

Full Orthogonalization Method (tFOM) and the tensor Generalized Minimal Residual Method (tGMRES). In Section 4, we introduce the $t$-Bartels-Stewart method. Following this, Section 5 outlines the tubal QR factorization algorithm before establishing the Tensor Tubal-Block Arnoldi process (TBA). This process enables the introduction of the Tubal-Block Arnoldi for Solving Large Sylvester Tensor Equation (TBAS) method. Finally, Section 6 presents numerical tests to validate and assess the performance of the proposed methods.

## 2. Notation and background

A tensor is a multidimensional array of data. The number of indices of a tensor is called modes or ways. Notice that a scalar can be regarded as a zero mode tensor, first mode tensors are vectors and matrices are second mode tensor. The order of a tensor is the dimensional of the array needed to represent it, also known as ways or modes. For a given N-mode (or order-N) tensor $\mathcal{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{1} \times n_{2} \times n_{3} \ldots \times n_{N}}$, the notation $x_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{N}}\left(\right.$ with $1 \leq i_{j} \leq n_{j}$ and $\left.j=1, \ldots N\right)$ stands for the element $\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{N}\right)$ of the tensor $X$. The norm of a tensor $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{1} \times n_{2} \times \cdots \times n_{\ell}}$ is specified by

$$
\|\mathcal{A}\|_{F}^{2}=\sum_{i_{1}=1}^{n_{1}} \sum_{i_{2}=1}^{n_{2}} \cdots \sum_{i_{\ell}=1}^{n_{\ell}} a_{i_{1} i_{2} \cdots i_{\ell}}^{2} .
$$

Corresponding to a given tensor $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{1} \times n_{2} \times n_{3} \ldots \times n_{N}}$, the notation

$$
x_{(N-1) \text {-times }}^{::^{\ldots}: k}, \text { for } k=1,2, \ldots, n_{N}
$$

denotes a tensor in $\mathbb{R}^{n_{1} \times n_{2} \times n_{3} \ldots \times n_{N-1}}$ which is obtained by fixing the last index and is called frontal slice. Fibers are the higher-order analogue of matrix rows and columns. A fiber is defined by fixing all the indexes except one.

In this paper, a tensor is of third order, i. e., $\mathrm{N}=3$, that will be denoted by the calligraphic script letters, say $\mathcal{A}=\left[a_{i j k}\right]_{i, j, k=1}^{n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}}$. We use capital letters to denote matrices, lower case letters to denote vectors, boldface lower case letters to denote tube fibers (tubal scalars or tubes) and boldface upper-case letters to denote block diagonal matrix. Using MATLAB notation, $\mathcal{A}(:, j, k), \mathcal{A}(i,:, k)$ and $\mathcal{A}(i, j,:)$ denote mode-1, mode-2, and mode-3 fibers, respectively. The notations $\mathcal{A}(i,:,:), \mathcal{A}(:, j,:)$ and $\mathcal{A}(:,:, k)$ stand for the i -th horizontal, j -th lateral, and k-th frontal slices of $\mathcal{A}$, respectively. The $j$-th lateral slice is also denoted by $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{A}}_{j} . T t$ is a tensor of
size $\left(n_{1} \times 1 \times n_{3}\right)$ and will be referred to as a tensor column. Moreover, the $k$-th frontal slices of $\mathcal{A}$ is a matrix size $\left(n_{1} \times n_{2}\right)$ denoted by $\mathcal{A}^{(k)}$.

### 2.1. Definitions and properties of the T-product

In this part, we briefly review some concepts and notations related to the T-product, see [3, 18, 17] for more details. Let $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{1} \times n_{2} \times n_{3}}$ be a third-order tensor, then the operations bcirc, unfold and fold are defined by

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{bcirc}(\mathcal{A})=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathcal{A}^{(1)} & \mathcal{A}^{\left(n_{3}\right)} & \mathcal{A}^{\left(n_{3}-1\right)} & \ldots & \mathcal{A}^{(2)} \\
\mathcal{A}^{(2)} & \mathcal{A}^{(1)} & \mathcal{A}^{\left(n_{3}\right)} & \ldots & \mathcal{A}^{(3)} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\mathcal{A}^{\left(n_{3}\right)} & \mathcal{A}^{\left(n_{3}-1\right)} & \ddots & \mathcal{A}^{(2)} & \mathcal{A}^{(1)}
\end{array}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{1} n_{3} \times n_{2} n_{3}} \\
\operatorname{unfold}(\mathcal{A})=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\mathcal{A}^{(1)} \\
\mathcal{A}^{(2)} \\
\vdots \\
\mathcal{A}^{\left(n_{3}\right)}
\end{array}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{1} n_{3} \times n_{2}}, \\
\end{gathered}
$$

Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}$ be the tensor obtained by applying the discrete Fourier transform DFT matrix $F_{n_{3}} \in$ $\mathbb{C}^{n_{3} \times n_{3}}$; (for more details about DFT matrix, see [12]) on all the 3 -mode tubes of the tensor $\mathcal{A}$. With the Matlab command fft, we have

$$
\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}=\operatorname{fft}(\mathcal{A},[], 3), \text { and } \operatorname{ifft}(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}},[], 3)=\mathcal{A}
$$

where ifft denotes the Inverse Fast Fourier Transform.
Let $\mathbf{A}$ be the matrix

$$
\mathbf{A}=\operatorname{Diag}(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}})=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^{(1)} & & &  \tag{4}\\
& \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^{(2)} & & \\
& & \ddots & \\
& & & \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^{\left(n_{3}\right)}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and the matrices $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^{(i)}$ 's are the frontal slices of the tensor $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}$. The block circulant matrix $\operatorname{bcirc}(\mathcal{A})$ can be block diagonalized by using the DFT matrix and this gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(F_{n_{3}} \otimes I_{n_{1}}\right) \operatorname{bcirc}(\mathcal{A})\left(F_{n_{3}}^{*} \otimes I_{n_{2}}\right)=\mathbf{A} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F_{n_{3}}^{*}$ denotes the conjugate transpose of $F_{n_{3}}$ and $\otimes$ is the Kronecker matrix product. Next we recall the definition of the T-product.

Definition 2.1. The $\boldsymbol{T}$-product ( $\star$ ) between two tensors $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{1} \times n_{2} \times n_{3}}$ and $\mathcal{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{2} \times m \times n_{3}}$ is the $n_{1} \times m \times n_{3}$ tensor given by:

$$
\mathcal{A} \star \mathcal{B}=\operatorname{fold}(\operatorname{bcirc}(\mathcal{A}) \operatorname{unfold}(\mathcal{B}))
$$

Notice that from the relation (4), we can show that the product $\mathcal{C}=\mathcal{A} \star \mathcal{B}$ is equivalent to $\mathbf{C}=\mathbf{A B}$. So, the efficient way to compute the T-product is to use Fast Fourier Transform (FFT). The following algorithm allows us to compute in an efficient way the T-product of the tensors $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$.

```
Algorithm 1 Computing the T-product via FFT
Inputs: \(\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{1} \times n_{2} \times n_{3}}\) and \(\mathcal{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{2} \times m \times n_{3}}\)
Output: \(\mathcal{C}=\mathcal{A} \star \mathcal{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{1} \times m \times n_{3}}\).
```

1. Compute $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}=\operatorname{fft}(\mathcal{A},[], 3)$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}=\operatorname{fft}(\mathcal{B},[], 3)$.
2. Compute each frontal slices of $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}$ by

$$
C^{(i)}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
A^{(i)} B^{(i)}, \quad i=1, \ldots,\left\lfloor\frac{n_{3}+1}{2}\right\rfloor \\
\operatorname{conj}\left(C^{\left(n_{3}-i+2\right)}\right), \quad i=\left\lfloor\frac{n_{3}+1}{2}\right\rfloor+1, \ldots, n_{3}
\end{array}\right.
$$

3. Compute $\mathcal{C}=\operatorname{ifft}(\widetilde{C},[], 3)$.

For the T-product, we have the following definitions
Definition 2.2. [1才]

1. The identity tensor $\mathcal{J}_{n_{1} n_{1} n_{3}}$ is the tensor whose first frontal slice is the identity matrix $I_{n_{1} n_{1}}$ and the other frontal slices are all zeros.
2. Let $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times 1 \times n_{3}}$, the tubal rank of $\mathbf{z}$ is the number of its non-zero Fourier coefficients. If the tubal-rank of $\mathbf{z}$ is equal to $n_{3}$, we say that it is invertible and we denote by $(\mathbf{z})^{-1}$ the inverse of $\mathbf{z}$ if and only if: $\mathbf{z} \star(\mathbf{z})^{-1}=(\mathbf{z})^{-1} \star \mathbf{z}=\mathbf{e}$. where $\operatorname{unfold}(\mathbf{e})=(1,0,0 \ldots, 0)^{T}$.
3. An $n_{1} \times n_{1} \times n_{3}$ tensor $\mathcal{A}$ is invertible, if there exists a tensor $\mathcal{B}$ of order $n_{1} \times n_{1} \times n_{3}$ such that

$$
\mathcal{A} \star \mathcal{B}=\mathcal{J}_{n_{1} n_{1} n_{3}} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathcal{B} \star \mathcal{A}=\mathcal{J}_{n_{1} n_{1} n_{3}} .
$$

In that case, we set $\mathcal{B}=\mathcal{A}^{-1}$. It is clear that $\mathcal{A}$ is invertible if and only if $\operatorname{bcirc}(\mathcal{A})$ is invertible.
4. The transpose of $\mathcal{A}$ is obtained by transposing each of the frontal slices and then reversing the order of transposed frontal slices 2 through $n_{3}$.
5. If $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ are tensors of appropriate order, then

$$
(\mathcal{A} \star \mathcal{B}) \star \mathcal{C}=\mathcal{A} \star(\mathcal{B} \star \mathcal{C}) .
$$

6. Suppose $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are two tensors such $\mathcal{A} \star \mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{B}^{T} \star \mathcal{A}^{T}$ are defined. Then

$$
(\mathcal{A} \star \mathcal{B})^{T}=\mathcal{B}^{T} \star \mathcal{A}^{T} .
$$

Definition 2.3. Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ two tensors in $\mathbb{R}^{n_{1} \times n_{2} \times n_{3}}$. Then

1. The scalar inner product is defined by

$$
\langle\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}\rangle=\sum_{i_{1}=1}^{n_{1}} \sum_{i_{2}=1}^{n_{2}} \sum_{i_{3}=1}^{n_{3}} a_{i_{1} i_{2} i_{3}} b_{i_{1} i_{2} i_{3}} .
$$

2. The norm of $\mathcal{A}$ is defined by

$$
\|\mathcal{A}\|_{F}=\sqrt{\langle\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}\rangle}
$$

Definition 2.4. [1才]

1. An $n_{1} \times n_{1} \times n_{3}$ tensor $Q$ is orthogonal if

$$
\mathcal{Q}^{T} \star Q=\mathcal{Q} \star \mathbb{Q}^{T}=\mathcal{J}_{n_{1} n_{1} n_{3}} .
$$

2. A tensor is called $f$-diagonal if its frontal slices are orthogonal matrices. It is called upper triangular if all its frontal slices are upper triangular.

Definition 2.5. [21](Block tensor based on T-product) Suppose $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{1} \times m_{1} \times n_{3}}, \mathcal{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{1} \times m_{2} \times n_{3}}$, $\mathcal{C} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{2} \times m_{1} \times n_{3}}$ and $\mathcal{D} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{2} \times m_{2} \times n_{3}}$ are four tensors. The block tensor

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\mathcal{A} & \mathcal{B} \\
\mathcal{C} & \mathcal{D}
\end{array}\right] \in \mathbb{R}^{\left(n_{1}+n_{2}\right) \times\left(m_{1}+m_{2}\right) \times n_{3}}
$$

is defined by compositing the frontal slices of the four tensors.
Proposition 2.1. Let $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}_{1} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times s \times n_{3}}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}_{1} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p \times n_{3}}, \mathcal{A}_{2} \in \mathbb{R}^{\ell \times s \times n_{3}}, \mathcal{B}_{2} \in \mathbb{R}^{\ell \times p \times n_{3}}$, $\mathcal{C} \in \mathbb{R}^{s \times n \times n_{3}}, \mathcal{D} \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times n \times n_{3}}$ and $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n \times n_{3}}$. Then

1. $\mathcal{F} \star[\mathcal{A} \mathcal{B}]=\left[\begin{array}{lll}\mathcal{F} \star \mathcal{A} & \mathcal{F} \star \mathcal{B}\end{array}\right] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times(s+p) \times n_{3}}$.
2. $\left[\begin{array}{l}\mathcal{C} \\ \mathcal{D}\end{array}\right] \star \mathcal{F}=\left[\begin{array}{l}\mathcal{C} \star \mathcal{F} \\ \mathcal{D} \star \mathcal{F}\end{array}\right] \in \mathbb{R}^{(s+p) \times n \times n_{3}}$.
3. $\left[\begin{array}{ll}\mathcal{A} & \mathcal{B}\end{array}\right] \star\left[\begin{array}{l}\mathcal{C} \\ \mathcal{D}\end{array}\right]=\mathcal{A} \star \mathcal{C}+\mathcal{B} \star \mathcal{D} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n \times n_{3}}$.
4. $\left[\begin{array}{ll}\mathcal{A}_{1} & \mathcal{B}_{1} \\ \mathcal{A}_{2} & \mathcal{B}_{2}\end{array}\right] \star\left[\begin{array}{l}\mathcal{C} \\ \mathcal{D}\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}\mathcal{A}_{1} \star \mathcal{C}+\mathcal{B}_{1} \star \mathcal{D} \\ \mathcal{A}_{2} \star \mathcal{C}+\mathcal{B}_{2} \star \mathcal{D}\end{array}\right] \in \mathbb{R}^{(\ell+n) \times n \times n_{3}}$.

Now we introduce the T-diamond tensor product.

Definition 2.6. Let $\mathcal{A}=\left[\mathcal{A}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{A}_{p}\right] \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{1} \times p s \times n_{3}}$, where $\mathcal{A}_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{1} \times s \times n_{3}}, i=1, \ldots, p$ and let $\mathcal{B}=\left[\mathcal{B}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{B}_{\ell}\right] \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{1} \times \ell s \times n_{3}}$ with $\mathcal{B}_{j} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{1} \times s \times n_{3}}, j=1, \ldots \ell$. Then, the product $\mathcal{A}^{T} \diamond \mathcal{B}$ is the $p \times \ell$ matrix given by :

$$
\left(\mathcal{A}^{T} \diamond \mathcal{B}\right)_{i, j}=\left\langle\mathcal{A}_{i}, \mathcal{B}_{j}\right\rangle
$$

We consider the following Sylvester tensor equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A} \star X+X \star \mathcal{B}=\mathcal{C} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n \times n_{3}}, \mathcal{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{q \times q \times n_{3}}$ and $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{C} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times q \times n_{3}}$ respectively. $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ are given, $\mathcal{X}$ the unknown tensor to be determined.

Theorem 2.1. Sylvester tensor equation (6) has a unique solution if and only if $\Gamma(\mathbf{A}) \cap \Gamma(\mathbf{B})=$ $\varnothing$, where the block diagonal matrix $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}$ are defined by (4), and $\Gamma(\mathbf{A}), \Gamma(\mathbf{B})$ denotes the set of eigenvalues of the matrix $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ respectively.

Proof It is easy to show that the product $\mathcal{A} \star \mathcal{X}+\mathcal{X} \star \mathcal{B}=\mathcal{C}$ is equivalent to $\mathbf{C}=\mathbf{A X}+\mathbf{X B}$ ( Sylvester matrix equation). which has a unique solution if and only $\Gamma(\mathbf{A}) \cap \Gamma(\mathbf{B})=\varnothing$.

## 3. Tensor tFOM and tGMRES algorithms

### 3.1. The tArnoldi method

Consider the following tensor linear system of equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}(X)=\mathcal{C} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{M}$ an linear operator, $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times s \times p}$. We introduce the tensor Krylov subspace $\mathcal{T}_{m}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V})$ associated to the T-product, defined for the pair $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V})$ as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{T X}_{m}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V})=\operatorname{Tspan}\left\{\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{V}), \ldots, \mathcal{M}^{m-1}(\mathcal{V})\right\} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{M}^{i-1}(\mathcal{V})=\mathcal{M}^{i-2}(\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{V}))$, for $i=2, \ldots, m$ and $\mathcal{A}^{0}$ is the identity tensor. In the following algorithm, we define the Tensor tArnoldi algorithm.

```
Algorithm 2 Tensor tArnoldi algorithm
    1. Input. \(\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n \times p}, \mathcal{V} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times s \times p}\) and the positive integer \(m\).
    2. Set \(\beta=\|\mathcal{V}\|_{F}, \mathcal{V}_{1}=\frac{\mathcal{V}}{\beta}\)
    3. For \(j=1, \ldots, m\)
        (a) \(\mathcal{W}=\mathcal{M}\left(\mathcal{V}_{j}\right)\)
        (b) for \(i=1, \ldots, j\)
            i. \(h_{i, j}=\left\langle\mathcal{V}_{i}, \mathcal{W}\right\rangle\)
            ii. \(\mathcal{W}=\mathcal{W}-h_{i, j} \mathcal{V}_{i}\)
            (c) End for
            (d) \(h_{j+1, j}=\|\mathcal{W}\|_{F}\). If \(h_{j+1, j}=0\), stop; else
            (e) \(\mathcal{V}_{j+1}=\mathcal{W} / h_{j+1, j}\).
```

    4. End and return \(\mathbb{V}_{m}\)
    It is not difficult to show that after m steps of Algorithm 2 the tensors $\mathcal{V}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{V}_{m}$, form an orthonormal basis of the tensor global Krylov subspace $\mathcal{T} \mathcal{K}_{m}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{V})$. Let $\mathbb{V}_{m}$ be the $(n \times$
$(s m) \times p)$ tensor with frontal slices $\mathcal{V}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{V}_{m}$ and let $\widetilde{H}_{m}$ be the $(m+1) \times m$ upper Hesenberg matrix whose elements are the $h_{i, j}$ 's defined by Algorithm 2 Let $H_{m}$ be the matrix obtained from $\widetilde{H}_{m}$ by deleting its last row; $H_{., j}$ will denote the $j$-th column of the matrix $H_{m}$ and $\mathcal{A} \star \mathbb{V}_{m}$ is the $(n \times(s m) \times p)$ tensor with frontal slices $\mathcal{A} \star \mathcal{V}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{A} \star \mathcal{V}_{m}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{V}_{m}:=\left[\mathcal{V}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{V}_{m}\right] \quad \text { and } \quad \mathcal{W}_{m}:=\left[\mathcal{M}\left(\mathcal{V}_{1}\right), \ldots, \mathcal{M}\left(\mathcal{V}_{m}\right)\right] \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We introduce the product $\circledast$ defined by

$$
\mathbb{V}_{m} \circledast y=\sum_{j=1}^{m} y_{j} \mathcal{V}_{j}, \quad y=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m}\right)^{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{m}
$$

and we set

$$
\mathbb{V}_{m} \circledast H_{m}=\left[\mathbb{V}_{m} \circledast H_{., 1}, \ldots, \mathcal{V}_{m} \circledast H_{\cdot, m}\right]
$$

Then, it is easy to see that for all vectors $u$ and $v$ in $\mathbb{R}^{m}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{V}_{m} \circledast(u+v)=\mathbb{V}_{m} \circledast u+\mathbb{V}_{m} \circledast v \quad \text { and } \quad\left(\mathbb{V}_{m} \circledast H_{m}\right) \circledast u=\mathbb{V}_{m} \circledast\left(H_{m} u\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

With these notations, we can show the following result that will be useful later on.

Proposition 3.1. Let $\mathbb{V}_{m}$ be the tensor defined by $\left[\mathcal{V}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{V}_{m}\right]$ where $\mathcal{V}_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times s \times p}$ are defined by the Tensor tArnoldi algorithm. Then, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathbb{V}_{m} \circledast y\right\|_{F}=\|y\|_{2}, \quad \forall y=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m}\right)^{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{m} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof From the definition of the product $\circledast$, we have $\sum_{j=1}^{m} y_{j} \mathcal{V}_{j}=\mathbb{V}_{m} \circledast y$. Therefore,

$$
\left\|\mathbb{V}_{m} \circledast y\right\|_{F}^{2}=\left\langle\sum_{j=1}^{m} y_{j} \mathcal{V}_{j}, \sum_{j=1}^{m} y_{j} \mathcal{V}_{j}\right\rangle_{F}
$$

But, since the tensors $\mathcal{V}_{i}$ 's are orthonormal, it follows that

$$
\left\|\mathbb{V}_{m} \circledast y\right\|_{F}^{2}=\sum_{j=1}^{m} y_{j}^{2}=\|y\|_{2}^{2}
$$

which shows the result.

With the above notations, we can easily prove the results of the following proposition.

Proposition 3.2. Suppose that $m$ steps of Algorithm 园 have been run. Then, the following statements hold:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{W}_{m} & =\mathbb{V}_{m} \circledast H_{m}+h_{m+1, m}\left[\mathcal{O}_{n \times s \times p}, \ldots, \mathcal{O}_{n \times s \times p}, \mathcal{V}_{m+1}\right]  \tag{12}\\
\mathcal{W}_{m} & =\mathbb{V}_{m+1} \circledast \widetilde{H}_{m}  \tag{13}\\
\mathbb{V}_{m}^{T} \diamond \mathcal{W}_{m} & =H_{m}  \tag{14}\\
\mathbb{V}_{m+1}^{T} \diamond \mathcal{W}_{m} & =\widetilde{H}_{m}  \tag{15}\\
\mathbb{V}_{m}^{T} \diamond \mathbb{V}_{m} & =I_{m} \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

where $I_{m}$ the identity matrix and $\mathcal{O}$ is the tensor having all its entries equal to zero.

Proof From Algorithm 2, we have $\mathcal{M}\left(\mathcal{V}_{j}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{j+1} h_{i, j} \mathcal{V}_{i}$. Using the fact that

$$
\mathcal{W}_{m}:=\left[\mathcal{M}\left(\mathcal{V}_{1}\right), \ldots, \mathcal{M}\left(\mathcal{V}_{m}\right)\right]
$$

the $j$-th frontal slice of $\mathcal{W}_{m}$ is given by

$$
\left(\mathcal{W}_{m}\right)_{j}=\mathcal{M}\left(\mathcal{V}_{j}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{j+1} h_{i, j} \mathcal{V}_{i} .
$$

Furthermore, from the definition of the $\circledast$ product, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\mathbb{V}_{m+1} \circledast \widetilde{H}_{m}\right)_{j} & =\mathbb{V}_{m+1} \circledast H_{\cdot, j} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{j+1} h_{i, j} \nu_{i},
\end{aligned}
$$

which proves the first two relations. The other relations follow from the definition of T-diamond product

### 3.2. The tFOM method

In the following, we examined the tensor full orthogonalization (tFOM) method. It could be considered as generalization of the global FOM algorithm [14]. Let $X_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times s \times p}$ be an arbitrary initial guess with the corresponding residual $\mathcal{R}_{0}=\mathcal{C}-\mathcal{M}\left(X_{0}\right)$. The aim of tensor

T-global GMRES method is to find and approximate solution $X_{m}$ approximating the exact solution $X^{*}$ of (7) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{m}-X_{0}=\mathcal{P}_{m} \in \mathcal{T} \mathcal{K}_{m}\left(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{R}_{0}\right), \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{R}_{m} \perp \mathcal{T X}_{m}\left(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{R}_{0}\right) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathcal{P}_{m} \in \mathcal{T} \mathcal{K}_{m}\left(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{R}_{0}\right)$ can be expressed as $\mathcal{P}_{m}=\mathcal{V}_{m} \circledast y$ with $y=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m}\right)^{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$. From where the residual $\mathcal{R}_{m}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{R}_{m}=\mathcal{R}_{0}-\mathcal{W}_{m} \circledast y \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (19), the relation (18) can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\nu_{i}, \mathcal{R}_{m}\right\rangle=\left\langle\nu_{i}, \mathcal{W}_{m} \circledast y\right\rangle, \quad i=1, \ldots, m \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the tensors $\mathcal{V}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{V}_{m}$, form an orthonormal basis of the tensor global Krylov subspace $\mathcal{T}_{m}\left(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{R}_{0}\right)$. Using (10) and (12), equation (20) can be expressed as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{m} y=\left\|\mathcal{R}_{0}\right\|_{F} e_{1}^{(m)} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $e_{1}^{(m)}$ is the first canonical basis vector in $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ and $H_{m}$ the Hessenberg matrix of size $(m \times m)$ obtained from Algorithm [2

Proposition 3.3. At step $m$, the norm of the residual $\mathcal{R}_{m}=\mathcal{C}-\mathcal{M}\left(X_{m}\right)=\mathcal{R}_{0}-\mathcal{W}_{m} \circledast y_{m}$ produced by the tFOM method for tensor equation (1) has the following expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathcal{R}_{m}\right\|_{F}=h_{m+1, m}\left|y_{m}^{(m)}\right| . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $y_{m}^{(m)}$ is the last component of the vector $y_{m}$
Proof At step $m$, using the relations (19) and (18) the norm of the residual $\mathcal{R}_{m}$ can be expressed as

$$
\left\|\mathcal{R}_{m}\right\|_{F}=\left\|\mathcal{R}_{0}-\mathbb{V}_{m} \circledast\left(H_{m} y_{m}\right)+h_{m+1, m}\left[\mathcal{O}_{n \times s \times p}, \ldots, \mathcal{O}_{n \times s \times p}, \nu_{m+1}\right] \circledast y_{m}\right\|_{F} .
$$

since $H_{m} y_{m}=\left\|\mathcal{R}_{0}\right\|_{F} e_{1}^{(m)}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{0} \|_{F}\left(\mathbb{V}_{m} \circledast e_{1}^{(m)}\right)$, we get

$$
\left\|\mathcal{R}_{m}\right\|_{F}=h_{m+1, m}\left\|\left[\mathcal{O}_{n \times s \times p}, \ldots, \mathcal{O}_{n \times s \times p}, \mathcal{V}_{m+1}\right] \circledast y_{m}\right\|_{F}=h_{m+1, m}\left|y_{m}^{(m)}\right|
$$

which shows the results.

### 3.3. The tGMRES method

In the sequel, we develop the tensor tGMRES algorithm for solving the problem (7). It could be considered as generalization of the global GMERS algorithm [15]. Let $X_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times s \times p}$ be an arbitrary initial guess with the corresponding residual $\mathcal{R}_{0}=\mathcal{C}-\mathcal{M}\left(X_{0}\right)$. The purpose of tensor tGMRES method is to find and approximate solution $X_{m}$ approximating the exact solution $X^{*}$ of (7) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{m}-X_{0} \in \mathcal{T X}_{m}\left(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{R}_{0}\right) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the classical minimization property

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathcal{R}_{m}\right\|_{F}=\min _{X \in X_{0}+\mathcal{T} \mathcal{K}_{m}\left(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{R}_{0}\right)}\|\mathcal{C}-\mathcal{M}(X)\|_{F} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $X_{m}=X_{0}+\mathbb{V}_{m} \circledast y$ with $y \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$, be the approximate solution satisfying (23). Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}_{m} & =\mathcal{C}-\mathcal{M}\left(X_{m}\right) \\
& =\mathcal{C}-\mathcal{M}\left(X_{0}+\mathbb{V}_{m} \circledast y\right) \\
& =\mathcal{R}_{0}-\mathbb{W}_{m} \circledast y
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows then that

$$
\left\|\mathcal{R}_{m}\right\|_{F}=\min _{y \in \mathbb{R}^{m}}\left\|\mathcal{R}_{0}-\mathbb{W}_{m} \circledast y\right\|_{F}
$$

where $\mathcal{W}_{m}:=\left[\mathcal{M}\left(\mathcal{V}_{1}\right), \ldots, \mathcal{M}\left(\mathcal{V}_{m}\right)\right]$ is the $(n \times s m \times p)$ tensor defined earlier. Using Proposition 3.1 and the fact that $\mathcal{R}_{0}=\left\|\mathcal{R}_{0}\right\|_{F} \mathcal{V}_{1}$ with $\mathcal{V}_{1}=\mathcal{V}_{m+1} \circledast e_{1}$, where $e_{1}$ the first canonical basis vector in $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\mathcal{R}_{0}-\left(\mathcal{A} \star \mathbb{V}_{m}\right) \circledast y\right\|_{F} & =\left\|\mathcal{R}_{0}-\left(\mathbb{V}_{m+1} \circledast \widetilde{H}_{m}\right) \circledast y\right\|_{F} \\
& =\| \| \mathcal{R}_{0}\left\|_{F}\left(\mathbb{V}_{m+1} \circledast e_{1}\right)-\left(\mathbb{V}_{m+1} \circledast \widetilde{H}_{m}\right) \circledast y\right\|_{F} \\
& =\left\|\mathbb{V}_{m+1} \circledast\left(\left\|\mathcal{R}_{0}\right\|_{F} e_{1}-\widetilde{H}_{m} y\right)\right\|_{F} \\
& =\| \| \mathcal{R}_{0}\left\|_{F} e_{1}-\widetilde{H}_{m} y\right\|_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{m}=X_{0}+\mathbb{V}_{m} \circledast y \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.y=\arg \min _{y \in \mathbb{R}^{m}}\| \| \mathcal{R}_{0} \|_{F} e_{1}-\widetilde{H}_{m} y\right) \|_{2} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 3.4. At step $m$, the residual $\mathcal{R}_{m}=\mathcal{C}-\mathcal{M}\left(X_{m}\right)$ produced by the tensor Global GMRES method for tensor equation (1) has the following expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{R}_{m}=\mathbb{V}_{m+1} \circledast\left(\gamma_{m+1} Q_{m} e_{m+1}\right) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q_{m}$ is the unitary matrix obtained from the $Q R$ decomposition of the upper Hessenberg matrix $\widetilde{H}_{m}$ and $\gamma_{m+1}$ is the last component of the vector $\left\|\mathcal{R}_{0}\right\|_{F} Q_{m}^{\mathrm{T}} e_{1}$ and $e_{m+1}=$ $(0,0, \ldots, 1)^{\mathrm{T}} \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$.
Furthermore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathcal{R}_{m}\right\|_{F}=\left|\gamma_{m+1}\right| \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof At step $m$, the residual $\mathcal{R}_{m}$ can be expressed as

$$
\mathcal{R}_{m}=\mathbb{V}_{m+1} \circledast\left(\beta e_{1}-\widetilde{H}_{m} y_{m}\right)
$$

by considering the QR decomposition $\widetilde{H}_{m}=Q_{m} \widetilde{U}_{m}$ of the $(m+1) \times m$ matrix $\widetilde{H}_{m}$, we get

$$
\mathcal{R}_{m}=\left(\mathbb{V}_{m+1} \circledast Q_{m}\right) \circledast\left(\beta Q_{m}^{T} e_{1}-\widetilde{U}_{m} y_{m}\right)
$$

Since $y$ solves problem (26), it follows that

$$
\mathcal{R}_{m}=\mathbb{V}_{m+1} \circledast\left(\gamma_{m+1} Q_{m} e_{m+1}\right)
$$

where $\gamma_{m+1}$ is the last component of the vector $\beta Q_{m}^{T} e_{1}$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\mathcal{R}_{m}\right\|_{F} & =\left\|\mathbb{V}_{m+1} \circledast\left(\gamma_{m+1} Q_{m} e_{m+1}\right)\right\|_{F} \\
& =\left\|\gamma_{m+1} Q_{m} e_{m+1}\right\|_{2} \\
& =\left|\gamma_{m+1}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

which shows the results.

## 4. t-Bartels-Stewart method for Sylvester tensor equations of small size

In this section, we introduce the $t$-Bartels-Stewart method for Sylvester tensor equations of small size based on T-product formalism, as a generalisation of the well known Bartels and Stewart algorithm proposed in 1]. Motivated by the matrix case, the $t$-Bartels-Stewart method

```
Algorithm 3 tFOM and tGMRES Algorithms
    1. Input. \(\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n \times n_{3}}, \mathcal{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{s \times s \times n_{3}}, X_{0}, \mathcal{C} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times s \times n_{3}}\), the maximum number of iteration
        Iter \(_{\text {max }}\) an integer \(m\) and a tolerance tol.
    2. Output. \(X_{m} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times s \times n_{3}}\) the approximate solution of (77).
    3. Compute \(\mathcal{R}_{0}=\mathcal{C}-\mathcal{M}\left(X_{0}\right)\).
    4. For \(k=1, \ldots\), Iter \(_{\text {max }}\)
        (a) Apply Algorithm 2 to compute \(\mathbb{V}_{m}\) and \(\widetilde{H}_{m}\).
        (b) Solve the problem: \(\left\{\begin{array}{l}H_{m} y_{m}=\left\|\mathcal{R}_{0}\right\|_{F} e_{1}^{(m)} \quad(\text { tFOM method }) \\ y_{m}=\arg \min _{y \in \mathbb{R}^{m}}\| \| \mathcal{R}_{0}\left\|_{F} e_{1}^{(m+1)}-\widetilde{H}_{m} y_{m}\right\|_{2} .(\text { tGMRES method })\end{array}\right.\)
        (c) Compute \(X_{m}=X_{0}+\mathbb{V}_{m} \circledast y_{m}\)
    5. If \(\left\|\mathcal{R}_{m}\right\|_{F}<\) tol then
        return \(X_{m}\);
    6. else \(X_{0}=X_{m}\) and go to Step 2.
    7. Output. \(X_{m} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times s \times n_{3}}\) the approximate solution of (77).
```

is based on transforming the tensors $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ into t-real Schur form that will be defined later-. This gives an new triangular tensor equation that will be solved by $t$-back-substitution method. First, we introduce the $t$-real schur decomposition.

Theorem 4.1. Let $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n \times n_{3}}$. Then $\mathcal{A}$ can be factored as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}=U \star \mathcal{R} \star U^{T}, \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{R} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n \times n_{3}}$ quasi upper triangular tensor (each frontal slice of $\mathcal{R}$ is quasi upper triangular) and $\mathcal{U} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n \times n_{3}}$ orthogonal tensor.

Proof We have

$$
\left(F_{n_{3}} \otimes I_{n_{1}}\right) \operatorname{bcirc}(\mathcal{A})\left(F_{n_{3}}^{*} \otimes I_{n_{1}}\right)=\mathbf{A}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^{(1)} & & & \\
& \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^{(2)} & & \\
& & \ddots & \\
& & & \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^{\left(n_{3}\right)}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Next, we compute the schur matrix decomposition of each frontal slice $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^{(i)}, i=1, \ldots, n_{3}$. Then
$\left(\begin{array}{llll}\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^{(1)} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^{\left(n_{3}\right)}\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}\widetilde{\mathcal{U}}^{(1)} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \widetilde{\mathcal{U}}^{\left(n_{3}\right)}\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{lll}\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}^{(1)} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}^{\left(n_{3}\right)}\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{lll}\widetilde{\mathcal{U}}^{(1) T} & & \\ & & \ddots \\ & & \widetilde{\mathcal{U}}^{\left(n_{3}\right) T}\end{array}\right)$
Since $\left(F_{n_{3}}^{*} \otimes I_{n_{1}}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}\widetilde{\mathcal{U}}^{(1)} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \widetilde{U}^{\left(n_{3}\right)}\end{array}\right)\left(F_{n_{3}} \otimes I_{n_{1}}\right),\left(F_{n_{3}}^{*} \otimes I_{n_{1}}\right)\left(\begin{array}{lll}\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}^{(1)} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}^{\left(n_{3}\right)}\end{array}\right)\left(F_{n_{3}} \otimes\right.$
$\left.I_{n_{1}}\right),\left(F_{n_{3}}^{*} \otimes I_{n_{1}}\right)\left(\begin{array}{lll}\widetilde{\mathcal{U}}^{(1) T} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \widetilde{\mathcal{U}}^{\left(n_{3}\right) T}\end{array}\right)\left(F_{n_{3}} \otimes I_{n_{1}}\right)$ are block circulant matrices, by apply-
ing the appropriate matrices $\left(F_{n_{3}}^{*} \otimes I_{n_{1}}\right),\left(F_{n_{3}} \otimes I_{n_{1}}\right)$ to the left and right of each matrix in (30) respectively, and folding up the result. This give the result.

The $t$-real schur decomposition can be computed by the Algorithm 4 .
Next, we transform the tensors $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ into t-real schur forms $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{A}} \star \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}} \star \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{A}}{ }^{T}$ and
Algorithm 4 t-real schur decomposition

1. Input: $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n \times n_{3}}$.
2. Output: $\mathcal{R} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n \times n_{3}}$ upper triangular tensor. $\mathcal{U} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n \times n_{3}}$ orthogonal tensor.
3. Set $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}=\mathrm{fft}(\mathcal{A},[], 3)$
(a) for $i=1, \ldots, n_{3}$
i. $\left[\widetilde{\mathfrak{Q}}^{(i)} \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}^{(i)}\right]=\operatorname{schur}\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^{(i)}\right) \quad$ (real schur matrix decomposition)
(b) End
4. $\mathcal{Q}=\operatorname{ifft}(\widetilde{\mathbb{Q}},[], 3), \mathcal{R}=\operatorname{ifft}(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}},[], 3)$
5. End
$\mathcal{B}=\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{B}} \star \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{B}} \star \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{B}}{ }^{T}$. Then the Sylvester tensor equation (6) become

$$
\left(\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{A}} \star \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}} \star \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{A}}^{T}\right) \star \mathcal{X}+X_{\star} \star\left(\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{B}} \star \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{B}} \star \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{B}}^{T}\right)=\mathcal{C}
$$

which equivalent to

$$
\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{A}}^{T} \star\left[\left(\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{A}} \star \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}} \star \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{A}}^{T}\right) \star \mathcal{X}+\mathcal{X} \star\left(\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{B}} \star \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{B}} \star \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{B}}^{T}\right)\right] \star \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{B}}=\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{A}}^{T} \star \mathcal{C} \star \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{B}}
$$

Since $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{B}}$ are orthogonal tensors, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}} \star y+y \star \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{B}}=\mathcal{C}_{1} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $y=\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{A}}^{T} \star \mathcal{X} \star \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{B}}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{1}=\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{A}}{ }^{T} \star \mathcal{C} \star \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{B}}$.

Remark 4.1. The equation (31) can be solved by the t-back-substitution method. This method follow the same steps of matrix back substitution, where the tube fibers (3-mode fibers), lateral slices and T-product play the role of scalars, vectors and matrix product respectively.

Finally, the $t$-Bartels-Stewart method is implemented by Algorithm 5 .

```
Algorithm 5 t-Bartels-Stewart method
    1. Input: \(\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n \times n_{3}}, \mathcal{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{q \times q \times n_{3}}\) and \(\mathcal{C} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times q \times n_{3}}\).
```

2. Output: $X_{S} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times q \times n_{3}}$ solution of Sylvester tensor equation (6) of small size.
3. Compute $t$-real schur forms

$$
\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{A}} \star \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}} \star \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{A}}^{T}, \quad \mathcal{B}=\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{B}} \star \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{B}} \star \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{B}}^{T}
$$

using Algorithm 4.
4. Compute $y_{S}$ solution of tensor equation (31) using $t$-back-substitution method.
5. Compute $X_{S}=\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{A}} \star y_{S} \star \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{B}}{ }^{T}$.

## 5. Tensor Krylov methods via T-product for solving large Sylvester tensor equations

In this section, we consider the case when the Sylvester tensor equation (11) and (22) is of large size. As in matrix case, iterative projection methods have been developed; see [5, 13, 22]. These methods use Galerkin projection methods, such the classical and the block Arnoldi techniques,
to produce low-dimensional Sylvester matrix equations that are solved by using direct methods. In tensor case, the main idea is to transform the large Sylvester tensor equations using the wellknow Tubal-Block-Arnoldi that will be introduced later into low dimensional equations.

### 5.1. Tubal Block Arnoldi method

In this subsection, we introduce the Tubal-Block-Arnoldi method via T-product as a generalization of the well-know block Arnoldi method, see [5] for more details.
Let $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{1} \times n_{1} \times n_{3}}$ be a square tensor and $\mathcal{V} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{1} \times s \times I_{3}}, s \ll n_{1}$. The tensor block Krylov subspace is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{K}_{m}^{B l o c k}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V})=\operatorname{Range}\left(\left[\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{A} \star \mathcal{V}, \ldots, \mathcal{A}^{m-1} \star \mathcal{V}\right]\right) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n_{1} \times s \times n_{3}} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{A}^{i}=\mathcal{A} \star \mathcal{A}^{i-1}, i=1, \ldots, m-1, \mathcal{A}^{0}=\mathcal{J}_{n_{1} n_{1} n_{3}}$ and

$$
\text { Range }(z)=\left\{\vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{1} \times 1 \times n_{3}} \mid \vec{y}=z \star \vec{x}, \vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{s \times 1 \times n_{3}}\right\}
$$

for $Z \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{1} \times s \times \times n_{3}}$.
Before describing the Tubal-Block-Arnoldi process, let us first introduce the Tubal-QR Factorization.

Theorem 5.1. (Tubal-QR Factorization) Let $\mathcal{A}=\left[\overrightarrow{\mathcal{A}}_{1}, \ldots, \overrightarrow{\mathcal{A}}_{m}\right] \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{1} \times m \times n_{3}}, \overrightarrow{\mathcal{A}}_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{1} \times 1 \times n_{3}}$ for $i=1, \cdots, m$, there exist orthogonal tensors $\mathcal{U} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{1} \times n_{2} \times n_{3}}$ and $\mathcal{R} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{2} \times n_{2} \times n_{3}}$ triangular tensor such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{Q} \star \mathcal{R} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

We call (33) the tubal $Q R$ factorization of the tensor $\mathcal{A}$.
The Tubal QR factorization is summarized in Algorithm 6
The function $\operatorname{Normalization} 1(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{A}})$ described in [18] allow us to write a given tensor $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{A}} \in$ $\mathbb{R}^{n \times 1 \times n_{3}}$ as follow : $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{A}}=\overrightarrow{\mathcal{U}} \star \mathbf{a}$ where $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times 1 \times n_{3}}$ is invertible and $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{U}}^{T} \star \overrightarrow{\mathcal{U}}=\mathbf{e}$.

Tubal-Block-Arnoldi method have to build an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{V}_{m}^{b}$ of the Krylov subspace $\mathcal{K}_{m}^{\text {Block }}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V})$.

```
Algorithm 6 Tubal-QR Factorization (Tubal-QR)
    1. Input. \(\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m \times n_{3}}\)
    2. Set \(\left[\mathcal{V}_{1}, R_{1,1,:}\right]=\operatorname{Normalization} 1\left(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{A}}_{1}\right)\)
    3. For \(j=1, \ldots, m\)
        (a) \(\mathcal{W}=\overrightarrow{\mathcal{A}}_{j}\),
        (b) for \(i=2, \ldots, j-1\)
            i. \(\mathcal{R}_{i, j,:}=\mathcal{V}_{i}^{T} \star \mathcal{W}\)
            ii. \(\mathcal{W}=\mathcal{W}-\mathcal{V}_{i} \star \mathcal{R}_{i, j,}\);
            (c) End for
            (d) \(\left[{ }_{2}, \mathcal{R}_{j, j,:}\right]=\operatorname{Normalization} 1(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{W}})\)
    4. End
    5. Output. \(\mathcal{Q} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m \times n_{3}}\) orthogonal and \(\mathcal{R} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m \times n_{3}}\) such that : \(\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{Q} \star \mathcal{R}\).
```

```
Algorithm 7 The Tubal Block Arnoldi Algorithm (TBA)
```

Algorithm 7 The Tubal Block Arnoldi Algorithm (TBA)
1. Input. $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{1} \times n_{1} \times n_{3}}$ be a square tensor, $\mathcal{V} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{1} \times s \times I_{3}}, s \ll n_{1}$ and an integer m .
1. Input. $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{1} \times n_{1} \times n_{3}}$ be a square tensor, $\mathcal{V} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{1} \times s \times I_{3}}, s \ll n_{1}$ and an integer m .
2. Set $\left[\mathcal{V}_{1}^{b}, \mathcal{H}_{0}\right]=\operatorname{Tubal}-\mathrm{QR}(\mathcal{V})$.
2. Set $\left[\mathcal{V}_{1}^{b}, \mathcal{H}_{0}\right]=\operatorname{Tubal}-\mathrm{QR}(\mathcal{V})$.
3. For $\mathrm{j}=1, \ldots, \mathrm{~m}$
3. For $\mathrm{j}=1, \ldots, \mathrm{~m}$
(a) $\mathcal{W}=\mathcal{A} \star \mathcal{V}_{j}$
(a) $\mathcal{W}=\mathcal{A} \star \mathcal{V}_{j}$
(b) For $\mathrm{i}=1, \ldots, \mathrm{j}$
(b) For $\mathrm{i}=1, \ldots, \mathrm{j}$
i. $\mathcal{H}_{i, j}=V_{i}^{b T} \star \mathcal{W}$
i. $\mathcal{H}_{i, j}=V_{i}^{b T} \star \mathcal{W}$
ii. $\mathcal{W}=\mathcal{W}-V_{i}^{b} \star \mathcal{H}_{i, j}$,
ii. $\mathcal{W}=\mathcal{W}-V_{i}^{b} \star \mathcal{H}_{i, j}$,
(c) End.
(c) End.
(d) $\left[V_{j+1}, \mathcal{H}_{j+1, j}\right]=$ Tubal-QR $(\mathcal{W})$.
(d) $\left[V_{j+1}, \mathcal{H}_{j+1, j}\right]=$ Tubal-QR $(\mathcal{W})$.
4. End return $\mathbb{V}_{m}^{b}$

```
    4. End return \(\mathbb{V}_{m}^{b}\)
```

Using Definition [2.5, we can put away the tensors $\mathcal{H}_{i, j} \in \mathbb{R}^{s \times s \times n_{3}}$ into a block tensors $\mathbb{H}_{m}$ and
$\mathbb{H}_{m+1}$ defined as follow

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{H}_{m+1}= {\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\mathcal{H}_{1,1} & \mathcal{H}_{1,2} & . & \mathcal{H}_{1, m} \\
\mathcal{H}_{2,1} & \mathcal{H}_{2,2} & \ldots & \mathcal{H}_{2, m} \\
& \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
& & \mathcal{H}_{m, m-1} & \mathcal{H}_{m, m} \\
& & & \mathcal{H}_{m+1, m}
\end{array}\right] \in \mathbb{R}^{(m+1) s \times m s \times n_{3},} } \\
& \mathbb{H}_{m}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathcal{H}_{1,1} & \mathcal{H}_{1,2} & . & \mathcal{H}_{1, m} \\
\mathcal{H}_{2,1} & \mathcal{H}_{2,2} & \ldots & \mathcal{H}_{2, m} \\
& \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
& & \mathcal{H}_{m, m-1} & \mathcal{H}_{m, m}
\end{array}\right] \in \mathbb{R}^{m s \times m s \times n_{3}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is not difficult to show that after m steps of Algorithm 7 the tensor $\mathbb{V}_{m}^{b}:=\left[\nu_{1}^{b}, \ldots, \nu_{m}^{b}\right] \in$ $\mathbb{R}^{n_{1} \times m s \times n_{3}}$, where $\mathcal{V}_{i}^{b} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{1} \times s \times n_{3}}$ form an orthonormal basis of the tensor Block Krylov subspace $\mathcal{K}_{m}^{\text {Block }}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V})$.
It is easy to see that $\mathbb{H}_{m}$ can be obtained from $\mathbb{H}_{m+1}$ by deleting the last block row

$$
\left[\mathcal{O}_{s s n_{3}}, \ldots, \mathcal{O}_{s s n_{3}}, \mathcal{H}_{m+1, m}\right]=\mathcal{H}_{m+1, m} \star \mathbb{E}_{m} \in \mathbb{R}^{s \times m s \times n_{3}}
$$

where $\mathcal{O}_{s s n_{3}}$ denote the zeros tensors of size $\left(s \times s \times n_{3}\right)$ which all its entries are equal to zeros, and $\mathbb{E}_{m}=\left[\mathcal{U}_{s s n_{3}}, \ldots, \mathcal{O}_{s s n_{3}}, \mathcal{J}_{s s n_{3}}\right] \in \mathbb{R}^{s \times m s \times n_{3}}$
Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A} \star \mathbb{V}_{m}^{b}: & =\left[\mathcal{A} \star \mathcal{V}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{A} \star \mathcal{V}_{m}\right] \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{1} \times m s \times n_{3}}, \\
\mathbb{V}_{m+1}^{b} & :=\left[\mathbb{V}_{m}^{b}, \mathcal{V}_{m+1}^{b}\right] \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{1} \times(m+1) s \times n_{3}}, \\
\mathbb{H}_{m} & =\left(\mathcal{H}_{i, j}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq m} \in \mathbb{R}^{m s \times \times m s \times n_{3}}, \\
\mathbb{H}_{m+1} & =\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathbb{H}_{m} \\
\mathcal{H}_{m+1, m} \star \mathbb{E}_{m}
\end{array}\right] \in \mathbb{R}^{(m+1) s \times m s \times n_{3}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

With the above notations, we can easily prove the results of the following proposition.

Proposition 5.1. From Algorithm 7, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathcal{A} \star \mathbb{V}_{m}^{b}=\mathbb{V}_{m}^{b} \star \mathbb{H}_{m}+\mathcal{V}_{m+1}^{b} \star\left(\mathcal{H}_{m+1, m} \star \mathbb{E}_{m}\right)  \tag{34}\\
\mathbb{V}_{m}^{b T} \star \mathcal{A} \star \mathbb{V}_{m}^{b}=\mathbb{H}_{m}  \tag{35}\\
\mathbb{V}_{m+1}^{b T} \star \mathcal{A} \star \mathbb{V}_{m}^{b}=\mathbb{H}_{m+1}  \tag{36}\\
\mathbb{V}_{m}^{b T} \star \mathbb{V}_{m}^{b}=\mathcal{J}_{m s} \tag{37}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\mathcal{J}_{m s} \in \mathbb{R}^{m s \times m s \times n_{3}}$, denote the identity tensor.

Notice that in the case $n_{3}=1$, Algorithm 7 reduces to the well known block Arnoldi process. Proof The proof come directly from steps of Algorithm 7 and Proposition 2.1

### 5.2. Tubal-Block-Arnoldi method for solving large Sylvester tensor equations (TBAS)

This subsection discusses the computation of an approximate solution of the tensor equations :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}(X)=\mathcal{C} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{M}$ is a linear operator that could be described as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}(X)=\mathcal{A} \star X-X \star \mathcal{B} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n \times n_{3}}, \mathcal{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{q \times q \times n_{3}}$ and $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{C} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times q \times n_{3}}$ respectively. $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ are given, $\mathcal{X}$ the unknown tensor to be determined.
Let $X_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times s \times p}$ be an arbitrary initial guess with the corresponding residual $\mathcal{R}_{0}=\mathcal{C}-\mathcal{M}\left(X_{0}\right)$. The purpose of tensor Tubal Block Arnoldi method for solving large Sylvester tensor equations (TBAS) method is to find and approximate solution $X_{m}$ of the exact solution $X^{*}$ of (38) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{m}-X_{0} \in \mathcal{K}_{m}^{\text {Block }}\left(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{R}_{0}\right) \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the classical orthogonality property

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{R}_{m}=\mathcal{C}-\mathcal{M}\left(X_{m}\right) \perp \mathcal{K}_{m}^{\text {Block }}\left(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{R}_{0}\right) \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the fact that $\mathcal{K}_{m}^{B l o c k}\left(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{R}_{0}\right)=\mathcal{K}_{m}^{B l o c k}\left(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{R}_{0}\right)$ (which not difficult to prove), the relations
(40) and (41) can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{m}-X_{0} \in \mathcal{K}_{m}^{\text {Block }}\left(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{R}_{0}\right) \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the classical orthogonality property

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{R}_{m}=\mathcal{C}-\mathcal{M}\left(X_{m}\right) \perp \mathcal{K}_{m}^{\text {Block }}\left(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{R}_{0}\right) \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\mathbb{V}_{m}^{b}$ (defined earlier) form an orthonormal basis of of the Krylov subspace $\mathcal{K}_{m}^{\text {Block }}\left(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{R}_{0}\right)$. The relations (42) and (43) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{m}=x_{0}+\mathbb{V}_{m}^{b} \star y_{m}, \quad \text { with } y_{m} \in \mathbb{R}^{m s \times s \times n_{3}} \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{V}_{m}^{b T} \star \mathcal{R}_{m}=0 . \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the fact that $\mathcal{R}_{m}=\mathcal{C}-\mathcal{M}\left(X_{m}\right)=\mathcal{C}-\mathcal{A} \star X_{m}+X_{m} \star \mathcal{B}$, the relations (44) and (45), we get the low dimensional equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{H}_{m} \star y_{m}-y_{m} \star \mathcal{B}=\mathcal{C}_{1} \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{C}_{1}=\mathbb{V}_{m}^{b T} \star \mathcal{R}_{0}$.
The tensor equation (46) will be solved by using the $t$-Bartels-Stewart method.
Proposition 5.2. At step $m$, the residual norm of $\mathcal{R}_{m}=\mathcal{C}-\mathcal{M}\left(X_{m}\right)=\mathcal{C}-\mathcal{A} \star X_{m}+X_{m} \star \mathcal{B}$ with $X_{m}=X_{0}+\mathbb{V}_{m}^{b} \star y_{m}$ can be expressed as follows :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathcal{R}_{m}\right\|_{F}=\left\|\mathcal{H}_{m+1, m} \star \mathbb{E}_{m} \star y_{m}\right\|_{F} \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbb{E}_{m}=\left[\mathcal{O}_{s s n_{3}}, \ldots, \mathcal{O}_{s_{s n_{3}}}, \mathcal{J}_{s_{s n_{3}}}\right] \in \mathbb{R}^{s \times m s \times n_{3}}$ and $y_{m}$ solution of equation 46).
Proof At step m, the residual $\mathcal{R}_{m}=\mathcal{C}-\mathcal{M}\left(X_{m}\right)=\mathcal{C}-\mathcal{A} \star \mathcal{X}_{m}+X_{m} \star \mathcal{B}$ with $\mathcal{X}_{m}=$ $x_{0}+\mathbb{V}_{m}^{b} \star y_{m}$ can be written as:

$$
\mathcal{R}_{m}=\mathcal{R}_{0}-\mathcal{A} \star \mathcal{V}_{m} \star y_{m}+\mathcal{V}_{m} \star y_{m} \star \mathcal{B}
$$

Then, using the fact that $\mathcal{A} \star \mathbb{V}_{m}^{b}=\mathbb{V}_{m}^{b} \star \mathbb{H}_{m}+\mathcal{V}_{m+1}^{b} \star\left(\mathcal{H}_{m+1, m} \star \mathbb{E}_{m}\right)$, the relation 46) and the fact that the tensor $\mathcal{V}_{m+1}^{b}$ is orthogonal, we get

$$
\left\|\mathcal{R}_{m}\right\|_{F}=\left\|\mathcal{H}_{m+1, m} \star \mathbb{E}_{m} \star y_{m}\right\|_{F}
$$

To save memory and CPU-time requirements, the Tubal Block Arnoldi method for solving large Sylvester tensor equation (TBAS) will be used in a restarted mode. This means that we have to restart the algorithm every $m$ inner iterations, where $m$ is a fixed integer. The restarted Tubal Block Arnoldi algorithm for solving (38), denoted by $\operatorname{TBAS}(\mathrm{m})$, is summarized as follows:
During the computation of the approximate solution $X_{m}$ of (38), we assume that $\Gamma(\mathbf{H})_{m} \cap$
$\overline{\text { Algorithm } 8 \text { The Tubal-Block-Arnoldi for solving large Sylvester tensor equation TBAS(m) }}$

1. Input. $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n \times n_{3}}, \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{q \times q \times n_{3}}, X_{0}, \mathcal{C} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times q \times n_{3}}$, the maximum number of iteration Iter $_{\text {max }}$ an integer $m$ and a tolerance tol.
2. Compute $\mathcal{R}_{0}=\mathcal{C}-\mathcal{M}\left(X_{0}\right)$.
3. For $k=1, \ldots$, Iter $_{\text {max }}$
(a) Apply Algorithm 7 to compute $\mathbb{V}_{m}^{b}$ and $\mathbb{H}_{m}$.
(b) Apply Algorithm 5 to solve the problem : $\mathbb{H}_{m} \star y_{m}-y_{m} \star \mathcal{B}=\mathbb{V}_{m}^{b T} \star \mathcal{R}_{0}$
(c) Compute $X_{m}=X_{0}+\mathbb{V}_{m}^{b} \star y_{m}$
4. If $\left\|\mathcal{R}_{m}\right\|_{F}<$ tol, stop
5. else $X_{0}=X_{m}$ and go to Step 2.
6. Output. $X_{m} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times q \times n_{3}}$ the approximate solution of (38).
$\Gamma(-\mathbf{B})=\emptyset$, where the block diagonal matrix $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{m}}$ and $-\mathbf{B}$ are defined by (4), and $\Gamma\left(\mathbf{H}_{m}\right), \Gamma(-\mathbf{B})$ denotes the set of eigenvalues of the matrix $\mathbf{H}_{m}$ and - $\mathbf{B}$ respectively.

## 6. Numerical experiments

This section performs some numerical tests for the Tensor Tubal-Global GMRES and Tensor Tubal-Global Golub Kahan methods to solve the linear tensor problem (11). All computations were carried out using the MATLAB R2018b environment with an Intel(R) Core i7-8550U CPU @1.80 GHz and processor 8 GB . The stopping criterion was

$$
\left\|\mathcal{R}_{k}\right\|_{F}<\epsilon
$$

where $\epsilon=10^{-6}$ is a chosen tolerance and $\mathcal{R}_{k}$ the m -th residual associated to the approximate solution $X_{k}$. In all the presented tables, we reported the obtained residual norms to achieve the desired convergence, the iteration number and the corresponding cpu-time.

We will compare the results in our method to the results obtained by solving the equivalent problem $\mathbf{C}=\mathbf{A X}-\mathbf{X B}$ where $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{C}$ are the matrices

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{A}=\operatorname{Diag}(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}})=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^{(1)} & & & \\
& \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^{(2)} & & \\
& & \ddots & \\
& & & \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^{\left(n_{3}\right)}
\end{array}\right)=\operatorname{BlockDiag}\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^{(1)}, \ldots, \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^{\left(n_{3}\right)}\right), \\
& \mathbf{B}=\operatorname{Diag}(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}})=\operatorname{BlockDiag}\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^{(1)}, \ldots, \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^{\left(n_{3}\right)}\right) \\
& \mathbf{C}=\operatorname{Diag}(\widetilde{\mathfrak{C}})=\operatorname{BlockDiag}\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}^{(1)}, \ldots, \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}^{\left(n_{3}\right)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and the matrices $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^{(i)}, \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^{(i)}$ and $\widetilde{\mathfrak{C}}^{(i)}$ 's are the frontal slices of the tensor $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}, \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$ and $\widetilde{\mathfrak{C}}$ respectively. Notice that $\mathbf{A}$ is a matrix of size $\left(n_{0} \times n_{0}\right), n_{0}=n \times n_{3}, \mathbf{B}$ is a matrix of size $\left(s_{0} \times s_{0}\right), s_{0}=s \times n_{3}$ and $\mathbf{C}$ is a matrix of size $\left(n_{0} \times s_{0}\right)$.

We compared the required CPU-times (in seconds) to achieve the convergence for the two methods:

1. TBAS: The tubal block Arnoldi-Sylvester method.
2. BAS : Resolution of Sylvester matrix equation: $\mathbf{C}=\mathbf{A X}-\mathbf{X B}$ by using the block Arnoldi-Sylvester method introduced in [5].
3. tFOM : The tensor full orthogonalization method.
4. tGMRES : The tensor generalized minimal residual method.

In Table 1, we reported the obtained relative residual norms, the total number of required iterations to achieve the convergence and the corresponding cpu-times for restarted Tubal Block Arnoldi(m). Consider the convection-diffusion equation:

$$
\begin{cases}-\mu \Delta u+c^{T} \nabla u=f & \text { in }[0,1]^{N}  \tag{48}\\ u=0 & \text { in } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

The tensor $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ are obtained using $n_{3}$ frontal slices (which are obtained from a standard finite difference discretization of (48)). In this example, the frontal slices are of size $n \times n$ and $s \times s$, given as follows

$$
\mathcal{A}^{(i)}=\frac{\mu}{h_{1}^{2}} \operatorname{tridiag}(-1,2,-1)+\frac{a_{i}}{4 h_{1}}\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
3 & -5 & 1 & & \\
1 & 3 & -5 & \ddots & \\
& \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 1 \\
& & 1 & 3 & -5 \\
& & & 1 & 3
\end{array}\right]
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{B}^{(i)}=\frac{\mu}{h_{2}^{2}} \operatorname{tridiag}(-1,2,-1)+\frac{b_{i}}{4 h_{2}}\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
3 & -5 & 1 & & \\
1 & 3 & -5 & \ddots & \\
& \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 1 \\
& & 1 & 3 & -5 \\
& & & 1 & 3
\end{array}\right]
$$

For $i=1, \ldots, n_{3}$, we set $a_{i}=i, b_{i}=n_{3}+i, h_{1}=\frac{1}{n+1}$ and $h_{2}=\frac{1}{s+1}$.
In this example, the right-hand side tensor $\mathcal{C}$ is constructed using the Matlab command $\mathcal{C}=$ $\operatorname{rand}\left(n, s, n_{3}\right)$.
Table 1 reports on the obtained relative residual norms and the corresponding cpu-times to obtain the desired convergence. As can be seen from this table, the restarted Tensor Tubal block Arnoldi method (TBAS)(m) gives good results with a small cpu-times.

## Conlusion

In this paper, we introduced new tensor krylov subspace methods for solving large Sylvester tensor equations. The proposed method uses the well-known T-product for tensors and tensor subspaces. We developed some new tensor products and the related algebraic properties. These new products will lead us to develop third-order the tensor FOM (tFOM), tensor GMRES (tGMRES), tubal Block Arnoldi and the tensor tubal Block Arnoldi method to solve large Sylvester tensor equation. We give some properties related to these method. The numerical

Table 1: Results for Example 2. $\epsilon=10^{-6}$, and $n_{3}=2$

| $n \backslash s \backslash k$ | Method | \# its. | $\left\\|\mathcal{R}_{k}\right\\|_{F}$ | cpu-time in seconds |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1000 \backslash 3 \backslash 10$ | TBAS | 11 | $5.55 \times 10^{-7}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 0 3}$ |
|  | BAS[5] | 65 | $7.18 \times 10^{-7}$ | 7.22 |
|  | tFOM | 66 | $7.57 \times 10^{-7}$ | $\mathbf{5 7 . 6 9}$ |
|  | tGMRES | 39 | $9.50 \times 10^{-7}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 3 4}$ |
| $2000 \backslash 3 \backslash 6$ | TBAS | 12 | $6.86 \times 10^{-8}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 0 2}$ |
|  | BAS[5] | 69 | $3.25 \times 10^{-7}$ | 17.61 |
|  | tFOM | 69 | $6.91 \times 10^{-7}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 0 . 1 6}$ |
|  | tGMRES | 41 | $7.38 \times 10^{-7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 8 . 0 5}$ |

experiments show that the restarted Tensor Tubal block Arnoldi method TBAS(m) gives the best results with a small cpu-times.
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