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#### Abstract

A new method for the computation of conserved densities of nonlinear differentialdifference equations is applied to Toda lattices and discretizations of the Korteweg-de Vries and nonlinear Schrödinger equations. The algorithm, which can be implemented in computer algebra languages such as Mathematica, can be used as an indicator of integrability.
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## 1 Introduction

Nonlinear differential-difference equations (DDEs) describe many interesting phenomena such as vibrations of particles in lattices, charge fluctuations in networks, Langmuir waves in plasmas, interactions between competing populations. Mathematically, DDEs also occur as spatially discrete analogues of partial differential equations (PDEs). As such, lattices play a key role in numerical solvers for PDEs [1].

In [2, 3, 田, 5], we introduced an algorithm to find the analytical form of polynomial conserved densities for systems of nonlinear evolution equations. We used the concept of scaling symmetries or dimensional analysis. That inherently limits the algorithm to polynomial densities and fluxes of polynomial systems. The algorithm was implemented [7] in Mathematica. Here we present its extension to semi-discrete polynomial systems. We aim at deriving a set of independent conservation laws of DDEs, hence predicting integrability.

There are several motives to find conserved densities of DDEs explicitly. The first few conservation laws may have a physical meaning, such as conserved momentum and energy. Additional ones may facilitate the study of both quantitative and qualitative properties of solutions [6]. Furthermore, the existence of a sequence of conserved densities predicts integrability of DDEs. Yet, the nonexistence of conserved quantities does not preclude integrability. Indeed, integrable DDEs could be disguised with a coordinate transformation so that they no longer admit conserved densities of polynomial type.

Another compelling argument relates to the numerical solution of PDEs. In numerical schemes the discrete conserved quantities should remain constant. In particular, the conservation of a positive definite quadratic quantity may prevent the occurrence of nonlinear instabilities in the numerical scheme. The use of conservation laws in PDE solvers has been discussed in [7, 8,9 .

For nonlinear DDEs several solution methods and integrability tests are applicable. The solution methods include symmetry reduction [10], and an extension of the spectral transform method [11]. Adaptations of the singularity confinement approach [12], the Wahlquist-Estabrook method [13], and the master symmetry technique [14] allow one to test integrability of DDEs. In contrast, our method is completely algorithmic and can be implemented in computer algebra languages.

In Section 2, the algorithm is illustrated with the Toda lattice [15]. In Section 3, we find densities for discrete analogues of the Korteweg-de Vries (KdV), nonlinear Schrödinger (NLS), and generalized Toda equations. These examples show subtle points of the algorithm. We draw conclusions in Section 4.

## 2 Conserved Densities

### 2.1 Definitions

Consider a system of DDEs that are continuous in time, and discretized in the (single) space variable,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\mathbf{u}}_{n}=\mathbf{F}\left(\ldots, \mathbf{u}_{n-1}, \mathbf{u}_{n}, \mathbf{u}_{n+1}, \ldots\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{u}_{n}$ and $\mathbf{F}$ are vector dynamical variables with any number of components. For simplicity of notation, the components of $\mathbf{u}_{n}$ are denoted by $u_{n}, v_{n}$, etc. We assume that $\mathbf{F}$ is polynomial with constant coefficients. If DDEs are of second or higher order in $t$, we assume that they can be recast in the form (11).

For (11), we define a local conservation law by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\rho}_{n}=J_{n}-J_{n+1}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho_{n}$ is the conserved density and $J_{n}$ is the associated flux. Both functionals are assumed to be polynomials in $\mathbf{u}_{n}$ and its shifts. Also, (2) is satisfied on solutions of (11). Our algorithm is currently restricted to the shift-up operator $U$, where $(I-U) J_{n}=$ $J_{n}-J_{n+1}$. Minor modifications would be needed if other shift operators were used.

Obviously, $\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{dt}}\left(\sum_{n} \rho_{n}\right)=\sum_{n} \dot{\rho}_{n}=\sum_{n}\left(J_{n}-J_{n+1}\right)$, and this telescopic series vanishes if $J_{n}$ is bounded for all $n$ and $J_{n}$ vanishes at the boundaries. Then, $\sum_{n} \rho_{n}$ is constant, and we have a quantity that is conserved in time.

Let $D$ denote the shift-down operator and $U$ the shift-up operator. Both are defined on the set of all monomials. If $m$ is a monomial then $D m=\left.m\right|_{n \rightarrow n-1}$ and $U m=$ $\left.m\right|_{n \rightarrow n+1}$. For example, $D u_{n+2} v_{n}=u_{n+1} v_{n-1}$ and $U u_{n-2} v_{n-1}=u_{n-1} v_{n}$. It is easy to verify that compositions of $D$ and $U$ define an equivalence relation on monomials. Simply stated, all shifted monomials are equivalent, e.g. $u_{n-1} v_{n+1} \equiv u_{n+2} v_{n+4} \equiv u_{n-3} v_{n-1}$.

In the algorithm below we will use the following equivalence criterion: if two monomials, $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$, are equivalent, $m_{1} \equiv m_{2}$, then $m_{1}=m_{2}+\left[M_{n}-M_{n+1}\right]$ for some polynomial $M_{n}$ that depends on $\mathbf{u}_{n}$ and its shifts. For example, $u_{n-2} u_{n} \equiv u_{n-1} u_{n+1}$ since $u_{n-2} u_{n}=u_{n-1} u_{n+1}+\left[u_{n-2} u_{n}-u_{n-1} u_{n+1}\right]=u_{n-1} u_{n+1}+\left[M_{n}-M_{n+1}\right]$, with $M_{n}=u_{n-2} u_{n}$.

Also for later use, we call the main representative of an equivalence class, the monomial of that class with label $n$ on $u$ (or $v$ ). For example, $u_{n} u_{n+2}$ is the main representative of the class with elements $u_{n-1} u_{n+1}, u_{n+1} u_{n+3}$, etc. We use lexicographical ordering to resolve conflicts. For example, $u_{n} v_{n+2}$ (not $u_{n-2} v_{n}$ ) is the main representative in the class with elements $u_{n-3} v_{n-1}, u_{n+2} v_{n+4}$, etc.

### 2.2 Algorithm

To illustrate our algorithm, we consider the one-dimensional lattice [15, 16]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{y}_{n}=\exp \left(y_{n-1}-y_{n}\right)-\exp \left(y_{n}-y_{n+1}\right), \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

due to Toda. In (3), $y_{n}$ is the displacement from equilibrium of the $n$th particle with unit mass under an exponential decaying interaction force between nearest neighbors.

With the change of variables,

$$
u_{n}=\dot{y}_{n}, \quad v_{n}=\exp \left(y_{n}-y_{n+1}\right),
$$

lattice (3) can be written in algebraic form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{u}_{n}=v_{n-1}-v_{n}, \quad \dot{v}_{n}=v_{n}\left(u_{n}-u_{n+1}\right) . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can compute a couple of conservation laws for (4) by hand. Indeed, $\dot{u}_{n}=\dot{\rho}_{n}=$ $v_{n-1}-v_{n}=J_{n}-J_{n+1}$ with $J_{n}=v_{n-1}$. We denote this first pair by

$$
\rho_{n}^{(1)}=u_{n}, \quad J_{n}^{(1)}=v_{n-1}
$$

After some work, we obtain a second pair:

$$
\rho_{n}^{(2)}=\frac{1}{2} u_{n}^{2}+v_{n}, \quad J_{n}^{(2)}=u_{n} v_{n-1} .
$$

Key to our method is the observation that (4), and (2) together with the above densities and fluxes, are invariant under the scaling symmetry

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(t, u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \rightarrow\left(\lambda t, \lambda^{-1} u_{n}, \lambda^{-2} v_{n}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda$ is an arbitrary parameter. The result of this dimensional analysis can be stated as follows: $u_{n}$ corresponds to one derivative with respect to $t$; for short, $u_{n} \sim \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{dt}}$. Similarly, $v_{n} \sim \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2}}{\mathrm{dt}^{2}}$. Scaling invariance, which is a special Lie-point symmetry, is an intrinsic property of many integrable nonlinear PDEs and DDEs. Our algorithm exploits this property to find conserved densities, which now proceeds in three steps.

Step 1: Determine the weights of variables
The weight, $w$, of a variable is by definition equal to the number of derivatives with respect to $t$ the variable carries. Weights are positive, rational, and independent of $n$. We set $w\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{dt}}\right)=1$. In view of (5), we have $w\left(u_{n}\right)=1$, and $w\left(v_{n}\right)=2$.

The rank of a monomial is defined as the total weight of the monomial, again in terms of derivatives with respect to $t$. For instance, the rank of each monomial in $\rho_{n}^{(2)}$ is two. Observe that in each equation of (4), all the terms (monomials) have the same rank. This property is called uniformity in rank. Densities and fluxes are also uniform in rank, and from (2), it follows that $\operatorname{rank}\left(J_{n}\right)=\operatorname{rank}\left(\rho_{n}\right)+1$, since $w\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{dt}}\right)=1$.

Conversely, requiring uniformity in rank for each equation in (4) allows one to compute the weights of the dependent variables. Indeed, $w\left(u_{n}\right)+1=w\left(v_{n}\right), w\left(v_{n}\right)+1=$ $w\left(u_{n}\right)+w\left(v_{n}\right)$, yields $w\left(u_{n}\right)=1, w\left(v_{n}\right)=2$, which is consistent with (5).
Step 2: Construct the form of the density
As an example, let us compute the form of the density of rank 3 . List all monomials in $u_{n}$ and $v_{n}$ of rank 3 or less: $\mathcal{G}=\left\{u_{n}{ }^{3}, u_{n}{ }^{2}, u_{n} v_{n}, u_{n}, v_{n}\right\}$.

Next, for each monomial in $\mathcal{G}$, introduce enough $t$-derivatives, so that each term exactly has weight 3 . Thus, using (4),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\mathrm{d}^{0}}{\mathrm{dt}^{0}}\left(u_{n}^{3}\right)=u_{n}^{3}, \quad \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{0}}{\mathrm{dt}^{0}}\left(u_{n} v_{n}\right)=u_{n} v_{n}, \\
& \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{dt}}\left(u_{n}^{2}\right)=2 u_{n} \dot{u}_{n}=2 u_{n} v_{n-1}-2 u_{n} v_{n}, \quad \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{dt}}\left(v_{n}\right)=\dot{v}_{n}=u_{n} v_{n}-u_{n+1} v_{n}, \\
& \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2}}{\mathrm{dt}^{2}}\left(u_{n}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{dt}}\left(\dot{u}_{n}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{dt}}\left(v_{n-1}-v_{n}\right)=u_{n-1} v_{n-1}-u_{n} v_{n-1}-u_{n} v_{n}+u_{n+1} v_{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Gather the resulting terms in a set $\mathcal{H}=\left\{u_{n}{ }^{3}, u_{n} v_{n-1}, u_{n} v_{n}, u_{n-1} v_{n-1}, u_{n+1} v_{n}\right\}$. Identify members that belong to the same equivalence classes and replace them by the main representatives. For example, since $u_{n} v_{n-1} \equiv u_{n+1} v_{n}$ both are replaced by $u_{n} v_{n-1}$. Doing so, $\mathcal{H}$ is replaced by $\mathcal{I}=\left\{u_{n}{ }^{3}, u_{n} v_{n-1}, u_{n} v_{n}\right\}$, which contains the building blocks of the density. Linear combination of the monomials in $\mathcal{I}$ with constant coefficients $c_{i}$ gives the form of the density:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{n}=c_{1} u_{n}^{3}+c_{2} u_{n} v_{n-1}+c_{3} u_{n} v_{n} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 3: Determine the unknown coefficients in the density
Now we determine the coefficients $c_{1}$ through $c_{3}$ by requiring that (2) holds. During this step we also compute the unknown flux $J_{n}$.

Compute $\dot{\rho}_{n}$ using (6). Then use (4) to remove $\dot{u}_{n}, \dot{v}_{n}$, etc. After grouping the terms

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{\rho}_{n}= & \left(3 c_{1}-c_{2}\right) u_{n}^{2} v_{n-1}+\left(c_{3}-3 c_{1}\right) u_{n}^{2} v_{n}+\left(c_{3}-c_{2}\right) v_{n-1} v_{n} \\
& +c_{2} u_{n-1} u_{n} v_{n-1}+c_{2} v_{n-1}{ }^{2}-c_{3} u_{n} u_{n+1} v_{n}-c_{3} v_{n}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Use the equivalence criterion to modify $\dot{\rho}_{n}$. For instance, replace $u_{n-1} u_{n} v_{n-1}$ by $u_{n} u_{n+1} v_{n}+$ [ $\left.u_{n-1} u_{n} v_{n-1}-u_{n} u_{n+1} v_{n}\right]$. The goal is to introduce the main representatives. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{\rho}_{n}= & \left(3 c_{1}-c_{2}\right) u_{n}^{2} v_{n-1}+\left(c_{3}-3 c_{1}\right) u_{n}^{2} v_{n} \\
& +\left(c_{3}-c_{2}\right) v_{n} v_{n+1}+\left[\left(c_{3}-c_{2}\right) v_{n-1} v_{n}-\left(c_{3}-c_{2}\right) v_{n} v_{n+1}\right] \\
& +c_{2} u_{n} u_{n+1} v_{n}+\left[c_{2} u_{n-1} u_{n} v_{n-1}-c_{2} u_{n} u_{n+1} v_{n}\right] \\
& +c_{2} v_{n}^{2}+\left[c_{2} v_{n-1}{ }^{2}-c_{2} v_{n}^{2}\right]-c_{3} u_{n} u_{n+1} v_{n}-c_{3} v_{n}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Next, group the terms outside of the square brackets and move the pairs inside the square brackets to the bottom. Rearrange the latter terms so that they match the pattern $\left[J_{n}-J_{n+1}\right]$. Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{\rho}_{n}= & \left(3 c_{1}-c_{2}\right) u_{n}{ }^{2} v_{n-1}+\left(c_{3}-3 c_{1}\right) u_{n}{ }^{2} v_{n} \\
& +\left(c_{3}-c_{2}\right) v_{n} v_{n+1}+\left(c_{2}-c_{3}\right) u_{n} u_{n+1} v_{n}+\left(c_{2}-c_{3}\right) v_{n}{ }^{2} \\
& +\left[\left\{\left(c_{3}-c_{2}\right) v_{n-1} v_{n}+c_{2} u_{n-1} u_{n} v_{n-1}+c_{2} v_{n-1}{ }^{2}\right\}\right. \\
& \left.-\left\{\left(c_{3}-c_{2}\right) v_{n} v_{n+1}+c_{2} u_{n} u_{n+1} v_{n}+c_{2} v_{n}^{2}\right\}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

The terms inside the square brackets determine:

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{n}=\left(c_{3}-c_{2}\right) v_{n-1} v_{n}+c_{2} u_{n-1} u_{n} v_{n-1}+c_{2} v_{n-1}^{2} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The terms outside the square brackets must all vanish, yielding

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{S}=\left\{3 c_{1}-c_{2}=0, c_{3}-3 c_{1}=0, c_{2}-c_{3}=0\right\} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution is $3 c_{1}=c_{2}=c_{3}$. Since densities can only be determined up to a multiplicative constant, we choose $c_{1}=\frac{1}{3}, c_{2}=c_{3}=1$, and substitute this into (6) and (7). Hence,

$$
\rho_{n}=\frac{1}{3} u_{n}{ }^{3}+u_{n}\left(v_{n-1}+v_{n}\right), \quad J_{n}=u_{n-1} u_{n} v_{n-1}+v_{n-1}{ }^{2} .
$$

Analogously, we computed conserved densities of rank $\leq 5$ for (4). They are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho_{n}^{(1)}= & u_{n}, \quad \rho_{n}^{(2)}=\frac{1}{2} u_{n}^{2}+v_{n}, \quad \rho_{n}^{(3)}=\frac{1}{3} u_{n}^{3}+u_{n}\left(v_{n-1}+v_{n}\right), \\
\rho_{n}^{(4)}= & \frac{1}{4} u_{n}^{4}+u_{n}^{2}\left(v_{n-1}+v_{n}\right)+u_{n} u_{n+1} v_{n}+\frac{1}{2} v_{n}^{2}+v_{n} v_{n+1}, \\
\rho_{n}^{(5)}= & \frac{1}{5} u_{n}^{5}+u_{n}^{3}\left(v_{n-1}+v_{n}\right)+u_{n} u_{n+1} v_{n}\left(u_{n}+u_{n+1}\right) \\
& +u_{n} v_{n-1}\left(v_{n-2}+v_{n-1}+v_{n}\right)+u_{n} v_{n}\left(v_{n-1}+v_{n}+v_{n+1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Ignoring irrelevant shifts in $n$, these densities agree with the results in 16.
To illustrate how our algorithm works for DDEs with parameters, consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{u}_{n}=\alpha v_{n-1}-v_{n}, \quad \dot{v}_{n}=v_{n}\left(\beta u_{n}-u_{n+1}\right), \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are nonzero parameters. In (12) it was shown that (9) is completely integrable if $\alpha=\beta=1$.

Using our algorithm, one can easily compute the compatibility conditions for $\alpha$ and $\beta$, so that (9) admits a polynomial conserved densities of, say, rank 3. The steps are the same as for ( $(4)$. However, (8) must be replaced by

$$
\mathcal{S}=\left\{3 \alpha c_{1}-c_{2}=0, \beta c_{3}-3 c_{1}=0, \alpha c_{3}-c_{2}=0, \beta c_{2}-c_{3}=0, \alpha c_{2}-c_{3}=0\right\} .
$$

A non-trivial solution $3 c_{1}=c_{2}=c_{3}$ will exist if and only if $\alpha=\beta=1$.
Analogously, (G) has density $\rho_{n}^{(1)}=u_{n}$ of rank 1 if $\alpha=1$, and density $\rho_{n}^{(2)}=\frac{\beta}{2} u_{n}{ }^{2}+v_{n}$ of rank 2 if $\alpha \beta=1$. Only when $\alpha=\beta=1$ will (9) have conserved densities of rank $\geq 3$.

## 3 Examples

### 3.1 The Volterra Equation

Consider the integrable discretization of the KdV equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{u}_{n}=u_{n}\left(u_{n+1}-u_{n-1}\right), \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is known as the Kac-Van Moerbeke equation or a special form of the Volterra system. It arises in the study of Langmuir oscillations in plasmas, and in population dynamics 17, 18, 19].

Notice that (10) is invariant under the scaling symmetry $\left(t, u_{n}\right) \rightarrow\left(\lambda t, \lambda^{-1} u_{n}\right)$. Hence, $u_{n}$ corresponds to one derivative with respect to $t$, i.e. $u_{n} \sim \frac{d}{d t}$. All terms in (10) have the same rank if $w\left(u_{n}\right)+1=2 w\left(u_{n}\right)$, thus, $w\left(u_{n}\right)=1$, which agrees with the scaling symmetry.

Let us find the form of density with rank 3 . Forming all monomials of $u_{n}$ with rank 3 or less yields the list $\mathcal{G}=\left\{u_{n}{ }^{3}, u_{n}{ }^{2}, u_{n}\right\}$. Introducing the necessary $t$-derivatives, leads to $\mathcal{H}$ :

$$
\left\{u_{n}^{3}, u_{n}^{2} u_{n+1}, u_{n-1} u_{n}{ }^{2}, u_{n} u_{n+1}{ }^{2}, u_{n-1} u_{n} u_{n+1}, u_{n} u_{n+1} u_{n+2}, u_{n-2} u_{n-1} u_{n}, u_{n-1}{ }^{2} u_{n}\right\}
$$

Using $u_{n-2} u_{n-1} u_{n} \equiv u_{n-1} u_{n} u_{n+1} \equiv u_{n} u_{n+1} u_{n+2}, u_{n-1} u_{n}{ }^{2} \equiv u_{n} u_{n+1}{ }^{2}$ and $u_{n-1}{ }^{2} u_{n} \equiv$ $u_{n}{ }^{2} u_{n+1}$, we obtain the list

$$
\mathcal{I}=\left\{u_{n}{ }^{3}, u_{n}{ }^{2} u_{n+1}, u_{n} u_{n+1}{ }^{2}, u_{n} u_{n+1} u_{n+2}\right\} .
$$

A linear combination of the terms in $\mathcal{I}$ with constant coefficients $c_{i}$ gives

$$
\rho_{n}=c_{1} u_{n}{ }^{3}+c_{2} u_{n}^{2} u_{n+1}+c_{3} u_{n} u_{n+1}^{2}+c_{4} u_{n} u_{n+1} u_{n+2} .
$$

Proceed with step 3. After differentiation, shifting and regrouping

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{\rho}_{n}= & \left(3 c_{1}-c_{2}\right) u_{n}{ }^{3} u_{n+1}+\left(c_{3}-3 c_{1}\right) u_{n} u_{n+1}{ }^{3}+2\left(c_{2}-c_{3}\right) u_{n}{ }^{2} u_{n+1}{ }^{2} \\
& +2\left(c_{3}-c_{2}\right) u_{n} u_{n+1}{ }^{2} u_{n+2}+\left(c_{2}-c_{4}\right) u_{n}{ }^{2} u_{n+1} u_{n+2} \\
& +\left(c_{4}-c_{3}\right) u_{n} u_{n+1} u_{n+2}{ }^{2}+\left[J_{n}-J_{n+1}\right], \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
J_{n}=-\left(3 c_{1} u_{n-1} u_{n}^{3}+2 c_{2} u_{n-1} u_{n}^{2} u_{n+1}+c_{3} u_{n-1} u_{n} u_{n+1}^{2}+c_{4} u_{n-1} u_{n} u_{n+1} u_{n+2}\right) .
$$

The monomials outside the square brackets in (11) must vanish. This yields

$$
\mathcal{S}=\left\{3 c_{1}-c_{2}=0, c_{3}-3 c_{1}=0, c_{2}-c_{3}=0, c_{2}-c_{4}=0, c_{4}-c_{3}=0\right\}
$$

Choosing $c_{1}=\frac{1}{3}$, one has $c_{2}=c_{3}=c_{4}=1$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho_{n}=\frac{1}{3} u_{n}{ }^{3}+u_{n} u_{n+1}\left(u_{n}+u_{n+1}+u_{n+2}\right), \\
& J_{n}=-\left(u_{n-1} u_{n}{ }^{3}+2 u_{n-1} u_{n}{ }^{2} u_{n+1}+u_{n-1} u_{n} u_{n+1}{ }^{2}+u_{n-1} u_{n} u_{n+1} u_{n+2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Analogously, for (10) we computed the densities of rank $\leq 5$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho_{n}^{(1)}= & u_{n}, \quad \rho_{n}^{(2)}=\frac{1}{2} u_{n}{ }^{2}+u_{n} u_{n+1}, \\
\rho_{n}^{(3)}= & \frac{1}{3} u_{n}{ }^{3}+u_{n} u_{n+1}\left(u_{n}+u_{n+1}+u_{n+2}\right), \\
\rho_{n}^{(4)}= & \frac{1}{4} u_{n}{ }^{4}+u_{n}{ }^{3} u_{n+1}+\frac{3}{2} u_{n}{ }^{2} u_{n+1}{ }^{2}+u_{n} u_{n+1}{ }^{2}\left(u_{n+1}+u_{n+2}\right) \\
& +u_{n} u_{n+1} u_{n+2}\left(u_{n}+u_{n+1}+u_{n+2}+u_{n+3}\right), \\
\rho_{n}^{(5)}= & \frac{1}{5} u_{n}{ }^{5}+u_{n} u_{n+1}\left(u_{n}{ }^{3}+u_{n+1}{ }^{3}\right)+2 u_{n}{ }^{2} u_{n+1}{ }^{2}\left(u_{n}+u_{n+1}\right) \\
& +u_{n} u_{n+1} u_{n+2}\left(u_{n}{ }^{2}+u_{n} u_{n+2}+u_{n+1} u_{n+3}\right)+3 u_{n} u_{n+1}{ }^{2} u_{n+2} \\
& \left(u_{n}+u_{n+1}+u_{n+2}\right)+u_{n} u_{n+1} u_{n+2}{ }^{2}\left(u_{n+2}+u_{n+3}\right) \\
& +u_{n} u_{n+1} u_{n+2} u_{n+3}\left(u_{n}+u_{n+1}+u_{n+2}+u_{n+3}+u_{n+4}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

### 3.2 Discretizations of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation

In [20, 21], Ablowitz and Ladik studied properties of the following integrable discretization of the NLS equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \dot{u}_{n}=u_{n+1}-2 u_{n}+u_{n-1} \pm u_{n}^{*} u_{n}\left(u_{n+1}+u_{n-1}\right), \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u_{n}^{*}$ is the complex conjugate of $u_{n}$. We continue with the $+\operatorname{sign}$; the other case is analogous. Instead of splitting $u_{n}$ into its real and imaginary parts, we treat $u_{n}$ and $v_{n}=u_{n}^{*}$ as independent variables and augment (12) with its complex conjugate equation. Absorbing $i$ in the scale on $t$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{u}_{n} & =u_{n+1}-2 u_{n}+u_{n-1}+u_{n} v_{n}\left(u_{n+1}+u_{n-1}\right), \\
\dot{v}_{n} & =-\left(v_{n+1}-2 v_{n}+v_{n-1}\right)-u_{n} v_{n}\left(v_{n+1}+v_{n-1}\right) . \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $v_{n}=u_{n}^{*}$, we have $w\left(v_{n}\right)=w\left(u_{n}\right)$.
Neither of the equations in (13) is uniform in rank. To circumvent this problem we introduce an auxiliary parameter $\alpha$ with weight, and replace (13) by

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{u}_{n} & =\alpha\left(u_{n+1}-2 u_{n}+u_{n-1}\right)+u_{n} v_{n}\left(u_{n+1}+u_{n-1}\right), \\
\dot{v}_{n} & =-\alpha\left(v_{n+1}-2 v_{n}+v_{n-1}\right)-u_{n} v_{n}\left(v_{n+1}+v_{n-1}\right) . \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

Uniformity in rank requires that

$$
\begin{aligned}
w\left(u_{n}\right)+1 & =w(\alpha)+w\left(u_{n}\right)=2 w\left(u_{n}\right)+w\left(v_{n}\right)=3 w\left(u_{n}\right) \\
w\left(v_{n}\right)+1 & =w(\alpha)+w\left(v_{n}\right)=2 w\left(v_{n}\right)+w\left(u_{n}\right)=3 w\left(v_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which yields $w\left(u_{n}\right)=w\left(v_{n}\right)=\frac{1}{2}, w(\alpha)=1$, or, $u_{n}{ }^{2} \sim v_{n}{ }^{2} \sim \alpha \sim \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{dt}}$.
Recall that the uniformity in rank requirement is essential for the first two steps of the algorithm. However, after Step 2, we may set $\alpha=1$. The computations now proceed
as in the previous examples. We list some conserved densities of (13), which correspond with those in [20]:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho_{n}^{(1)} & =c_{1} u_{n} v_{n-1}+c_{2} u_{n} v_{n+1}, \\
\rho_{n}^{(2)} & =c_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2} u_{n}{ }^{2} v_{n-1}{ }^{2}+u_{n} u_{n+1} v_{n-1} v_{n}+u_{n} v_{n-2}\right) \\
& +c_{2}\left(\frac{1}{2} u_{n}{ }^{2} v_{n+1}{ }^{2}+u_{n} u_{n+1} v_{n+1} v_{n+2}+u_{n} v_{n+2}\right), \\
\rho_{n}^{(3)} & =c_{1}\left[\frac{1}{3} u_{n}{ }^{3} v_{n-1}{ }^{3}+u_{n} u_{n+1} v_{n-1} v_{n}\left(u_{n} v_{n-1}+u_{n+1} v_{n}+u_{n+2} v_{n+1}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+u_{n} v_{n-1}\left(u_{n} v_{n-2}+u_{n+1} v_{n-1}\right)+u_{n} v_{n}\left(u_{n+1} v_{n-2}+u_{n+2} v_{n-1}\right)+u_{n} v_{n-3}\right] \\
& +c_{2}\left[\frac{1}{3} u_{n}{ }^{3} v_{n+1}^{3}+u_{n} u_{n+1} v_{n+1} v_{n+2}\left(u_{n} v_{n+1}+u_{n+1} v_{n+2}+u_{n+2} v_{n+3}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+u_{n} v_{n+2}\left(u_{n} v_{n+1}+u_{n+1} v_{n+2}\right)+u_{n} v_{n+3}\left(u_{n+1} v_{n+1}+u_{n+2} v_{n+2}\right)+u_{n} v_{n+3}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

As shown in [20], scheme (12), if defined on an infinite interval, admits infinitely many independent conserved densities. Although it is a constant of motion, we cannot derive the Hamiltonian of (12), for it has a logarithmic term [1].

We also computed conserved densities of the non-integrable standard second-order scheme [1],

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \dot{u}_{n}=u_{n+1}-2 u_{n}+u_{n-1}+2 u_{n}^{*} u_{n}^{2} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the NLS equation. Instead of (14) one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{u}_{n} & =\alpha\left(u_{n+1}-2 u_{n}+u_{n-1}\right)+2 u_{n}^{2} v_{n} \\
\dot{v}_{n} & =-\alpha\left(v_{n+1}-2 v_{n}+v_{n-1}\right)-2 u_{n} v_{n}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, $u_{n}{ }^{2} \sim v_{n}{ }^{2} \sim \alpha \sim \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{dt}}$. We could only find two independent conserved densities. Indeed, after setting $\alpha=1$,

$$
\rho_{n}^{(1)}=u_{n} v_{n}, \quad \quad \rho_{n}^{(2)}=u_{n}^{2} v_{n}^{2}+u_{n} v_{n-1}+u_{n} v_{n+1}
$$

### 3.3 Generalized Toda lattices

Recently, Suris [13, [22] showed the integrability of the chain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{y}_{n}=\dot{y}_{n+1} e^{\left(y_{n+1}-y_{n}\right)}-e^{2\left(y_{n+1}-y_{n}\right)}-\dot{y}_{n-1} e^{\left(y_{n}-y_{n-1}\right)}+e^{2\left(y_{n}-y_{n-1}\right)}, \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is related to the relativistic Toda lattice. With the change of variables, $u_{n}=$ $\dot{y}_{n}, v_{n}=\exp \left(y_{n+1}-y_{n}\right)$, (16) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{u}_{n}=v_{n}\left(u_{n+1}-v_{n}\right)-v_{n-1}\left(u_{n-1}-v_{n-1}\right), \quad \dot{v}_{n}=v_{n}\left(u_{n+1}-u_{n}\right) . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $u_{n} \sim v_{n} \sim \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{dt}}$, and we computed five conserved densities for (17). The first three are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho_{n}^{(1)}=u_{n}-v_{n}, \quad \rho_{n}^{(2)}=u_{n}{ }^{2}-v_{n}{ }^{2} \\
& \rho_{n}^{(3)}=\frac{1}{3}\left(u_{n}^{3}+2 v_{n}^{3}\right)-u_{n}\left(v_{n-1}{ }^{2}+v_{n}^{2}\right)+u_{n} u_{n+1} v_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

Suris (23) also investigated

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{y}_{n}=\dot{y}_{n}\left[\exp \left(y_{n+1}-y_{n}\right)-\exp \left(y_{n}-y_{n-1}\right)\right], \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is closely related to the classical Toda lattice (3). The same change of variables as for (16) allows one to write (18) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{u}_{n}=u_{n}\left(v_{n}-v_{n-1}\right), \quad \dot{v}_{n}=v_{n}\left(u_{n+1}-u_{n}\right) . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again, $u_{n} \sim v_{n} \sim \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{dt}}$, and the first four conserved densities are

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho_{n}^{(1)}= & u_{n}+v_{n}, \quad \rho_{n}^{(2)}=\frac{1}{2}\left(u_{n}{ }^{2}+v_{n}{ }^{2}\right)+u_{n}\left(v_{n-1}+v_{n}\right), \\
\rho_{n}^{(3)}= & \frac{1}{3}\left(u_{n}{ }^{3}+v_{n}{ }^{3}\right)+u_{n}{ }^{2}\left(v_{n-1}+v_{n}\right)+u_{n}\left(v_{n-1}{ }^{2}+v_{n}{ }^{2}\right)+u_{n} v_{n}\left(v_{n-1}+u_{n+1}\right), \\
\rho_{n}^{(4)}= & \frac{1}{4}\left(u_{n}{ }^{4}+v_{n}{ }^{4}\right)+u_{n}{ }^{3}\left(v_{n-1}+v_{n}\right)+u_{n}\left(v_{n-1}{ }^{3}+v_{n}{ }^{3}\right)+\frac{3}{2} u_{n}{ }^{2}\left(v_{n-1}{ }^{2}+v_{n}{ }^{2}\right) \\
& +u_{n} u_{n+1} v_{n}\left(u_{n}+u_{n+1}\right)+2 u_{n} v_{n}\left(u_{n} v_{n-1}+u_{n+1} v_{n}\right) \\
& +u_{n} v_{n-1} v_{n}\left(v_{n-1}+v_{n}\right)+u_{n} u_{n+1} v_{n}\left(v_{n-1}+v_{n+1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 4 Conclusions

We developed a Mathematica program, called diffdens.m, and used it to compute all the conserved densities presented in this paper. For lattices with parameters, the code automatically determines the compatibility conditions on these parameters so that a sequence of conserved densities might exist.

The existence of a large number of conservation laws is an indicator of integrability of the system. Therefore, by generating the compatibility conditions, one can analyze classes of parameterized DDEs and filter out the candidates for complete integrability.

Future generalizations of the algorithm will exploit other symmetries in the hope to find conserved densities of non-polynomial form.
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